


Design Patterns

The Gang of Four authors observed some commonly occurring problems in object-oriented software design and came up with some **descriptive solutions** to those **recurring problems**. These solutions to repeating problems in software design are known as Design Patterns. Each design pattern is a template or a description that provides a way to structure the classes and objects in object-oriented software.

These design patterns were a result of well-tested and proven object-oriented design techniques that can be used to solve a particular design problem. As a result, the design patterns are considered a standard in object-oriented software design i.e., if you want to build an object-oriented software using best practices, then you should follow the Software Design Patterns.



Creational Patterns :- The traditional method of object creation can lead to the instantiation of objects in an uncontrolled fashion. It can scatter objects all over the application which can increase the overall application design complexity. This can make an object-oriented application unstable and can lead to design problems. Creational Design Patterns reduce this design instability and complexity by providing different ways to implement controlled object creation in an object-oriented application.

Structural Patterns :- Structural Design Patterns provide different ways to organize the classes and objects in an object-oriented application such that it leads to simplification of the design of large and complex structures. It describes the process using which we can combine classes and objects to form an organized, flexible, and connected structure.

Behavioral Patterns :- Behavioral design patterns deal with the interaction of objects with each other. These patterns follow the fact that the objects in an object-oriented application should be interconnected in such a way that hard coding can be avoided and the user input can be well handled. These design patterns make use of loose coupling techniques to ensure a flexible and effective flow of information.

① Singleton Design Pattern

Applications →

Singleton pattern might be useful if the three conditions are met. However, these might not be exhaustive with complex use cases and applications.

- You need to **control concurrent access** to a shared resource.
- Only one instance of the **object is sufficient throughout the context of the application**.
- **More than one independent parts** of the application require access to the resource.

There are many objects we only need one of: thread pools, caches, dialog boxes, objects that handle preferences and registry settings, objects used for logging, and objects that act as device drivers to devices like printers and graphics cards. In fact, for many of these types of objects, if we were to instantiate more than one we'd run into all sorts of problems like incorrect program behavior, overuse of resources, or inconsistent results.

Singleton


Class → Object Creation ^{controlled} → single instance/object

example

→ database connection


→ logging systems {Devops}

→ device drivers
eg printer



Example :-

Consider we want to create a **LoggerService** class which we can use across our project to log. Almost all the classes will use the **LoggerService** to log info, warn, error, etc. If every class creates a new **LoggerService** instance, it will occupy more memory, leading to an out-of-memory error. Singleton pattern, in this case, ensures that only one instance of this class is created and accessible globally throughout the project context.



Structure →

Singleton

Singleton is a class with only one instance, and it is globally accessible by other classes across our application. E.g., **LoggerService**.

How to make a class Singleton?

- It should have a **private constructor** so that no instance of it can be created by other classes.
- It should have a **public static** method so that it can be called (**SingletonClass.getInstance()**) to get its singleton instance

Client

The client interacts with the singleton class to get its shared instance and use it to call its methods. E.g., the **log()** method of the **LoggerService** singleton is used for logging messages.

Implementation

1. Create the singleton class **LoggerService** with a private constructor and a public static method to get the instance.
2. Add a public **log()** method to the **LoggerService** class used to log messages.
3. Create the client class **Client** that uses the **LoggerService** singleton instance to log messages.

Approaches

① Static Initialization

② Lazy Initialization

③ synchronized method

④ Synchronized block
{double locking}

⑤ using Enum

```
class LoggerService {  
    private static LoggerService instance = new  
    LoggerService();  
  
    private LoggerService() {  
    }  
  
    public static LoggerService getInstance() {  
        return instance;  
    }  
  
    public void log(String str) {  
        System.out.println(str);  
    }  
}  
  
class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        LoggerService log1 = LoggerService.getInstance();  
        log1.log(str: "CPU utilization over 50%");  
  
        LoggerService log2 = LoggerService.getInstance();  
        log2.log(str: "RAM under 25% left");  
  
        System.out.println(log1 == log2); // true  
    }  
}
```

Static Initialization

↳ class loading

↳ Resource Utilization ↑

Approach ①
using static initializer

```
// Solution 2: Lazy Propagation
class LoggerService {
    private static LoggerService instance;

    private LoggerService() {

    }

    public static LoggerService getInstance() {
        if (instance == null) {
            instance = new LoggerService();
        }

        return instance;
    }

    public void log(String str) {
        System.out.println(str);
    }
}

class Client {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        LoggerService log1 = LoggerService.getInstance();
        log1.log(str: "CPU utilization over 50%");

        LoggerService log2 = LoggerService.getInstance();
        log2.log(str: "RAM under 25% left");

        System.out.println(log1 == log2); // true
    }
}
```

Approach ②: → Lazy Initialization

Object creation only on
getInstance

Resource utilization ↓

Synchronization
problem
↳ multithreading

```
class CustomThread extends Thread {  
    @Override  
    public void run() {  
        LoggerService log = LoggerService.getInstance();  
        log.log(str: "New Thread Log Created");  
    }  
  
}  
  
class Client {  
    public static void multithreaded() {  
        CustomThread t1 = new CustomThread();  
        t1.start();  
  
        CustomThread t2 = new CustomThread();  
        t2.start();  
    }  
}
```

java -cp .:logger-service.jar Main

New Thread Log Created
New Thread Log Created
LoggerService@56ed6baf
LoggerService@1a752056

← singleton class
(unique object)

```
// Solution 3: Synchronized Method
class LoggerService {
    private static LoggerService instance;

    private LoggerService() {
    }

    public synchronized static LoggerService getInstance() {
        if (instance == null) {
            instance = new LoggerService();
        }
        return instance;
    }

    public void log(String str) {
        System.out.println(str);
        System.out.println(this);
    }
}
```

Synchronized Method Utilization ↴

New Thread Log Created
New Thread Log Created
LoggerService@56ed6baf
LoggerService@56ed6baf

→ Single instance

```
// Solution 4: Double Locking: Synchronized Block
class LoggerService {
    private static LoggerService instance;

    private LoggerService() {
    }

    public static LoggerService getInstance() {
        if (instance == null) {
            synchronized (LoggerService.class) {
                if (instance == null) {
                    instance = new LoggerService();
                }
            }
        }
        return instance;
    }

    public void log(String str) {
        System.out.println(str);
        System.out.println(this);
    }
}
```

Double locking mechanism →
Synchronized block
critical section ↓
output fast.

// Solution: Using Enum

```
enum LoggerServiceEnum {  
    INSTANCE;  
  
    public void log(String str) {  
        System.out.println(str);  
    }  
  
    class Client {  
        Run | Debug  
        public static void main(String[] args) {  
            LoggerServiceEnum logger = LoggerServiceEnum.INSTANCE;  
            logger.log(str: "CPU Utilization Over 75%");  
            logger.log(str: "RAM Under 25%");  
        }  
    }  
}
```

~~equivalent~~

```
public final class LoggerEnum {  
    public final static LoggerEnum LOGGER = new LoggerEnum();  
    private LoggerEnum() {}  
}
```

- ① Lazy Initialization
- ② multithreading safe
synchronized
- ③ short implementation

Pros and Cons of Singleton Design Pattern

Pros

- Singleton design pattern guarantees that only one instance of the class will be available **throughout the application context**. This ensures that you do not **waste memory** for a new object instance when you don't need one.
- Singleton design pattern might be handy when dealing with concurrent access to resources. Singletons can also provide **thread safety**.
- Singleton design pattern eliminates the unnecessary **instantiation overhead**, i.e., the extra memory required to create an object.

