# Course 5

# Pumping Lemma

- Not all languages are regular
- How to decide if a language is regular or not?

• Idea: pump symbols

Example:  $L = \{0^n1^n \mid n > = 0\}$ 

### **Theorem**: (Pumping lemma, Bar-Hillel)

Let **L** be a regular language.  $\exists p \in N$ , such that if  $w \in L$  with |w| > p, then w = xyz, where 0 < |y| < = p and  $xy^iz \in L$ ,  $\forall i \geq 0$ 

### **Proof**

```
L regular => \exists M = (Q,\Sigma,\delta, q<sub>0</sub>, F) such that L= L(M)

Let |Q| = p

If w \in L(M): (q<sub>0</sub>,w) \vdash (q<sub>f</sub>,\varepsilon), q<sub>f</sub>\inF process at least p+1 symbols and |w|>p
```

$$\Rightarrow \exists q_1 \text{ that appear in at least 2 configurations}$$
  
 $(q_0,xyz) \not\models (q_1,yz) \not\vdash (q_1,z) \not\models (q_f, \varepsilon), q_f \in F \Rightarrow 0 <= |y| <= p$ 

# Proof (cont)

```
(q_0,xy^iz) \vdash^* (q_1,y^iz)
                        +^* (q_1, y^{i-1}z)
                        ⊢* ...
                        + (q<sub>1</sub>,yz)
                        +^* (q<sub>1</sub>, z)
                        +^*(q_f, \varepsilon), q_f \in F
So, if w=xyz \in L then xy^iz \in L, for all i>0
If i=0: (q_0,xz) \stackrel{*}{\vdash} (q_1,z) \stackrel{*}{\vdash} (q_f,\varepsilon), q_f \in F
```

## **Example**: $L = \{0^n1^n \mid n >= 0\}$

Suppose L is regular => w= xyz =  $0^{n}1^{n}$ 

Consider all possible decomposition =>

Case 1. 
$$y = 0^k$$

$$xyz = 0^{n-k}0^k1^n$$
;  $xy^iz = 0^{n-k}0^{ik}1^n \notin L$ 

Case 2. 
$$y = 1^k$$

$$xyz = 0^{n}1^{k}1^{n-k}$$
;  $xy^{i}z = 0^{n}1^{ik}1^{n-k} \notin L$ 

Case 3.  $y = 0^k 1^l$ 

$$xyz = 0^{n-k}0^k1^l1^{n-l}; xy^iz = 0^{n-k}(0^k1^l)^i1^{n-l} \notin L$$

Case 4.  $y = 0^k 1^K$ 

$$xyz = 0^{n-k}0^k1^k1^{n-k}$$
;  $xy^iz = 0^{n-k}0^k1^k0^k1^k...1^{n-l} \notin L$ 

=> L is not regular

# Context free grammars (cfg)

# Context free grammar (cfg)

• Produtions of the form: A  $\rightarrow \alpha$ , A $\in$ N,  $\alpha \in$ (NU $\Sigma$ )\*

More powerful

Can model programming language:

$$G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$$
 s.t.  $L(G) = programming language$ 

## Syntax tree

**Definition**: A syntax tree corresponding to a cfg  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree obtained in the following way:

- 1. Root is the starting symbol S
- 2. Nodes ∈  $NU\Sigma$ :
  - 1. Internal nodes ∈N
  - 2. Leaves ∈ $\Sigma$
- 3. For a node A the descendants in order from left to right are  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  only if  $A \rightarrow X_1X_2... X_n \in P$

#### **Remarks:**

- a) Parse tree = syntax tree result of parsing (syntatic analysis)
- b) Derivation tree condition 2.2 not satisfied
- c) Abstract syntax tree (AST) ≠ syntax tree (semantic analysis)

# Syntax tree (cont)

**Property:** In a cfg  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,  $w \in L(G)$  if and only if there exists a syntax tree with frontier w.

Proof: HW

# Example: S-> aSbS | c; w = aacbcbc

#### **Leftmost derivations**

=> aacbcbS => aacbcbc

### **Rightmost derivations**

**Definition**: A cfg  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$  is ambigous if for a  $w \in L(G)$  there exists 2 distinct syntax tree with frontier w.

Example:

# Parsing (syntax analysis) modeled with cfg:

### cfg G = (N, $\Sigma$ ,P,S):

- N nonterminal: syntactical constructions: declaration, statement, expression, a.s.o.
- $\Sigma$  terminals; elements of the language: identifiers, constants, reserved words, operators, separators
- P syntactical rules expressed in BNF simple transformation
- S syntactical construct corresponding to program

#### THEN

Program syntactical correct  $\langle = \rangle$  w  $\in$  L(G)

# Equivalent transformation of cfg

### Unproductive symbols

### **Definition**

A nonterminal A este *unproductive* in a cfg if does not generate any word:  $\{w \mid A =>^* w, w \in \Sigma^*\} = \emptyset$ .

