Contemporary Psychoanalysis, in press

On Side Effects, Destructive Processes and Negative Outcomes in Psychoanalytic Therapies – Why is it Difficult for Psychoanalysts to Acknowledge and Address Treatment Failures?

Horst Kächele (MD, PhD) and Joe Schachter (MD, PhD)

International Psychoanalytic University Berlin horst.kaechele@ipu-berlin.de

### Abstract:

Side effects, adverse treatment reactions and negative outcomes are relatively neglected topics in the vast clinical literature on psychoanalytic therapies This paper discusses numerous contributory elements and zooms in on the contribution of therapist factors. We present definitions, briefly summarize thestate of outcome research and specifically mention the high attrition rate in psychotherapy and psychoanalysis. Factors shown to contribute to negative effects include incorrect diagnoses, unfavorable external conditions, constitutional factors and modifications of the ego. We concentrate on examining the role of countertransference and other therapist factors. The paper closes with a clincial perspective that raises a question about the analyst's ethical responsibility to inform new patients about the possibility of side effects, damaging consequences and imcomplete or negative outcomes.

# Keywords:

Dropping out of treatment, negative treatment outcomes, negative therapist factors, countertransference, posotivetreatment outcomes, ethical responsibility to inform

#### Introduction

[INDENT] "I am invisible, understand, simply because people refuse to see me ... When they approach me they see only my surroundings, themselves, or figments of their imagination. - indeed, everything except me" (pp.3-4) wrote Ralph Ellison in 1952, a black man in a white United States. Black men were seen as threatening by many whites and appeared as invisible. At an even more graphic level, many German Jews, despite growing evidence, were unable to "see" the deadly intent of the Nazis and remained in Germany until it was too late. Indeed, Freud himself did not "see" the necessity to leave Vienna until his daughter, Anna, was arrested briefly.

Psychotherapeutic and psychoanalytic failure are largely invisible to many therapists who refuse to see them. Treatment failures may be seen as threatening by many therapists in that they may seem to undermine the effectiveness of their therapeutic role. Failure of psychotherapeutic and psychoanalytic treatment is a major clinical problem of substantial dimensions, which must be acknowledged so it can be dealt with by empirical research. Research efforts to date have been limited in part because of the lack of theoretical conception of how to define, classify and assess side effects and negative outcomes of treatment; we need cooperation of clinicians and researchers in this enterprise.

Side effects of an intervention (be it a drug or psychotherapy) may be positive or negative; in any case the main effects of a treatment have to be justified and patients have to be informed about the frequency of side effects. Empirical research on these phenomena is limited, partly because there is a lack of theoretical conception of how to define, classify and assess psychotherapy side effects and negative outcomes. Recently Linden (2012) proposed a model for the definition, classification and assessment of psychotherapy side effects. Not all unwanted events (UE) may be regarded as adverse treatment reactions (ATR); one would have to demostrate a causal link to identify an unwanted event as side effect:

Table 1. Definition of side effects different from treatment failure, deterioration and malpractice

Side effects	Definition		
Unwanted event (UE)	All negative events that occur parallel or in the wake of treatment		
Treatment-emergent reactions (TER)	Any UE that is caused by the treatment		
Adverse treatment reactions (ATR)	Any UE that is probably caused by correct treatment		
Malpractice reaction (MPR)	Any UE that is probably caused by incorrect or improperly applied treatment		
Treatment non-response (TNR)	Lack of improvement in spite of treatment. It is a UE; it can be or cannot be an ATR or an MPR		
Deterioration of illness (DOI)	Worsening of illness during therapy or any other time in the course of illness. It is not necessarily a UE; it can be a UE and can be or cannot be an ATR or an MPR		
Therapeutic risk (TR)	All ATRs that are known. Patients have the right to be informed about severe or frequent or impairing TR as this is the basis for giving their informed consent for treatment		
Contraindications	Conditions of the individual case, which make severe ATR highly probable. An ATR of treatment in spite of given contraindications are one form of MPR		

## Linden (2012, p.3)

Negative processes during an ongoing treatment may be due to a disorder's autonomous course, as happens all too often in the case of severe anorexia nervosa. They also may be conceived as either consequences of patients' incapacities to use treatment at a specific moment in time or of some patients' chronic tendencies to sabotage any treatment, as has been conceptualized in the "negative therapeutic reaction" phenomenon. Thomä and Kächele (1994a), however, have suggested that it may be most illuminating to look for therapists' share in such developments. True destructive processes in psychotherapy and psychoanalysis do happen mainly in the context of severe transgressions of the rules of abstinence (Gabbard 1989).

Failures in psychoanalytic psychotherapy and psychoanalysis, as in any medical enterprise, are very robust, widely occurring phenomena. However, it is striking that psychotherapists and psychoanalysts generally fail to address this critical clinical problem. For example, the electronic database PEP, covering thousands of references, reveals only four references to "psychoanalytic failure," while "psychoanalytic theory" garners 648 references. Only five, among the many hundreds of Anglo-American psychoanalytic books that have been published, focus on treatment failures: *Success and Failure in Psychoanalysis and Psychotherapy* (Wolman, Ed., 1972); *Why Psychotherapists Fail*, (Chessick, 1983); *The Prison House of Psychoanalysis* (Goldberg, 1990);

Failures in Psychoanalytic Treatment\* (Reppen & Schulman, Eds., 2002); and The Analysis of Failure: An Investigation of Failed Cases in Psychoanalysis and Psychotherapy (Goldberg, 2012). In "The Primordial Mind in Health and Illness" Robbins (2011) reports on cases where he did not succeed in treatment. In terms of a comparative perspective on psychoanalytic therapies from different schools – ego psychology, relational therapy or Kleinian approaches – there are, to our knowledge, no data available. Although we think psychoanalytic psychotherapy and psychoanalysis are more than mere neighbours (see Grant & Sandell, 2004), for didactic reasons we maintain a distinction in our discussion.

#### The state of outcome research

We first look at some background about the state of outcome research in general. Based on thousands of controlled studies we are in a position to be very confident that psychotherapy is more likely to improve patients than to harm them. The overall effect sizes – a statistical measure that allows comparing the effects of various interventions in medicine, psychology and pedagogy - are quite substantial. These effects are as large or even larger than the effects reported, for example, for anti-depressive medication, and they are larger than those produced by a variety of methods typically employed in medical and educational interventions (Lambert & Ogles, 2004).