Cons

→ Scope & lifetime → static

- Singleton design pattern introduces a **global state** in the application. This makes **unit testing** difficult. The global state does not align well with unit testing because it increases coupling, and control over more than one unit is needed while writing the unit tests.
- Due to the resource being locked in a parallel processing environment, **multi-threading** in some cases can not be used to its full potential with singelton design pattern.
- Singleton design pattern solves less than it causes. This means this pattern has minimal practical use cases and, if used otherwise, can generate more problems. Some of these are also direct violations of other design patterns. (e.g., **Single Responsibility**)

② Adapter Design Pattern


What?

The **Adapter Design Pattern** is a structural design pattern and is also known as the **Wrapper Design Pattern**. This design pattern acts as a bridge between two different interfaces. It can convert the interface of a class, to make it compatible with a client who is expecting a different interface, without changing the source code of the class.

Examples:

- card reader for Computer & Memory Card
- charging adapter { micro-USB to USB-Type C }
- Convert JPEG to PNG / JPEG
- Convert XML to JSON.

Problem



Producer List of Employees

```
package Adapter;

import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

public class EmployeeDetails {
    public List<String> GetEmployees() {
        List<String> emps = new ArrayList<>();

        emps.add(e: "1-ABC-SDE1-9999786543");
        emps.add(e: "2-DEF-SDE2-9876523456");
        emps.add(e: "3-GHI-TL-9321499876");
        emps.add(e: "4-JKL-Architect-9985432121");
        emps.add(e: "5-MNO-HR-9211345667");


        return emps;
    }
}
```

Consumer List of PhoneNos

```
// Data consumer
public class Intranet {
    List<String> source;

    public Intranet(List<String> source) {
        this.source = source;
    }

    public void printPhoneNumbers() {
        List<String> phones = this.source;
        System.out.println(phones);
    }
}
```



```
public class EmptoPhoneNoAdapter {  
    List<String> employees;  
  
    public EmptoPhoneNoAdapter(List<String> employees) {  
        this.employees = employees;  
    }  
  
    public List<String> convert() {  
        List<String> phones = new ArrayList<>();  
  
        for (String emp : employees) {  
            String[] data = emp.split(regex: "-");  
            phones.add(data[3]);  
        }  
  
        return phones;  
    }  
}
```

```
package Adapter;  
  
import java.util.*;  
  
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        EmployeeDetails producer = new EmployeeDetails();  
        List<String> employees = producer.GetEmployees();  
        ↓  
        EmptoPhoneNoAdapter adapter = new EmptoPhoneNoAdapter(employees);  
        ↓  
        List<String> phones = adapter.convert();  
        ↓  
        Intranet consumer = new Intranet(phones);  
        consumer.printPhoneNumbers();  
    }  
}
```

```
[9999786543, 9876523456, 9321499876, 9985432121, 9211345667]
```

Solution violating Dependency Inversion Principle (Din SOLn)

```
package Adapter;  
  
import java.util.*;  
  
public interface IPhoneList {  
    public List<String> getPhoneList();  
}
```

Student PhoneNo Adapter

```
package Adapter;  
  
import java.util.*;  
  
public class EmptoPhoneNoAdapter implements IPhoneList {  
    List<String> employees;  
  
    public EmptoPhoneNoAdapter(List<String> employees) {  
        this.employees = employees;  
    }  
    @Override  
    public List<String> getPhoneList() {  
        List<String> phones = new ArrayList<>();  
  
        for (String emp : employees) {  
            String[] data = emp.split(regex: "-");  
            phones.add(data[3]);  
        }  
  
        return phones;  
    }  
}
```

It is loosely coupled with producer

```
package Adapter;  
  
import java.util.List;  
  
// Data consumer  
public class Intranet {  
    IPhoneList source;  
  
    public Intranet(IPhoneList source) {  
        this.source = source;  
    }  
  
    public void printPhoneNumbers() {  
        List<String> phones = this.source.getPhoneList();  
        System.out.println(phones);  
    }  
}
```

```
package Adapter;

import java.util.*;


public class Client {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        EmployeeDetails producer = new EmployeeDetails();
        List<String> employees = producer.GetEmployees();

        EmptoPhoneNoAdapter adapter = new EmptoPhoneNoAdapter
            (employees);

        Intranet consumer = new Intranet(adapter);
        consumer.printPhoneNumbers();
    }
}
```

Example :→


British Wall Outlet



AC Power Adapter



US Standard AC Plug




The British wall outlet exposes
one interface for getting power.




The adapter converts one
interface into another.



The US laptop expects
another interface.




Their interface doesn't match the one you've written your code against. This isn't going to work!



The adapter implements the interface your classes expect...
...and talks to the vendor interface to service your requests.

Approach :-



No code changes.
New code.
No code changes.

Example Problem :→

How Does the Adapter Design Pattern Work?

We have an **ImageViewer** interface and a concrete class named **GalleryApp** which is implementing the **ImageViewer** interface. **GalleryApp** can show jpeg files by default.

Let us say we have another interface named **AdvancedImageViewer** and concrete classes implementing this interface. These classes can show png and jpg files by default.

Now we want our **GalleryApp** class to be able to show png and jpg files without changing the source code of the **ImageViewer** interface.

So here we will use an adapter design pattern to solve this problem.


Implementation of Adapter Design Pattern

So we can use an Adapter design pattern to achieve this. We will create a **ImageAdapter** which implements **ImageViewer** and uses a **AdvancedImageViewer** object to show the desired image format.

Our Gallery application will use the **ImageAdapter** and simply pass the desired image format without worrying about which class can show what image format.


Implementation ↗

Class Diagram




Note: → Types of Adapters

Class Adapter




Object Adapter



not preferred
It will not support multiple inheritance

Preferred
we can implement as many interfaces as we want!

Example Solution



Java Example


Enumerators

If you've been around Java for a while, you probably remember that the early collection types (Vector, Stack, Hashtable, and a few others) implement a method, `elements()`, which returns an Enumeration. The Enumeration interface allows you to step through the elements of a collection without knowing the specifics of how they are managed in the collection.

↓
adapt
↓

Iterators

The more recent Collection classes use an Iterator interface that, like the Enumeration interface, allows you to iterate through a set of items in a collection, and adds the ability to remove items.



Pros and Cons of Adapter Design Pattern

Pros


- Single Responsibility*
1. **Separation of Concern:** We can separate the interface or data conversion code from the main business logic part of the code.
 2. **Independence of Code:** We can implement and use the various adapters without breaking the existing client or main code.

*(choose coupling → producer & consumer
are loosely coupled)*


Cons

1. We have to write a lot of code and it can decrease the efficiency. Sometimes it will be simpler to just change the code of a particular interface.

③ Chain of Responsibility Design Pattern




The request must pass a series of checks before the ordering system itself can handle it.




The bigger the code grew, the messier it became.

