A4-Q2

Zhaoqi Li

2023/3/28

```
1.
```

```
x <- c(1.6, 0.1, 0.3, 0.0, -1.1, -0.1, -0.4, -0.4)
acf(x, plot = FALSE , type = "covariance", lag.max = 2)

##
## Autocovariances of series 'x', by lag
##
## 0 1 2
## 0.5250 0.0625 0.0788
The result of Q2(1) is same as Q1(1)

2.

x.yw <- ar.yw(x, aic= FALSE, order=1)
x.yw$ar</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.1190476
```

x.yw\$var.pred

[1] 0.6900794

The coefficient estimates and estimated error variance are similar but little different of the estimated error variance of Q1(2).

3.

```
x.yw$ar[1]+c(-1.96,1.96)*sqrt(x.yw$asy.var.coef[1, 1])
```

```
## [1] -0.6754287 0.9135239
```

The 95% CI are also similar but but little different of Q1(3), because the estimated error variance are little different.

4.

```
x.yw$resid
```

```
## [1] NA -0.09047619 0.28809524 -0.03571429 -1.10000000 0.03095238 ## [7] -0.38809524 -0.35238095
```

The result of Q2(4) is same as Q1(4)

```
5.
```

```
Box.test(x.yw$resid, lag = 2, type = c("Ljung-Box"), fitdf = 1)
##
   Box-Ljung test
##
## data: x.yw$resid
## X-squared = 1.0924, df = 1, p-value = 0.2959
The p-value is 0.2959 which is greater than 0.05, so we fail to reject H0. The result of Q2(5) is same as Q1(5)
6 & 7
library("forecast")
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'quantmod':
     method
                        from
     as.zoo.data.frame zoo
forecast(x.yw, level= 0.95, h=2)
##
      Point Forecast
                          Lo 95
                                    Hi 95
        -0.047619048 -1.675781 1.580543
##
    9
        -0.005668934 -1.645328 1.633990
The result of 6 and 7 are similar to that of Q1.
```