## Bilinear Forms and Group Representations. Solutions to the first midterm

1. (10 pts) Let  $V = Mat_2(\mathbb{R})$ , the vector spaces of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given a real number c, define  $H_c: V \times V \to V$  by

$$H_c(A, B) = \text{Tr}(AB) + c \text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B).$$

Prove that  $H_c$  is a symmetric bilinear form, find a basis  $\beta$  such that  $[H_c]_{\beta}$  is diagonal and compute the signature of  $H_c$  (both parts of your answer will depend on c).

**Solution:** The fact that  $H_c$  is bilinear is straightforward. Symmetry of  $H_c$  immediately follows from the fact that Tr(AB) = Tr(BA) which was established earlier.

Let us start with the basis  $\gamma = \{e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{11}, e_{22}\}$  (ordered in this

way). A simple computation shows that 
$$[H_c]_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c+1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & c & c+1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Since  $[H_c]_{\gamma}$  is block-diagonal, it is enough to diagonalize  $H_c$  restricted to subspaces  $U = \text{Span}(e_{12}, e_{21})$  and  $W = \text{Span}(e_{11}, e_{22})$ .

Let  $v_1 = e_{12} + e_{21}$ . Then  $H_c(v_1, v_1) = 2$ , so by the proof of Theorem 3.4 to diagonalize  $H_c$  on U we just need to find a vector in U orthogonal to  $v_1$ . Solving the equation  $H_c(v_1, ae_{12} + be_{21}) = 0$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we find that  $v_2 = e_{12} - e_{21}$  is such a vector, so  $H_c$  restricted to U is diagonal in  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ .

Similarly, if we let  $v_3 = e_{11} - e_{22}$ , then  $H(v_3, v_3) = 2$ , and we find that  $H(v_3, v_4) = 0$  for  $v_4 = e_{11} + e_{22} \in W$ . Thus, if we let  $\gamma = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ , then  $[H_c]_{\gamma}$  is diagonal. By direct computation of diagonal entries (two of which we already know) we get  $[H_c]_{\gamma} = \text{diag}(2, -2, 2, 4c + 2)$ . Thus,

$$sig(H_c) = \begin{cases} (3,1) & \text{if } c > -\frac{1}{2} \\ (2,1) & \text{if } c = -\frac{1}{2} \\ (2,2) & \text{if } c < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

**2.** (10 pts) Let V be a finite-dimensional REAL inner product space and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V$  if and only if  $A^* = -A$ .

**Solution:** " $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose that  $A^* = -A$ . Then for all  $x \in V$  we have  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, A^*x \rangle = \langle x, (-A)x \rangle = -\langle Ax, x \rangle$  and hence (since  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{R}) \neq 2$ ) we get  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = 0$ .

"\(\Rightarrow\)" Suppose now that  $\langle Ax,x\rangle=0$  for all  $x\in V$ . Then for all  $x,y\in V$  we have  $0=\langle A(x+y),x+y\rangle-\langle Ax,x\rangle-\langle Ay,y\rangle=\langle Ax,y\rangle+\langle Ay,x\rangle$ . Hence  $\langle (-A)x,y\rangle=\langle x,Ay\rangle$  for all  $x,y\in V$ . This means that the operator -A satisfies the definition of the adjoint of A and hence (by uniqueness of the adjoint)  $A^*=-A$ .

- **3.** (10 pts) Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  be such that AB = BA.
  - (a) Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of A and  $E_{\lambda}(A) = \{v \in V : Av = \lambda v\}$  the corresponding eigenspace. Prove that  $E_{\lambda}(A)$  is B-invariant.
  - (b) Now assume that V is an inner product space and A and B are Hermitian. Use (a) to prove that there exists an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  such that  $[A]_{\beta}$  and  $[B]_{\beta}$  are both diagonal.
  - (c) (bonus, 2 extra pts) Now prove the assertion of (b) only assuming that A and B are normal.

Solution to (b) and (c): Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of A, and let  $V_i = E_{\lambda_i}(A)$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ . Since A is normal, we know that  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$ ; moreover the subspaces  $V_i$  are mutually orthogonal.