LinkedList
List;



Gender
→ male
→ Female
→ Other

Chain of
Responsibility



```


package ChainOfResponsibility;

public class Client {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Request r1 = new Request(state: "Beard");
        Request r2 = new Request(state: "Manicure");
        Request r3 = new Request(state: "Haircut");
        Request r4 = new Request(state: "");

        Handler h3 = new OtherHandler(next: null);
        Handler h2 = new FemaleHandler(h3);
        Handler h1 = new MaleHandler(h2);

        h1.handle(r1); // Male: h1
        h1.handle(r2); // Female: h2
        h1.handle(r3); // Other: h3
        h1.handle(r4); // Invalid: h3
    }
}

```



```
public abstract class Handler {  
    Handler next;  
  
    public Handler() {  
        this.next = null;  
    }  
  
    public Handler(Handler next) {  
        this.next = next;  
    }  
  
    public abstract void handle(Request request);  
}
```


```
public class MaleHandler extends Handler {  
    public MaleHandler(Handler next) {  
        super(next);  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public void handle(Request request) {  
        if (request.state.equals(anObject: "Beard") == true) {  
            System.out.println(x: "Male Barber cuts beard");  
        } else if (request.state.equals(anObject: "Moustache") ==  
true) {  
            System.out.println(x: "Male Barber cuts moustache");  
        } else {  
            this.next.handle(request);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

What? Solution!

Like many other behavioral design patterns, the **Chain of Responsibility** relies on transforming particular behaviors into stand-alone objects called *handlers*. In our case, each check should be extracted to its own class with a single method that performs the check. The request, along with its data, is passed to this method as an argument.

The pattern suggests that you link these handlers into a chain. Each linked handler has a field for storing a reference to the next handler in the chain. In addition to processing a request, handlers pass the request further along the chain. The request travels along the chain until all handlers have had a chance to process it.

In our example with ordering systems, a handler performs the processing and then decides whether to pass the request further down the chain. Assuming the request contains the right data, all the handlers can execute their primary behavior, whether it's authentication checks or caching.



Handlers are lined up one by one, forming a chain.

Structure


1

The **Handler** declares the interface, common for all concrete handlers. It usually contains just a single method for handling requests, but sometimes it may also have another method for setting the next handler on the chain.

2

The **Base Handler** is an optional class where you can put the boilerplate code that's common to all handler classes.

Usually, this class defines a field for storing a reference to the next handler. The clients can build a chain by passing a handler to the constructor or setter of the previous handler. The class may also implement the default handling behavior: it can pass execution to the next handler after checking for its existence.



4

The **Client** may compose chains just once or compose them dynamically, depending on the application's logic. Note that a request can be sent to any handler in the chain—it doesn't have to be the first one.

3


Concrete Handlers contain the actual code for processing requests. Upon receiving a request, each handler must decide whether to process it and, additionally, whether to pass it along the chain.

Handlers are usually self-contained and immutable, accepting all necessary data just once via the constructor.


How to use the Chain of Responsibility Pattern

With the Chain of Responsibility Pattern, you create a chain of objects to examine requests. Each object in turn examines a request and either handles it or passes it on to the next object in the chain.

Each object in the chain acts as a handler and has a successor object. If it can handle the request, it does; otherwise, it forwards the request to its successor.



As email is received, it is passed to the first handler: SpamHandler. If the SpamHandler can't handle the request, it is passed on to the FanHandler. And so on...





Chain of Responsibility Benefits

- Decouples the sender of the request and its receivers.
- Simplifies your object because it doesn't have to know the chain's structure and keep direct references to its members.
- Allows you to add or remove responsibilities dynamically by changing the members or order of the chain.

Chain of Responsibility Uses and Drawbacks


- Commonly used in Windows systems to handle events like mouse clicks and keyboard events.
- Execution of the request isn't guaranteed; it may fall off the end of the chain if no object handles it (this can be an advantage or a disadvantage).
- Can be hard to observe and debug at runtime.

④ Strategy Design Pattern




Solution

punchInterface



```
class Fighter{  
    punchInterface pMove;  
    signatureInterface sigMove;  
    moveInterface move;
```

signatureInterface



```
Fighter Obj = new Fighter(  
    new chokeSlam(),  
    new Tombstone(),  
    new Walk()
```

);

moveInterface

```
public class Fighter {
    private IMove move;
    private IPunch punch;
    private ISignature signature;

    public IMove getMove() {
        return move;
    }

    public void setMove(IMove move) {
        this.move = move;
    }

    public IPunch getPunch() {
        return punch;
    }

    public void setPunch(IPunch punch) {
        this.punch = punch;
    }

    public ISignature getSignature() {
        return signature;
    }

    public void setSignature(ISignature signature) {
        this.signature = signature;
    }

    public void move() {
        move.move();
    }

    public void punch() {
        punch.punch();
    }

    public void signature() {
        signature.signatureMove();
    }
}
```

```
package Strategy;


import Strategy.Move.Walk;
import Strategy.Punch.ChokeSlam;
import Strategy.Signature.Spear;
import Strategy.Signature.Tombstone;

public class Client {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Fighter undertaker = new Fighter();
        undertaker.setMove(new Walk());
        undertaker.setPunch(new ChokeSlam());
        undertaker.setSignature(new Tombstone());

        undertaker.punch();
        undertaker.move();
        undertaker.signature();

        Fighter kane = new Fighter();
        kane.setMove(new Walk());
        kane.setPunch(new ChokeSlam());
        kane.setSignature(new Spear());

        kane.punch();
        kane.move();
        kane.signature();
    }
}
```



Pros and Cons of Strategy Design pattern

Pros:

1. We can avoid using conditionals like switch if-else statements to decide the behaviour of entity classes at run time
2. The main behavioural algorithms are abstracted out from the main context entities These advantages make our code **easy to maintain and extensible**

Cons:

1. Since we have abstracted out the behaviour of context entities from their classes, the onus is on the client to understand various strategies to implement the behaviours, and pass it accordingly
2. We will end up creating more objects in our application, demanding more memory footprint

} Complexity↑

⑤

Observer Design Pattern

or Publisher - Subscriber Model

Subject observers

one → many


Overview

Observer design pattern falls under the category of **behavioral design patterns**. The Observer Pattern maintains a **one-to-many** relationship among objects, ensuring that when the state of one object is changed, all of its dependent objects are **simultaneously informed** and updated. This design pattern is also referred to as **Dependents**.

A subject and observer(many) exist in a **one-to-many** relationship. Here the observers do not have any access to data, so they are dependent on the subject to feed them with information.

When Will We Need Observer Design Pattern?

One could implement the observer design patterns when designing a system where several objects are interested in any possible modification to a specific object. In other words, the observer design pattern is employed when there is a **one-to-many relationship** between objects, such as when one object is updated, its dependent objects must be automatically notified.



① Subject has a list of observers

② Observer has a Subject

Javascript
button click
↳ next screen
↳ form open
↳ sound
↳ camera

```
public abstract class Subject {  
    private List<Observer> observers; ① data  
memif  
    public Subject() {  
        ② constructor  
        observers = new ArrayList<>();  
    }  
  
