## Math 7751, Fall 2009. Solutions to the Final exam.

1. (a) Let R and S be rings with 1. Prove that every ideal of  $R \times S$  has the form  $I \times J$  where I is an ideal of R and J is an ideal of S.

**Note:** A common mistake was the wrong assumption that every subring of  $R \times S$  is equal to  $A \times B$  for some subrings A of R and B of S. This is false – for instance, if R = S, the "diagonal subring"  $\{(r, r) : r \in R\}$  is not representable in the above form.

**Solution:** Let L be an ideal of  $R \times S$ . Let  $\pi_1 : R \times S \to R$  and  $\pi_2 : R \times S \to S$  be the projections to the first and second coordinates. Since *surjective* ring homomorphisms send ideals to ideals (for instance this follows from the lattice isomorphism theorem) and  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are surjective, we get that  $\pi_1(L)$  is an ideal of R and  $\pi_2(L)$  is an ideal of S. It is also clear that  $L \subseteq \pi_1(L) \times \pi_2(L)$ . To prove the opposite inclusion take any  $(r,s) \in \pi_1(L) \times \pi_2(L)$ . By definition this means that there exist  $r' \in R$  and  $s' \in S$  such that  $(r,s') \in L$  and  $(r',s) \in L$ . Since L is an ideal, we have  $(r,0) = (r,s')(1,0) \in L$  and  $(0,s) = (r',s)(0,1) \in L$ , whence  $(r,s) = (r,0) + (0,s) \in L$ . Hence  $L = \pi_1(L) \times \pi_2(L)$ . (b) Let G and H be finite groups of relatively prime orders. Prove that every subgroup of  $G \times H$  has the form  $A \times B$  where A is a subgroup of G and G is a subgroup of G.

**Note:** The assumption that |G| and |H| are relatively prime is necessary – as in part (a), if we allowed G = H, the diagonal subgroup would not be of the desired form.

**Solution:** Let C be a subgroup of  $G \times H$  and  $\pi_1 : G \times H \to G$  and  $\pi_2 : G \times H \to H$  be the projections to the first and second coordinates. As in part (a), we are reduced to showing that  $\pi_1(C) \times \pi_2(C) \subseteq C$ . Take any  $(g,h) \in \pi_1(C) \times \pi_2(C)$ , so that  $(g,h') \in C$  and  $(g',h) \in C$  for some  $g' \in G$  and  $h' \in H$ . Let n = |G| and m = |H|. Since n and m are relatively prime, there exist  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that an + bm = 1. Then the element  $(g^{bm}, (h')^{bm}) = (g,h')^{bm}$  also lies in C. On the other hand, by Lagrange theorem  $(h')^{bm} = ((h')^b)^m = 1$  and  $g^{bm} = g^{1-an} = g$ . Thus,  $(g,1) = (g^{bm}, (h')^{bm}) \in C$ , and similarly  $(1,h) \in C$ , whence  $(g,h) = (g,1)(1,h) \in C$ .

- **2.** Let G be a group in which any two conjugate elements commute with each other, that is, x and  $gxg^{-1}$  commute for any  $x, g \in G$ .
- (a) Prove that G has a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup (possibly equal to G).

**Note:** As was correctly pointed out, we need to assume that the group G is non-trivial.

**Solution:** Recall that for a subset S of G we denote by  $\langle S \rangle$  the subgroup generated by S. We shall use the following two facts, whose proofs are straightforward:

- (i) If S is a commutative subset of G, that is, xy = yx for any  $x, y \in S$ , then  $\langle S \rangle$  is abelian;
- (ii) If S is invariant under conjugation in G, that is,  $gSg^{-1} = S$  for any  $g \in G$ , then  $\langle S \rangle$  is normal in G.

Now take any non-identity element  $x \in G$ , and let  $S = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$  be the G-conjugacy class of x. Then S is invariant under conjugation by the definition of the conjugacy class and S is commutative by the assumption in the problem. Thus, by (i) and (ii)  $\langle S \rangle$  is a normal abelian subgroup which is non-trivial since  $1 \neq x \in \langle S \rangle$ .

(b) Prove that if G is also finite, then G must be solvable.

**Solution:** Let us say that a group G satisfies condition (CC) if any two conjugate elements of G commute. Thus, we need to show that any finite group with (CC) is solvable. We shall prove this by induction on |G|.

The base case |G| = 1 is trivial. Take  $n \ge 2$ , and suppose that all groups with (CC) of order < n are solvable. Let G be a group of order n with (CC). By (a) G has a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup N. Since G has (CC), it is easy to see that any quotient of G also has (CC), so in particular, G/N has (CC). Since |G/N| < |G|, by induction hypothesis G/N is solvable. We also know that N is abelian, hence solvable. Thus, by a theorem from Lecture 14, G must also be solvable.

