cda 第二次作业

林振炜

2019年10月19日

0.1 1 analysis the GDS5037 data, Suppose the samples are randomly chosen

• in sa group, the proportion of male and female, respectively

```
library(dplyr)
library(cdabookfunc)
library(cdabookdb)
data('aspirin')
genderdata <- read.csv('GDS5037/genderdata.csv')</pre>
s <- genderdata[genderdata$ID == 'SA',] %>%group_by(gender)%>%summarise(sa=n());s
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##
     gender
               sa
##
     <fct> <int>
## 1 female
               28
## 2 male
               10
pfemale = 28/38; pfemale
## [1] 0.7368421
pmale = 10/38; pmale
## [1] 0.2631579
```

• construct table for gender and status, calculate the sample odds ratio.

```
control <- genderdata[genderdata$ID == 'control',] %>%group_by(gender)%>%summarise(control)
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
     gender control
##
     <fct> <int>
## 1 female
                 11
## 2 male
                   9
gen_sta <- merge(s,control,by = 'gender');gen_sta</pre>
##
     gender sa control
                     11
## 1 female 28
## 2
      male 10
                      9
rownames(gen_sta) <- gen_sta[,1]</pre>
gen_sta <- gen_sta[,-1]</pre>
gen_sta <- as.matrix(gen_sta);</pre>
addmargins(gen_sta)
##
          sa control Sum
## female 28
                  11 39
## male 10
                  9 19
## Sum
          38
                  20 58
oddsratio(gen_sta)
##
     oddsratio
## 1 2.290909
  • in (2) test the independence between gender and status
# x2 test
x2_result <- chisq.test(gen_sta);x2_result</pre>
##
    Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: gen_sta
## X-squared = 1.3151, df = 1, p-value = 0.2515
```

```
gen sta excepted <- x2 result$expected
gen_sta_excepted
##
                      control
                 sa
## female 25.55172 13.448276
## male
          12.44828 6.551724
gsq <- 2*sum(gen_sta*log(gen_sta/gen_sta_excepted))</pre>
pvalue <- 1 - pchisq(gsq,2)</pre>
gsq;pvalue
## [1] 2.037953
## [1] 0.3609641
  • test the independence between gender and status
control <- genderdata[genderdata$ID == 'control',] %>%group_by(gender)%>%summarise(control
gen_sta <- merge(s,control,by = 'gender');</pre>
MMA <- genderdata[genderdata$ID == 'MMA',] %>%group_by(gender)%>%summarise(MMA=n());
gender_sta <- merge(gen_sta,MMA,by = 'gender');gender_sta;</pre>
     gender sa control MMA
## 1 female 28
                     11
       male 10
## 2
                         15
rownames(gender_sta) <- gender_sta[,1]</pre>
gender_sta <- gender_sta[,-1]</pre>
gender sta <- as.matrix(gender sta);</pre>
addmargins(gender sta) #the count of every cell is more than 5
##
          sa control MMA Sum
                   11 35 74
## female 28
## male
          10
                   9
                      15 34
## Sum
          38
                   20 50 108
```

#G2_test

```
G2 <- function(data){</pre>
  x2_result <- chisq.test(data)</pre>
  expected <- x2_result$expected</pre>
  Gsq <- 2 * sum(data * log(data / expected))</pre>
  pvalue <- 1 - pchisq(Gsq, 2)</pre>
  return(pvalue)
}
#test detached group
oddsratio(gender_sta[,c(1,2)])
##
     oddsratio
## 1 2.290909
p12 <- G2(gender_sta[,c(1,2)]);p12
## [1] 0.3609641
oddsratio(gender_sta[,c(3,2)])
##
     oddsratio
## 1 1.909091
p32 <- G2(gender_sta[,c(3,2)]);p32
## [1] 0.4976395
oddsratio(gender_sta[,c(1,3)])
##
     oddsratio
            1.2
## 1
p13 <- G2(gender_sta[,c(1,3)]);p13
## [1] 0.9302105
```

```
trans12 <- matrix(data=c(1,1,0,0,0,1), nrow = 3, ncol = 2, byrow = F, dimnames = NULL)
trans13 <- matrix(data=c(1,0,1,0,1,0), nrow = 3, ncol = 2, byrow = F, dimnames = NULL)
trans23 <- matrix(data=c(0,1,1,1,0,0), nrow = 3, ncol = 2, byrow = F, dimnames = NULL)
p_combine <- function(trans){
    df <- gender_sta%**\trans
    oddsratio(df)
    p <- G2(df);
return(p)}

p12_3 <- p_combine(trans12);p12_3

## [1] 0.9536745

p13_2 <- p_combine(trans13);p13_2

## [1] 0.3700692</pre>

## [1] 0.3700692
```

[1] 0.6917503

• p-value 在格子拆分和合并的情况下,其 p 值都大于 0.05, 所以在 95% 的置信水平下,可以认为 gender and status are independent.

