

KAZHDAN GROUPS WHOSE FC-RADICAL IS NOT VIRTUALLY ABELIAN

MIKHAIL ERSHOV

1. INTRODUCTION

If G is a group, the *FC-radical* of G , denoted by $FC(G)$, is the set of all elements of G which centralize a finite index subgroup of G . Equivalently, $FC(G)$ is the set of all elements of G with finite conjugacy class.

In [PV] Popa and Vaes asked the following question:

Question 1.1. *Does there exist a residually finite (discrete) group with Kazhdan's property (T) whose FC-radical is not virtually abelian?*

This question was motivated by [PV, Theorem 6.4(b)], which asserts that any group satisfying these conditions admits a free ergodic profinite action whose associated II_1 factor has all positive real numbers in its fundamental group. No Kazhdan group with the latter property was previously known.

In this short note we give a positive answer to Question 1.1 using Golod-Shafarevich groups.

We shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Every Golod-Shafarevich group has a residually finite quotient whose FC-radical is not virtually abelian.*

In [Er] it was shown that there exist Golod-Shafarevich groups with property (T). Since property (T) is preserved by quotients, applying Theorem 1.2 to any Golod-Shafarevich group with (T), we obtain a group which settles Question 1.1.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Mark Sapir for telling me about Question 1.1.

2. CONSTRUCTION

Informally speaking, a finitely generated group G is Golod-Shafarevich if it has a presentation with a “small” set of relators, where relators are counted with suitable weights. The formal definition is given below.

Definition. Let G be a finitely generated group. Given a prime p let $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ be the \mathbb{F}_p -group algebra of G and I the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$. Let $\{\omega_n G\}_{n \geq 1}$ be the Zassenhaus p -filtration of G defined by $\omega_n G = \{g \in G : g - 1 \in I^n\}$. For each $g \in G \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_n G$ we put $\deg_p(g)$ to be the largest n such that $g \in \omega_n G$.

It is well known that the subgroups $\{\omega_n G\}$ are of finite index in G . Moreover, they form a base for the pro- p topology on G , and thus $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_n G$ is the kernel of the natural map from G to its pro- p completion $G_{\widehat{p}}$. In particular, if G is residually- p , then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_n G = \{1\}$, so $\deg_p(g)$ is defined for any $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$.

The author is supported by the NSF grant DMS-0901703.

Definition. Fix a prime number p .

- (a) A group presentation $\langle X|R \rangle$, with X finite, is said to satisfy the *Golod-Shafarevich* (GS) condition (with respect to p), if there is a real number $t \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$1 - H_X(t) + H_R(t) < 0 \text{ where } H_X(t) = |X|t \text{ and } H_R(t) = \sum_{r \in R} t^{\deg_p(r)}.$$

- (b) A group G is called *Golod-Shafarevich* if it has a presentation satisfying the Golod-Shafarevich condition.

Any Golod-Shafarevich group G is infinite. In fact, its pro- p completion $G_{\hat{p}}$ must be infinite and moreover satisfies a number of largeness properties (see, e.g., [Ze], [Er] and references therein for precise statements). We shall only use a very weak statement about Golod-Shafarevich groups:

Proposition 2.1. *If a group G is Golod-Shafarevich with respect to p , then its pro- p completion $G_{\hat{p}}$ is not virtually abelian.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a Golod-Shafarevich group, so that G has a presentation $\langle X|R \rangle$ with $1 - H_X(t) + H_R(t) < 0$ for some $t \in (0, 1)$, and let $\varepsilon = -(1 - H_X(t) + H_R(t))$.

Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $t^{k_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. By Proposition 2.1 we can choose $x_1, y_1 \in \omega_{k_0} G$ which do not commute in $G_{\hat{p}}$. Then there exists $k_1 > k_0$ such that x_1 and y_1 do not commute in $G/\omega_{k_1} G$. By making k_1 larger we can also assume that $t^{k_1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$.

Let S_1 be a finite generating set for $\omega_{k_1} G$, let $R_1 = \{[x_1, s], [y_1, s] : s \in S_1\}$ and $G_1 = G/\langle R_1 \rangle^G$. Note that if \bar{x}_1 and \bar{y}_1 are the images of x_1 and y_1 in G_1 , then

- (i) $G/\omega_{k_1} G \cong G_1/\omega_{k_1} G_1$, so \bar{x}_1 and \bar{y}_1 do not commute modulo $\omega_{k_1} G_1$;
- (ii) \bar{x}_1 and \bar{y}_1 lie in the FC-radical of G_1 (and the same is true for any quotient of G_1).