**3.** (a) Let R be a commutative ring with 1. The Krull dimension of R is the largest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that R has an ascending chain of prime ideals  $P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \ldots \subset P_n \subset R$  where all inclusions are strict. Suppose that R is a PID. What are possible Krull dimensions of R? **Hint:** There are only finitely many possibilities.

**Solution:** As we proved in class, in a PID all *nonzero* prime ideals are maximal. Thus, a strictly ascending chain of prime ideals of R can have

at most two elements: we can take  $P_0 = 0$ , but  $P_1$  would already have to be maximal. Thus, the Krull dimension of R can only be equal to 0 or 1. Clearly, both 0 and 1 are possible: if R is a field, then  $\{0\}$  is the only proper ideal of R, so KdimR = 0. If R is any PID, which is not a field, then R has a nonzero maximal ideal (recall that any commutative ring with 1 has a maximal ideal), so KdimR = 1.

- (b) Let R be a UFD, P a nonzero prime ideal of R and S = R/P. Determine which of the following statements is true:
  - (i) S is always a PID
  - (ii) S may not be a PID, but it is always a UFD
- (iii) S may not even be a UFD

**Solution:** Statement (iii) is true. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , which is a UFD by Theorem 23.1. Let  $\varphi : R \to \mathbb{R}$  be the evaluation at  $\sqrt{5}$  homomorphism:  $\varphi(p(x)) = p(\sqrt{5})$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(R) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ , and thus  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \cong R/P$  where  $P = \text{Ker}\varphi$ . The ideal P is prime since  $R/P \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  is a domain, being a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  is not a UFD by Problem 4 in Homework#9.

- **4.** (a) Prove that for any integer  $n \geq 2$  the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[in] \subset \mathbb{C}$  is not a PID (here i is the complex number i)
- (b) Now assume that  $n \geq 2$  is odd. Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[in]$  is not a UFD.

**Solution:** We shall show that  $\mathbb{Z}[in]$  is not a UFD for any  $n \geq 2$  (which of course implies both (a) and (b)) – when I was assigning this problem, I did not realise there was a short argument proving this.

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[in]$  and  $N: R \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be the square of the usual complex norm, that is,  $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ . We claim that the element x = in is irreducible in R. First, x is not a unit since  $N(x) \neq 1$  and N is multiplicative. If x = yz with  $y, z \in R$ , then at least one of the elements y or z is non-real (WOLOG y is non-real). Then y = a + bni with  $b \neq 0$ , whence  $N(y) = a^2 + b^2n^2 \geq n^2$ . Hence  $N(z) = N(x)/N(y) \leq 1$ , so we must have N(z) = 1, whence  $z \in \{\pm 1\}$  must be a unit. Thus, in is indeed irreducible.

Now suppose that R is a UFD, so all its irreducible elements must be prime. Since in divides  $n \cdot n$  in R (as  $in \cdot (-in) = n^2$ ) and we assume that in is prime, it follows that in divides n in R, which is of course false. The obtained contradiction shows that R is not a UFD.

**5.** Prove that the polynomial  $f(x) = 32x^6 + 4x + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Solution:** Clearly, f(x) is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose that f(x) = g(x)h(x) with g, h non-units. Since cont(f) = 1, both g and h must be non-constant. Now consider the equality f(x/2) = g(x/2)h(x/2). Since g and h are non-constant, it implies that f(x/2) is reducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and thus 2f(x/2) is also reducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . But by Eisenstein criterion  $2f(x/2) = x^6 + 4x + 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  (hence by Gauss lemma irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ).

The obtained contradiction shows that f(x) must be irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**6.** Let F be a field. Prove that the additive group of F and the multiplicative group of F are not isomorphic to each other.

**Solution:** We shall prove that the additive group (F, +) and the multiplicative group  $F^*$  cannot have the same number of elements of order 2. We consider two cases:

Case 1:  $char F \neq 2$ . In this case  $2 \neq 0$  in F, so the equation 2x = 0 has only one solution x = 0. Thus, the additive group (F, +) has no elements of order 2. On the other hand, the multiplicative group  $F^*$  does have an element of order 2, namely x = -1 (note that  $-1 \neq 1$  again because  $char F \neq 2$ ).

Case 2: charF = 2. In this case all nonzero elements of (F, +) have order 2; in particular, there is at least one such element since  $|F| \ge 2$ . On the other hand,  $F^*$  has no elements of order 2: indeed  $x^2 = 1$  implies that (x-1)(x+1) = 0, so  $x = \pm 1$ , but in a field of characteristic two we have -1 = 1.

