

Definitions: Truthmakers

Philosophical Logic 2025/2026

1 Van Fraassen: Truthmaking Sets

Definition 1.1 (Facts and their combination). *Let Fct be a non-empty set whose elements are called facts. There is a binary operation*

$$\cdot : \text{Fct} \times \text{Fct} \rightarrow \text{Fct}, \quad (b, c) \mapsto b \cdot c$$

such that for all $b, c, d \in \text{Fct}$:

$$b \cdot b = b \quad (\text{idempotence})$$

$$b \cdot c = c \cdot b \quad (\text{commutativity})$$

$$b \cdot (c \cdot d) = (b \cdot c) \cdot d \quad (\text{associativity})$$

Definition 1.2 (Atomic and complex facts). *A fact $b \in \text{Fct}$ is atomic iff for every $c \in \text{Fct}$*

$$b = b \cdot c \quad \Rightarrow \quad b = c$$

A fact is complex iff it is not atomic.

Definition 1.3 (Subordination of facts). *For $b, c \in \text{Fct}$ define the subordination relation \leq by*

$$b \leq c \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists d \in \text{Fct} \text{ such that } b = d \cdot c$$

The relation \leq is a partial order on Fct

Definition 1.4 (Closure under subordination). *For any $X \subseteq \text{Fct}$ its closure under subordination is*

$$\text{cl}(X) = \{y \in \text{Fct} : \exists x \in X \text{ with } y \leq x\}$$

So $\text{cl}(X)$ contains every fact that is subordinate to some member of X

Definition 1.5 (Primary truthmaking and falsemaking bases). *Fix a propositional language with connectives \neg, \wedge, \vee . For each atomic sentence p choose an atomic fact e_p and a distinct atomic fact \bar{e}_p (its complement). The primary truthmaking and falsemaking bases $T(A)$ and $F(A)$ for any sentence A are defined recursively as follows.*

Atomic case.

$$T(p) = \{e_p\}, \quad F(p) = \{\bar{e}_p\}$$

Combination of sets of facts. For $X, Y \subseteq \text{Fct}$ define

$$X \cdot Y := \{b \cdot c : b \in X, c \in Y\}$$

Boolean connectives. For all sentences A, B :

$$\begin{array}{ll} T(\neg A) = F(A) & F(\neg A) = T(A) \\ T(A \wedge B) = T(A) \cdot T(B) & F(A \wedge B) = F(A) \cup F(B) \\ T(A \vee B) = T(A) \cup T(B) & F(A \vee B) = F(A) \cdot F(B) \end{array}$$

Definition 1.6 (Truthmaking and falsemaking sets of a sentence). For any sentence A its truthmaking set and falsemaking set are the propositions

$$\mathsf{T}^*(A) := \text{cl}(T(A)) \quad \mathsf{F}^*(A) := \text{cl}(F(A))$$

2 Exact Truthmaking (semantic clauses)

Definition 2.1 (Frame). A frame for exact truthmaking is a structure

$$\langle S, \leq \rangle$$

where:

- S is a non-empty set of states;
- \leq is a partial order on S
- for any $s, t \in S$ there exists a least upper bound (fusion) $s \sqcup t \in S$ such that

$$s \leq s \sqcup t, \quad t \leq s \sqcup t$$

and whenever u is a state with $s \leq u$ and $t \leq u$ we have $s \sqcup t \leq u$

Definition 2.2 (Exact truthmaking model). Fix a set P of propositional atoms. An exact truthmaking model is a triple

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \leq, I \rangle$$

where:

- $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is a frame as in definition 2.1;
- $I = (I^+, I^-)$ where

$$I^+, I^- : S \times P \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

assign to each state $s \in S$ and atom $p \in P$ whether s is a positive (truthmaking) or negative (falsemaking) exact verifier of p .

- I^+ and I^- are fusion-closed: for all $s, t \in S$ and $p \in P$

$$I^+(s, p) = I^+(t, p) = 1 \Rightarrow I^+(s \sqcup t, p) = 1$$

$$I^-(s, p) = I^-(t, p) = 1 \Rightarrow I^-(s \sqcup t, p) = 1$$

Definition 2.3 (Positive and negative exact satisfaction). Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \leq, I \rangle$ be an exact truthmaking model. We define, by recursion on formulas φ two relations $s \models^+ \varphi$ and $s \models^- \varphi$ between states $s \in S$ and formulas φ :

- **Atoms.** For $p \in P$:

$$s \models^+ p \iff I^+(s, p) = 1, \quad s \models^- p \iff I^-(s, p) = 1$$

- **Negation.**

$$s \models^+ \neg \varphi \iff s \models^- \varphi, \quad s \models^- \neg \varphi \iff s \models^+ \varphi$$

- **Conjunction.**

$$s \models^+ (\varphi \wedge \psi) \iff \exists s', s'' \in S (s' \sqcup s'' = s, s' \models^+ \varphi, s'' \models^+ \psi)$$

$$s \models^- (\varphi \wedge \psi) \iff s \models^- \varphi \text{ or } s \models^- \psi \text{ or } \exists s', s'' \in S (s' \sqcup s'' = s, s' \models^- \varphi, s'' \models^- \psi)$$

- **Disjunction (inclusive clause).**

$$s \models^+ (\varphi \vee \psi) \iff s \models^+ \varphi \text{ or } s \models^+ \psi \text{ or } \exists s', s'' \in S (s' \sqcup s'' = s, s' \models^+ \varphi, s'' \models^+ \psi)$$

$$s \models^- (\varphi \vee \psi) \iff \exists s', s'' \in S (s' \sqcup s'' = s, s' \models^- \varphi, s'' \models^- \psi)$$

Definition 2.4 (Exact truthmakers, falsemakers, and consequence). Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \leq, I \rangle$ be an exact truthmaking model.

1. A state $s \in S$ is an exact truthmaker for a formula φ (in \mathcal{M}) iff

$$s \models^+ \varphi$$

A state s is an exact falsemaker for φ iff $s \models^- \varphi$

2. For a set of formulas Γ and a formula φ we say that φ is an exact truthmaking consequence of Γ and write

$$\Gamma \models_{\text{TM}} \varphi$$

iff for every exact truthmaking model \mathcal{M} and every state $s \in S$:

$$(\forall \psi \in \Gamma (s \models^+ \psi)) \Rightarrow s \models^+ \varphi$$