

Near Optimal Non-asymptotic Sample Complexity of 1-Identification

Zitian Li, Wang Chi Cheung

National University of Singapore



INTRODUCTION

Model Setting and Notations

- K: Number of arms.
- δ : Tolerance level of wrong identification
- $\nu = {\{\nu_a\}_{a=1}^K}$: Reward distribution of arm a
- μ_a : Mean reward of arm $a \in [K]$
- $\Delta_{i,j} = |\mu_i \mu_j|, \text{ WLOG}, \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_K$
- μ_0 : Known threshold for comparison
- $S^{pos} = {\nu : \mu_1 > \mu_0}, S^{neg} = {\nu : \mu_1 < \mu_0}, For \Delta > 0,$ $S_{\Lambda}^{\text{pos}} = \{ \nu : \mu_1 - \mu_0 \ge \Delta \}, S_{\Lambda}^{\text{neg}} = \{ \nu : \mu_0 - \mu_1 \ge \Delta \}.$
- A_t : Action in round t
- X_t : Observed reward in round t
- $H(t) = \{(A_s, X_s)\}_{s=1}^t$: History collected up to time t.

Known Information

ullet K, μ_0, δ

Unknown Information

• ν_a , μ_a , for all $a \in [K]$

Dynamics and Model Uncertainty

At each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$, the Decision Maker

- Pull an arm $A_t \in [K]$, A_t is $\sigma(H(t-1))$ -measurable,
- Receive the outcome $X_t \sim \nu_{A_t}$,
- 3 Update the history $H(t) = H(t-1) \cup \{(A_t, X_t)\}$

The agent stops at the end of time step τ ,

 τ is a stopping time with respect to the filtration $\{\sigma(H(t))\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ Upon stopping, the agent outputs arm $\hat{a} \in [K] \cup \{None\}$

Definition of PAC Requirement

$i^*(\nu)$:

- $i^*(\nu) = \{a : \mu_a \ge \mu_0\}, \text{ for } \nu \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{pos}}$
- $i^*(\nu) = \{ \text{None} \}, \text{ for } \nu \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{neg}}$

δ -PAC:

• A pulling strategy is δ -PAC, if for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{pos}} \cup \mathcal{S}^{\text{neg}}$, it satisfies $\Pr_{\nu}(\tau < +\infty, \hat{a} \in i^*(\nu)) > 1 - \delta$.

(Δ, δ) -PAC:

• A pulling strategy is (Δ, δ) -PAC, if it is δ -PAC, and for any $\Delta, \delta > 0$, we have $\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\text{pos}} \cup \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\text{neg}}} \mathbb{E}_{\nu} \tau < +\infty$.

Objective: Minimize $\mathbb{E}\tau$

The agent aims to design a (Δ, δ) -PAC pulling strategy (π, τ, \hat{a}) that minimizes the sampling complexity $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$.

Lit Review

| Algorithm | Bound | Opt in pos | Opt in Neg |
|--------------------|---|------------|------------|
| S-TaS | $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\tau}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}} = \begin{cases} H & \text{pos} \\ H_1^{\text{neg}} & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ |
| HDoC | $\mathbb{E}\tau \leq \begin{cases} O(H\log\frac{K}{\delta} + H_1\log\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{K}{\epsilon^2}) & \text{pos} \\ O(H_1^{\text{neg}}\log\frac{K}{\delta} + \frac{K}{\epsilon^2}) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ | × | × |
| APGAI | $\mathbb{E}\tau \le \begin{cases} O(H_0(\log \frac{K}{\delta})) & \text{pos} \\ O(H_1^{\text{neg}}(\log \frac{K}{\delta})) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ | × | $\sqrt{}$ |
| SEE (*) | $\mathbb{E}\tau \leq \begin{cases} O(H\log\frac{K}{\delta} + H_1\log\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{K}{\epsilon^2}) & \text{pos} \\ O(H_1^{\text{neg}}\log\frac{K}{\delta} + \frac{K}{\epsilon^2}) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ $\mathbb{E}\tau \leq \begin{cases} O(H_0(\log\frac{K}{\delta})) & \text{pos} \\ O(H_1^{\text{neg}}(\log\frac{K}{\delta})) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ $\mathbb{E}\tau \leq \begin{cases} O(H\log\frac{1}{\delta}) + O(H_1^{\text{pos}}\log\frac{K}{\Delta_{0,1}}) & \text{pos} \\ O(H_1^{\text{neg}}(\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log H_1^{\text{neg}})) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ |
| Lower Bound (*) | $\mathbb{E}\tau \ge \begin{cases} \Omega(H\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{m}H_1^{\text{low}} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{1,m+1}^2}) & \text{pos} \\ \Omega(H_1^{\text{neg}}\log\frac{1}{\delta}) & \text{neg} \end{cases}$ | NA | NA |