    ③ Concrete  
methods  
    public void addObserver(Observer observer) {  
        observers.add(observer);  
    }  
  
    public void removeObserver(Observer observer) {  
        observers.remove(observer);  
    }  
  
    public void notifyObservers() {  
        for (Observer observer : observers) {  
            observer.update(this);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```
public interface Observer {  
    public abstract void update(Subject s);  
}
```

One Subject : many Observers

data.add(6mars, 0wicket);

button.addActionListener('click', next
'double-click', close
'hover', zoom)

Hashmap < String, List<Observer> >
random sequence

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
    CricketData data = new CricketData();
    data.setBalls(balls: 1);
    // no observers

    ScoreCardDisplay score = new ScoreCardDisplay();
    NetRunRateDisplay runrate = new NetRunRateDisplay();
    PlayerScoreDisplay player = new PlayerScoreDisplay();

    // register
    data.addObserver(score);
    data.addObserver(runrate);
    data.addObserver(player);

    data.setBalls(balls: 2);
    data.setRuns(runs: 4);

    data.setBalls(balls: 3);
    data.setWickets(wickets: 1);

    // unregister
    data.removeObserver(player);

    data.setBalls(balls: 3);
    data.setRuns(runs: 2);
}
```


```
Score Card Display: --
4 / 0
2
Net Run Rate Display: --
12
Player Score Display: --
4 / 0
Score Card Display: --
4 / 1
3
Net Run Rate Display: --
6
Player Score Display: --
4 / 1
Score Card Display: --
2 / 1
3
Net Run Rate Display: --
0
```

Examples

Real-World Example: If a bus gets delayed, then all the passengers who were supposed to travel in it get notified about the delay, to minimize inconvenience. Here, the bus agency is the subject and all the passengers are the observers. All the passengers are dependent on the agency to provide them with information about any changes regarding their travel journey. Hence, there is a one-to-many relationship between the travel agency and the passengers.

Other Examples of observer design patterns:


- **Social Media Platforms** for example, many users can follow a particular person(subject) on a social media platform. All the followers will be updated if the subject updates his/her profile. The users can follow and unfollow the subject anytime they want.
- **Newsletters or magazine** subscription
- Journalists providing news to the media
- **E-commerce websites** notify customers of the availability of specific products



Structure

How does Observer Design Patterns work?

Structure



Implementation

1. Declare an **Observer** interface with an **update** method at the least.
2. Declare the **subject** interface and a couple of methods for **attaching** and **detaching** observer objects from the list of observers. (Remember that publishers must only interact with subscribers through the subscriber interface.)
3. Create a **concrete subject class** if needed and add the subscribers' list to this class. Since this concrete class extends the **Subject interface**, it **inherits** all its properties including adding and removing observers. Every time something significant happens inside the subject class, it must inform to all the observers about it.
4. In **concrete observer** classes, add the update notification methods. Some observers might want basic background information about the occurrence. The notification method accepts it as an input.

Pros

1. This design pattern allows information or data transfer to multiple objects **without any change** in the observer or subject classes.
2. It adheres to the **loose coupling concept** among objects that communicate with each other.
3. This design pattern follows the **Open/Closed Principle**, which says that entities should be open for extension but closed for modification. Here the observers can easily be added or removed anytime without any change in the code.

Cons

1. The Observer pattern can **increase complexity** and potentially cause **efficiency issues** if it's not executed properly.
2. The fundamental shortcoming of this design pattern is that the subscribers/observers are updated in a **random sequence**.


⑥ State Design Pattern

Overview

State Design Patterns belongs to the category of Behavioral design pattern. It is used to allow an object to modify its behavior based on the changes in its internal state. It makes the object behave similarly to finite state machines. It makes the object flexible to alter its state without handling a lot of **if / else conditions**. State pattern ensures loose coupling between the performance of existing states versus the addition of new states.

Note: A Finite State Machine is a model of computation which is based on a hypothetical machine made of one or more states. Only one single state of this machine can be active at a particular time. It means the machine has to do the transition from one state to another in order to reflect different behaviors.

~~Example~~ Delivery / DHL / Amazon Delivery / FedEx



problem code

```
public class Product {  
    int state;  
  
    public int getState() {  
        return state;  
    }  
  
    public void setState(int state) {  
        this.state = state;  
    }  
  
    public void cancel() {  
        if (this.state < 5) {  
            // Packaging, Transit, Out for Delivery  
            System.out.println(x: "Cancellation Not Allowed");  
        } else if (this.state == 5) {  
            // Wrong Product or Damaged at Payment  
            System.out.println(x: "Replace Product");  
        } else {  
            // After payment  
            System.out.println(x: "Return & Refund Product");  
        }  
    }  
}
```

→ violates
→ Single Responsibility
→ Open/Closed

```
package State.Problem;  
  
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        Product iphone = new Product();  
        iphone.setState(state: 1); // Being  
        Packaged  
        iphone.cancel(); // Cancellation Not  
        Allowed  
  
        iphone.setState(state: 4); // Out For  
        Delivery  
        iphone.setState(state: 5); // Payment  
        Pending  
        iphone.cancel(); // Replace Allowed  
  
        iphone.setState(state: 6); // Payment  
        Done & Recieved  
        iphone.cancel(); // Return & Refund  
    }  
}
```

Solution State

```
public class Product {  
    ProductState state; → dependency-inversion  
  
    public void cancel() {  
        state.cancel();  
    }  
  
    public void setState(ProductState state) {  
        this.state = state;  
    }  
}
```

↓ has a (composes)
↳ depends

```
You, 2 minutes ago | Author (You)  
public interface ProductState {  
    public void cancel();  
}
```

```
You, 2 minutes ago | Author (You)  
public class BeforeDeliveryState implements ProductState {  
  
    @Override  
    public void cancel() {  
        System.out.println(x: "Cancellation Not Allowed");  
    }  
}
```

```
You, 1 second ago | Author (You)  
public class OnDoorState implements ProductState {  
  
    @Override  
    public void cancel() {  
        System.out.println(x: "Replace Item");  
    }  
}
```

```
You, 3 minutes ago | Author (You)  
public class DeliveredState implements ProductState {  
  
    @Override  
    public void cancel() {  
        System.out.println(x: "Return & Refund Item");  
    }  
}
```

```
package State.Solution;

You, 6 minutes ago | 1 author (You)
public class Client {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Product iphone = new Product();
        iphone.setState(new BeforeDeliveryState()); // Being
        Packaged
        iphone.cancel(); // Cancellation Not Allowed

        iphone.setState(new OnDoorState()); // Payment Pending
        iphone.cancel(); // Replace Allowed

        iphone.setState(new DeliveredState()); // Payment Done &
        Recieved
        iphone.cancel(); // Return & Refund
    }
}
```

Pros and Cons of State Design Pattern

Pros:

1. Whenever we want to alter the behavior of an object by changing the internal state, and we do not want to handle a lot of if / else complex conditional blocks, then in that **state design pattern** can be highly advantageous.
2. Whenever we want to add new states so that new behaviors can be generated in the application and we do not want to impact the existing behaviors pertaining to the previously defined states, in that case also State design pattern is the best bet.
3. State design pattern is beneficial in implementing a **polymorphic behavior**, as the same function call is performing different tasks each time.

Cons:

1. State Design pattern requires you to write a lot of code. The addition of new states requires more code, which makes code management a little difficult.
2. When there are only a few states, then applying the state pattern just increases the unnecessary maintenance of a lot of code.


SP?