The group G_1 need not be Golod-Shafarevich, but it surjects onto the group $\widehat{G}_1 = G_1/\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle^{G_1}$ which is Golod-Shafarevich by construction.

Thus, the pro- p completion of G_1 is not virtually abelian, so we can find elements $x_2, y_2 \in \omega_{k_1} G$ and $k_2 > k_1$ such that x_2 and y_2 do not commute in $G_2/\omega_{k_2} G_2$ and $t^{k_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{32}$.

Let S_2 be a finite generating set for $\omega_{k_2} G$, let $R_2 = \{[x_2, s], [y_2, s] : s \in S_2\}$ and $G_2 = G/\langle R_1 \cup R_2 \rangle^G$. By construction we have $G_1/\omega_{k_2} G_1 \cong G_2/\omega_{k_2} G_2$, the images of x_2 and y_2 in G_2 lie in the FC-radical of G_2 , and G_2 surjects onto a Golod-Shafarevich group.

Continuing this process indefinitely we obtain a sequence of groups $G = G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow \dots$, elements $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of G and integers $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ s.t.

- (i) G_{i+1} is a quotient of G_i for all i
- (ii) G_i surjects onto the group $G/\langle \cup_{j=1}^i \{x_j, y_j\} \rangle^G$
- (iii) x_i and y_i lie in $\omega_{k_{i-1}} G$, and $t^{k_{i-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+2}}$
- (iv) The images of x_i and y_i in $G_{i-1}/\omega_{k_i} G_{i-1}$ do not commute
- (v) $G_{i-1}/\omega_{k_i} G_{i-1} \cong G_j/\omega_{k_i} G_j$ for all $j \geq i$
- (vi) The images of x_i and y_i in G_i lie in the FC-radical of G_i

Now let G_{∞} be the inductive limit of $\{G_i\}$; in other words, if $G_i = G/N_i$, we let $N_{\infty} = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$ and $G_{\infty} = G/N_{\infty}$. Let Q be the image of G_{∞} in its pro- p completion, that is, $Q = G_{\infty}/\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_n G_{\infty}$.

Condition (ii) implies that G surjects onto the group $G/\langle \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{x_j, y_j\} \rangle^G$ which is Golod-Shafarevich by (iii). Thus, by Proposition 2.1 the group Q is infinite. Since Q is a subset of $(G_{\infty})_{\hat{p}}$, it is also residually finite.

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$ be the natural projection. By condition (vi) the FC-radical of Q contains the subgroup H generated by the elements $\{\pi(x_i), \pi(y_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. It remains to show that H is not virtually abelian. Suppose not, so H contains a finite index abelian subgroup A . Then there exists integers $i < j$ such that $\pi(x_i x_j^{-1}) \in A$ and $\pi(y_i y_j^{-1}) \in A$. Conditions (iv) and (v) imply that $\pi(x_i)$ and $\pi(y_i)$ do not commute modulo $\omega_{k_i} Q$. Thus, if φ_i is the projection map $Q \rightarrow Q/\omega_{k_i} Q$, then $\varphi_i \pi([x_i, y_i]) \neq 1$. On the other hand, by construction $x_j, y_j \in \omega_{k_i} G$, so $\varphi_i \pi(x_j) = \varphi_i \pi(y_j) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\varphi_i \pi([x_i, y_i]) = \varphi_i \pi([x_i x_j^{-1}, y_i y_j^{-1}]) \in \varphi_i([A, A]) = \{1\}.$$

The obtained contradiction shows that the FC-radical of Q is not virtually abelian, which finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [Er] M. Ershov, *Golod-Shafarevich groups with property (T) and Kac-Moody groups*, Duke Math. J. 145 (2008), no. 2, 309–339
- [PV] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *On the fundamental group of II_1 factors and equivalence relations arising from group actions*, arXiv:0810.0706, to appear in the Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Alain Connes' 60th birthday, "Non-Commutative Geometry" April 2-6, 2007, IHP Paris.
- [Ze] E. Zelmanov, *Infinite algebras and pro- p groups*. Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, 403–413, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

E-mail address: `ershov@virginia.edu`