(*) denotes the result is from this paper

Extra Comments

- S-TaS(Degenne & Koolen 2019): only achieves asymptotic optimality
- HDoC(Kano et.al 2018), APGAI(Jourdan et.al2023) are not (Δ, δ) -PAC
- Two-armed instance
- Arm 1 Gaussian, $\mu_1 > \mu_0$, Arm 2 Constant, $\mu_2 = \mu_0$
- UCB₁ < μ_0 holds with non-zero prob, then HDoC and APGAI will never stop
- SEE is nearly optimal
- $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{neg}}$, all the arms are below μ_0
- Only one arm is above μ_0
- For SEE, If $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{pos}$, coefficient of $\log \frac{1}{\delta}$ is independent of arm number K

Algorithm

Sequential Exploration Exploitation (Informal)

- 1: **procedure** SEE(Input: Action set [K], threshold μ_0 , tolerance level $\delta, C > 1$).
- **Tune** $\{\delta_k, T_k^{\text{et}}, T_k^{\text{ee}}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$.
- for Phase $k = 1, 2, \cdots do$
- (Exploration) Run algorithm LUCB_G with tolerance level δ_k and previous exploration history.

Stops until one of the two conditions holds.

- Total pulling times in all exploration phases is not greater than T_k^{ee} . Take $\hat{a}_k = \text{Not Complete}$.
- LUCB_G stops and output $\hat{a}_k \in [K] \cup \{\text{None}\}$.
 - if $\hat{a}_k \in [K]$ then
 - (Exploitation) Keep pulling arm \hat{a}_k with independent samples Stops until one of the two conditions holds.
- Pulling times of \hat{a}_k is not smaller than T_k^{et} .
- LCB defined by δ is above μ_0 , output \hat{a}_k as a qualified arm
- else if $\hat{a}_k = \text{None and } \delta_k < \frac{\delta}{3} \text{ then}$ 11:
 - Output the instance is negative
- end if
- end for

10:

- $\mathbf{return} \ x$
- 16: end procedure

Notation on Complexity

$$H_1^{\text{neg}} = \sum_{a=1}^K \frac{2}{\Delta_{0,a}^2}, H_1^{\text{low}} = \sum_{a:\mu_a < \mu_0} \frac{2}{\Delta_{1,a}^2},$$

$$H_1^{\text{pos}} = \sum_{a=1}^K \frac{2}{\max\{\Delta_{0,a}^2, \Delta_{1,a}^2\}}, H = \frac{2}{\Delta_{0,1}^2}$$

$$H_1 = \sum_{a=2}^K \frac{2}{\Delta_{1,a}^2}, H_0 = \sum_{a:\mu_a \ge \mu_0} \frac{2}{\Delta_{0,a}^2},$$

$$H_1^{\text{BAI}} = \frac{2}{\Delta_{0,1}^2} + \sum_{a=2}^K \frac{2}{\Delta_{1,a}^2}.$$

Key Ideas Behind the Algorithm

Property of LUCB_G

- LUCB_G is an adapted BAI alg
- Pull arms with highest UCB
- Return an arm whose LCB is greater than μ_0
- Take $\delta' \in (0, 1)$ as input for LUCB_G. Conditioned on event holds with prob $1 - O(\delta')$, LUCB G
- return $\mu_{\hat{a}} > \mu_0$ with pulling times $O(H_1^{\text{pos}}(\log \frac{1}{\delta'} + \log H_1^{\text{pos}}))$ • return None, with pulling times $O(H_1^{\text{neg}}(\log \frac{1}{\delta'} + \log H_1^{\text{neg}}))$
- Take $\delta' \in (0,1)$ as input for LUCB.

If the event doesn't hold, LUCB might never stop

Conduct Exploration

- Call LUCB_G for exploration, decreasing $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ as tolerance level for each phase
- Since it might get stuck in a non-stopping loop, set up maximum pulling tiems T_k^{ee}

Conduct Exploitation, if $\hat{a}_k \in [K]$

- Keep pulling \hat{a}_k with new samples
- Output \hat{a}_k if its LCB $(\delta) > \mu_0$

Accept $\hat{a}_k = \mathsf{None}$

- Only when $\delta_k = \Theta(\delta)$
- Inspired by Degenne & Koolen 2019, negative instance is similar to Best Arm Identification

Numeric Settings

Benchmark Algorithms

- Adapted Murphy Sampling (Kaufmann et.al 2018)
- Adapted Track and Stop (Garivier & Kaufmann 2016)
- HDoC, LUCB_G (Kano et.al 2018)
- lilHDoC (Tsai et.al 2024)

Instance Setting

- All the mean rewards are below μ_0
- Fraction of Qualified Arms (100%, 50%, 25%, Unique)
- Linear reward vector



