

# Definitions: Many-valued Logics

Philosophical Logic 2025/2026

## 1 Many-valued logics

### 1.1 Syntax

**Definition 1.1** (Language).

$$\phi ::= p \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi$$

### 1.2 Semantics

The basic building block of three-valued systems is the so-called logical matrix, which specifies

1. A finite non-empty set of truth values  $T$
2. A set  $T^+ \subseteq T$  of designated truth values
3. For each  $n$ -place connective, a truth value function  $v : T^n \rightarrow T$ . If  $n = 0$ ,  $v(\cdot) \in T$

**Definition 1.2** (Satisfiability). A formula  $\phi$  is satisfiable by a valuation  $v$  iff  $v(\phi) \in T^+$

**Definition 1.3** (Validity). A formula  $\phi$  is valid iff  $v(\phi) \in T^+$  for all valuations  $v$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Entailment). Given a set of formulas  $\Gamma$  and a formula  $\phi$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  entails  $\phi$  and we write  $\Gamma \models \phi$  iff for any valuation  $v$  s.t.  $v(\gamma) \in T^+$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $v(\phi) \in T^+$ .

#### 1.2.1 Strong Kleene $K_3^s$

$$T^+ = \{1\}$$

$\wedge$	1	i	0	$\vee$	1	i	0	$\rightarrow$	1	i	0	$\neg$	1	0
1	1	i	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	i	0	1	0	
i	i	i	0	i	1	i	i	i	1	i	i	i	i	
0	0	0	0	0	1	i	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	

For truth degrees  $x, y \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ :

$$\neg x = 1 - x, \quad x \wedge y = \min(x, y), \quad x \vee y = \max(x, y), \quad x \rightarrow y = \max(1 - x, y)$$

### 1.2.2 Weak Kleene $K_3^w$

$$T^+ = \{1\}$$

$\wedge$	1	i	0	$\vee$	1	i	0	$\rightarrow$	1	i	0	$\neg$	
1	1	i	0	1	1	i	1	1	1	i	0	1	0
i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i
0	0	i	0	0	1	i	0	0	1	i	1	0	1

### 1.2.3 Łukasiewicz Ł3

$$T^+ = \{1\}$$

$\wedge$	1	i	0	$\vee$	1	i	0	$\rightarrow$	1	i	0	$\neg$	
1	1	i	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	i	0	1	0
i	i	i	0	i	1	i	i	i	1	1	i	i	i
0	0	0	0	0	1	i	0	0	1	1	1	0	1

$\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\vee$  as in Strong Kleene.

$$x \rightarrow y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$$

### 1.2.4 Logic of Paradox

Logic of Paradox (LP) has the same semantic clauses of  $K_3^s$ .

$$T^+ = \{1, i\}$$

## 2 Fuzzy Logic

Extend the chosen three-valued semantic clauses pointwise to the continuum of truth degrees  $[0, 1]$  (e.g.,  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,  $\wedge = \min$ ,  $\vee = \max$ , and either  $x \rightarrow y = \max(1 - x, y)$  or  $x \rightarrow y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$ ). Valuations now map atoms to  $[0, 1]$  and extend compositionally.

**Definition 2.1** (Truth-preserving consequence).  $\Gamma \models_1 \varphi$  iff for every valuation  $v$ ,

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, v(\gamma) = 1) \Rightarrow v(\varphi) = 1$$

**Definition 2.2** (Degree-preserving consequence).  $\Gamma \models_{\text{deg}} \varphi$  iff for every valuation  $v$  and every threshold  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, v(\gamma) \geq t) \Rightarrow v(\varphi) \geq t$$