By (a) each  $V_i$  is B-invariant. So if we choose any basis  $\gamma_i$  of  $V_i$  for each i and let  $\gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$ , then  $\gamma$  is a basis of V and  $[B]_{\gamma}$  is block-diagonal (with diagonal blocks of size  $\dim(V_1), \ldots, \dim(V_k)$ ).

Let  $B_i$  be the restriction of B to  $V_i$ . We claim that each  $B_i$  is a normal operator. To prove this assume (which we can) that each  $\gamma_i$  is orthonormal. Then  $\gamma$  is also orthonormal. Since B is normal, the matrix  $[B]_{\gamma}$  is normal, that is,  $[B]_{\gamma}^*[B]_{\gamma} = [B]_{\gamma}[B]_{\gamma}^*$ . On the other hand, note that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal block of  $[B]_{\gamma}$  is precisely  $[B_i]_{\gamma_i}$  and hence the  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal block of  $[B]_{\gamma}^*$  is  $[B_i]_{\gamma_i}^*$ . Using the formula for multiplying block-diagonal matrices (with blocks of the same size), we conclude that  $[B_i]_{\gamma_i}^*[B_i]_{\gamma_i} = [B_i]_{\gamma_i}[B_i]_{\gamma_i}^*$  for each i, that is, each matrix  $[B_i]_{\gamma_i}$  is normal. Since  $\gamma_i$  is orthonormal, we conclude that the operator  $B_i$  is also normal.

Since each  $B_i$  is normal, by Theorem 7.1 we can find an orthonormal basis  $\beta_i$  of  $V_i$  for each i such that  $[B_i]_{\beta_i}$  is diagonal. Then  $\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \beta_i$  is an orthonormal basis of V, by construction  $[B]_{\beta}$  is diagonal, and  $[A]_{\beta}$  is also diagonal (since each element of  $\beta$  is an eigenvector of A, again by construction).

## **4.** (10 pts)

- (a) Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and let H be a symmetric bilinear form on V. Prove that one can write  $H = H^+ H^-$  such that  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  are both symmetric and positive semidefinite and  $\operatorname{rk}(H) = \operatorname{rk}(H^+) + \operatorname{rk}(H^-)$ .
- (b) Let  $A \in Mat_n(\mathbb{R})$  be a symmetric positive semidefinitive matrix of rank m. Prove that A can be written as  $A = P^T P$  for some  $m \times n$  matrix P.

**Solution:** In both parts of the problem we denote by D(p, q, r) the (square) diagonal matrix of size p + q + r whose first p diagonal entires are equal to 1, the next q are equal to -1 and the last r are equal to 0.

(a) By Theorem 3.4 there exists a basis  $\beta$  of V such that  $[H]_{\beta} = D(p,q,r)$  for some p,q,r. Note that  $\mathrm{rk}(H) = \mathrm{rk}([H]_{\beta}) = p+q$ . Let  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  be the unique bilinear forms such that  $[H^+]_{\beta} = D(p,0,q+r)$  and  $[H^-]_{\beta} = D(0,q,p+r)$ . We claim that  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  have the required properties.

The forms  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  are symmetric since the matrices D(p, 0, q+r) and D(0, q, p+r) are symmetric. Also  $\operatorname{rk}(H^+) = \operatorname{rk} D(p, 0, q+r) = p$  and likewise  $\operatorname{rk}(H^-) = q$ . Finally,  $[H^+ + H^-]_{\beta} = [H^+]_{\beta} + [H^-]_{\beta} = D(p, 0, q+r) + D(0, q, p+r) = D(p, q, r) = [H]_{\beta}$ , whence  $H = H^+ + H^-$ .

(b) Since A is positive semidefinitive, by the matrix version of Theorem 3.4 there exists a matrix  $Q \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $Q^TAQ = D(p, 0, r)$ . Note that  $p = \operatorname{rk}(Q^TAQ) = \operatorname{rk}(A) = m$  and r = n - m.