SCP

Complexity ↑
states ↑ → classes ↑

} → KISS

⑦ Decorator Design Pattern



```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    Shape square = new Square();  
  
    ShapeDecorator borderSquare = new BorderDecorator(square);  
    borderSquare.draw();  
  
    Shape rectangle = new Rectangle();  
  
    ShapeDecorator filledRectangle = new ColorDecorator  
(rectangle);  
    filledRectangle.draw();  
  
    Shape circle = new Circle();  
  
    ShapeDecorator cylinder = new Convert3DDecorator(circle);  
    cylinder.draw();  
}
```

Unfilled Unbordered 2D Square
Is Now Bordered
Unfilled Unbordered 2D Rectangle
Is Now Filled With Color
Unfilled Unbordered 2D Circle
Is Now 3D

```
public abstract class Shape {  
    public abstract void draw();  
}
```

```
public abstract class ShapeDecorator extends Shape {  
    Shape shape;  
  
    public ShapeDecorator(Shape shape) {  
        this.shape = shape;  
    }  
  
    public abstract void draw();
```

new Border Rectangle(
 new filled Rectangle/
 new rectangle());
)

Shape rectangle = new Rectangle();

ShapeDecorator filledRectangle = new ColorDecorator(rectangle);
// filledRectangle.draw();

Shape circle = new Circle();

ShapeDecorator cylinder = new Convert3DDecorator(circle);
// cylinder.draw();

// We can decorate a already decorated shape
ShapeDecorator coloredCylinder = new ColorDecorator(cylinder);
coloredCylinder.draw();

ShapeDecorator borderedFilledRect = new BorderDecorator(filledRectangle);
borderedFilledRect.draw();


When Will We Need Decorator Design Pattern?

The following are the indicators which show the requirement of the decorator design pattern:

- When one has an object that requires functionality extension. For extending **functionality**,
decorators are a versatile alternative to subclassing.
✖
- When one has to **recursively rewrap** or change the functionalities of an object according to the
requirements, dynamically without affecting other objects of the class.

A real-life example of a decorator design pattern would be a pizza, pizza base here would be the original class, and the variety of different toppings would act as the added functionalities. The customer can add toppings (**functionalities**) as per their choice and the pizza base (**original class**) will remain intact.

Structure



Pros:

1. A decorator design pattern provides a **high degree of flexibility** as an alternative to subclassing for functionality extension.
2. Instead of editing the existing code, decorators allow behaviour modification at **runtime**.
3. Problems with permutation are resolved. Wrapping an object in numerous decorators allows you to **mix multiple behaviours**.
4. The decorator design pattern adheres to the **concept that classes** should be extensible but not modifiable.
5. Decorator pattern follows the **Single responsibility principle** which states that a monolithic class with multiple tasks can be broken down into various classes, each with a **particular responsibility** or task.

→ decoration & combination

→ objects → nested
Code → changes


Open-closed principle

Cons:

1. Decorators might result in a **lot of small elements** in our design, which can be difficult to manage.
2. This design pattern is not **beginner-friendly**.
Complexity ↑
3. Debugging is quite difficult because of the **extended decorator components**.
4. The architecture may have **very high complexity**, especially due to the decorator interface.

⑧ Proxy Design Pattern

if in the same class
which is responsible
for interacting with database,
if I add responsibility of
User authentication/authencation,
Single Responsibility Principle
is violated



Client

```
WorkInterface dao2 = new AuthProxy();
(AuthProxy) dao2).password = "1234";

dao2.insert(val: 6, key: 2021);
dao2.insert(val: 5, key: 2022);
System.out.println(dao2.read(key: 2022));
```

```
public class AuthProxy implements WorkInterface {
    String password;
    WorkInterface realWork = Client.factory();

    @Override
    public void insert(int val, int key) {
        if (password.equals("1234")) {
            realWork.insert(val, key);
        }
    }

    @Override
    public int read(int key) {
        if (password.equals("1234")) {
            return realWork.read(key);
        }
        return -1;
    }

    @Override
    public void remove(int key) {
        if (password.equals("1234")) {
            realWork.remove(key);
        }
    }

    @Override
    public void update(int val, int key) {
        if (password.equals("1234")) {
            realWork.update(val, key);
        }
    }
}
```

Diagram illustrating the call flow from the Client to the DatabaseWork class:

- The Client code creates an instance of AuthProxy and sets its password to "1234".
- The Client then calls the insert, read, remove, and update methods on dao2.
- These method calls are delegated to the realWork object (an instance of DatabaseWork).
- Annotations labeled "delegas" (delegates) point from the Client code to the corresponding method implementations in the DatabaseWork class.
- The DatabaseWork class implements the WorkInterface and uses a HashMap to store data.
- The insert method adds a key-value pair to the map.
- The read method checks if the key exists in the map; if not, it prints "Data Not Existing" and returns -1; otherwise, it returns the value.
- The remove method checks if the key exists in the map; if not, it prints "Data Not Existing"; otherwise, it removes the key-value pair.
- The update method checks if the key exists in the map; if not, it prints "Data Not Existing"; otherwise, it updates the value.

```
public class CacheProxy implements WorkInterface {
    HashMap<Integer, Integer> cache = new HashMap<>();
    // key: request, value: response
    WorkInterface nextWork = new AuthProxy();

    @Override
    public void insert(int val, int key) {
        nextWork.insert(val, key);
    }

    @Override
    public int read(int key) {
        if (cache.containsKey(key) == true) {
            return cache.get(key);
        }
        return nextWork.read(key);
    }


    @Override
    public void remove(int key) {
        nextWork.remove(key);
    }

    @Override
    public void update(int val, int key) {
        nextWork.update(val, key);
    }
}
```

data copy


data inconsistency problem may arise

insert(2022, 6);
read(2022); ⑥ per-key
update(2022, 5)
read(2022); ⑥: cache



Problem

Why would you want to control access to an object? Here is an example: you have a massive object that consumes a vast amount of system resources. You need it from time to time, but not always.




Database queries can be really slow.

You could implement lazy initialization: create this object only when it's actually needed. All of the object's clients would need to execute some deferred initialization code. Unfortunately, this would probably cause a lot of code duplication.

In an ideal world, we'd want to put this code directly into our object's class, but that isn't always possible. For instance, the class may be part of a closed 3rd-party library.

😊 Solution


The Proxy pattern suggests that you create a new proxy class with the same interface as an original service object. Then you update your app so that it passes the proxy object to all of the original object's clients. Upon receiving a request from a client, the proxy creates a real service object and delegates all the work to it.



The proxy disguises itself as a database object. It can handle lazy initialization and result caching without the client or the real database object even knowing.

But what's the benefit? If you need to execute something either before or after the primary logic of the class, the proxy lets you do this without changing that class. Since the proxy implements the same interface as the original class, it can be passed to any client that expects a real service object.

🚗 Real-World Analogy



Credit cards can be used for payments just the same as cash.

A credit card is a proxy for a bank account, which is a proxy for a bundle of cash. Both implement the same interface: they can be used for making a payment. A consumer feels great because there's no need to carry loads of cash around. A shop owner is also happy since the income from a transaction gets added electronically to the shop's bank account without the risk of losing the deposit or getting robbed on the way to the bank.

Structure

4

The **Client** should work with both services and proxies via the same interface. This way you can pass a proxy into any code that expects a service object.

3

The **Proxy** class has a reference field that points to a service object. After the proxy finishes its processing (e.g., lazy initialization, logging, access control, caching, etc.), it passes the request to the service object.