These findings, however, represent average scores. Changes occurring in both experimental and control groups show a significant increase in the variability of criterion scores, which become manifest at post-testing in the treatment groups. This implies that some treatment cases are improved while others are deteriorated, thus causing a spreading of these scores. The phenomenon of deterioration, although quite familiar to many clinicians, has remained a neglected topic in treatment research even though it was pointed out forty years ago by Bergin (1963). So the issue is really quite dramatic; not only does psychotherapy generate significant change across groups, it also is a

potent intervention that has significantly positive and negative effects beyond so-called 'spontaneous remission' factors.

### Attrition in Psychotherapy and Psychoanalysis

[INDENT] Patient attrition in psychotherapy is a common clinical phenomenon. A review of research (Garfield, 1986) reported that more than 50% of patients withdrew before the eighth session (Straker, 1968; Bakelund & Lundwall, 1975; Reder & Tyson, 1980). Bakelund and Lundwall noted that in the long run it is the patient who leaves rather than the one who remains in treatment who is the typical patient (see Table 1). In a later meta-analysis of 125 studies on psychotherapy dropout (Wierzbicki and Pekarik, 1993), the mean attrition rate was 47%. "Remarkably . . ." noted Barrett *et al.* (2008), "clients continue to disengage from mental health services at a rate comparable to that found more than 50 years ago" (Rogers, 1951, p.247). Barrett *et al* add, "More than 65% of clients end therapy before the 10th session (Garfield, 1994), with most clients attending fewer than 6 or 8 sessions" (Phillips, 1985, p.248). Variations in the definition of dropout have influenced the findings.

Barrett *et al.* summarized the data as follows: "Of 100 prospective clients contacting a mental health clinic, only 50 will attend the initial evaluation, 33 will attend the first treatment session, 20 will remain by Session 3, and fewer than 17 will remain by Session 10" (p.253).

Table 1
Psychotherapy Attrition Rate

Authors	Date	Attrition	Time Interval
Garfield	1986	50%	First 8 sessions
Lorion & Fellner	1986	47%	Indefinite
Sledge et al.	1990	32%	Time limited
Sledge et al.	1990	67%	Brief psychotherapy
Wierzbicki & Pekarik	1993	47%	Indefinite
Garfield	1994	47%	Indefinite
Elkin et al.	1999	50%	First month
Sparks et al.	2003	47%	Indefinite

Attrition was greater for African-American and other minority groups, for less-educated and for lower income patients. Piper *et al.* (1999) compared 22 patients who left time limited psychotherapy with 22 matched completers; none of the pretherapy predictors significantly differentiated the two groups, though several of the therapy process variables, including the therapeutic alliance, patient exploration and focus on transference did distinguish the two groups. Barrett *et al.* (2008) discussed numerous strategies to reduce attrition: role induction, motivational interviewing, active involvement with the client, therapist feedback and enhancing the therapeutuc relationship.

It is not surprising that borderline patients demonstrate an inordinately high attrition rate in psychotherapy relative to other diagnostic groups. Borderline patients form intense and unstable relationships relative to other diagnostic groups. Skodol *et al.* (1983) reported a 67% attrition rate among borderline patients after three months of psychotherapy. Waldinger and Gunderson (1984) found a 46% attrition rate within six months; only one-third of their sample completed treatment. Similarly, Gunderson *et al.* reported that 52% of borderline patients left treatment by six months. Smith *et al.* (1993) found attrition rates of 31% and 36% at 3 and 6 months, respectively, for borderline patients. However, use of a self-psychological approach to treatment found a reduced attrition rate with borderline patients of only 16% at 3 months (Stevenson & Meares 1992).

Less acknowledged than these data about attrition in patients in psychotherapy is the similarly high attrition among psychoanalytic patients. Published clinical examples in the available literature span more than half a century; approximately 30-60% of psychoanalytic patients leave treatment before reaching a mutually-agreed termination. (See Table 2).

Table 2
Psychoanalysis Attrition Rate

Authors	Date	Attrition	Time interval
Glover	1955	55%	Indefinite
Hamburg et al.	1967	43%	Indefinite
Hendrick	1967	40%	Indefinite
Sashin et al.	1975	31%	Indefinite
Erle	1979	38%	Indefinite
Erle and Goldberg,	1984		
Study I		27%	Indefinite
Study II		44%	Three years
Weber et al.	1985	55%	Indefinite
Kantrowitz	1993	60%	Indefinite
Cooper et al.	2004	29%	Indefinite
Hamilton et al.	2007	31%	6 months
Cogan and Porerelli	2008	39%	18 months

How can we understand the apparent failure of most psychoanalysts to acknowledge and address this clinical problem of widespread attrition? We offer a speculation. One observation is that many – probably most – senior analysts are reluctant or unwilling to present treatments of their own patients either to their own institute or to a conference audience. Another related observation is that, similarly, many – probably most – analysts are unwilling to give permission for the study of their treatment, either of a past patient or of a present patient. These observations suggest that many analysts have an underlying uncertainy or insecurity about the effectiveness of the treatment of their own patients. We suggest that it is this anxiety about the effectiveness of the treatment of their own patients, that, perhaps unconsciously, leads them to turn a blind eye towards widespread evidence of failed treatment.

In striking contrast, the data from *psychoanalyst-patients* indicate that approximately 80% of them remain in treatment, whether with a training analyst or a non-training analyst, until reaching a mutually-agreed termination (Schachter et al. unpublished); i.e., only 20% dropout. This investigated cohort of psychoanalyst-patients are all graduate analysts and therefore does not include those who dropped out of a training analysis. We do not have data about drop-outs from training analysis, though apparently very few have done so. We concur with Marmor's (1986) suggestion that this large reported difference in mutually-agreed termination of 80% for psychoanalyst-patients compared to 50% for non-psychoanalyst patients may be due to the psychoanalyst-patients greater positive professional stake in all psychoanalytic treatment based on a personal identification as psychoanalysts. For a psychoanalyst-patient to recognize a failed personal psychoanalytic treatment might shake the foundation of his or her chosen profession. We believe that the issues of failed psychoanalytic treatment for psychoanalyst-patients differ markedly from those for non-psychoanalyst patients" Schachter et al, unpublished). Still, it is refreshing to learn what 75 psychoanalysts found helpful and hurtful in their own analyses (Curtis et al., 2004).

Since Bergin's 1963 paper, "The effects of psychotherapy: Negative results revisited," a number of factors have been identified that contribute to some of the negative results. Reading a conventional clinical paper on general factors leading to failures in any form of psychotherapy, one is likely to find the following list (reproduced from Stein, 1972):

- Incorrect diagnoses and, therefore, selecting the wrong form of treatment
- Untoward external conditions:
  - a) where external conditions are so unfavorable that the actual gain by remaining sick seems to be of greater value than the advantages of having good health.