0.2 - 2.6

• (1) 在超过 35 岁的女性人群中,有 0.001304 吸烟的同时死肺癌,有 0.000121 不吸烟的同时死于肺癌,造成这接近 10 倍的差异的原因应该是"是否吸烟"

```
smo_lung<- matrix(data=c(0.001304,1-0.001304,0.000121,1-0.000121), nrow = 2, ncol = 2, byr
oddsratio(smo_lung)</pre>
```

```
## oddsratio
## 1 10.78963
```

```
p <- G2(smo_lung);p</pre>
```

[1] 0.9994262

• (2) the estimated odds of smoking equal 1.79 times the estimated odds of nonsmoking. the reason is the value is too small compared with 1.

$0.3 \quad 2.9$

- 对于 (0 < s < 20) 有 $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \times \frac{1-\pi_0}{1-\pi_1} = 11.7$
- 对于 (s >= 20) 有 $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_0} \times \frac{1-\pi_0}{1-\pi_2} = 26.1$
- 因此对于 (0 < s < 20) 和 (s >= 20) 有 $\theta_3 = \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \times \frac{1-\pi_1}{1-\pi_2} = \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_0} \times \frac{1-\pi_0}{1-\pi_2} / \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \times \frac{1-\pi_0}{1-\pi_1} = \theta_2/\theta_1 = 26.1/11.7 = 2.2$

$0.4 \quad 2.12$

```
column <- c('yes','no')</pre>
row <- c('aspirin','placebo')</pre>
aspirin_heart<- matrix(data=c(198,193,19934-198,19942-193), nrow = 2, ncol = 2, byrow = F,
##
           yes
## aspirin 198 19736
## placebo 193 19749
oddsratio(aspirin_heart)
##
     oddsratio
## 1
     1.026582
lodd <- log(oddsratio(aspirin_heart))</pre>
se <- sqrt(1/198+1/19736+1/193+1/19749)
ci <- c(exp(lodd+1.96*se),exp(lodd-1.96*se));ci</pre>
## $oddsratio
## [1] 1.252916
##
## $oddsratio
## [1] 0.8411352
```

- a 数据如上
- 从 oddsratio 可以看出 aspirin 导致心脏疾病的 odds(导致与不导致的比率) 是 placebo 的 1.0265 倍
- 95% 的置信区间为 [0.841,1.253]

$0.5 \quad 2.13$

##

oddsratio

1 1.146595

```
data("afterlife");afterlife1
##
             Belief
## Gender
              Yes No or Undecided
     Females 509
##
                               116
     Males
              398
                               104
##
addmargins(afterlife1)
##
             Belief
## Gender
               Yes No or Undecided Sum
     Females
               509
                                116
                                     625
     Males
               398
                                104 502
##
     Sum
               907
                                220 1127
se \leftarrow sqrt(((509/625)*(1-509/625)/625)+((398/502)*(1-398/502)/502))
di \leftarrow (509/625) - (398/502); di
## [1] 0.02157131
ci \leftarrow c(di-qnorm(0.95)*se,di+qnorm(0.95)*se);ci
## [1] -0.01766588 0.06080851
oddsratio(afterlife1)
```

```
lodd <- log(oddsratio(afterlife1))
se <- sqrt(1/509+1/116+1/398+1/104)
ci <- c(exp(lodd+qnorm(0.95)*se),exp(lodd-qnorm(0.95)*se));ci

## $oddsratio
## [1] 1.469161
##
## $oddsratio
## [1] 0.8948511</pre>
p <- G2(afterlife1);p
```

[1] 0.6628491

- 90% confidence interval is [-0.226,0.269], 表明相信有来世的人群中, 男性的比率比女性大的 90% 的置信区间是 [-0.226,0.269]
- 90% confidence interval is [0.894,1.469], 表明在男性中,相信有来世与无来世的比率比女性中的之比的 90% 的置信区间为 [0.894,1.469]
- 根据 G2 检验的结果可以知道其 p-value 为 0.6628, 在 95% 的置信水平下可以认为其是独立的,即是否相信来世与性别无关

$0.6 \quad 2.16$

- response variable is lung cancer, explanatory variable is have smoked
- case control study, a study that investigated the relationship between smoking and lung cancer
- we can use it to compare smokers with nonsmokers, because it uses cross-sectional design.

```
column <- c('cases','control')
row <- c('yes','no')
data216<- matrix(data=c(688,21,650,59), nrow = 2, ncol = 2, byrow = F,dimnames = list(row,
## cases control</pre>
```

