# THE SCHLESINGER-KOZINEC ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMAL SEPARATING HYPERPLANES

#### MARIUS HUBER

The purpose of this note is to give a brief overview of the so-called Schlesinger-Kozinec algorithm for finding optimal separating hyperplanes. The exposition of this note follows mainly that of [FH03].

#### 1. Background: the Kozinec algorithm

Recall that we sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly separable if there exists a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  separating them. Any hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be implicitly described as the set of all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$\langle w, x \rangle + b = 0,$$

for some  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given a set of labeled data points

$$\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \{-1, 1\},\$$

the hyperplane specified by  $\langle w, x \rangle + b = 0$  separates those data points with label 1 from those with label -1 precisely if

$$\langle w, x_i \rangle + b \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } y_i = 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } y_i = -1, \end{cases}$$
  $i = 1, \dots, m.$ 

These two conditions can be combined into the single requirement that

$$y_i(\langle w, x_i \rangle + b) > 0, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Defining  $\omega = (w, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\xi_i = y_i(x_i, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , i = 1, ..., m, the above condition can be simplified further into

$$\langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle > 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m.$$

From now on, we will let  $\omega$  and  $\xi_i$  denote these "augmented" data points. Thus, in view of (1.1), the original task of separating the set of labeled data points  $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\}$  according to their labels by an affine hyperplane defined by w and b has been translated into the task of putting the "augmented" set  $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$  into the positive half-space corresponding to the (non-affine) hyperplane defined by  $\omega$ . This task can be solved using the Kozinec algorithm, which is defined as follows.

### Kozinec algorithm.

- (1) Set  $\omega = \xi_1$ .
- (2) If  $\langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle > 0$  for all i = 1, ..., m, stop and return  $\omega$ . Otherwise, pick  $\xi_k$  such that  $\langle \omega, \xi_k \rangle < 0$ , for some  $1 \le k \le m$ .
- (3) Set  $\omega_{\text{new}} = (1 t_*) \cdot \omega + t_* \cdot \xi_k$ , where  $t_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{t \in (0,1]} || (1 t) \cdot \omega + t \cdot \xi_k ||$ Continue with (2).

The above algorithm terminates iff the initial collection  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,...,m}$  is linearly separable according to the labels. If the algorithm terminates, its output is a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Writing

$$\omega = (w, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R},$$

the quantities w and b define a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that separates the original collection of data points  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\}$  according to their labels. This can be seen by "reversing" the above steps that we used to transform the collection  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  into  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ . For further details about this algorithm as well as illuminating illustrations, we refer the reader to [FH03].

Remarks.

- The similarity of the Kozinec algorithm and the Perceptron algorithm should be apparent. Indeed, setting  $\omega_{\text{new}} = \omega + \xi_t$  in step (3) recovers the Perceptron algorithm.
- In step (1) of the above algorithm,  $\omega$  can, in fact, be initialized to any vector belonging to the convex hull of  $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ . Different initializations may lead to different separating hyperplanes.
- In step (3), the algorithm really just replaces  $\omega$  with the vector belonging to the line segment passing through  $\omega$  and  $\xi_t$  that is closest to the origin.
- An explicit formula for the value of  $t_*$  in step (3) is given by

$$t_* = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\langle \omega, \omega - \xi_k \rangle}{\langle \omega - \xi_k, \omega - \xi_k \rangle} \right\}.$$

This can be verified e.g. by noting that the vector  $(1 - t_*) \cdot \omega + t_* \cdot \xi_k$  must be perpendicular to the vector  $\omega - \xi_k$ , i.e.

$$\langle (1 - t_*) \cdot \omega + t_* \cdot \xi_k, \omega - \xi_k \rangle = 0.$$

This equation allows one to find  $t_*$  as a function of  $\omega$  and  $\xi_k$ .