- b) where the attitude of the family supports any neurotic (or psychotic) manifestations in the patient.
- c) other reality factors: education, class, economic status, and the effect of trauma such as illness and loss.
- Constitutional factors strength of biological given (instincts) and of conflicts.
- Unfavorable modifications of the person's ego leading to a severe characterological disturbance.
- Transference and countertransference.

Indeed some of these factors are well-known and we shall later comment on them. However, what one mises here are the factors relating to any significant contributions from the therapist. Only the very last item in this list - countertransference - points to such factors, which are neglected in almost all forms of psychotherapy.

In his recent critical evaluation Goldberg (2012) characterizes several categories of analytic failure:

- 1. Cases that never get off the ground or never seem to start.
- Cases that are interrupted and so felt to be unfinished by the therapist or analyst.
- 3. Cases that go bad.
- Cases that go on and on without obvious improvement losing one's patience.
- Cases that disappoint.

These descriptive categories leave open the question of why the psychoanalytic treatments failed. We therefore discuss some factors that may pertain to both psychotherapy and psychoanalysis; later we will focus on the therapists' contributions to constructive and destructive processes and their relation to treatment outcomes.

## Incorrect diagnoses leading to incorrect indication:

The assumption is that a correct diagnosis makes a difference in selecting the proper treatment and thus leads to a better outcome. As an illustration we mention the advent of specific borderline treatments that have clearly improved the outcome for this difficult-to-treat patient group; the treatment manuals (DBT, TFP, MBT etc.) all work with careful diagnostic evaluation!! (Kächele, 2013; Sandell, 2012).

As patient diagnosis and degree of disturbance are related we should not be particularly surprised about this finding. However, particularly for borderline disorder patients some therapeutic techniques, aimed at breaking down, challenging, or undermining habitual defenses, clearly seem to contribute to a negative outcome. Studies with psychotic patients (Feighner *et al.*, 1973), borderline patients (Horwitz (1974); Weber *et al.* (1966); Fonagy *et al.* (1996); and studies with disturbed participants in encounter groups (Liebermann *et al.* (1973) demonstrate that a worsening of patients' conditions sometimes occurs and that therapeutic techniques are probably responsible for this deterioration. This is not to minimize the point that patients' characteristics also contribute to this deterioration, which we shall learn more about when discussing other factors.

#### Unfavorable external conditions:

a) Unfavorable external conditions might lead to what Freud had categorized as a "secondary gain from illness." In a discussion of this phenomenon Thomä and Kächele (1994a, p.133) explore this point.

One of Freud's five forms of resistance was ego resistance, which "proceeds from the 'gain from illness' and is based upon an assimilation of the symptoms into the ego" (Freud 1926d, p. 160). In evaluating the external forces that codetermine and sustain the psychic illness, it is useful to bear in mind the distinction between primary and secondary gain from illness that Freud made in 1923 in a footnote to his account of the Dora case (1905e). Between 1905 and 1923 the ego was assigned a much greater significance in theory and technique

with regard to the origin of symptoms, specifically relating to defense processes. According to the 1923 footnote: "The statement that the motives of illness are not present at the beginning of the illness, but only appear secondarily to it cannot be maintained" (Freud 1905e, p.43). Precisely a case exhibiting a stable structuring of symptoms is characterized by a course in which the primary conditions are so mixed with the secondary motives that they can hardly be distinguished. There is very little systematic research on the embedding of this internal neurotic mechanism in the context of life circumstances. The various follow-up studies on untreated patients could illustrate such considerations (Thomä & Kächele 1994a, p. 133).

The case of the Wolf Man probably would serve as a good example where a dramatic worsening of the patient's life circumstances contributed to his identifying himself as a lifelong patient (Gardiner 1971; Obholzer 1982).

b) The attitude of the family sometimes contributes to treatment failures. The Hamburg study on anorexia (Engel et al., 1992) reported that long-term recovery was significantly related to the developmentally necessary separation from the family. Long-term mortality (!) was higher in those adolescent girls that remained with the primary family environment compared with anorectic girls who left home; we do not understand why remaining at home impacted on the fatal outcome. c) Reality factors - education, class, economic status - may also contribute to negative development of the therapeutic relationship. What is true of all somatic diseases applies also to psychological disorders: poor education and low social class, especially low economic status, have anti-therapeutic effects. One of the main effects is that these people are not even considered for treatment. Even within the German insurance-supported psychotherapy system, the percentage of the population in psychotherapy is not at all representative of the overall social strata (see Kächele et al., 1999). Caspar & Kächele (2008) have pointed out that incorrect self-exclusion of patients patients that could profit from treatment - contributes to negative effects indirectly.

#### Constitutional factors:

[INDENT] The role of constitutional factors, like strength of instincts, goes back to Freud's (1937c) review of the factors influencing the outcomes of psychoanalytic treatment. He considered three main factors whose total impact was dependent on their interactions: " ... the influence of traumas, the constitutional strength of the instincts and alterations of the ego" (p. 224).

Whatever "strength of instincts" may mean, the well-known psychoanalytic researcher Luborsky has summarized a modernized understanding with the findings on the global dimension, "Psychological Health-Sickness" (PHS), as a predictor of outcome in dynamic and other psychotherapies (Luborsky, 1975).

Psychological Health-Sickness (PHS) is "a concept conveniently covering an extensive continuum from rosy, robust psychological health to the nadir of psychological sickness. A host of similar-sounding terms have been used for this concept: adjustment, ego strength, personality integration, emotional stability, psychiatric severity, adequacy of personality functioning, and mental health" (Luborsky *et al.* 1993, p. 542). For this concept, which, in a simplified version of the original measurement device, has been integrated into the DSM as Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), research has demonstrated across many studies that the mean moderate predictive power displayed a correlation of 0.27 (7 % of the variance) on the outcome of psychotherapy. Freud's idea that the sicker the patient, the harder it will be to make therapeutic gains, has been well corroborated (Ibid, p. 546).

### Modifications of the ego:

Examples of the impact of unfavorable modifications of the ego leading to severe characterological disturbances have been provided by Wallerstein (1986) in his report on the long-term fate of 42 patients in treatment within the famous Menninger Hospital in Topeka. Some of the patients treated became so-called lifers, permanent users of psychotherapeutic support systems (p. 561). However, one might raise the question where, if anywhere, these people might

have received the proper treatment that could have changed their sad course. There are reports in the literature that some patients indeed need very long treatment to finally recover. The patient Christian Y, treated in high frequency psychoanalysis by H. Thomä, needed 600 sessions to resume his normal life as a student of law; following which the treatment took another ten years in low frequency analysis to obtain a really satisfying personal and professional outcome. (Thomä & Kächele 1994b, p. 398).

