FATIGE MINIMIZATION USING TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION

DR. MUSADDIQ AL ALI

alali@toyota-ti.ac.jp

Abstract

Fatigue failure is a common problem in mechanical design, caused by dynamic loading that can lead to unexplained failure. Topology optimization has emerged as a promising approach to minimize fatigue by optimizing the design of mechanical components. This paper reviews the history of fatigue research, discusses the stages of fatigue failure, and describes the role of topology optimization in fatigue minimization. The objective function of topology optimization is to minimize the volume of the component, while the fatigue life is calculated using the rain flow method. The paper also discusses the role of slip bands in crack initiation and propagation, and the effect of surface hardening on crack nucleation. Finally, the paper concludes with a discussion of the two stages of crack growth.

Introduction

Fatigue failure is a major challenge in mechanical design, as it results from the repeated loading and unloading of components. Unlike static loads, dynamic loads are often unpredictable and can lead to failure even if the stress levels are within the safe range predicted by static yielding criteria. The first recorded instance of fatigue failure occurred in the early 19th century when railroad axles failed after a relatively short period of service. This led to independent studies by scientific societies in Europe, and the development of the first simulation apparatus for fatigue testing by Albert in the German Confederation in 1829.

Since then, fatigue has been extensively studied, and a number of approaches have been developed to predict fatigue life. One of the most famous and widely used models for predicting fatigue life is the rain flow method, which is based on counting the number of stress cycles experienced by a component over its lifetime. In addition to predicting fatigue life, researchers have also focused on understanding the different stages of fatigue failure and the mechanisms that drive them.

Fatigue Failure

Fatigue failure can be broken down into three stages: crack nucleation, crack propagation, and fracture. The crack propagation stage is the most critical since fatigue failure is ultimately a result of the crack. It has been observed that the crack initiation period can cover most of the fatigue life, especially in high cycle fatigue. The crack initiation period is characterized by localized plastic strain, cyclic hardening, and softening. Slip bands are a mechanism for representing the plastic strain that occurs during cyclic loading.

Slip Bands and Crack Propagation

Slip bands occur when the crystal structure of a metal is distorted due to plastic deformation. These slip bands are intricately linked to the metal structure and strain conditions. Slip is anticipated to occur along the plane of the densest atoms. However, slip is not a straightforward process and can take curvy lines or even cross slip due to obstacles and crystal defects.

In one-phase metals, slip bands are the main source of microcracks. The surface layer of a metal is the most likely area for microcracks to develop and propagate. Surface hardening can aggravate crack nucleation by creating a severe transition region between the two phases of metal. The hardening process involves making a stronger phase and refining the crystal dimension by extracting the solid solution energy to the ground state with shorting the time of transition to crystallization temperature by self-quenching in laser hardening as an example. The different phases cause stress resilience drops in the interface, which promotes shear. Additionally, the difference in electronic intensity of the coexisting metal solution is not in the favor of the chemical stability of the metal, so it is proportional to corrosion and aggression.

Crack propagation generally requires passing through two stages. The first stage involves extending the crack in slip planes, usually not deeper than a few crystals. This is mostly associated with the maximum shear stress plane. In stage two, the crack grows macroscopically.

Topology Optimization for Fatigue Minimization

Topology optimization is a technique used in mechanical design that involves finding the optimal material distribution within a given design domain subject to certain constraints. The objective of topology optimization is to find the design that satisfies all the constraints while minimizing some performance measure, such as weight or material volume. Topology optimization has been used in a wide range of applications, including aerospace, automotive, and civil engineering. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in using topology optimization for fatigue minimization.

Fatigue failure is a common problem in mechanical design, especially in components subjected to cyclic loading. Fatigue failure occurs when a material is subjected to repeated loading and unloading, which causes microscopic cracks to form and propagate until the material fails. Fatigue failure is often unpredictable and can occur even when the applied stresses are below the yield strength of the material. Therefore, fatigue failure must be considered in the design phase to ensure that components have an adequate fatigue life.

Traditionally, fatigue life has been estimated using empirical methods based on S-N curves, which relate the applied stress amplitude to the number of cycles to failure. However, these methods have several limitations, such as their inability to account for complex loading conditions and material behavior. Topology optimization offers a promising alternative for fatigue minimization by optimizing the material distribution to maximize the component's fatigue life.