#### 2. The Schlesinger-Kozinec algorithm

The Schlesinger-Kozinec algorithm (henceforth "SK algorithm") is an improvement of the Kozinec algorithm that does not seek *any* separating hyperplane, but rather the *optimal* separating hyperplane. To understand what an optimal separating hyperplane is, suppose that we are given a set of labeled data points

$$\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \{-1, 1\},\$$

which are linearly separable according to their labels. Given any separating hyperplane H, its margin is defined to be the distance from H to the closest data point, i.e.

$$m(H) = \min_{i=1,...,m} ||x_i - H||.$$

A separating hyperplane is optimal if it maximizes the margin among all separating hyperplanes. In other words, a hyperplane  $H_*$  is optimal if

$$H_* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{H \in \mathcal{H}} m(H),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the set of all hyperplanes separating the data points according to their labels. The SK algorithm does not, in fact, necessarily find the optimal separating hyperplane  $H_*$ , but rather a so-called  $\varepsilon$ -optimal hyperplane  $H_{\varepsilon}$ , i.e. one that satisfies

$$m(H_*) - m(H_{\varepsilon}) \le \varepsilon.$$

Here,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a parameter that is chosen before letting the algorithm run. The smaller the value of  $\varepsilon$ , the closer the hyperplane returned by the SK algorithm will be to the theoretical optimal separating hyperplane.

As in the previous section, we will work with the "augmented" data points  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$  stemming from a collection of labeled data points  $\{(x_i,y_i)\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$ . As before, a separating hyperplane corresponds to a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  that satisfies (1.1). As mentioned, the SK algorithm does not find  $\omega_*$  (the vector describing the optimal separating hyperplane) itself, but rather a vector  $\omega$  that describes an  $\varepsilon$ optimal hyperplane. The algorithm looks almost the same as the Kozinec algorithm, with the only difference being the stopping condition.

#### Schlesinger-Kozinec algorithm.

- (1) Set  $\omega = \xi_1$ .
- (2) If

$$||\omega|| - \min_{i=1,\dots,m} \frac{\langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle}{||\omega||} \le \varepsilon,$$

stop and return  $\omega$ . Otherwise, set  $\xi_k = \operatorname{argmin}_{i=1,\dots,m} \langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle$ . (3) Set  $\omega_{\text{new}} = (1 - t_*) \cdot \omega + t_* \cdot \xi_k$ , where  $t_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{t \in (0,1]} || (1 - t) \cdot \omega + t \cdot \xi_k ||$ Continue with (2).

Similar remarks as those to the Kozinec algorithm apply here. The idea behind the stopping condition in step (2) above is that  $||\omega||$  is an upper bound for the theoretically optimal margin  $m(H_*)$ , while  $\min_{i=1,...,m} \frac{\langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle}{||\omega||}$  equals the margin of  $H_{\omega}$  (the hyperplane specified by  $\omega$ ). Thus, provided that the stopping condition is satisfied, we have

$$m(H_*) - m(H_\omega) \le ||\omega|| - \min_{i=1,\dots,m} \frac{\langle \omega, \xi_i \rangle}{||\omega||} \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence, once the algorithm terminates, it is guaranteed that the margin of  $H_{\omega}$  is at most  $\varepsilon$  away from the theoretically optimal one.

We close by pointing out that the vector describing the optimal separating hyperplane is given by

$$\omega_* = \underset{\xi \in \operatorname{Conv}(\Xi)}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||\xi||,$$

where  $\operatorname{Conv}(\Xi)$  denotes the convex hull of  $\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_m\}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . See [SH13, Theorem 5.3] for a proof of this statement. This fact justifies the SK algorithm above further as follows. The initial value  $\omega = \xi_1$  clearly is an element of Conv( $\Xi$ ). Each time the value of  $\omega$  is updated according to the algorithm, the new value is again an element of  $Conv(\Xi)$  by construction and, moreover, has strictly smaller norm than the previous value of  $\omega$ . Thus, it is clear that the SK algorithm converges to the optimal value  $\omega_*$  (at least asymptotically, when  $\varepsilon = 0$ ). Again, we refer the reader to [FH03] for more details and illuminating illustrations.

## References

- [FH03] Vojtěch Franc and Václav Hlaváč. An iterative algorithm learning the maximal margin classifier. Pattern Recognition, 36(9):1985-1996, 2003. Kernel and Subspace Methods for Computer Vision.
- [SH13] Michail I. Schlesinger and Václav Hlaváč. Ten lectures on statistical and structural pattern recognition. Computational Imaging and Vision. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2002 edition, March 2013.