### Transference and countertransference

The last item of this list invokes the central psychoanalytic technical topic: the concatenation of transference and countertransference. Ever since Freud's cases were studied in depth, we have learned that not all of these cases had a favorable outcome. Certainly the case of 'Dora' did not. For good reasons, she left the treatment with Freud enraged (Appignanesi & Forrester, 1992), and it still remains controversial whether this case should be looked at as an example of a destructive interactive process (Freud's initial view), or as a creative act of an adolescent starting to step out of a situation that she could not make good use of (Levine, 2005). She later acknowledged to Freud that the analysis had been useful, in that he had believed her, and this gave her the courage to confont her tormenting parental figures, after which the hysterical symptoms stopped. However, much too often, the clinician's countertransferentially-colored (not sure this term is great but I think "(countertransferential) clinician's view" is awkward.) view of these negative outcomes puts the burden of responsibility on the shoulder of the patients (i.e., "they failed to respond to the therapy"), but we should learn to face that destructive (or unconstructive) processes derive often from mishandling of the therapist's role in such a drama.

## What do we know about "therapist factors"?

[INDENT]Hans H. Strupp, one of the early prominent leaders of the field of psychotherapy research, invited former "patients" to review their psychotherapy (Strupp *et al.* 1969). As a consequence of this pioneering study he was

commisioned by the US National Institute of Mental Health to perform an empirical investigation on what constitutes a 'negative' effect and what in the view of experts were the reasons for it (Strupp *et al.*, 1977). In this research one of the most frequent sources cited for negative effects in psychotherapy was the therapist. Many experts agree that "poor clinical judgment" or a general "fallibility of the therapist" are significant factors in producing negative effects.

The therapist variables fall into two broad categories, the first being deficiencies in training and skill, resulting in part from poor training facilities, and the second pertain to health delivery systems that do not require adequate background in the biomedical and psychological sciences on the part of practitioners. Deficiencies in training and supervision, which result in the delivery of inadequate professional services, may produce particularly severe negative effects when dealing with borderline patients, due to the therapist inadvertently stimulating the release of primitive aggression without quite knowing how to deal with it in psychotherapy. Such negative effects may be exacerbated by the therapist who masochistically participates in the patient's acting out.

A significant contribution to such negative effects in psychotherapy resides in what can be termed a complex of ignorance and inappropriate personality. This may or may not coincide with a poorly trained or incompetent person. Sachs (1983) conducted one of the most careful empirical investigations specifically aimed at illuminating the process that leads to these negative effects in brief therapy. The most dramatic factor in identifying success and failure in psychotherapy was a measure named "Errors-in-Technique-Scale." This scale indicated that the therapist's competence and skill in applying verbal techniques in short-term psychotherapy led directly to a positive or negative change. Strupp's own Vanderbilt Research Programm also has shown that the interpersonal process is connected to a differential psychotherapeutic outcome: good versus poor outcome was differentiated by greater levels of "helping and protecting" and "offering and understanding" and lower levels of "blaming and belittling" (Henry et al. 1986).

A therapist's misuse of his or her position is today considered a very important factor that contributes to negative effects. Typical deleterious personality attributes, mentioned by the expert respondents in the Strupp *et al.* (1977) investigation, include:

- coldness, obsessionalism
- "anything goes" as long as 'analyzing' is happening
- excessive need to make people change
- excessive unconscious hostility, often disguised by diagnosing the patient as "borderline" or schizophrenic
- seductiveness, lack of interest, or warmth
- neglect, pessimism, sadism, absence of genuineness
- greed, narcissism, absence of self-scrutiny

Information on the negative consequences of therapist maladjustment, exploitiveness, and immaturity can be gathered with ease from client self-reports. Striano (1987, 1988) documented, in publications for the lay public based on her dissertation, a variety of horror stories of the type that are often privately shared among clients and professionals but are rarely published.

A German psychoanalytic candidate, Dörte von Drigalski, published her analytic training experience with three analysts under the title "Flowers on Granite - An Odyssey through German Psychoanalysis" (1979). Her first female training analyst was able to resonate reasonably well with the somewhat whimsical patterns of behavior of this still late adolescent person, until she moved to Paris for personal reasons. Then von Drigalski was transferred to another (male) training analyst. From then on her analysis slipped more and more down into a devastating negative course. She felt rejected by the devaluating interpretations, especially about the very accomplishments that had helped her master her young life. She broke off analysis, moved to another town, and after some trouble found a quite young male training analyst. There things developed even worse. By her own report she experienced borderline states with psychotic breakdowns. All this is detailed in the book, with a painful repetitive quality.

Dörte von Drigaliski's book was very successful with the public, but less so with the professional world. There was never any official echo from psychoanalytic institutes to the publication of the report; but when an English translation appeared, it was the psychotherapy researcher Hans Strupp who praised the work as a prime example demonstrating destructive experiences instigated by poor quality work in psychoanalysis (Strupp, 1982). Meanwhile a market for such therapeutic 'adventure' (or disaster) stories has developed (i.e., Märtens & Petzold, 2002).

The most recent painful German report (Akoluth, 2004) tells the story of a 58- year-old woman who sought help to cope with issues around the disabling disease of her husband. For a number of years she got what she was looking for. After the death of her husband, her therapist unilaterally initiated body contact and the lonely woman fell open to transferential wishes for contact. The therapist, however, was not willing to give her what she wanted – although he clearly had induced these wishfull states of desire. This interaction is quite typical. Many senior therapists transgress boundaries for several 'good' or 'bad' reasons. What then usually follows are protracted encounters that turn the therapy from blissful moments to chronic nightmares.

Rick (1974) presented one of the most striking examples available in the research literature. He examined the positive and negative changes conducted by two contrasting therapists. He analyzed the adult status of a group of disturbed adolescent boys who had been seen by either of two therapists in a major child guidance clinic. Although the long-term outcomes of these two therapists were not particularly different for the less disturbed clients, there were striking differences in their therapeutic styles and (most significantly) in their outcomes with the more disturbed boys. For all the cases in the sample, 55 percent were judged to have become schizophrenic in adulthood. Only 27 percent of therapist A's cases, however, had such an outcome, whereas 88 percent of therapist B's cases deteriorated to such a state. The caseloads of the two therapists were equal in degree of disturbance and other characteristics at the beginning of therapy.