Usually, proxies manage the full lifecycle of their service objects.

1

The **Service Interface** declares the interface of the Service. The proxy must follow this interface to be able to disguise itself as a service object.

2

The **Service** is a class that provides some useful business logic.



⚖️ Pros and Cons

- ✓ You can control the service object without clients knowing about it.
- ✓ You can manage the lifecycle of the service object when clients don't care about it.
- ✓ The proxy works even if the service object isn't ready or is not available.
- ✓ *Open/Closed Principle*. You can introduce new proxies without changing the service or clients.

clintside
R sever-side
decouple

- ✗ The code may become more complicated since you need to introduce a lot of new classes.
- ✗ The response from the service might get delayed.

overhead

⑨ Command Design Pattern




Invoker

giving
command

Receives

performing
command



```
public class RemoteControl {  
    Television receiver = new Television();  
  
    public void increaseButton() {  
        receiver.increaseButton();  
    }  
  
    public void decreaseButton() {  
        receiver.decreaseButton();  
    }  
  
    public void powerButton() {  
        receiver.powerButton();  
    }  
  
    public void nextButton() {  
        receiver.nextButton();  
    }  
  
    public void previousButton() {  
        receiver.previousButton();  
    }  
}
```

*tightly
coupling*

```
public class Television {  
    public void increaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Volume Increase");  
    }  
  
    public void decreaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Volume Decrease");  
    }  
  
    public void powerButton() {  
        System.out.println("Power On/Off");  
    }  
  
    public void nextButton() {  
        System.out.println("Next Channel");  
    }  
  
    public void previousButton() {  
        System.out.println("Previous Channel");  
    }  
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    RemoteControl remote = new RemoteControl();  
  
    remote.powerButton();  
    remote.increaseButton();  
    remote.nextButton();  
}
```

```
public class RemoteControl {  
    ICommand receiver; Depending Invsion  
  
    public void setReceiver(ICommand receiver) {  
        this.receiver = receiver;  
    }  
  
    public void increaseButton() {  
        receiver.increaseButton();  
    }  
  
    public void decreaseButton() {  
        receiver.decreaseButton();  
    }  
  
    public void powerButton() {  
        receiver.powerButton();  
    }  
  
    public void nextButton() {  
        receiver.nextButton();  
    }  
  
    public void previousButton() {  
        receiver.previousButton();  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        RemoteControl remote = new RemoteControl();  
        remote.setReceiver(new Television());  
        remote.powerButton();  
        remote.increaseButton();  
        remote.nextButton();  
  
        remote.setReceiver(new LED());  
        remote.powerButton();  
        remote.increaseButton();  
        remote.nextButton();  
    }  
}
```

Power On/Off
Volume Increase
Next Channel
Power On/Off
Brightness Increase
Next Color

```
public class LED implements ICommand {  
    public void increaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Brightness Increase");  
    }  
  
    public void decreaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Brightness Decrease");  
    }  
  
    public void powerButton() {  
        System.out.println("Power On/Off");  
    }  
  
    public void nextButton() {  
        System.out.println("Next Color");  
    }  
  
    public void previousButton() {  
        System.out.println("Previous Color");  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Television implements ICommand {  
    public void increaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Volume Increase");  
    }  
  
    public void decreaseButton() {  
        System.out.println("Volume Decrease");  
    }  
  
    public void powerButton() {  
        System.out.println("Power On/Off");  
    }  
  
    public void nextButton() {  
        System.out.println("Next Channel");  
    }  
  
    public void previousButton() {  
        System.out.println("Previous Channel");  
    }  
}
```

⑩ Template Method Design Pattern

eg Tax/TDS Calculation

- Annual Salary (Financial Year)
- Tax Estimation (Slab)
- Deduction Tax
- Final Tax calculation

Set of submodules → Same

Savings Acc → Different way
Current Acc → of implementation
Salary Acc →

```
public abstract class Account {  
    public double taxCalculator() {  
        double annualIncome = incomeCalculator();  
        double estimatedTax = estimatedTaxCalculator(annualIncome);  
        double taxDeduction = taxDeductionCalculator(estimatedTax);  
        return taxDeduction;  
    }  
  
    public abstract double incomeCalculator();  
  
    public abstract double estimatedTaxCalculator(double annualIncome);  
  
    public abstract double taxDeductionCalculator(double estimatedTax);  
}
```

Template method

```
public class SavingsAccount extends Account {  
    @Override  
    public double estimatedTaxCalculator(double annualIncome) {  
        return (annualIncome * 0.10);  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double incomeCalculator() {  
        double credit = 1000000;  
        return credit;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double taxDeductionCalculator(double estimatedTax) {  
        return estimatedTax * 1.00;  
    }  
}
```

```
public class CurrentAccount extends Account {  
    @Override  
    public double estimatedTaxCalculator(double annualIncome) {  
        return (annualIncome * 0.05);  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double incomeCalculator() {  
        double revenue = 1000000000.0;  
        return revenue;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double taxDeductionCalculator(double estimatedTax) {  
        return estimatedTax * 0.98;  
    }  
}
```

extends

extends

```
public class SalaryAccount extends Account {  
    @Override  
    public double estimatedTaxCalculator(double annualIncome) {  
        return (annualIncome * 0.15);  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double incomeCalculator() {  
        double salary = 100000000;  
        return salary;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public double taxDeductionCalculator(double estimatedTax) {  
        return estimatedTax * 0.90;  
    }  
}
```

Abstract (Summary)


The template method design pattern falls under the category of behavioral design pattern. It describes a technique (also known as an algorithm) that is in the form of a skeleton of functions. The child classes are responsible for implementing the specifics for this method. The parent class maintains the algorithm's basic framework as well as sequencing.

When will we need the template method design pattern?

Frameworks make extensive application of the Template Method Design Pattern. Every framework executes the immutable components of a domain's design as well as it creates **placeholders** for any essential or relevant user modification choices. As a result, the framework becomes the **centre of the world**, while the client modifications can be said as just **the fourth rock from the sun in the sky**.

Structure

Account



Pros and Cons of Template Method Design Pattern

Pros of template method design pattern-

- This behavioural design pattern is among the simplest to grasp as well as apply.

- The design pattern is generally utilized in the development of frameworks. */algorithms*

- The code becomes much cleaner since it also aims to alleviate the duplication of code. *DRY → open-closed modification*


Cons of template method design pattern-

- At times, it might be difficult to debug as well as comprehend the flow sequence in the Template method pattern design. There might be cases where the programmer might find themselves applying a function that should not be implemented or you might not implement a given abstract method at all. The programmer is responsible for documentation as well as stringent error handling.

- It might be a difficult task to maintain the template framework since any kind of modifications in the lower or higher level might conflict with the implementation.

14

Visitor Design Pattern




class
production

add functionality

⇒ open for modification { Tested, Deployment }

⇒ open for extension.

