

# Contents

1	Mo	re Standard Theorems
	1.1	Transitions
		1.1.1 More theorems about Closures
		1.1.2 Full Transitions
		1.1.3 Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions
		1.1.4 More Well-Foundedness
	1.2	Various Lemmas
		1.2.1 Not-Related to Refinement or lists
	1.3	More Lists
		1.3.1 set, nth, tl
		1.3.2 List Updates
		1.3.3 Take and drop
		1.3.4 Replicate
		1.3.5 List intervals $(upt)$
		1.3.6 Lexicographic Ordering
		1.3.7 Remove
		1.3.8 Sorting
		1.3.9 Distinct Multisets
		1.3.10 Set of Distinct Multisets
		1.3.11 Sublists
		1.3.12 Product Case
		1.3.13 More about $list-all2$ and $map \dots 36$
		1.3.14 Multisets
	1.4	Finite maps and multisets
		1.4.1 Explore command
		1.4.2 Examples

### Chapter 1

## More Standard Theorems

This chapter contains additional lemmas built on top of HOL. Some of the additional lemmas are not included here. Most of them are too specialised to move to HOL.

#### 1.1 Transitions

This theory contains some facts about closure, the definition of full transformations, and well-foundedness.

theory Wellfounded-More imports Main

begin

### 1.1.1 More theorems about Closures

lemmas tranclp-idemp[simp] = trancl-idemp[to-pred]

This is the equivalent of the theorem rtranclp-mono for tranclp

```
lemma tranclp-mono-explicit: (r^{++} \ a \ b \implies r \le s \implies s^{++} \ a \ b) using rtranclp-mono by (auto dest!: tranclpD intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp2)

lemma tranclp-mono: (r \le s) shows (r^{++} \le s^{++}) using rtranclp-mono[OF mono] mono by (auto dest!: tranclpD intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp2)

lemma tranclp-idemp-rel: (R^{++++} \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow R^{++} \ a \ b) apply (rule iffI) prefer 2 apply blast by (induction rule: tranclp-induct) auto

Equivalent of the theorem rtranclp-idemp

lemma trancl-idemp: ((r^+)^+ = r^+) by simp
```

This theorem already exists as theroem Nitpick.rtranclp-unfold (and sledgehammer uses it), but

```
it makes sense to duplicate it, because it is unclear how stable the lemmas in the ~~/src/HOL/Nitpick.thy theory are.
```

```
lemma rtranclp-unfold: \langle rtranclp \ r \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \lor tranclp \ r \ a \ b) \rangle
  by (meson rtranclp.simps rtranclpD tranclp-into-rtranclp)
lemma tranclp-unfold-end: \langle tranclp \ r \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. \ rtranclp \ r \ a \ a' \land r \ a' \ b) \rangle
  by (metis rtranclp.rtrancl-reft rtranclp-into-tranclp1 tranclp.cases tranclp-into-rtranclp)
Near duplicate of theorem tranclpD:
lemma tranclp-unfold-begin: \langle tranclp \ r \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. \ r \ a \ a' \land rtranclp \ r \ a' \ b) \rangle
  by (meson rtranclp-into-tranclp2 tranclpD)
lemma trancl-set-tranclp: \langle (a, b) \in \{(b, a), P \ a \ b\}^+ \longleftrightarrow P^{++} \ b \ a \rangle
  apply (rule iffI)
    apply (induction rule: trancl-induct; simp)
  apply (induction rule: tranclp-induct; auto simp: trancl-into-trancl2)
  done
lemma tranclp-rtranclp-rel: \langle R^{++**} \mid a \mid b \longleftrightarrow R^{**} \mid a \mid b \rangle
  by (simp add: rtranclp-unfold)
lemma tranclp-rtranclp-rtranclp[simp]: \langle R^{++**} = R^{**} \rangle
  by (fastforce simp: rtranclp-unfold)
lemma rtranclp-exists-last-with-prop:
  assumes \langle R \ x \ z \rangle and \langle R^{**} \ z \ z' \rangle and \langle P \ x \ z \rangle
  shows (\exists y \ y'. \ R^{**} \ x \ y \land R \ y \ y' \land P \ y \ y' \land (\lambda a \ b. \ R \ a \ b \land \neg P \ a \ b)^{**} \ y' \ z')
  using assms(2,1,3)
proof induction
  case base
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (step z'z'') note z = this(2) and IH = this(3)[OF this(4-5)]
  show ?case
    apply (cases \langle P z' z'' \rangle)
      apply (rule exI[of - z'], rule exI[of - z''])
      using z \ assms(1) \ step.hyps(1) \ step.prems(2) \ apply \ (auto; fail)[1]
    using IH z by (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{rtranclp-and-rtranclp-left} : \langle (\lambda \ a \ b. \ P \ a \ b \land Q \ a \ b)^{**} \ S \ T \Longrightarrow P^{**} \ S \ T \rangle
```

