Dictionary with Binary Search Trees

Introduction

The objective of this work is to implement a dictionary using a binary search tree (BST) as the base of the data structure, and then compare it with the default dict class of python. I started my implementation from the Python BST implementation in [1].

Implementation

First, I moved the code from *Python 2* to *Python 3* because I am used to work with *Python 3*. After that, I modified the *Node* class to let it accept a *key* and a *value*. The main idea of this modification is to use the *key* as the normal value of a BST, and add a new parameter in the node saving the *value* corresponding to the *key* of the node. In my opinion, this is a simple modification that adds a great functionality to the data structure.

Regarding the operations, all the standard ones were done in the implementation that I took from the Internet, but the deletion operation was not completed, and the insertion operation does not behave as I wanted. The deletion operation did not take into account that a node can have no parent, hence when I wanted to delete the root node of the main tree, it did nothing. For each of the cases (0, 1 and more than 1 children), I implemented the behavior of the deletion operation for the root node of the main tree. For the insertion operation, I had to force the tree to not allow multiple keys by modifying the operation itself. Instead of adding a pair key-value without checking if the key is already in the tree, now the BST dictionary checks it and swaps the previous value with the new one, as the Python dictionary behaves.

Experiment

As it is known, the *Python* dictionary allows the *key* to be whatever hashable class, and the *value* whatever class. In my case, the *key* has to be a comparable and ordered class. With my own implementation I tried to insert different types of *values* (boolean, dictionaries and lists), with different types of *keys* (integer, float, list and dictionaries).

After that, I tested the performance of the main operations of the BST dictionary and the *Python* one. In order to do that, I generated randomly 1000 integer *keys* and another 1000 integer *values*. First, I inserted them to both dictionaries, then I searched each of the keys, and finally I deleted all of them one by one.

Results

In the type acceptance test, only when I tried to insert a pair key-value with a dictionary as a key, the BST crashed. I checked it for the Python one and it also failed.

In the performance test, the *Python* dictionary outperforms the BST one, as you can see in table 1.

Operation	Python	\mathbf{BST}
Insertion	0.3516	28.728
Search	0.2737	4.059
Deletion	0.3114	3.905

Table 1: Performance results (in milliseconds)

References

 $[1] \ \ Nahim Nasser \ (Git Hub). \ \ Binary \ tree. \ \ \ \\ \textbf{https://gist.github.com/NahimNasser/4705371}, \ 2012.$