- WRONG Solution
- ① Modify or ↗
 - ② Inherit the parent class ↗ { violate Liskov Substitution ↗ UPI is not Python}
 - ③ Decouple { write code of UPI in new class } ↗ Coupling → low ↗ Cohesion ↘



UPI

IRCTC

Paytm
Bank

Splitwise

V1.1

V1.2

V1.3

V1.4

Paytm

V1.0

```
public class Paytm {  
    public void wallet() {  
        System.out.println("Wallet Service");  
    }  
  
    public void recharge() {  
        System.out.println("Recharge Mobile");  
    }  
  
    public void billPayment() {  
        System.out.println("Electricity Bill Payment");  
    }  
  
    public void accept(Visitor visitor) {  
        visitor.visit(this);  
    }  
}
```

```
public interface Visitor {  
    public void visit(Paytm app);  
}
```

// To accept
new functionality
also modifying the existing
code.

```
public class Paytm {  
    public void wallet() {  
        System.out.println("Wallet Service");  
    }  
  
    public void recharge() {  
        System.out.println("Recharge Mobile");  
    }  
  
    public void billPayment() {  
        System.out.println("Electricity Bill  
Payment");  
    }  
  
    public void accept(Visitor visitor) {  
        visitor.visit(this);  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        Paytm app = new Paytm();  
        app.wallet();  
        app.recharge();  
        app.billPayment();  
  
        Visitor upi = new UPIVisitor();  
        app.accept(upi);  
    }  
}
```

high cohesion

high coupling

implements

```
public interface Visitor {  
    public void visit(Paytm app);  
}
```

high cohesion (strongly)

```
public class UPIVisitor implements Visitor {  
    @Override  
    public void visit(Paytm app) {  
        System.out.println("Added UPI Functionality  
in Paytm");  
    }  
}
```

Overview


A visitor design pattern is a behavioral design pattern used to decouple the **logic/algorithim** from the objects on which they operate. The logic is moved to separate classes called **visitors**. Each visitor is responsible for performing a specific operation.

When Will We Need the Visitor Design Patterns?

We have a list consisting of many shapes (**square, circle, rectangle, etc.**), and we want to calculate values like **area, perimeter** of those shapes. The straightforward way is to have **area()** and **perimeter()** methods in the respective shape classes. But in this method, we are **tightly coupling the algorithm (calculate area, perimeter, etc.) from the objects (square, circle, rectangle, etc.) on which they operate.**

With the visitor design patterns, we can remove this tight coupling by separating the algorithms and the objects on which they operate. In the future, if any new algorithms are to be added to the objects, they can be added separately without touching the underlying objects. This leverages the **Open/Closed principle (i.e.) classes should be open for extension but closed for modification.**

Structure



Pros and Cons of Visitor Design Pattern

Pros

1. Adding a new operation to all the Elements can be done easily by adding a new Concrete Visitor that implements the **Visitor** interface. The Element classes are left untouched. *closed for modification*
2. Visitors can maintain the state when they visit each element and are encapsulated. The data can be retrieved through a separate public method (**get()**) provided by the visitors.


Cons

1. A new class is added for every new operation performed on the Elements.
visitor
2. All the visitor classes have to be changed for every new element introduced.


⑨2 Facade Design Pattern

mask


Adapter pattern




Proxy Pattern





Command pattern





client + abstract Microservices






Structure

1 The **Facade** provides convenient access to a particular part of the subsystem's functionality. It knows where to direct the client's request and how to operate all the moving parts.

2 An **Additional Facade** class can be created to prevent polluting a single facade with unrelated features that might make it yet another complex structure. Additional facades can be used by both clients and other facades.



```
package Facade;  
class Uploader {  
    public void upload(String s) {  
        System.out.println(x: "File uploaded");  
    }  
}  
  
class Downloader {  
    public String download() {  
        System.out.println(x: "File Downloaded");  
        return "video.ma4";  
    }  
}  
  
class Adapter {  
    public void convertor() {  
        System.out.println(x: "File Conversion");  
    }  
}  
  
public class VideoConvertorFacade {  
    public static String work(String str) {  
        Uploader o1 = new Uploader();  
        o1.upload(str);  
  
        Adapter o2 = new Adapter();  
        o2.convertor();  
  
        Downloader o3 = new Downloader();  
        return o3.download();  
    }  
}
```

Facade

mixer

```
package Facade;  
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        VideoConvertorFacade video = new VideoConvertorFacade();  
        System.out.println(video.work(str: "video.mp4"));  
    }  
}
```

```
File uploaded  
File Conversion  
File Downloaded  
video.ma4
```



How to Implement

1. Check whether it's possible to provide a simpler interface than what an existing subsystem already provides. You're on the right track if this interface makes the client code independent from many of the subsystem's classes.
2. Declare and implement this interface in a new facade class. The facade should redirect the calls from the client code to appropriate objects of the subsystem. The facade should be responsible for initializing the subsystem and managing its further life cycle unless the client code already does this.
3. To get the full benefit from the pattern, make all the client code communicate with the subsystem only via the facade. Now the client code is protected from any changes in the subsystem code. For example, when a subsystem gets upgraded to a new version, you will only need to modify the code in the facade.
4. If the facade becomes **too big**, consider extracting part of its behavior to a new, refined facade class.

Pros and Cons

- ✓ You can isolate your code from the complexity of a subsystem.
- ✗ A facade can become **a god object** coupled to all classes of an app.


Client  Microservice

(snapshots)

Memento Design Pattern

⑦③

eg1) timeseries database →



Account statement

Hashmap

eg2 Text editor $\xrightarrow{\text{cut/copy}} \text{stack} \xleftarrow{\text{undo/redo}} \text{Stack}$ Data Structure

eg3 Games

NFS mostwanted


BW#15 → checkpoint
BW#14 → checkpoint
⋮ → timestamp =
ArrayList / LinkedList

```
String company,  
engine,  
model,  
color
```

getters

* setters

Car



data + getters + ~~setters~~
we should
not modify


data along getters

AL/LL/Hm Queue
Save/add
get/read

CareTaker
Collection of
snapshots

single responsibility

```
public class Car {  
    private String color, name;  
  
    public String getColor() {  
        return color;  
    }  
  
    public void setColor(String color) {  
        this.color = color;  
    }  
  
    public String getName() {  
        return name;  
    }  
  
    public void setName(String name) {  
        this.name = name;  
    }  
}
```



```
public class CarSnapshot {  
    private String color, name;  
    LocalTime timestamp;  
  
    public LocalTime getTimestamp() {  
        return timestamp;  
    }  
  
    public String getColor() {  
        return color;  
    }  
  
    public String getName() {  
        return name;  
    }  
  
    CarSnapshot(Car car) {  
        this.color = car.getColor();  
        this.name = car.getName();  
        timestamp = LocalTime.now();  
    }  
  
    public String toString() {  
        return ("Color : " + color + " , Name : "  
        + name);  
    }  
}
```

```
// CareTaker  
public class TimeSeriesDB {  
    static HashMap<LocalTime, CarSnapshot> db;  
  
    TimeSeriesDB() {  
        db = new HashMap<>();  
    }  
  
    public void save(CarSnapshot car) {  
        db.put(car.getTimestamp(), car);  
    }  
  
    public CarSnapshot getSnapshot(LocalTime time)  
    {  
        return db.get(time);  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Client {    You, 25 seconds ago • Add
Run | Debug
public static void main(String[] args) {
    Car blacklistEasy = new Car();
    blacklistEasy.setColor(color: "red");
    blacklistEasy.setName(name: "BMW");

    Car blacklistMedium = new Car();
    blacklistMedium.setColor(color: "green");
    blacklistMedium.setName(name: "Ferrari");

    Car blacklistHard = new Car();
    blacklistHard.setColor(color: "black");
    blacklistHard.setName(name: "Mustang");

    CarSnapshot s1 = new CarSnapshot
        (blacklistEasy);
    CarSnapshot s2 = new CarSnapshot
        (blacklistMedium);
    CarSnapshot s3 = new CarSnapshot
        (blacklistHard);

    TimeSeriesDB db = new TimeSeriesDB();

    db.save(s1);
    db.save(s2);
    db.save(s3);

    LocalTime time = s2.getTimestamp();
    System.out.println(db.getSnapshot(time));
}
```

color: green
name: ferrari

⚖️ Pros and Cons


- ✓ You can produce snapshots of the object's state without violating its encapsulation.
- ✓ You can simplify the originator's code by letting the caretaker maintain the history of the originator's state.
- ✗ The app might consume lots of RAM if clients create mementos too often.
- ✗ Caretakers should track the originator's lifecycle to be able to destroy obsolete mementos.
- ✗ Most dynamic programming languages, such as PHP, Python and JavaScript, can't guarantee that the state within the memento stays untouched.