#### 1.1.2 Full Transitions

by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct) auto

**Definition** We define here predicates to define properties after all possible transitions.

```
abbreviation (input) no-step :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where no-step step S \equiv \forall S'. \neg step S S'

definition full1 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where full1 transf = (\lambda S S'. tranclp transf S S' \land no-step transf S')

definition full:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
```

```
full transf = (\lambda S S'). rtranclp transf S S' \wedge no-step transf S'
We define output notations only for printing (to ease reading):
notation (output) full1 (-^{+\downarrow})
notation (output) full (-↓)
Some Properties lemma rtranclp-full11:
  \langle R^{**} \ a \ b \Longrightarrow full1 \ R \ b \ c \Longrightarrow full1 \ R \ a \ c \rangle
  unfolding full1-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ tranclp	ext{-}full1I:
  \langle R^{++} \mid a \mid b \implies full1 \mid R \mid b \mid c \implies full1 \mid R \mid a \mid c \rangle
  unfolding full1-def by auto
lemma rtranclp-fullI:
  \langle R^{**} \ a \ b \Longrightarrow full \ R \ b \ c \Longrightarrow full \ R \ a \ c \rangle
  unfolding full-def by auto
lemma tranclp-full-full11:
  \langle R^{++} \mid a \mid b \Longrightarrow full \mid R \mid b \mid c \Longrightarrow full \mid R \mid a \mid c \rangle
  unfolding full-def full1-def by auto
lemma full-fullI:
  \langle R \ a \ b \Longrightarrow full \ R \ b \ c \Longrightarrow full 1 \ R \ a \ c \rangle
  unfolding full-def full1-def by auto
lemma full-unfold:
  \langle full\ r\ S\ S' \longleftrightarrow ((S = S' \land no\text{-step}\ r\ S') \lor full1\ r\ S\ S') \rangle
  unfolding full-def full1-def by (auto simp add: rtranclp-unfold)
lemma full1-is-full[intro]: \langle full1 \ R \ S \ T \Longrightarrow full \ R \ S \ T \rangle
  by (simp add: full-unfold)
lemma not-full1-rtranclp-relation: \neg full1 \ R^{**} \ a \ b
  by (auto simp: full1-def)
lemma not-full-rtranclp-relation: \neg full\ R^{**}\ a\ b
  by (auto simp: full-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{full1-tranclp-relation-full}:
  \langle full1 \ R^{++} \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow full1 \ R \ a \ b \rangle
  by (metis converse-tranclpE full1-def reflclp-tranclp rtranclpD rtranclp-idemp rtranclp-reflclp
    tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclp-into-rtranclp)
lemma full-tranclp-relation-full:
  \langle full \ R^{++} \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow full \ R \ a \ b \rangle
  by (metis full-unfold full1-tranclp-relation-full tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclpD)
lemma tranclp-full1-full1:
  \langle (full1\ R)^{++}\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow full1\ R\ a\ b \rangle
  by (metis (mono-tags) full1-def predicate2I tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclp-idemp
       tranclp-mono-explicit tranclp-unfold-end)
\mathbf{lemma}\ rtranclp\text{-}full1\text{-}eq\text{-}or\text{-}full1\text{:}
  \langle (full1\ R)^{**}\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \lor full1\ R\ a\ b) \rangle
```

unfolding rtranclp-unfold tranclp-full1-full1 by simp

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{no-step-full-iff-eq:} \\ \textit{(no-step} \ R \ S \Longrightarrow \textit{full} \ R \ S \ T \longleftrightarrow S = T \\ \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{full-def} \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{meson} \ \textit{rtranclp.rtrancl-refl} \ \textit{rtranclpD} \ \textit{tranclpD}) \end{array}
```

#### 1.1.3 Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions

```
{f lemma} wf-exists-normal-form:
  assumes wf: \langle wf \{(x, y), R y x\} \rangle
  shows \langle \exists b. R^{**} \ a \ b \land no\text{-step} \ R \ b \rangle
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ⟨¬ ?thesis⟩
  then have H: \langle \bigwedge b. \neg R^{**} \ a \ b \lor \neg no\text{-step} \ R \ b \rangle
    by blast
  define F where \langle F = rec\text{-}nat \ a \ (\lambda i \ b. \ SOME \ c. \ R \ b \ c) \rangle
  have [simp]: \langle F | \theta = a \rangle
    unfolding F-def by auto
  have [simp]: \langle \bigwedge i. \ F \ (Suc \ i) = (SOME \ b. \ R \ (F \ i) \ b) \rangle
    unfolding F-def by simp
  { fix i
    have \langle \forall j < i. \ R \ (F \ j) \ (F \ (Suc \ j)) \rangle
    proof (induction i)
      case \theta
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Suc\ i)
      then have \langle R^{**} \ a \ (F \ i) \rangle
        by (induction i) auto
      then have \langle R (F i) (SOME b. R (F i) b) \rangle
        using H by (simp \ add: some I-ex)
      then have \langle \forall j < Suc \ i. \ R \ (F \ j) \ (F \ (Suc \ j)) \rangle
        using H Suc by (simp add: less-Suc-eq)
      then show ?case by fast
    qed
  then have \langle \forall j. R (F j) (F (Suc j)) \rangle by blast
  then show False
    using wf unfolding wfP-def wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain by blast
qed
lemma wf-exists-normal-form-full:
  assumes wf: \langle wf \{(x, y). R y x\} \rangle
  shows \langle \exists b. full \ R \ a \ b \rangle
  using wf-exists-normal-form[OF assms] unfolding full-def by blast
```

#### 1.1.4 More Well-Foundedness

A little list of theorems that could be useful, but are hidden:

• link between wf and infinite chains: theorems wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain and wf-no-infinite-down-chain

