

## CS 161 – Computer Security

Instructor: Tygar

23 September 2015

### Homework 2 Answer Set

#### Notes

- Homework 2 is due on 22 September 2015 at 3PM.
- Please work on this homework individually – no collaboration allowed.
- Please submit this homework in PDF format.
- It is possible to answer all questions relatively briefly. Please limit your answer to each question to a page at most.
- Submit this homework using Gradescope.

**Please start the answer to each question on a new page**

1.

- a. Compute  $500^{-1} \bmod 10007$  using EGCD. Show your work. Note 10007 is prime.

$$0 \times 500 + 1 \times 10007 = 10007 \quad \left( \text{quotient} \left\lfloor \frac{10007}{500} \right\rfloor = 20 \right)$$

$$a = 0 - (20 \times 1) = -20; \quad b = 1 - (20 \times 0) = 1$$

$$-20 \times 500 + 1 \times 10007 = 7 \quad \left( \text{quotient} \left\lfloor \frac{500}{7} \right\rfloor = 71 \right)$$

$$a = 1 - (71 \times -20) = 1421; \quad b = 0 - (71 \times 1) = -71$$

$$1421 \times 500 - 71 \times 10007 = 3 \quad (\text{quotient}[7/3] = 2)$$

$$a = -20 - (2 \times 1421) = -2862; \quad b = 1 - (2 \times -71) = 143$$

$$-2862 \times 500 + 143 \times 10007 = 1$$

So  $-2862 = 7145$  is the inverse of 500 mod 10007

- b. Compute  $500^{-1} \bmod 10007$  using the Euler-Fermat theorem. Show your work. Note 10007 is prime. You may use at most 30 multiplication operations; you may not use a computer to compute exponentials.

10007 is prime; so  $\varphi(10007) - 1 = 10005$ .

$$500^2 = 9832; \quad 500^4 = 604; \quad 500^8 = 4564; \quad 500^{16} = 5529; \quad 500^{32} = 8463;$$

$$500^{64} = 2270; \quad 500^{128} = 9302; \quad 500^{256} = 6682; \quad 500^{512} = 7897; \quad 500^{1024} = 8992$$

$$500^{2048} = 9511; \quad 500^{4096} = 5848; \quad 500^{8192} = 5185$$

10005 in binary is  $10011100010101_2$  so we multiply  $500^{8192} \times 500^{1024} \times 500^{512} \times 500^{256} \times 500^{16} \times 500^4 \times 500 = 5185 \times 8992 \times 7897 \times 6682 \times 5529 \times 604 \times 500 = 7145$ .

2. Consider the following protocol. Alice and Bob choose a common prime  $p$ . Alice picks a random  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and sets  $s$  such that  $rs = 1 \pmod{p-1}$ . Bob similarly picks a random  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and sets  $u$  such that  $tu = 1 \pmod{p-1}$ . They then exchange messages as follows:

$A \rightarrow B: m^r \bmod p (= m')$

$B \rightarrow A: (m')^t \bmod p (= m'')$

$A \rightarrow B: (m'')^s \bmod p (= m''')$

$B$  computes  $(m''')^u \bmod p$  and recovers  $m$

- a. Why does this protocol work?

*It uses the Fermat-Euler theorem twice.*

- b. Show the protocol is vulnerable to a man in the middle attack

*MITM computes a pair  $r', s'$  satisfying  $r's' = 1 \pmod{p-1}$  and a pair  $t', u'$  satisfying  $t'u' = 1 \pmod{p-1}$ . The MITM then pretends to be Bob to Alice and pretends to be Alice to Bob.*

- c. Show that if an eavesdropper can compute discrete logarithms, it can break this protocol.

*The eavesdropper fixes a generator  $g \bmod p$ . Let “log” denote discrete logarithm with respect to  $g \bmod p$ . The eavesdropper intercepts the three messages  $m^r, m^{rt}, m^{rts}$  and computes  $\frac{\log m^{rt}}{\log m^r} = \frac{rt \log m}{r \log m} = t$  and uses EGCD to calculate  $u$ . The eavesdropper calculates  $(m^{rts})^u = m \bmod p$ .*

3. Let  $h()$  be a collision-resistant, pre-image resistant, and second pre-image resistant hash function that outputs  $n$  bits. Let  $\text{expand}(x)$  output the  $n$ -bit binary string representing  $x$  left-padded by zeros when  $0 \leq x < 2^n$  and otherwise be undefined. Let  $\parallel$  be the string concatenation operator. We construct a new function  $h'()$ :

$$h'(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \parallel \text{expand}(x) & 0 \leq x < 2^n \\ 1 \parallel h(x) & 2^n \leq x \end{cases}$$

- a. Is  $h'()$  pre-image resistant?

*No. It is trivial to invert any  $h'$  hash value beginning with a zero.*

b. Is  $h'()$  second pre-image resistant?

*Yes. All  $h'$  hash values beginning with a zero have only a single pre-image, so they are pre-image resistant. If  $h'$  hash values beginning with a one were not second pre-image resistant, it would contradict the assumption that  $h$  is second pre-image resistant.*

c. Is  $h'()$  collision resistant?

*Yes. All  $h'$  hash values beginning with a one have only a single pre-image, so they are collision resistant. If  $h'$  hash values beginning with a one were not collision resistant, it would contradict the assumption that  $h$  is collision resistant.*

d. Does second pre-image resistance imply pre-image resistance? Why or why not?

*No.  $h'()$  is second pre-image resistant but not pre-image resistant.*

e. Does collision resistance imply pre-image resistance?

*No.  $h'()$  is collision resistant but not pre-image resistant.*