### Algorithm 1: Elimination of unproductive symbols

```
input: G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)
output: G' = (N', \Sigma, P', S), L(G) = L(G')
                                            // idea: build N_0, N_1, ... recursively (until saturation)
step 1: N_0 = \emptyset; i:=1;
step 2: N_i = N_{i-1} \cup \{A \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \alpha \in (N_{i-1} \cup \Sigma)^*\}
step 3: if N_i \ll N_{i-1} then i:=i+1; goto step 2
                                 else N' = N_i
                                 then L(G) = \emptyset
step 4: if S \notin N'
                                 else P' = \{A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P \text{ and } A \in N'\}
```

# Example

```
G = ({S,A,B,C,D}, {a,b,c}, P,S)

P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aC

A \rightarrow AB

B \rightarrow b

C \rightarrow aC \mid CD

D \rightarrow b
```

### Inaccesible symbols

### **Definition**

A symbol  $X \in NU\Sigma$  is *inaccesible* in a cfg if X does not appear in any sentential form:  $\forall S => \alpha, X \notin \alpha$ 

### Algorithm 2: Elimination of inaccessible symbols

```
input: G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)
output: G' = (N', \Sigma', P', S), L(G) = L(G') and
              \forall X \in NU\Sigma \exists \alpha, \beta \in (N'U\Sigma')^* \text{ s.t. } S =>^*_{G'} \alpha X \beta.
step 1: V_0 = \{S\}; i:=1;
step 2: V_i = V_{i-1} \cup \{X \mid \exists A \rightarrow \alpha X \beta \in P, A \in V_{i-1}\}
step 3: if V_i \leftrightarrow V_{i-1} then i:=i+1; goto step 2
                                        else N' = N \cap V_i
                                                      \Sigma' = \Sigma \cap V_i
                                                      P' = \{A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, A \in N', \alpha \in (N \cup \Sigma)^* \}
```

# Example

```
G = ({S,A,B,C,D}, {a,b,c,d}, P,S)

P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aC

A \rightarrow AB

B \rightarrow b

C \rightarrow aC \mid bCb

D \rightarrow bB \mid d
```

### $\varepsilon$ -productions

### Algorithm 3: Elimination of $\varepsilon$ -productions

input:  $cfg G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ 

output:  $cfg G' = (N', \Sigma, P', S')$ 

step 1: construct 
$$\overline{N} = \{A \mid A \in N, A=>^+ \epsilon\}$$

1.a. 
$$N_0 := \{A \mid A \rightarrow \varepsilon \in P\};$$
  
  $i := 1:$ 

1.b. 
$$N_i := N_{i-1} \cup \{A \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \alpha \in N^*_{i-1}\}$$

1.c. if  $N_i \ll N_{i-1}$  then i:=i+1; goto step 1.b else  $\overline{N} = N_i$ 

A->BC

Β->ε

3<-D

### **Definition**

A cfg G=(N, $\Sigma$ ,P,S) is without  $\varepsilon$ -productions if 1. P  $\not\ni$  A ->  $\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon$ -productions) OR

2.  $\exists$  S→ $\epsilon$  si S  $\notin$  rhs(p), $\forall$ p  $\in$  P

step 2: Let P' = set of productions built:

2.a. if 
$$A \rightarrow \alpha_0 B_1 \alpha_1 B_2 \alpha_2 \dots B_k \alpha_k \in P$$
,  $k \ge 0$  and for  $i := 1, k B_i \in N$ 

and 
$$\alpha_i \notin \overline{N}$$
, j:=0,k

then add to P' all prod of the form

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_0 X_1 \alpha_1 X_2 \alpha_2 \dots X_k \alpha_k$$

where  $X_i$  is  $B_i$  or  $\varepsilon$  (not  $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

2.b if 
$$S \in \mathbb{N}'$$
 then add  $S'$  to  $\mathbb{N}'$  and  $S' \rightarrow S \mid \varepsilon$  to  $P$  else  $\mathbb{N}' := \mathbb{N}$ :  $S' := S$ .

# Example

```
G = ({S,A,B}, {a,b},P,S)
P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aAbB
A \rightarrow aA \mid B
B \rightarrow bB \mid \epsilon
```

# Single productions

### **Definition**

O production of the form A→B is called single production or renaming rule.

#### Algorithm 4: Elimination of single productions

*Input*: cfg G, without  $\varepsilon$ -productions

Output: G' s.t. L(G) = L(G')

For each  $A \in N$  build the set  $N_A = \{B \mid A \Rightarrow^* B\}$ :

1.a. 
$$N_0 := \{A\}$$
, i:=1

1.b. 
$$N_i := N_{i-1} \cup \{C \mid B \rightarrow C \in P \text{ si } B \in N_{i-1}\}$$

1.c. if 
$$N_i \neq N_{i-1}$$
 then i:=i+1 goto 1.b.

else 
$$N_A := N_i$$

P': for all  $A \in N$  do

for all 
$$B \in N_A$$
 do

if 
$$B \rightarrow \alpha \in P$$
 and not "single" then  $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P'$ 

$$G' = (N, \Sigma, P', S)$$

# Example

G = ({E,T,F},{a,(,),+,\*},P,E)  
P: 
$$E \to E+T \mid T$$
  
 $T \to T*F \mid F$   
 $F \to (E) \mid a$