## Homework #9. Solutions to selected problems

1'. Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G of finite index and V an irreducible representation of G over an arbitrary field. Let W be a nonzero subspace of V which is H-invariant. Prove that

$$\dim(V) \le \dim(W)[G:H].$$

**Solution:** We start with a general statement:

**Theorem A:** Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G and T a left transversal of H in G, that is, T is a subset of G which contains exactly one element from each left coset of H (so that  $G = \sqcup_{t \in T} tH$ ). Let  $(\rho, V)$  be a representation of G over an arbitrary field, and let W be a subspace of V which is H-invariant. Define

$$Z = \sum_{t \in T} \rho(t)W.$$

Then Z is the smallest G-invariant subspace of V containing W.

Proof: Let  $Y = \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)W$ . By the same argument as in HW#8.7, the smallest G-invariant subspace of V containing W is equal to Y, so we only need to show that Y = Z. The inclusion  $Z \subseteq Y$  is obvious. Since Z is a subspace of V (being the sum of a collection of subspaces), to prove that  $Y \subseteq Z$ , it suffices to show that  $\rho(g)W \subseteq Z$  for every  $g \in G$ . By definition of T we can write g = th for some  $t \in T$ ,  $h \in H$ . Since W is H-invariant, we have  $\rho(h)W \subseteq W$ . Hence

$$\rho(g)W = \rho(th)W = \rho(t)\rho(h)W = \rho(t)(\rho(h)W) \subseteq \rho(t)W \subseteq Z.$$

Let us now assume that H has finite index in G, that V is irreducible and  $W \neq 0$ . Then the set T in Theorem A has size [G:H] and the subspace Z must equal V (since Z is G-invariant and clearly nonzero since  $W \neq 0$ ). Thus,  $V = \sum_{t \in T} \rho(t)W$  and hence

$$\dim(V) \le \sum_{t \in T} \dim(\rho(t)W) = \sum_{t \in T} \dim(W) = |T| \dim(W) = \dim(W)[G:H].$$

- **2.** Let p be a prime and  $G = \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the Heisenberg group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  defined in HW#7.2
  - (a) Determine the number of conjugacy classes of G and their sizes. As in HW#8.6, you can work directly with matrices or with their expressions in terms of the generators x, y, z introduced in HW#7.2.

- (b) Let  $\omega \neq 1$  be a  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, that is,  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{p}}$  with  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ . Let V be a p-dimensional complex vector space with basis  $e_{[0]}, e_{[1]}, \ldots, e_{[p-1]}$  where we think of indices as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Prove that there exists a representation  $(\rho_{\omega}, V)$  of G such that
  - $-\rho_{\omega}(z)e_{[k]} = \omega e_{[k]}$  for each k (that is,  $\rho_{\omega}(z)$  is just the scalar multiplication by  $\omega$ ),
  - $-\rho_{\omega}(y)e_{[k]} = e_{[k+1]}$  for each k (that is,  $\rho_{\omega}(y)$  cyclically permutes the basis vectors) and finally
  - $-\rho_{\omega}(x)e_{[k]} = \omega^k e_{[k]}$  for each k.
- (c) Prove that every representation in (b) is irreducible (do not do this directly from definition) and every irreducible complex representation of G is either one-dimensional or equivalent to  $(\rho_{\omega}, V)$  for some  $\omega$ .

**Solution:** As usual, given  $g \in G$  we denote by K(g) its conjugacy class and by C(g) its centralizer.

First, by direct computation we check that  $Z(G) = \{E_{13}(c) : c \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} = \langle z \rangle$ . Since elements of the center are precisely conjugacy classes of size 1, we deduce that G has p conjugacy classes of size 1.

Now take any  $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ . We claim that |K(g)| = p. Indeed, since  $|C(g)| \cdot |K(g)| = |G| = p^3$ , the only possible values of |K(g)| are  $1, p, p^2$  and  $p^3$ . Since  $g \notin Z(G)$ , we cannot have |K(g)| = 1. Also, the centralizer C(g) contains Z(G) (which has p elements) and g (which does not lie in Z(G)), so |C(g)| > p. Hence  $|K(g)| < p^2$ , and the only possibility left is |K(g)| = p.

Thus, each non-central conjugacy class of G has size p. Since  $|G \setminus Z(G)| = p^3 - p$ , there are  $\frac{p^3 - p}{p} = p^2 - 1$  conjugacy classes of size p, and overall G has  $p^2 + p - 1$  conjugacy classes.

In order to simplify our computation in (c), let us also find explicit representatives for non-central conjugacy classes. We claim that the elements  $\{x^ay^b\}$  where  $0 \le a, b \le p-1$  and  $(a,b) \ne (0,0)$  form such a system of representatives. Since there are  $p^2-1$  of them, we just need to check that these elements are not conjugate to each other. The

latter holds, for instance, since  $x^a y^b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & ab \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ; on the other hand,

by direct computation the (1,2) and (2,3)-entries of an element of G do not change under conjugation.

- (b) By the discussion in HW#7, to prove the existence of a representation  $\rho_{\omega}$  with the given properties, it suffices to show that the given operators  $\rho_{\omega}(x), \rho_{\omega}(y), \rho_{\omega}(z) \in GL(V)$  satisfy the defining relations of the Heisenberg group. This is easy to check by a direct computation.
- (c) Let  $\chi_{\omega} = \chi_{\rho_{\omega}}$ . We will prove that  $\rho_{\omega}$  is irreducible by showing that  $\langle \chi_{\omega}, \chi_{\omega} \rangle = 1$ . By definition,

$$\langle \chi_{\omega}, \chi_{\omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{\omega}(g)} \chi_{\omega}(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\chi_{\omega}(g)|^2.$$

We claim that  $|\chi_{\omega}(g)| = p$  for all  $g \in Z(G)$  and  $\chi_{\omega}(g) = 0$  for all  $g \notin Z(G)$ . Since |Z(G)| = p, this would imply that  $\langle \chi_{\omega}, \chi_{\omega} \rangle = 1$ .

