```
Methods and xspliner environment
                                                                                                          Contents
   Krystian Igras
                                                                                                            Predict
   2019-10-05
                                                                                                            Print
   Predict
                                                                                                            Predictor based print
   As xspliner final model is GLM, predict method is just wrapper of stats::predict.glm function. Let's see it on the below
                                                                                                            Plot
   example:
                                                                                                            Transition
    library(xspliner)
                                                                                                            Extracting effect
    library(randomForest)
    library(magrittr)
                                                                                                            Extracting transition model
    rf_iris <- randomForest(Petal.Width ~ Sepal.Length + Petal.Length + Species, data = iris)</pre>
                                                                                                            Extracting transition function
    model_xs <- xspline(Petal.Width ~</pre>
      Sepal.Length +
      xs(Petal.Length, effect = list(grid.resolution = 100), transition = list(bs = "cr")) +
                                                                                                            Summary
      xf(Species, transition = list(stat = "loglikelihood", value = −300)),
      model = rf_iris)
                                                                                                            GLM summary
    newdata <- data.frame(</pre>
      Sepal.Length = 10,
                                                                                                            Predictor based summary
      Petal.Length = 2,
      Species = factor("virginica", levels = levels(iris$Species)))
                                                                                                            Surrogate vs Black Box
    predict(model_xs, newdata = newdata)
                                                                                                            comparison
    ## -0.2733431
   Print
   Print method works similarly to the summary. In case of passing just the model, standard print.glm is used.
    print(model_xs)
    ##
    ## Call: stats::glm(formula = Petal.Width ~ Sepal.Length + xs(Petal.Length) +
           xf(Species), family = family, data = data)
    ##
    ## Coefficients:
                          (Intercept)
                                                          Sepal.Length
    ##
                             -2.05021
                                                              -0.01101
                     xs(Petal.Length) xf(Species)versicolorvirginica
                              3.11587
                                                              -0.52469
    ## Degrees of Freedom: 149 Total (i.e. Null); 146 Residual
    ## Null Deviance:
                            86.57
    ## Residual Deviance: 5.15 AIC: -70.05
   Predictor based print
   Summary method allows you to check details about transformation of specific variable.
   Standard usage print(xspliner_object, variable_name)
   Quantitative variable
   When predictor is the quantitative variable its transition is based on GAM model. For this case print uses standard
   print.gam method.
    print(model_xs, "Petal.Length")
    ##
    ## Family: gaussian
    ## Link function: identity
    ## Formula:
    ## yhat ~ s(Petal.Length, bs = "cr")
    ## Estimated degrees of freedom:
    ## 8.81 total = 9.81
    ## GCV score: 0.001295152
   Qualitative variable
   In case of qualitative predictor, standard print.factorMerger method is used.
    print(model_xs, "Species")
    ## Family: gaussian Factor Merger.
    ## Factor levels were merged in the following order:
                                 model pvalVsFull pvalVsPrevious
            groupA groupB
                                                -257.9975 1
            versicolor virginica -273.2059 0
    ## 1
                    versicolorvirginica
                                                -352.6976
            setosa
<sup>∞</sup> Plot
   You can see all details in graphics
```

```
## Estimated degrees of freedom:
## 8.81 total = 9.81
##
## GCV score: 0.001295152
```

Family: gaussian Factor Merger.

Extracting transition function

 $x \leftarrow seq(1, 7, length.out = 50)$

000000000

plot(x, petal_length_xs(x))

0.8

[1] setosa

Summary

Coefficients:

(Intercept)

Sepal.Length

AIC: -70.054

##

transition.

##

summary(model_xs, "Species")

orig

setosa

probabilities of success:

xs(Petal.Length)

xf(Species)versicolorvirginica -0.52469

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2

Predictor based summary

offers.

yhat ~ s(Petal.Length, bs = "cr")

Transition

types that can be extracted.

Extracting effect

PDP - for qualitative ones ICE.

Petal.Length

Species

2 versicolor 0.7119859

5 versicolor 0.7027318

6 virginica 0.8558598

optimal factor division on that.

Family: gaussian

Formula:

Link function: identity

virginica 0.8650061

head

1

2

3

5

6

head

##

##

Transition method allows you to extract objects used during building transition of variables. There are three possible object

Each transition is built on top of the black box response data. For example the default response for quantitative variables is

After we built transition basing on continuity of variable specific model is created. In case of quantitative predictor we build

GAM model in order to get spline approximation of effect. In case of qualitative predictor we build factorMerger object and get

In order to extract the effect use transition method with type parameter equals to data

transition(model_xs, predictor = "Petal.Length", type = "data") %>%

transition(model_xs, predictor = "Species", type = "data") %>%

yhat yhat.id

To extract the model, use transition method with type = "base":

transition(model_xs, predictor = "Petal.Length", type = "base")

transition(model_xs, predictor = "Species", type = "base")

To extract the function just use transition method with type = "function".