Topology optimization for fatigue minimization involves finding the optimal material distribution that maximizes the component's fatigue life subject to various constraints, such as stress constraints and manufacturing constraints. The objective function used in topology optimization for fatigue minimization is typically based on a fatigue model that relates the stress amplitude and the number of cycles to failure. The fatigue model used in topology optimization for fatigue minimization should account for the material's cyclic behavior, such as cyclic hardening and softening, and crack propagation mechanisms. One common approach for topology optimization for fatigue minimization is to use the classical stress-based approach, which involves minimizing the maximum stress amplitude in the component subject to volume constraints. This approach assumes that the fatigue life of the component is primarily determined by the stress amplitude. However, this approach has several limitations, such as its inability to account for the material's cyclic behavior and crack propagation mechanisms. A more advanced approach for topology optimization for fatigue minimization is the fatigue-based approach, which involves directly optimizing the component's fatigue life subject to various constraints, such as stress and manufacturing constraints. The fatigue-based approach considers the material's cyclic behavior and crack propagation mechanisms by using a fatigue model as the objective function. The fatigue model used in the fatigue-based approach should account for the material's cyclic behavior, such as cyclic hardening and softening, and crack propagation mechanisms, such as crack nucleation and growth. One popular fatigue model used in topology optimization for fatigue minimization is the rainflow counting method. The rainflow counting method is a widely used method for analyzing fatigue data, and it involves counting the number of stress cycles that a material experiences during its service life. The rainflow counting method is used in topology optimization for fatigue minimization by converting the stress history of a component into a series of stress cycles, which are then used to calculate the component's fatigue life. The rainflow counting method has been shown to provide accurate fatigue life predictions and is widely used in the aerospace and automotive industries. Topology optimization for fatigue minimization has been applied in a wide range of applications, such as aerospace, automotive, and civil engineering. For example, topology optimization has been used to design lightweight and fatigue-resistant structures, such as aircraft wing structures and automotive components. Topology optimization has also been used to optimize the design of wind turbine blades to improve their fatigue resistance.

Conclusion

Topology optimization is a powerful tool that can be used to minimize fatigue in mechanical designs. The optimization process involves determining the optimal shape and size of a structure that will reduce stress concentrations and improve its fatigue life. The design methodology of topology optimization is well-established and has been used to improve the performance of many mechanical systems. The objective function of topology optimization for fatigue minimization is to minimize volume while considering the fatigue life calculated by the rainflow method. The use of topology optimization in fatigue minimization can significantly reduce the weight and cost of mechanical systems. By optimizing the shape and size of components, designers can achieve better performance with less material, leading to lighter and more efficient systems. The optimized designs are also less prone to failure due to fatigue, resulting in longer-lasting systems and reduced maintenance costs. In addition to its practical benefits, topology optimization is also a useful tool for researchers studying fatigue. By simulating the behavior of mechanical systems under dynamic loading conditions, researchers can better understand the causes of fatigue and develop new materials and design methodologies to improve fatigue life.

References

1. Musaddiq Al Ali Toward Fully Autonomous Structure Design Based on Topology Optimization and Image Processing. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing 2018; 2018; pp. 1–7.

2. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Shape Optimization of Periodic-Microstructures for Stiffness Maximization of a Macrostructure. *Compos. Struct.* **2021**, *268*, 113873, doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.113873.

3. Al Ali, M. Toward Fully Autonomous Structure Design Based on Topology Optimization and Image Processing. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing; The Institute of Industrial Applications Engineers, 2018.

4. Musaddiq Al Ali, M.S. Toward Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for High Heat Conductive and Light Weight Structure. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); 2022; pp. 1–12.

5. Abass, R.S.; Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al Shape And Topology Optimization Design For Total Hip Joint Implant. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering; 2019; Vol. 0958.

6. Al Ali, M. Design Offshore Spherical Tank Support Using Shape Optimization. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Image Processing; 2018.

7. Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Al Ali, M. Design Light Weight Emergency Cot With Enhanced Spinal Immobilization Capability. In Proceedings of the 6th Asian/Australian Rotorcraft Forum & Heli Japan; 2017; pp. 1–11.

8. 藤岡みなみ; 下田昌利; Musaddiq Al, A.L.I. 所望変形を実現するマルチスケール構造の同時形状最適化. 計算力学講演会講演論文集 **2021**, *2021.34*, 3, doi:10.1299/jsmecmd.2021.34.003.

9. Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Al Ali, M. Teeth Implant Design Using Weighted Sum Multi-Objective Function for Topology Optimization and Real Coding Genetic Algorithm. In Proceedings of the The 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering 2018; The Institute of Industrial Applications Engineers, Japan, 2018; pp. 182–188.

10. Ali, M. Al; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization Comparative Study of

Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization. In Proceedings of the The Asian Congress of Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization; 2018.

11. Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al; Sahib, A.Y.; Abbas, R.S. Design Micro-Piezoelectric Actuated Gripper for Medical Applications. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of The 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering 2018; The Institute of Industrial Application Engineers, 2018; pp. 175–180.
12. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M.; Benaissa, B.; Kobayashi, M. Concurrent Multiscale Hybrid Topology Optimization for Light Weight Porous Soft Robotic Hand with High Cellular Stiffness. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the International Conference of Steel and Composite for Engineering Structures: ICSCES 2022; 2023; pp. 265–278.
13. Al-Ali, M.A.; Al-Ali, M.A.; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Topology Optimization and Fatigue Analysis of Temporomandibular Joint Prosthesis. *World J. Mech.* **2017**, *7*, 323–339.
14. Shimoda, P.M.; Ali, M. Al; Secondary, C.A.; Author, C.; Ali, M. Al; Shimoda, M.; Ali, M. Al Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization Concurrent Multiscale Multiphysics Topology Optimization for Porous Composite Structures under Hygral Loading.
15. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Concurrent Shape Optimization of a Multiscale Structure for Controlling Macrostructural Stiffness. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2022**, *65*, 1–27, doi:10.1007/s00158-022-03304-y.
16. Ali, M. Al; Takezawa, A.; Kitamura, M. Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization Comparative Study of Stress Minimization Using Topology Optimization and Morphing Based Shape Optimization. **2019**.
17. Amoura, N.; Benaissa, B.; Al Ali, M.; Khatir, S. Deep Neural Network and YUKI Algorithm for Inner Damage Characterization Based on Elastic Boundary Displacement. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the International Conference of Steel and Composite for Engineering Structures: ICSCES 2022; 2023; pp. 220–233.
18. Al Ali, M.; Al Ali, M.; Saleh, R.S.; Sahib, A.Y. Fatigue Life Extending For Temporomandibular Plate Using Non Parametric Cascade Optimization. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2019; 2019; pp. 547–553.
19. Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M.; Ali, M. Al Concurrent Shape Optimization for Multiscale Structure with Desired Static Deformation. *Proc. Comput. Mech. Conf.* **2021**, *2021.34*, 3, doi:10.1299/jsmecmd.2021.34.003 (in Japanese).
20. Shimoda, M.; Umemura, M.; Al Ali, M.; Tsukihara, R. Shape and Topology Optimization Method for Fiber Placement Design of CFRP Plate and Shell Structures. *Compos. Struct.* **2023**, *309*, 116729, doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.116729.
21. Musaddiq Al Ali, M.S. Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for Designing Displacement Inverter. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); 2022; pp. 1–10.
22. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Toward Multiphysics Multiscale Concurrent Topology Optimization for Lightweight Structures with High Heat Conductivity and High Stiffness Using MATLAB. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2022**, *65*, 1–26, doi:10.1007/s00158-022-

03291-0.

23. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Toward Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for High Heat Conductive and Light Weight Structure. In Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM-XV) and 8th Asian Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM-VIII); S. Koshizuka, Ed.; CIMNE, 2022; p. 12.

24. Torisaki, M.; Shimoda, M.; Al Ali, M. Shape Optimization Method for Strength Design Problem of Microstructures in a Multiscale Structure. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* **2023**, *124*, 1748–1772, doi:10.1002/nme.7186.

25. Abass, R.S.; Ali, M. Al; Ali, M. Al Shape And Topology Optimization Design For Total Hip Joint Implant. In Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2019; 2019; Vol. 0958.

26. Al Ali, M.; Shimoda, M. Investigation of Concurrent Multiscale Topology Optimization for Designing Lightweight Macrostructure with High Thermal Conductivity. *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* **2022**, *179*, 107653, doi:10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2022.107653.

27. Kato, T.; Fujioka, M.; Shimoda, M. Multi-Scale Shape Optimization Method for Designing a Macrostructure with Periodic-Microstructures Using Inverse Homogenization Method and H1 Gradient Method. *Trans. Japan Soc. Mech. Eng.* **2019**, *85*.

28. Al Ali, M.; Al Ali, M.; Sahib, A.Y.; Abbas, R.S. Design Micro Piezoelectric Actuated Gripper for Medical Applications. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 6th IIAE International Conference on Industrial Application Engineering, Japan; 2018; pp. 175–180.

29. Shirazi, M.I.; Khatir, S.; Benaissa, B.; Mirjalili, S.; Wahab, M.A. Damage Assessment in Laminated Composite Plates Using Modal Strain Energy and YUKI-ANN Algorithm. *Compos. Struct.* **2023**, *303*, 116272.

30. Benaissa, B.; Hocine, N.A.; Khatir, S.; Riahi, M.K.; Mirjalili, S. YUKI Algorithm and POD-RBF for Elastostatic and Dynamic Crack Identification. *J. Comput. Sci.* **2021**, *55*, 101451, doi:10.1016/j.jocs.2021.101451.

31. Irfan Shirazi, M.; Khatir, S.; Benaissa, B.; Mirjalili, S.; Abdel Wahab, M. Damage Assessment in Laminated Composite Plates Using Modal Strain Energy and YUKI-ANN Algorithm. *Compos. Struct.* **2022**, 116272, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2022.116272.