Given  $g \in G$ , let  $A_g$  be the matrix of  $\rho_{\omega}(g)$  with respect to the standard basis. If  $g \in Z(G)$ , then  $g = z^k$  for some k and hence  $A_g$  is the scalar matrix with  $\omega^k$  on the diagonal. Hence  $|\chi_{\omega}(g)| = |\text{Tr}(A_g)| = |p\omega^k| = p$ .

Let us now show that  $\chi_{\omega}(g) = 0$  if  $g \notin Z(G)$ . Since characters are constant on conjugacy classes, by our computation in (a) it suffices to consider  $g = x^a y^b$  where  $0 \le a, b \le p-1$  and  $(a,b) \ne (0,0)$ . If  $1 \le b \le p-1$ , the operator  $\rho_{\omega}(g)$  acts on the standard basis by a nontrivial cyclic shift (by b positions) followed by multiplying each basis vector by some scalar. Hence all the diagonal entires of  $A_g$  are equal to zero and so trivially  $\chi_{\omega}(g) = 0$ .

Now suppose that b=0, that is,  $g=x^a$  with  $1 \le a \le p-1$ . Then  $A_g$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $1, \omega^a, \ldots, (\omega^a)^{p-1}$ . Since  $\omega^a \ne 1$ , the sum of these entries is equal to  $\frac{(\omega^a)^p-1}{\omega^a-1} = \frac{(\omega^p)^a-1}{\omega^a-1} = 0$ .

Thus, we proved that each  $\rho_{\omega}$  is irreducible. It remains to show that every ICR of G is either one-dimensional or equivalent to some  $\rho_{\omega}$ . By (a) we know that the total number of equivalence classes of ICRs of G is  $p^2+p-1$ . By HW#7.2 we have  $|G^{ab}|=p^2$ , so G has  $p^2$  one-dimensional representations. If  $\omega \neq \omega'$  are  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity different from 1, the representations  $\rho_{\omega}$  and  $\rho_{\omega'}$  are non-equivalent as they have different characters (for instance, the value of the characters at z are already different). Thus, one-dimensional representations together with  $\{\rho_{\omega}\}$  give us  $p^2+p-1$  pairwise non-equivalent ICRs and hence any other ICR of G must be equivalent to one of these.

**4.** Let  $G = S_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$  and  $\chi$  a complex character of G. Prove that  $\chi$  is real-valued, that is,  $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Solution:** We start with a general theorem:

**Theorem B:** Let G be a finite group in which g is conjugate to  $g^{-1}$  for every  $g \in G$ . Then  $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every complex character  $\chi$  of G and every  $g \in G$ .

*Proof:* Let  $(\rho, V)$  be a complex representation of G and  $\beta$  a basis of V. By HW#9.3 (=Claim 18.1) we have  $[\rho^*(g)]_{\beta^*} = ([\rho(g)]_{\beta}^{-1})^T$ . Hence, for all  $g \in G$ 

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\rho^*}(g) &= \mathrm{Tr}(([\rho(g)]_{\beta}^{-1})^T) = \mathrm{Tr}(([\rho(g)]_{\beta}^{-1})) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(([\rho(g)^{-1}]_{\beta})) \quad \text{since } ([A]_{\beta})^{-1} = [A^{-1}]_{\beta} \text{ for every } A \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(([\rho(g^{-1})]_{\beta})) \quad \text{since } \rho \text{ is a homomorphism} \\ &= \chi_{\rho}(g^{-1}) \end{split}$$

Since  $g^{-1}$  is conjugate to g, we have  $\chi_{\rho}(g^{-1}) = \chi_{\rho}(g)$ . On the other hand, since  $(\rho, V)$  is unitarizable,  $\chi_{\rho^*}(g) = \overline{\chi_{\rho}(g)}$  by Claim 18.1. Putting everything together, we get  $\overline{\chi_{\rho}(g)} = \chi_{\rho}(g)$  and hence  $\chi_{\rho}(g) \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$ 

To deduce Problem 4 from Theorem B we just need to show that every element of  $S_n$  is conjugate to its inverse. To see this, write  $g \in S_n$  as a product of disjoint cycles. Then  $g^{-1}$  is obtained from g by reversing the order of entries in each cycle. In particular,  $g^{-1}$  has the same cycle type as g and therefore  $g^{-1}$  is conjugate to g.

5. Give an example of two representations V and W of the same group which are not equivalent, but have the same character. Recall that by Corollary 19.2 from class this cannot happen if G is finite and representations are complex.

**Solution:** Consider the following 2-dimensional complex representations of  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  (which will be given in the matrix form): the "trivial 2-dimensional representation"  $\rho_{triv}$  given by  $\rho_{triv}(k) = I_2$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the representation  $\rho$  given by  $\rho(k) = A^k$  where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (such a representation exists by the discussion in Lecture 12). Since  $A^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $\chi_{\rho_{triv}}(k) = \chi_{\rho}(k) = 2$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand,  $\rho$  and  $\rho_{triv}$  are not equivalent since the identity matrix is only conjugate to itself.