3

species_xf(c("setosa", "versicolor", "virginica"))

Levels: setosa versicolorvirginica

Χ

versicolorvirginica versicolorvirginica

Summary method allows you to check the basic model details. See below what possibilities the method to xspliner model

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

0.14181 -14.458 < 2e-16 ***

0.21528 14.474 < 2e-16 ***

0.11510 -4.559 1.08e-05 ***

0.03623 -0.304 0.762

species_xf <- transition(model_xs, predictor = "Species", type = "function")</pre>

Factor levels were merged in the following order:

yhat

1.000000 0.7466766

1.059596 0.7466766

1.119192 0.7466766

1.178788 0.7499946

1.238384 0.7514118

1.297980 0.7542732

setosa 0.2463188

setosa 0.2210225

Extracting transition model

The final result of building transition is transformation function, that is used in the final GLM model estimation.

petal_length_xs <- transition(model_xs, predictor = "Petal.Length", type = "function")</pre>

6

```
GLM summary

Standard summary method is just wrapper for summary::glm. In order to use this just type:

summary(model_xs)

##

## Call:

## stats::glm(formula = Petal.Width ~ Sepal.Length + xs(Petal.Length) +

## xf(Species), family = family, data = data)

##

## Deviance Residuals:

## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max

## -0.67996 -0.07974 -0.01344 0.10639 0.49730

##
```

-2.05021

-0.01101

3.11587

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Null deviance: 86.5699 on 149 degrees of freedom

Summary method allows you to check details about transformation of specific variable.

Residual deviance: 5.1504 on 146 degrees of freedom

(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.03527647)

```
Standard usage summary(xspliner_object, variable_name)
Quantitative variable
When predictor is quantitative variable its transition is based on GAM model. For this case summary displays summary of that
model.
 summary(model_xs, "Petal.Length")
 ##
 ## Family: gaussian
 ## Link function: identity
 ## Formula:
 ## yhat ~ s(Petal.Length, bs = "cr")
 ## Parametric coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
 ## (Intercept) 1.204452 0.003418 352.4 <2e-16 ***
 ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
 ## Approximate significance of smooth terms:
                      edf Ref.df F p-value
 ## s(Petal.Length) 8.808 8.989 771.6 <2e-16 ***
 ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
 ## R-sq.(adj) = 0.986 Deviance explained = 98.7%
 ## GCV = 0.0012952    Scale est. = 0.0011681    n = 100
Qualitative variable
```

```
## 2 versicolor versicolorvirginica
 ## 3 virginica versicolorvirginica
Surrogate vs Black Box comparison
Providing model parameter instead of predictor, the summary displays a few statistics that compares original model with
surrogate one. All statistics definitions are included in summary.xspline documentation.
Here we show one example for classification model.
For this example we use ISLR::Default data and build svm model as black box. The model aims to predict default
variable, indicating whether the customer defaulted on their debt.
 library(xspliner)
 library(e1071)
 ## Warning: package 'e1071' was built under R version 3.5.2
  set.seed(1)
  data <- ISLR::Default</pre>
 default.svm <- svm(default ~ ., data = data, probability = TRUE)</pre>
 default.xs <- xspline(default ~ student + xs(balance) + xs(income), model = default.svm)</pre>
In order to check the summary, we need to specify prediction functions for each model. In this case predictions are
```

In case of qualitative predictor, the method displays data.frame storing information how factors were merged during the

pred

setosa

```
• prediction_funs as a list of prediction functions (for surrogate and original model respectively)

summary(default.xs, model = default.svm, newdata = data, prediction_funs = list(prob_xs, prob_svm)
```

prob_svm <- function(object, newdata) attr(predict(object, newdata = newdata, probability = TRUE),</pre>

prob_xs <- function(object, newdata) predict(object, newdata = newdata, type = "response")</pre>

Almost each summary statistic compares models basing on some data.

In this case we're going to compare models on training data providing:

1 - Max prediction normed-diff: 0.5268109

newdata parameter as training data

Models comparison

R^2: 0.9185403

model parameter with black box model

```
## Setting levels: control = No, case = Yes
## Setting levels: control = No, case = Yes

## 1 - Max ROC diff: 0.8712113
## 1 - Mean ROC diff: 0.9506292

Another set of statistics is generated for prediction functions that return response levels.
```

```
response_svm <- function(object, newdata) predict(object, newdata = newdata)
response_xs <- function(object, newdata) {
   y_levels <- levels(newdata[[environment(object)$response]])
   factor(y_levels[(predict.glm(object, newdata = newdata, type = "link") > 0) + 1], levels = y_lev
}
```

```
And similarly to previous example:

summary(default.xs, model = default.svm, newdata = data, prediction_funs = list(response_xs, respo
```

```
## Models comparison
## Mean predictions similarity: 0.9966
## ACC Black Box: 0.9719
## ACC Surrogate: 0.9729
```