

Notation Glossary:

z_j^l	Pre-Activation of j^{th} neuron in the l^{th} layer
a_j^l	(Post)-Activation of j^{th} neuron in the l^{th} layer
x_k	Input (0^{th}) layer activations
$A()$	General element-wise activation function
w_{jk}^l	Weight matrix of l^{th} layer
b_j^l	Bias vector of l^{th} layer
g_{jk}^l	Jacobian between layer l and $l - 1$
J_{jk}^l	Jacobian between input (0^{th}) layer and layer l
H_{jk}^l	Diagonal Hessian between input (0^{th}) layer and layer l
\odot	Direct product over repeated index rather than summation

Network Jacobian:

In following derivations assume the standard feed forward relationships:

$$a_j^l = A(z_j^l) \quad (1)$$

$$z_j^l = \sum_k w_{jk}^l a_k^{l-1} + b_j^l \quad (2)$$

Note that the network's output corresponds to the L^{th} layer activations a_j^L . Begin by considering the layer to layer Jacobian:

$$\frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial a_k^{l-1}} = \sum_q \frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial z_q^l} \frac{\partial z_q^l}{\partial a_k^{l-1}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \sum_q \frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial z_q^l} w_{qk}^l \quad (4)$$

$$g_{jk}^l = A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jk}^l \quad (5)$$

Going 1 more layer back:

$$\frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial a_k^{l-2}} = \sum_q \left[(A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l) (A'(z_q^{l-1}) \odot w_{qk}^{l-1}) \right] \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_q g_{jq}^l g_{qk}^{l-1} \quad (7)$$

This relationship can be recurred to obtain:

$$J_{jk}^l \equiv \frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \dots} g_{j\alpha}^l g_{\alpha\beta}^{l-1} \dots g_{\mu k}^1 \quad (8)$$

Network Hessian:

The general l^{th} layer Hessian can be expressed as:

$$H_{jkm}^l \equiv \frac{\partial^2 a_j^l}{\partial x_m \partial x_k} = \frac{\partial J_{jk}^l}{\partial x_m} \quad (9)$$

For constructing Physics Loss functions, often only the diagonal Hessian is needed. Let:

$$\sum_k \delta_{mk} H_{jkm}^l \equiv H_{jk}^l \quad (10)$$

A further useful property of the full Hessian is its symmetry in the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} indices (by property of partial derivatives):

$$H_{jkm} = H_{jmk} \quad (11)$$

We can also note the useful base cases:

$$J_{jk}^0 = \delta_{jk} \quad (12)$$

$$H_{jkm}^0 = 0 \quad (13)$$

To derive the full Hessian we consider a recursive method and start from:

$$J_{jk}^l \equiv \sum_q g_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} = \sum_q \left[A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} \right] \quad (14)$$

Considering the Hessian as the derivative of the Jacobian:

$$H_{jkm}^l = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \sum_q \left[A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} \right] \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^l) \odot \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial x_m} \odot w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l \frac{\partial J_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial x_m} \right] \quad (16)$$

We can recover several familiar terms from this expression, starting with

$$\frac{\partial J_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial x_m} \equiv H_{qkm}^{l-1}, \quad (17)$$

and likewise, simplifying the first term:

$$\frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial x_m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \sum_q [w_{jq}^l a_q^{l-1} + b_j^l] = \sum_q w_{jq}^l \frac{\partial a_q^{l-1}}{\partial x_m} = \sum_q w_{jq}^l J_{qm}^{l-1}. \quad (18)$$

Putting these expressions together we yield a recursion relation for the full Hessian:

$$H_{jkm}^l = \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^l) \odot (w_{jq}^l)^2 J_{qm}^{l-1} J_{qk}^{l-1} + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qkm}^{l-1} \right] \quad (19)$$

Finally, we can contract over m & k to obtain the diagonal Hessian of the l^{th} layer activations (with respect to the inputs x_k):

$$H_{jk}^l = \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^l) \odot (w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1})^2 + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qk}^{l-1} \right] \quad (20)$$

Jacobian and Hessian Loss Derivatives:

If we construct a Physics Loss using Jacobian and/or diagonal Hessian terms, we will need to propagate the according loss derivative through the network (standard backpropagation). This will require: $\frac{\partial J_{jk}^L}{\partial a_m^L}$

and $\frac{\partial H_{jk}^L}{\partial a_m^L}$. We begin with the simpler Jacobian using the form $J_{jk}^l = \sum_m A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jm}^l J_{mk}^{l-1}$. First, a useful yet somewhat counterintuitive result is derived for $\frac{\partial J_{jk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l}$ which is *not* in fact zero.