In analyzing the differences in therapist style, it was found that therapist A devoted more time to those who were most disturbed, whilst the less successful therapist B did the opposite. Therapist A also made more use of resources outside the immediate therapy situation, was firm and direct with patients, supported movement toward autonomy, and facilitated problem-solving in everyday life, all in the context of a strong therapeutic relationship. Therapist B, however, seemed to be frightened by severe pathology and emotionally withdrew from the more difficult cases. He frequently commented on the difficulties of cases and seemed to become depressed when confronted with a particularly unpromising one. He became caught up in the boys' depressed and hopeless feelings and thereby reinforced the client's sense of self-rejection and futility.

Today this topic is discussed under the heading of "optimal match" or "fit." Incompatibility between the patient's and the therapist's personality may significantly contribute to negative effects in psychotherapy. A growing number of studies have reported a significant, positive association between "fit" and satisfaction with the outcome of treatment (Shapiro 1976; Kantrowitz, 1986 & 1993; Leuzinger-Bohleber et al, 2002; Tessman, 2003; Carr, 2006; Bush and Meehan, 2011; Schachter *et al.* 2013).

The variety of factors discussed here may adversely influence therapy in a number of ways, including deleterious effects in the relationship with the patient and misuse of therapeutic techniques. It is also possible for a well-meaning therapist, with the unconscious motivation of enhancing his own personal and professional self-esteem, to inadvertently overemphasize his assets and create a dissonance in the therapeutic relationship.

We conclude this section with the general comment that psychopathology or deficient skills in the therapist can lead to inadequate recognition of transference manifestations, premature uncovering of unconscious conflicts without provision of concomitant support, or both. Therefore we face an open issue: Should we diagnose therapists in training and how can we do it (Pfäfflin & Kächele, 2000)? The research team around Rolf Sandell, a psychoanalyst and

well-known researcher, has developed the Therapists Attitudes Scales (Sandell *et al.*, 2004) and demonstrated in the Stockholm Psychoanalysis Project that therapist attitudes influence change during treatment (Sandell *et al.*, 2007). A latent class analysis clearly distinguished successful from unsuccesful therapists (Sandell *et al.*, 2006).

### Clinical perspectives

Psychoanalytic clinicians rarely speak about their everyday personalities; they prefer to speak about a 'work-ego' observing their countertransferences. Ever since countertransference was transformed from a despised Cinderella into a radiant beauty (Thomä & Kächele, 1994a, p. 81), we can observe a truly enthusiastic "the more, the better" reception from within the psychoanalytic community: to observe this, one needs to study educational papers in the *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* (Gabbard, 1995; Hinshelwood, 1999; Jacobs, 1999).

Countertransference-induced failure is one of the denied aspects of psychoanalytic therapy (Fäh, 2002), although the substantial body of research findings that we have mentioned points to the overwhelming influence of this phenomenon. In recent years reliable measures on habitual countertransference have been published that differentiate local, circumscribed countertransference reactions from more general, pervasive attitudes of a therapist (Betan & Westen, 2009; Gelso & Hayes, 2007; for a summary see Kächele *et al.*, 2013); as we now have these tools it might be appropriate to test out their usefulness in supervision.

Summarizing their clinical experience, Thomä & Kächele (1994b) concluded that certain therapist factors, not always identified as countertransference, are likely to contribute to a development of destructive processes:

1. Attempts to master crisis situations solely by working with transference and resistance are insufficient if they do not lead to an improvement in the patient's real life situation.

- 2. When a patient has no partner, focussing on unconscious transference wishes is also likely to have an antitherapeutic effect because, once again, the forced reference to transferential wishes can arouse unrealistic hopes.
- 3. Often a patient can employ the therapy as a weapon against her or his family members (mother/father). This may be a consequence of the therapist taking sides. As a result, the patient's aggressive impulses, the development of which was inevitable after her hopes had been disappointed, were directed onto someone outside the therapy, which paved the way for the later, unfavorable collusion.
- 4. Threats of committing suicide can lead to the analyst's giving more sympathy to the patient than can be maintained in an analytic setting. This MAY obstructs the interpretation of aggressive impulses, especially with the patient's use of the threat to commit suicide as a way to coerce the analyst.
- 5. In some cases, a lonely female patient is somehow aware of the male analyst's personal situation, being single or divorced, and this is likely to increase any illusory hopes. If an unmarried patient, who cannot cope with being alone, happens to have a therapist who is the right age, alone, and possibly even unhappy himself, then the social reality of this constellation may beso strong that it may make it difficult for them to be able to focus on the neurotic components of a patient's hopes.
- 6. Often a therapist, under the burden of disappointments and complications that he at least in part caused, is not able to resist the pressure of his or her own feelings of guilt, and attempts to alleviate these feelings by getting tied up in telephone conversations justifying his or her procedure. This may promote the patient's secret hopes of overcoming the limitations of the therapeutic setting.
- 7. Sometimes the therapeutic framework only regains its importance the moment that the therapist admits his failure and announces that this means the termination of therapy.

### Ethical Responsibility to Inform Prospective Patients about Treatment

It is uniformly regarded as an ethical imperative for the provider of medical treatment to offer to the prospective patient an estimate of the probability that treatment is likely to be successful, plus an estimate of the likelihood and nature of possible complications. Failure of the medical provider to provide such information to the prospective patient may be the basis of a malpractice charge. To the best of our knowledge, such information has rarely been provided by therapists in the practice of psychoanalytic or psychotherapeutic treatment. Until recently practitioners had relatively little information they could impart to the prospective patient. There is now, however, substantial empirical data about the effectiveness of these treatments, the possibility and nature of possible complications, and the probability of successfully completing the treatment, which could be communicated to the prospective patient. The therapist could refer to the resolution of the American Psychological Association confirming the effectiveness of psychotherapeutic treatment. Yet, we are unaware of any practitioner attempting to provide such information.

Based on our long term clinical experience psychotherapeutic practitioner seldom provide such information to prospective patients. We suspect it is because such information would have to include some statement about incomplete treatment and failure of treatment, and we believe that therapists continue to have difficulty acknowledging the presence of these common, significant negative events. They may also be concerned that such information may have a negative impact on the patient's expectations for benefit from treatment (see Kirsch 1999).

Professional organizations of therapists, such as the International Psychoanalytic Association, American Psychoanalytic Association and the American Psychological Association have ethics committees, and we recommend that these committees consider the appropriateness of the ethical imperative of therapists informing prospective patients about the varieties of outcomes of treatment.

#### Conclusion

The message that runs through this report is this: Negative outcomes are likely to happen both in psychotherapy and psychoanalysis. If leaving treatment prematurely and either failing to achieve therapeutic benefit or worsening of the emotional disorder are included, this includes probably 50% of all patients who initiate treatment. Of patients who initiate psychoanalytic treatment, only approximately 50% go on to reach a mutually-agreed termination. However, as Luborsky *et al.* (1985), Okiishi *et al.* (2003), and Sandell *et al.* (2006, 2007) have demonstrated, therapists vary in their competence, so the early identification of poor work-performance in therapists in training should be of great concern in terms of our professional responsibility.