yes 688 650 ## no 21 59

```
oddsratio(data216)
##
     oddsratio
## 1 2.973773
p <- G2(data216);p</pre>
## [1] 4.825515e-05
  • p 值足够小,可以在 95% 的置信水平下认为 smoke 和 lung cancer 有相关关系
0.7
      2.27
column <- c('high', 'h_gra', 'college', 'c_gra')</pre>
row <- c('low', 'middle', 'high')</pre>
data227 \leftarrow matrix(data=c(9,44,13,10,11,52,23,22,9,41,12,27), nrow = 3, ncol = 4, byrow = T,
##
          high h_gra college c_gra
## low
             9
                   44
                           13
                                 10
## middle
            11
                   52
                           23
                                 22
## high
             9
                   41
                           12
                                 27
independent_test_of_table(data227, "X2")
## $method
## [1] "X2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 8.870942
##
## $df
## [1] 6
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.1809674
```

```
independent_test_of_table(data227, "G2")
## $method
## [1] "G2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 8.916528
##
## $df
## [1] 6
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.1783272
x2_result <- chisq.test(data227)</pre>
x2_result$stdres
##
                high
                          h gra
                                   college
                                                c_gra
## low
           0.4061328 1.5828205 -0.1286367 -2.1078423
## middle -0.1898118 -0.5440627 1.3041565 -0.4031584
## high
         -0.1903291 -0.9459053 -1.2374420 2.4360173
independent_test_of_table(data227, "M2", u=c(5,15,25), v = c(1,2,3,4))
## $method
## [1] "M2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 4.748927
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.02931658
  • 根据 x2 和 G2 的结果, 其 p 值都大于 0.05, 则在 95% 的显著水平我们可以认为 aspiration
```

• 根据 x2 和 G2 的结果, 其 p 值都大于 0.05, 则在 95% 的显著水平我们可以认为 aspiration 与 family income 是相互独立的,缺陷在于,这里面没有考虑 aspiration 的序数关系,他们是有序的

- 从 standardized residuals 可以看出,其中有两个绝对值超过 2, 故可以认为应该是不独立的,需要进行相关分析
- 使用 M2 方法来进行假设检验,并对序数进行设置,工资中认为 low-income 为 5, middle 为 15, high 为 25, 学历方面 high school 为 1, high school graduate 是 2, some college 是 3, college graduate 是 4, 检验结果中 p 值为 0.029, 在 95% 的置信水平下可以拒绝原假设,即可以认为 aspiration 和 family income 存在相关关系。

$0.8 \quad 2.29$

```
column <- c('yes','no')</pre>
row <- c('prednisolone','control')</pre>
d29 \leftarrow matrix(data=c(7,0,8,15), nrow = 2, ncol = 2, byrow = F,dimnames = list(row,column))
##
                 yes no
## prednisolone
                   7 8
## control
                   0 15
fisher.test(d29, alternative = "g")
##
    Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data
##
## data:
          d29
## p-value = 0.003161
## alternative hypothesis: true odds ratio is greater than 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
   2.645931
##
                   Inf
## sample estimates:
## odds ratio
##
          Inf
```

• 使用 Fisher's exact test,p 值为 0.0031, 所以在 95% 的置信水平下,可以认为 oddsratio 大于 1, 即尼龙松治疗是有效果的

$0.9 \quad 2.33$

```
data233=c(19,11,0,6,151-19,63-11,9,103-6)
dim(data233) \leftarrow c(2,2,2)
firstdim <- c('white', 'black')</pre>
secdim <- c('white','black')</pre>
thidim <- c('yes', 'no')</pre>
dimnames(data233) <- list(firstdim, secdim, thidim)</pre>
#first question
ftable(data233)
##
                yes no
##
## white white
                 19 132
         black 0
                      9
## black white
                 11 52
##
       black 6 97
#add 0.5
data <- data233 + 0.5
ftable(data)
##
                  yes
                         no
##
## white white 19.5 132.5
##
         black 0.5 9.5
## black white 11.5 52.5
##
         black
                  6.5 97.5
# victim is white
data[,1,]
##
          yes
                 no
## white 19.5 132.5
## black 11.5 52.5
independent_test_of_table(data[,1,],'X2')
```

\$method

```
## [1] "X2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 0.5949342
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.4405174
independent_test_of_table(data[,1,],'G2')
## $method
## [1] "G2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 0.934627
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.3336635
# victim is black
data[,2,]
##
         yes
              no
## white 0.5 9.5
## black 6.5 97.5
independent_test_of_table(data[,2,],'X2')
## $method
## [1] "X2"
##
```

```
## $statistic
## [1] 3.099661e-31
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 1
independent_test_of_table(data[,2,],'G2')
## $method
## [1] "G2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 0.02616369
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.8715012
#defendant race and penalty
margin.table(data, c(2, 3))
##
         yes no
## white 31 185
## black
         7 107
independent_test_of_table(margin.table(data, c(2, 3)),'X2')
## $method
## [1] "X2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 4.164978
```

```
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.04126795
```

independent_test_of_table(margin.table(data, c(2, 3)), 'G2')

```
## $method
## [1] "G2"
##
## $statistic
## [1] 5.41384
##
## $df
## [1] 1
##
## $p.value
## [1] 0.01997773
```

- 结果如上
- 从 partial data 来看,在 95% 的置信水平上,可以认为,当受害者为白人时,是否判死刑与被告人的人种相互独立;当受害者为黑人时,是否判死刑与被告人的人种相互独立;从 margin data 来看,在 95% 的置信水平上,可以认为,被告人的种族与被告人是否判死刑有关的
- 从 2 的结果来看, Simpson's paradox 成立,partial data 的结果与 marginal data 的结果不一致