$$\frac{\partial a_m^l}{\partial J_{jk}^{l-1}} = \sum_p \frac{\partial a_m^l}{\partial x_p} \frac{\partial x_p}{\partial J_{jk}^{l-1}} \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_p \frac{J_{mp}^l}{H_{jkp}^{l-1}} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial J_{jk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l} = \sum_p \frac{H_{jkp}^{l-1}}{J_{mp}^l} \quad (23)$$

For the Jacobian derivative, a recursion relation is not needed and we can evaluate it directly for the L^{th} (output) layer:

$$\frac{\partial J_{jk}^L}{\partial a_m^L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_m^L} \sum_q \left[A'(z_j^L) \odot w_{jq}^L J_{qk}^{L-1} \right] \quad (24)$$

$$= \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^L) \odot \frac{\partial z_j^L}{\partial a_m^L} w_{jq}^L J_{qk}^{L-1} \right] + \sum_q \left[A'(z_j^L) \odot w_{jq}^L \frac{\partial J_{qk}^{L-1}}{\partial a_m^L} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$= \sum_q \left[\delta_{jm} \frac{A''(z_j^L)}{A'(z_m^L)} \odot w_{jq}^L J_{qk}^{L-1} \right] + \sum_{q,r} \left[A'(z_j^L) \odot w_{jq}^L \frac{H_{qkr}^{L-1}}{J_{mr}^L} \right] \quad (26)$$

$$= \delta_{jm} \frac{A''(z_j^L)}{[A'(z_m^L)]^2} \odot J_{jk}^L + \sum_{q,r} \left[A'(z_j^L) \odot w_{jq}^L \frac{H_{qkr}^{L-1}}{J_{mr}^L} \right] \quad (27)$$

The derivation for the Hessian derivative follows much of the same logic but is recursive (thus we consider it for a general l^{th} layer):

$$\frac{\partial H_{jk}^l}{\partial a_m^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_m^l} \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^l) \odot \left(w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} \right)^2 + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qk}^{l-1} \right] \quad (28)$$

$$= \sum_q \left[\delta_{jm} \frac{A'''(z_j^l)}{A'(z_m^l)} \odot \left(w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1} \right)^2 + 2A''(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l \sum_p \left(\frac{H_{qkp}^{l-1}}{J_{mp}^l} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \delta_{jm} \frac{A''(z_j^l)}{A'(z_m^l)} \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qk}^{l-1} + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l \frac{\partial H_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l} \right] \quad (29)$$

The only problematic term here is $\frac{\partial H_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l}$ as it does not immediately lend itself to an obvious recursion relation. However, we can consider:

$$\frac{\partial H_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l} = \sum_p \frac{\partial H_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_p^{l-1}} \frac{\partial a_p^{l-1}}{\partial a_m^l} \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_p \frac{\partial H_{qk}^{l-1}}{\partial a_p^{l-1}} \frac{1}{A'(z_m^l) \odot w_{mp}^l} \quad (31)$$

This *does* seem recursive and we can also spot the base case for $l = 1$:

$$\frac{\partial H_{qk}^0}{\partial a_m^1} = \sum_p \frac{\partial H_{qk}^0}{\partial a_m^0} \frac{1}{A'(z_m^1) \odot w_{mp}^1} \quad (32)$$

$$= 0 \quad (33)$$

Where we have used the fact that $a_p^0 \equiv x_k$ (the input layer activations are identical to the model inputs) and from earlier we noted that $H_{jk}^0 = 0$. Performing the first recursion iteration to demonstrate:

$$\frac{\partial H_{jk}^1}{\partial a_m^1} = \sum_q \delta_{jm} \frac{A'''(z_j^1)}{A'(z_m^1)} \odot (w_{jq}^1 J_{qk}^0)^2 \quad (34)$$

All the terms proportional to H_{jkm}^0 , H_{jk}^0 or $\frac{\partial H_{jk}^0}{\partial a_m^1}$ are zero. For completeness, this is substituted into the earlier equation to give:

$$\frac{\partial H_{jk}^l}{\partial a_m^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_m^l} \sum_q \left[A''(z_j^l) \odot (w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1})^2 + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qk}^{l-1} \right] \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_q \left[\delta_{jm} \frac{A'''(z_j^l)}{A'(z_m^l)} \odot (w_{jq}^l J_{qk}^{l-1})^2 + 2A''(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l \sum_p \left(\frac{H_{qp}^{l-1}}{J_{mp}^l} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_{jm} \frac{A''(z_j^l)}{A'(z_m^l)} \odot w_{jq}^l H_{qk}^{l-1} + A'(z_j^l) \odot w_{jq}^l \sum_p \left(\frac{\partial H_{qp}^{l-1}}{\partial a_p^{l-1}} \frac{1}{A'(z_m^l) \odot w_{mp}^l} \right) \right] \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$