We think that systematic scrutiny of side effects and negative developments of psychoanalytic therapy should receive a more attention. In medicine, monitoring for unwanted effects has lately been given a high priority for determining the standards of care. A similar effort in the field of psychoanalytic therapies would be timely. Shame for not being successful is a bad advisor. Casement's (2002) book *Learning from our Mistakes* provides a message. Impressive examples by retro-reports from experienced clinicians about their patients and by some of their patients about their own treatment has demonstrated that we can learn a great deal (Thomä & Kächele 1994b; Schachter, 2005; Breger, 2012).

We recommend that the ethics committees of psychotherapists' professional organizations consider the appropriateness and value of the ethical imperative of therapists imparting information about the range of outcomes and possible difficulties of psychotherapeutic and psychoanalytic treatment to prospective patients, which is standard procedure in medical treatments.

### **Bibliography**

Akoluth, M. (2004). Unordnung und spätes Leid. Bericht über den Versuch, eine

- misslungene Analyse zu bewältigen (Dis-order and late suffering). Würzburg: Königshausen & Neumann.
- Appignanesi, L., Forrester, J. (1992). *Freud's women*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- Bachrach, H.M., Weber, J.J. & Solomon, M. (1985). Factors associated with the outcome of psychoanalysis. Report of the Columbia Psychoanalytic Center Research Project (IV). *International Revue of Psychoanalysis*, *12*, 379-389.
- Bakelund, F. & Lundwall, L. (1975). Dropping out of treatment: A critical review. *Psychological Bulletin, 82,* 738-783.
- Barrett, M.S., Chua, W-J., Crits-Christoph, P. Gibbons, M.B. & Thompson, D. (2008). Early withdrawal from mental health treatment: Implication for psychotherapy practice. *Psychotherapy. Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, *45*, 247-267.
- Bergin, A.E. (1963). The effects of psychotherapy: Negative results revisited. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 10*, 244-250.
- Betan, E.J. & Westen, D. (2009). Countertransference and personality pathology: Development and clinical application of the Countertransference Questionaire. In R.A. Levy & J.S. Ablon (Eds.), *Handbook of evidence-based psychodynamic psychotherapy. Bridging the gap between science and practice* (pp 179-198). New York: Humana Press,.
- Breger, L. (2012). *Psychotherapy lives intersecting*. New Brunswick & London: Transaction Publishers.
- Bush, M. & Meehan W. (2011). Should supportive measures and relational variables be considered a part of psychoanalytic technique? Some empirical considerations. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 92, 377-399
- Carr, E.M. (2006). Epilogue. Psychoanalytic Inquiry, 26, 810-811.
- Casement, P. (2002). *Learning from our mistakes*. London: Brunner-Routledge, Hove.
- Caspar, F. & Kächele, H. (2008). Fehlentwicklungen in der Psychotherapie (Negative developments in psychotherapy). In S.C. Herpertz, F. Caspar &

- C. Mundt (Eds), *Störungsorientierte Psychotherapie (*pp. 729-743). München: Urban & Fischer.
- Chessick, R. D. (1983). *Why psychotherapists fail*. Northvale, N.J.: Jason Aronson.
- Cogan, R. & Porcerelli, J.H. (2008). Longitudinal study of psychoanalysis:

  Premature termination by 18 months. Poster presented at the meeting of the American Psychoanalytic Association, January.
- Cooper, E.M., Hamilton, M., Gangure, D. & Roose, S.P. (2004). Premature termination from psychoanalysis: An investigation of factors contributing to early findings. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *52*, 1233-1234.
- Curtis, R., Field, C., Knaan-Kostman, I., Mannix, K. (2004). What 75 psychoanalysts found helpful and hurtful in their own analyses. *Psychoanalytic Psychology, 21*, 183-202.
- Drigalski D, von (1979). Blumen auf Granit. Eine Irr- und Lehrfahrt durch die deutsche Psychoanalyse (Flowers on granite An odyssey through German psychoanalysis). Frankfurt am Main, Berlin, Wien: Ullstein, Neuauflage Libri Books on Demand 2000.
- Elkin, I., Yamaguchi, J.L., Arnkoff, D.B., Glass, C.R., Sotsky, S.M. & Krupnick, J.L. (1999). "Patient–treatment fit" and early engagement in therapy.

  \*Psychotherapy Research, 9, 437–451.
- Ellison, R. (1952). *Invisible man.* New York: Random House.
- Engel, K., Meyer, A.E., Hentze, M. & Wittern, M. (1992). Long-term outcome in anorexia nervosa inpatients. In W. Herzog, H.C. Deter & W. Vandereycken (Eds.), *The course of eating disorders. Long-term follow-up studies of anorexia and bulimia nervosa (pp.* 118-132). Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer.
- Erle, J. (1979). An approach to the study of analyzability and analysis: the course of forty consecutive cases selected for supervised analysis.

  \*Psychoanalytic Quarterly, 48, 198-228.
- Erle, J. & Goldberg, D. (1984) Observations on the assessment of analyzability

- by experienced analysts. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, 32, 715-737.
- Fäh, M. (2002). Wenn Analyse krank macht. Methodenspezifische Nebenwirkungen psychoanalytischer Therapien (When analysis makes patients sick: Method-specific side effects of psychoanalytic therapies). In M. Märtens & H. Petzold (Eds.), Therapieschäden: Risiken und Nebenwirkungen von Psychotherapie (pp. 109-147). Mainz: Matthias-Grünewald Verlag.
- Feighner, J.P., Brown, S.L., Oliver, J.E. (1973). Electrosleep therapy. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *157*, 121-128.
- Fonagy, P., Leigh, T., Steele, H., Kennedy, R., Mattoon, G., Target, M., Gerber, A. (1996). The relation of attachment status, psychiatric classification and response to psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64, 22-31.
- Freud, S. (1905). Fragment of an analysis of a case of hysteria. *Standard Edition* 7: 1-22. London: Hogarth Press, 1953.
- Freud, S. (1926). Inhibitions, symptoms, and anxiety. *Standard Edition* 20: 75-174. London: Hogarth Press, 1953.
- Freud, S. (1937). Analysis terminable and interminable, *Standard Edition* 23: 209-253. London: Hogarth Press, 1953.
- Gabbard, G.O. (1989). Sexual exploitation of professional relationships. Washington, American Psychiatric Press.
- Gabbard, G.O. (1995). Countertransference: The emerging common ground. International Journal of Psychoanalysis, 76, 475-485.
- Gardiner, M. (1971). *The Wolf-Man by the Wolf-Man*. New York: Basic Books.
- Garfield, S.L. (1986). Research on client variables in psychotherapy. In A.E. Bergin & S. L. Garfield (Eds.), *Handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change* (pp. 213-256). New York: Wiley& Sons.
- Garfield, S.L. (1994). Research on client variables in psychotherapy. In S.L.Garfield & A.E. Bergin (Eds), *Handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change* (pp. 190-228). New York: Wiley & Sons.