**Remark:** We could immediately eliminate the case  $|F| < \infty$  since then  $F^*$  and (F, +) are finite groups of different orders and thus cannot be isomorphic. This observation would slightly shorten the argument in Case 2.

7. A group G is called *just-infinite* if G is infinite but all its proper quotients are finite (that is, G/N is finite for any non-trivial normal subgroup N of G). Prove that every infinite finitely generated group has a just-infinite quotient. You may use the following fact without proof:

Fact 1: If G is a finitely generated group and H is a subgroup of G of finite index, then H is also finitely generated.

**Solution:** Let X be the set of all normal subgroups of G of *infinite index*, ordered by inclusion. We claim that X has a maximal element. By Zorn's lemma, it is enough to show that any chain in X has an upper bound in X. So, let  $\{N_{\alpha}\}$  be a chain in X and  $N = \bigcup N_{\alpha}$ . It is straightforward to show that N is a normal subgroup of G, so we only need to check that the index [G:N] is infinite. Suppose not and [G:N] is finite. Then by Fact 1 the group N is generated by a finite set  $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ . For each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ 

we have  $s_i \in N_{\alpha_i}$  for some i. Since  $\{N_{\alpha}\}$  is a chain, one of the subgroups  $N_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, N_{\alpha_k}$  contains all the others; WOLOG, assume that  $N_{\alpha_k}$  has this property. Then  $N_{\alpha_k}$  contains all elements of S, whence  $N_{\alpha_k} \supseteq \langle S \rangle = N$ , and so  $N_{\alpha_k} = N$ . This is impossible since  $N_{\alpha_k}$  has infinite index in G (being an element of X), while N has finite index by assumption.

Thus, we proved that X has a maximal element K. This means that K is a normal subgroup of G which has infinite index, but any normal subgroup of G which strictly contains K has finite index. By the lattice isomorphism theorem this implies that the group G/K is infinite, but any non-trivial normal subgroup of G/K has finite index. Thus, the group G/K is a justinfinite quotient of G.

**Remark:** It appears that we never used the assumption that G is infinite, but of course, the assertion of the problem is false for finite G. Find a place in the proof where we implicitly used the fact that G is infinite.

**8.** Let p and q be primes such that p is a generator of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Prove that the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} x^i$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

**Solution:** The following lemma will be proved in Algebra-II, but you should try to prove it yourself (it is not difficult).

**Lemma:** Let F be a field and  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Then f(x) is square-free, that is, f(x) is not divisible by the square of a non-constant polynomial if and only if gcd(f(x), f'(x)) = 1.

Now let  $f(x) = x^q - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Since  $f'(x) = qx^{x-1}$  and  $q \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , the polynomials f' and f are relatively prime, so f is square free.

Thus, if  $f = f_1 \dots f_k$  is a factorization of f into a product of monic irreducibles, all the factors are distinct. Furthermore, we know that one of these factors is x - 1; WOLOG we assume that  $f_1 = x - 1$ . We will show that  $deg(f_i) \geq q - 1$  for some i. Since deg(f) = q, this would necessarily imply that k = 2 and  $f_2 = \Phi_q$ , so  $\Phi_q$  is irreducible, as desired.

Now consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^q - 1) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f_1 \dots f_k)$ . Since  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are distinct irreducibles, by the Chinese remainder theorem we have

$$R \cong F_1 \times \dots F_k \tag{***}$$

where  $F_i = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f_i)$ . As we proved in class each  $F_i$  is a field of order  $p^{n_i}$  where  $n_i = deg(f_i)$ .

Now take the multiplicative groups of both sides of (\*\*\*). It is straightfor-

ward to show that  $(A \times B)^* = A^* \times B^*$ , and thus

$$R^* \cong F_1^* \times \ldots \times F_k^*. \tag{!!!}$$

Note that  $R^*$  has an element of order q, namely  $\bar{x}$  (the image of x in R). Indeed,  $(\bar{x})^q = 1$  since  $\overline{x^q - 1} = 0$  and  $(\bar{x})^i \neq 1$  for 0 < i < q.

Since q is prime, (!!!) implies that  $F_i^*$  has an element of order q for some i. Since  $|F_i^*| = p^{n_i} - 1$ , we deduce that  $q \mid (p^{n_i} - 1)$ , whence  $p^{n_i} \equiv 1 \mod q$ . This means that the order of p in the group  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  does not exceed  $n_i$ . On the other hand, by our assumption in the problem p is a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ , whence its order is equal to  $|\mathbb{F}_q^*| = q - 1$ . Thus,  $deg(f_i) = n_i \geq q - 1$ , which finishes the proof, as explained at the beginning.