→ data redundancy
↳ snapshots
↳ heavy

⑦4


Prototype Design Pattern {Creational Design Pattern}

Games





Clonable/Prototype



clone()

@override
clone(OtherNPC)

deep copy


NPC

Structure

Basic implementation

- 3 The Client can produce a copy of any object that follows the prototype interface.

- 1 The Prototype interface declares the cloning methods. In most cases, it's a single `clone` method.



```
public class NPC implements Cloneable {  
    String height, weight, gender;  
  
    // for 1st prototype  
    NPC(String height, String weight, String  
        gender) {  
        this.height = height;  
        this.weight = weight;  
        this.gender = gender;  
    }  
  
     @Override  
    protected Object clone() throws  
        CloneNotSupportedException {  
        return super.clone();  
    }  
  
    public String toString() {  
        return (height + ", " + weight + ", " +  
            gender);  
    }  
}
```

This is faster implementation

```
public class Client {  
    Run | Debug  
    public static void main(String[] args) throws  
        Exception {  
        NPC firstNPC = new NPC(height: "100.0",  
            weight: "75.0", gender: "Male");  
  
        System.out.println(firstNPC);  
  
        NPC secondNPC = (NPC) (firstNPC.clone());  
        System.out.println(secondNPC);  
  
        NPC thirdNpc = (NPC) (firstNPC.clone());  
        System.out.println(thirdNpc);  
  
        // Address Comparison: False  
        System.out.println(firstNPC == secondNPC);  
        System.out.println(thirdNpc == secondNPC);  
    }  
}
```

Equal Data


false

⚖️ Pros and Cons

- ✓ You can clone objects without coupling to their concrete classes. (`w/o new`)
- ✓ You can get rid of repeated initialization code in favor of cloning pre-built prototypes.
- ✓ You can produce complex objects more conveniently.
- ✓ You get an alternative to inheritance when dealing with configuration presets for complex objects.


Reflect API

- ✗ Cloning complex objects that have circular references might be very tricky.




} dependency
flex
"yaml", "xml"


⑦5 Factory Method Design Pattern




example 2)



example 3)
Games






Structure

3

The **Creator** class declares the factory method that returns new product objects. It's important that the return type of this method matches the product interface.

You can declare the factory method as `abstract` to force all subclasses to implement their own versions of the method. As an alternative, the base factory method can return some default product type.

Note, despite its name, product creation is **not** the primary responsibility of the creator. Usually, the creator class already has some core business logic related to products. The factory method helps to decouple this logic from the concrete product classes. Here is an analogy: a large software development company can have a training department for programmers. However, the primary function of the company as a whole is still writing code, not producing programmers.



1

The **Product** declares the interface, which is common to all objects that can be produced by the creator and its subclasses.

2

Concrete Products are different implementations of the product interface.

4


Concrete Creators override the base factory method so it returns a different type of product.


Note that the factory method doesn't have to **create** new instances all the time. It can also return existing objects from a cache, an object pool, or another source.



Pros and Cons

- ✓ You avoid tight coupling between the creator and the concrete products.
- ✓ *Single Responsibility Principle.* You can move the product creation code into one place in the program, making the code easier to support.
- ✓ *Open/Closed Principle.* You can introduce new types of products into the program without breaking existing client code.
- ✗ The code may become more complicated since you need to introduce a lot of new subclasses to implement the pattern. The best case scenario is when you're introducing the pattern into an existing hierarchy of creator classes.





```

public abstract class DatabaseFactory {
    public abstract DatabaseConnection getDatabase();

    public abstract DataFormat getDataFormat();
}

```

```
class PDFSQL extends DatabaseFactory {  
  
    @Override  
    public DataFormat getDataFormat() {  
        return new PDF();  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public DatabaseConnection getDatabase() {  
        return new SQL();  
    }  
}  
  
class PDFMongo extends DatabaseFactory {  
  
    @Override  
    public DatabaseConnection getDatabase() {  
        return new Mongo();  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public DataFormat getDataFormat() {  
        // TODO Auto-generated method stub  
        return new PDF();  
    }  
}
```



total 3x3=9 combinations

Inheritance
is the
problem
here.

```
public class DataFormat {  
}  
  
class PDF extends DataFormat {  
}  
  
class Video extends DataFormat {  
}  
  
class Audio extends DataFormat {  
}
```

```
public class DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class SQL extends DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class Mongo extends DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class Firestore extends DatabaseConnection {  
}
```

Factory Design Pattern



```
public abstract class DatabaseFactory {  
    DatabaseConnectionFactory dbConnection;  
    DataFormatFactory dataformat;  
  
    public DatabaseFactory(DatabaseConnectionFactory dbConnection, DataFormatFactory dataformat) {  
        this.dbConnection = dbConnection;  
        this.dataformat = dataformat;  
    }  
  
    public void setupDatabase(){  
        // dbConnection.getDB();  
        // dataformat.getDataFormat();  
    }  
}
```

Composition, Dependency injection

```
public abstract class DataFormatFactory {  
}  
  
class PDFFactory extends DataFormatFactory {  
}  
  
class AudioFactory extends DataFormatFactory {  
}  
  
class VideoFactory extends DataFormatFactory {  
}
```

```
public class DataFormat {  
}  
  
class PDF extends DataFormat {  
}  
  
class Video extends DataFormat {  
}  
  
class Audio extends DataFormat {  
}
```

↗ ③
+ ↓ ③

```
public class DatabaseConnectionFactory {  
}  
  
class SQLFactory extends DatabaseConnectionFactory {  
} return SQL  
  
class MongoFactory extends DatabaseConnectionFactory {  
} return mongo  
  
class FirestoreFactory extends DatabaseConnectionFactory {  
} return firestore
```

```
public class DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class SQL extends DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class Mongo extends DatabaseConnection {  
}  
  
class Firestore extends DatabaseConnection {  
}
```

Pros and Cons

- ✓ You can be sure that the products you're getting from a factory are compatible with each other.
- ✓ You avoid tight coupling between concrete products and client code.
- ✓ *Single Responsibility Principle.* You can extract the product creation code into one place, making the code easier to support.
- ✓ *Open/Closed Principle.* You can introduce new variants of products without breaking existing client code.
- ✗ The code may become more complicated than it should be, since a lot of new interfaces and classes are introduced along with the pattern.