- Gelso, Ch.J. & Hayes, J.A. (2007). Countertransference and the inner world of the psychotherapist: Perils and possibilities. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Glover E (1955). *The technique of psychoanalysis*. London: Baillière Tindall & Cox.
- Goldberg, A. (1990). *The prison house of psychoanalysis*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Analytic Press.
- Goldberg, A. (2012). The analysis of failure: An investigation of failed cases in psychoanalysis and psychotherapy. New York: Routledge.
- Grant, J. & Sandell, R. (2004). Close family or mere neighbours? Some empirical data on the differences between psychoanalysis and psychotherapy. In P. Richardson, H. Kächele, & C. Rendlund (Eds.), Research on Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy with Adults (pp. 81-108). London: Karnac,.
- Gunderson, J.g., Frank, A.F., Ronningstam, E.F., Wachter, S., Lynch, V.J. & Wolf, P.J. (1989). Early discontinuance of borderline patients from psychotherapy. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *177*, 38-42.
- Hamburg, D., Bibring, G., Fisher, C., Stanton, A., Wallerstein, R.S., Weinstock, H. & Haggard, E. (1967). Report of ad hoc committee on central fact-gathering data of the American Psychoanalytic Association. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *15*, 841-861.
- Hamilton, M.S., Wininger, L. & Roose, S. (2009). Drop-out rate of training cases: Who and when. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *57*, 695-702.
- Hendrick, I. (1967). *Facts and theories of psychoanalysis*, 3rd ed. New York: A. Knopf.
- Henry, W., Schacht, T. & Strupp, H.H. (1986). Structural analysis of social behavior: Application to a study of interpersonal process of differential psychotherapeutic outcome. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 54, 27-31.
- Hinshelwood, R.D. (1999). Countertransference. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, *80*, 797-818.

- Horwitz, L. (1974). *Clinical prediction in psychotherapy*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Jacobs, T. (1999). Countertransference past and present: a review of the concept. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 80, 575-594.
- Kächele, H. (2013). Manualization as tool in psychodynamic psychotherapy research and clinical practice Commentary on six studies. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry*, in press.
- Kächele, H., Erhardt I., Seybert C. & Buchholz M.B. (2013).

  Countertransference as object of empirical research? *International Forum of Psychoanalysis*, *21*, 1-13.
- Kächele, H., Richter, R., Thomä, H., Meyer, A.E. (1999). Psychotherapy services in the Federal Republic of Germany. In N. Miller & K. Magruder (Eds.), *The cost-effectiveness of psychotherapy: A guide for practioners, researchers and policymakers* (pp. 334-344). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kantrowitz, J.L. (1986). The role of the patient-analyst "match" in the outcome of psychoanalysis. *Annual of Psychoanalysis*, *14*, 273-297.
- Kantrowitz, J.L. (1993). Outcome research in psychoanalysis; Review and reconsiderations. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association, 41S*, 319-329.
- Kirsch, I. (Ed.). (1999). *How Expectancies Shape Experiences*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Lambert, M.J. & Ogles, B.M. (2004). The efficacy and effectiveness of psychotherapy. In M.J. Lambert (Ed.), *Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change* (pp. 139-193). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Leuzinger-Bohleber, M., Stuhr, U., Rüger, B. & Beutel, M. (2003). How to study the quality of psychoanalytic treatments and their long term effects on patients well-being. A representative multiperspective follow-up study. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, *84*, 263-290.
- Levine, S.S. (2005). Freud and Dora: 100 years later. Psychoanalytic Inquiry,

- *25*, 1-115.
- Liebermann, M.A., Yalom, I.D., Miles, M.B. (1973): *Encounter groups. First facts*. New York: Basic Books.
- Linden, M. (2012). How to define, find and classify side effects in psychotherapy: from unwanted events to adverse treatment reactions. Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, DOI: 10.1002/cpp.1765
- Lorion, R.P. & Fellner, R.E. (1986). Research on mental health interventions with the disadvantaged. In S.L. Garfield & A.E. Bergin (Eds.), *Handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change (3rd ed.*, pp. 739-776). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Luborsky, L. (1975). Clinician's judgments of mental health: specimen case descriptions and forms for the Health-Sickness Rating Scale. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, *35*, 448-480.
- Luborsky, L., McLellan, A.T., Woody, G.B., O'Brien, C.P., Auerbach, A. (1985). Therapists' success and its determinants. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *42*, 602-611.
- Luborsky, L., Diguer, L., Luborsky, E., McLellan, A.T., Woody, G., Alexander, L. (1993). Psychological health as predictor of the outcomes of psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *61*, 542-548.
- Marmor, J. (1986). The question of causality. Commentary/Grünbaum: Foundations of Psychoanalysis. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 9: 249.
- Märtens, M., Petzold, H. (Eds.) (2002). *Therapieschäden.* Mainz: Matthias-Grünewald Verlag.
- Novick, J. (1988). The timing of termination. *International Review of Psychoanalysis*, *15*, 307-318.
- Obholzer, K. (1982). *The Wolf Man: Sixty years later: Conversations with Freud's controversial patient.* London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Okiishi, J. C., Lambert, M. J., Nielson, S. L., & Ogles, B. M. (2003). In search of supershrink: Using patient outcome to identify effective and ineffective therapists. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, *10*, 361-373.
- Pfäfflin, F. & Kächele, H. (2000). Müssen Therapeuten diagnostiziert werden?