Let B be the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained from the  $m \times m$  identity matrix by adding an  $m \times (n-m)$  block of zeroes on the right. An easy direct computation shows that  $B^TB = D(p, 0, r) = Q^TAQ$ . Hence  $A = (Q^T)^{-1}B^TBQ^{-1} = (Q^{-1})^TB^TBQ^{-1} = P^TP$  where  $P = BQ^{-1}$ . Since Q is invertible, we have  $\operatorname{rk}(P) = \operatorname{rk}(B) = m$ .

- **5.** (10 pts) Let H and G be Hermitian forms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which are not proportional, and let W be the set of linear combinations of H and G with REAL coefficients. Thus, W is a 2-dimensional (real) subspace of the space  $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  of all Hermitian forms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (note that  $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , but not over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Prove that the following three conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) The forms H and G are simultaneously diagonalizable, that is, there exists a basis  $\beta$  such that  $[H]_{\beta}$  and  $[G]_{\beta}$  are both diagonal
  - (ii) The subspace W contains a positive definite form
  - (iii) If [H] and [G] are the matrices of H and G with respect to the standard basis, then there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\det(a[H] + b[G]) > 0$ .

**Solution:** "(i) $\Rightarrow$  (ii)" Let Z be the real vector space of all  $2\times 2$  diagonal matrices with real entires. Clearly  $\dim(Z)=2$ . By assumption both  $[H]_{\beta}$  and  $[G]_{\beta}$  are elements of Z; moreover, they are not proportional (and hence linearly independent) since H and G are not proportional. Hence  $[H]_{\beta}$  and  $[G]_{\beta}$  form a basis of Z, so in particular there exist  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$  such that  $a[H]_{\beta}+b[G]_{\beta}=I_2$ , the  $2\times 2$  identity matrix. Since  $a[H]_{\beta}+b[G]_{\beta}=[aH+bG]_{\beta}$ , we conclude that the form aH+bG (which lies in W) is positive-definite.

"(ii) $\Rightarrow$  (i)" Let aH + bG be a postive definite form in W. We know that a and b cannot both be zero, and WOLOG we can assume that  $a \neq 0$ . By HW#4.4 there is basis  $\beta$  such that  $[G]_{\beta}$  and  $[aH + bG]_{\beta}$  are both diagonal. But then

$$[H]_{\beta} = \left[\frac{(aH + bG) - bG}{a}\right]_{\beta} = \frac{1}{a}[aH + bG]_{\beta} - \frac{b}{a}[G]_{\beta}$$

is also diagonal, so (i) holds.

Next we prove a general result:

**Lemma:** Let B be a Hermitian form on a finite-dimensional vector space V. If  $det([B]_{\beta}) > 0$  for some basis  $\beta$  of V, then  $det([B]_{\gamma}) > 0$  for every basis  $\gamma$  of V.

*Proof:* We know that  $[B]_{\gamma} = Q^*[B]_{\beta}Q$  for some  $Q \in GL(V)$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \det([B]_{\gamma}) &= \det(Q^*[B]_{\beta}Q) = \det(Q^*) \det([B]_{\beta}) \det(Q) \\ &= \overline{\det(Q)} \det([B]_{\beta}) \det(Q) = |\det(Q)|^2 \det([B]_{\beta}). \end{split}$$

Since  $det(Q) \neq 0$ , the result follows.

"(ii) $\Rightarrow$  (iii)" Let aH + bG be a postive definite form in W. By the Hermitian version of Theorem 3.4 we know that there is a basis  $\gamma$  such that  $[aH + bG]_{\beta} = I_2$ . Then  $\det([aH + bG]_{\gamma}) = 1 > 0$  and hence by Lemma  $\det([aH + bG]_{std}) > 0$  as well.

"(iii) $\Rightarrow$  (ii)" Suppose that  $\det([aH+bG]_{std}) > 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a basis such that  $[aH+bG]_{\gamma} = \operatorname{diag}(u,v)$  for some  $u,v \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma,  $\det([aH+bG]_{\gamma}) > 0$  as well. Thus, uv > 0, so u and v are both positive or both negative. If u and v are both positive, then aH+bG is positive definite, and if u and v are both negative, then (-a)H+(-b)G (which also lies in W) is positive definite.