## Math 8851. Homework #2. To be completed by 6pm on Thu, Feb 6

1 (extended version of HW#1.1). Let G be a group and S a generating set of G.

- (a) Prove that the following are equivalent:
  - (i) G is free and S is a free generating set of G. By definition this means that every element of G can be uniquely written as a reduced word  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  with  $s_i \in S$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  (reduced means that  $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$  whenever  $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -\varepsilon_i$ ).
  - (ii) The Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is a tree and S has no elements of order 2.
- (b) Describe all groups G with the property that Cay(G, S) is a tree for some generating set S of G.
- 2. Let (X, R) be a group presentation,  $G = \langle X|R\rangle$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a van Kampen diagram over (X, R). Prove that one can label the vertices of  $\mathcal{D}$  by elements of G such that whenever e is an oriented edge from a vertex v to a vertex v we have L(v) = L(v)L(e) (where  $L(\cdot)$  denotes the label of a vertex or an edge). Moreover, show that if we fix a base vertex  $v_0$ , then  $L(v_0)$  can be chosen to be any element of G, and once  $L(v_0)$  is chosen, all other vertex labels are uniquely determined. **Hint:** Use van Kampen's lemma.
  - 3. Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $R = \{aba^{-1}b^{-1}\}$  and  $G = \langle X|R\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
  - (a) Let  $w = a^2b^2a^{-2}b^{-2}$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the disk van Kampen diagram of area 4 from the example in Lecture 9 with  $L(\partial \mathcal{D}) = w$ . Use the proof of van Kampen's lemma to explicitly write w in the form  $\prod_{i=1}^4 u_i r_i^{\pm 1} u_i^{-1}$  with  $u_i \in F(X)$  and  $r_i = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  (as the only element of R in this case).
  - (b) Now reverse the process from (a): start with the factorization found in (a), construct the corresponding 'lollipop' diagram, call it  $\mathcal{D}'$ , and show that after edge cancellations in  $\partial \mathcal{D}'$  (as defined below), one obtains the original diagram  $\mathcal{D}$  from (a).

Here is what we formally mean by an edge cancellation. Suppose that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are consecutive edges of  $\partial \mathcal{D}'$  (as we traverse  $\partial \mathcal{D}'$  in some direction) which have the same label  $x \in X$  and point in opposite directions. As we traverse  $e_1$ , we move from some vertex u to some

vertex v, and then as we traverse  $e_2$ , we move from v to some vertex w (which may coincide with u).

- (i) If  $w \neq u$ , we start by gluing the edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , identifying u and w. If after this process the vertex u = w becomes a leaf, we also remove the entire edge  $e_1 = e_2$ .
- (ii) If w = u, we remove the edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  possibly together with any cells of  $\mathcal{D}'$  enclosed between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

You should convince yourself that each of the operations (i) and (ii) results in a valid van Kampen diagram whose boundary label is obtained from  $L(\partial \mathcal{D}')$  by the cancellation of the subword  $xx^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}x$  corresponding to the edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

- 4. Prove that if (X, R) is a (finite) Dehn presentation of some group G and  $\delta$  is the associated Dehn function, then  $\delta(n) \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 5. Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , consider its standard presentation  $G = \langle a, b \mid [a, b] = 1 \rangle$ , and let  $\delta$  be the associated function.
  - (a) Prove that  $\delta(n) \leq \binom{n}{2}$  for all n.
  - (b) Find a specific constant K > 0 such that  $\delta(n) \ge Kn^2$  for all sufficiently large n.
  - (c) How do the answers to (a) and (b) change if we consider  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some n > 2 with the presentation  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \mid [a_i, a_j] = 1$  for all  $i < j \rangle$ ?

**Hint:** For (a) first describe a simple algorithm which reduces any  $w \in F(\{a,b\})$  such that  $w =_G 1$  to the identity element in at most  $\binom{n}{2}$  steps where n = ||w||. Then deduce that  $Area(w) \leq \binom{n}{2}$  (the argument for this part should be similar to your solution to Problem 4). One way to solve (b) is to follow the proof of " $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ " in Theorem 10.4 (since you are dealing with a specific and very simple presentation, you can give better bounds than the proof in the general case).

6. Let G be a hyperbolic group. Prove that G has only finitely many conjugacy classes of torsion elements (that is, elements of finite order). **Hint:** Let (X, R) be a Dehn presentation for G (which exists by Theorem 10.4). Prove that there are only finitely many torsion elements which are representable by a cyclically reduced word in X (first you probably need to figure out why having a cyclically reduced representative is helpful).