- (Should we diagnose therapists?) Persönlichkeitsstörungen, 4, 88-94.
- Phillips, E.L. (1985). *Psychotherapy revised: New frontiers in research and practice.* Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Piper, W.E., Joyce, A.S., Rosie, J.S., Ogrodniczuk, J.S., McCazllum, M. & O'Kelly, J.K., (1999). Prediction of dropping out in time-limited, interpretive individual psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy*, *36*, 114-122.
- Reder, P. & Tyson, R.L. (1980). Patient propout from individual psychotherapy. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, *44*, 229-252.
- Reppen, J. & Schulman, M. (Eds.) (2002) *Failures in psychoanalytic treatment*. Madison: International Universities Press.
- Ricks, D. (1974). Supershrink: Methods of a therapist judged successful on the basis of adult outcomes of adolescent patients. In D. Ricks, M. Roff & A. Thomas (Eds.), *Life history research in psychopathology* (pp. 275-297). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Robbins, M. (2011). *The primordial man in health and illness*. London: Routledge.
- Rogers, C.P. (1951). Client-centered therapy. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Sachs, J.S. (1983). Negative factors in brief psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *51*, 557-564.
- Sandell, R. (2012). Research on outcomes of psychoanalysis and psychoanalysis-derived psychotherapies. In *G.O.* Gabbard, B.E. Litowitz & W.H. Kracke (Eds.), *Textbook of Psychoanalysis* (pp 385-404). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Sandell, R., Carlson, J., Schubert, J., Broberg, J., Lazar, A. & Blomberg, J. (2004). Therapists attitudes and patient outcome. I. Development and validation of the Therapeutic Attitude Scales (TASC II). *Psychotherapy Research*, *14*, 469-484.
- Sandell, R., Lazar, A., Grant, J., Carlson, J., Schubert, J. & Broberg, J. (2007) Therapists' attitudes and patient outcomes: II Therapist attitudes influence change during treatment. *Psychotherapy Research*, *17*, 201-211.
- Sandell, R., Lazar, A., Grant, J., Carlson, J., Schubert, J. & Broberg, J. (2006).

- Therapists' attitudes and patient outcomes: III A latent class (LC) analysis of therapies. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory Research and Practice*, 79, 629-647.
- Sashin, J.I., Eldred, S.H., Van Amerongen, S.T. (1975). A search for predictive factors in institute supervised cases: A retrospective study of 183 cases from 1959-1966 at the Boston Psychoanalytic Society and Institute.

  International Journal of Psychoanalysis, 56, 343-359.
- Schachter, J. (Ed.) (2005) *Transforming lives. Analyst and patient view the power of psychoanalytic treatment*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Schachter J, Gorman BS, Kächele H, Pfäfflin F (2013) Comparison of vignette-based ratings of satisfaction with psychoanalytic treatment by training analysts and by non-training analysts, *Psychoanalytic Psychology* 30, 37-56
- Schachter, J., Gorman, B.S., Pfäfflin, F., Kächele, H. A questionnaire/interview comparison of satisfaction with training analysis to satisfaction with analysis by a non-training analyst: Implications for training analysis. Unsubmitted manuscript.
- Shapiro, D. (1976). The analyst's own analysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *24*, 5-42.
- Sashin, J.I., Eldred, S.H. & Van Amerongen, S.T. (1975). A search for predictive values in institute supervised cases: A retrospective study of 183 cases from 1959-1966 in the Boston Psychoanalytic Society and Institute.

  International Journal of Psychoanalysis, 56, 343-359.
- Skodol, A., Buckley, & Charles, E. (1983). Is there a characteristic pattern to the treatment history of clinical outpatients with borderline personality? *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *177*, 405-410.
- Sledge, W.H., Moras, K. Hartley, D. & Levine, M. (1990). Effect of time-limited psychotherapy on patient dropout rates. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 147, 1341-1347.
- Smith, T., Koenigsberg, H., Yeomans, F., Clarkin, J. & Selzer, M. (1993).

  Predictors of dropout in psychodynamic psychotherapy of borderline

- personality disorder. Unpublished manuscript. The New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center, Westchester Division, White Plains, N.Y.
- Sparks, W.A., Daniels, J.A. & Johnson, E. (2003). Relationship of referral source, race, and wait time on preintake attrition. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34, 514-518.
- Stein, A. (1972). Causes of failure in psychoanalytic psychotherapy. In B. Wolman (Ed.), *Success and failure in psychoanalysis and psychotherapy* (pp. 37-52). New York: The Macmillan Company.
- Stevenson, J. & Meares, R. (1992). An outcome study of psychotherapy for patients with borderline personality disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *149*, 358-362.
- Straker, M. (1968). Brief psychotherapy in an outpatient clinic: evolution and evaluation. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *124*, *1219-1225*.
- Striano, J. (1987). *How to find a good psychotherapist*. Santa Barbara: Professional Press.
- Striano, J. (1988). *Can psychotherapists hurt you*. Santa Barbara: Professional Press.
- Strupp, H.H. (1975). Psychoanalysis, focal psychotherapy, and the nature of therapeutic influence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *32*, 127-135.
- Strupp, H.H. (1982). Psychoanalytic failure. Reflections on an autobiographical account. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, *18*, 235-258.
- Strupp, H.H., Fox, R. & Lessler, K. (1969). *Patients view their psychotherapy*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.
- Strupp, H.H., Hadley, S.W., Gomes-Schwartz, B. (1977). *Psychotherapy for better or worse*. New York: Aronson.
- Tessman, L. H. (2003). *The analyst's analyst within.* Hillsdale, N.J.: Analytic Press.
- Thomä, H., Kächele, H. (1994a). *Psychoanalytic practice. Vol 1: Principles*. Northvale, N. J.: Jason Aronson.
- Thomä, H, Kächele, H. (1994b). *Psychoanalytic practice. Vol. 2: Clinical studies.*Northvale. N. J.: Jason Aronson.

- Waldinger, R. & Gunderson, J.G. (1987). *Effective psychotherapy with borderline patients. Case studies*. New York: Macmillan.
- Wallerstein, R.S. (1986). Forty-two lifes in treatment. A study of psychoanalysis and psychotherapy. New York: Guilford.
- Weber, J.J., Bachrach, H.M., Solomon, M. (1985). Factors associated with the outcome of psychoanalysis. Report of the Columbia Psychoanalytic Center Research Project (II). *International Revue of Psychoanalysis*, *12*, 127-141.
- Wierzbicki, M. & Pekarik, G. (1993). A meta-analysis of psychotherapy dropout. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *24*, 190-195.
- Wolman, B.B. (Ed)(1972). Success and failure in psychoanalysis and psychotherapy. New York: Macmillan.

Biographical material of the authors:

Horst Kächele, former chair of the department of psychosomatic medicine and psychotherapy at Ulm university /Germany, now teaches at the International Psychoanalytic Universty in Berlin. He has been active in the fields of process and outcome research.

Joe Schachter, former Chair, International Psychoanalytic Association
Committee for the Evaluation of Research Proposals and Results, Faculty,
Columbia University Psychoanalytic Center for Training and Research. He
has written about training analysis, psychoanalytic treatment and
termination.

Both authors are members of the International Psychoanalytic Association and honorary members of the Willian Alanson White Institute.