```
lemma wf-if-measure-in-wf: (wf R \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge a \ b. \ (a, \ b) \in S \Longrightarrow (\nu \ a, \ \nu \ b) \in R) \Longrightarrow wf \ S)
```

```
by (metis in-inv-image wfE-min wfI-min wf-inv-image)
lemma wfP-if-measure: fixes f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow nat \rangle
  shows \langle (\bigwedge x \ y. \ P \ x \Longrightarrow g \ x \ y \Longrightarrow f \ y < f \ x) \Longrightarrow wf \ \{(y,x). \ P \ x \land g \ x \ y\} \rangle
  apply (insert\ wf-measure[of\ f])
  apply (simp only: measure-def inv-image-def less-than-def less-eq)
  apply (erule wf-subset)
  apply auto
  done
lemma wf-if-measure-f:
  assumes \langle wf r \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{(b, a). (f b, f a) \in r \} \rangle
  using assms by (metis inv-image-def wf-inv-image)
lemma wf-wf-if-measure':
  assumes \langle wf r \rangle and H: \langle \bigwedge x y. P x \Longrightarrow g x y \Longrightarrow (f y, f x) \in r \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{(y,x). P x \wedge g x y\} \rangle
proof -
  have \langle wf | \{(b, a), (f | b, f | a) \in r \} \rangle using assms(1) wf-if-measure-f by auto
  then have \langle wf \{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b \wedge (f b, f a) \in r \} \rangle
    using wf-subset[of - \langle \{(b, a), P | a \land g | a | b \land (f | b, f | a) \in r \} \rangle] by auto
  moreover have \langle \{(b, a), P | a \land g | a \land (f \mid b, f \mid a) \in r \} \subseteq \{(b, a), (f \mid b, f \mid a) \in r \} \rangle by auto
  moreover have \{(b, a). P \ a \land g \ a \ b \land (f \ b, f \ a) \in r\} = \{(b, a). P \ a \land g \ a \ b\} \text{ using } H \text{ by } auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-subset by simp
qed
lemma wf-lex-less: \( \text{wf} \ (lex \ less-than) \)
  by (auto simp: wf-less)
lemma wfP-if-measure2: fixes f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow nat \rangle
  shows \langle (\bigwedge x \ y. \ P \ x \ y \Longrightarrow g \ x \ y \Longrightarrow f \ x < f \ y) \Longrightarrow wf \ \{(x,y). \ P \ x \ y \land g \ x \ y\} \rangle
  apply (insert wf-measure[of f])
  apply (simp only: measure-def inv-image-def less-than-def less-eq)
  apply (erule wf-subset)
  apply auto
  done
lemma lexord-on-finite-set-is-wf:
  assumes
    P-finite: \langle \bigwedge U. \ P \ U \longrightarrow U \in A \rangle and
    finite: \langle finite \ A \rangle and
    wf: \langle wf R \rangle and
    trans: \langle trans \ R \rangle
  shows \langle wf \mid \{(T, S). (P \mid S \land P \mid T) \land (T, S) \in lexord \mid R \} \rangle
proof (rule wfP-if-measure2)
  fix TS
  assume P: \langle P S \wedge P T \rangle and
  s-le-t: \langle (T, S) \in lexord R \rangle
  let ?f = \langle \lambda S. \{ U. (U, S) \in lexord \ R \land P \ U \land P \ S \} \rangle
  have \langle ?f T \subseteq ?f S \rangle
     using s-le-t P lexord-trans trans by auto
  moreover have \langle T \in ?fS \rangle
    using s-le-t P by auto
  moreover have \langle T \notin ?f T \rangle
    using s-le-t by (auto simp add: lexord-irreflexive local.wf)
```

```
ultimately have \{U.(U,T) \in lexord \ R \land P \ U \land P \ T\} \subset \{U.(U,S) \in lexord \ R \land P \ U \land P \ S\}
    by auto
  moreover have \langle finite \{ U. (U, S) \in lexord R \land P U \land P S \} \rangle
    using finite by (metis (no-types, lifting) P-finite finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
  ultimately show \langle card \ (?f \ T) \rangle = card \ (?f \ S)  by \langle simp \ add : psubset-card-mono \rangle
qed
lemma wf-fst-wf-pair:
  assumes \langle wf \{(M', M), R M' M\} \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{((M', N'), (M, N)), R M' M \} \rangle
proof -
  have \langle wf (\{(M', M). R M' M\} < *lex* > \{\}) \rangle
    using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (rule wf-subset) auto
qed
lemma wf-snd-wf-pair:
  assumes \langle wf \{(M', M), R M' M\} \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{((M', N'), (M, N)). R N' N \} \rangle
proof -
  have wf: \langle wf \{((M', N'), (M, N)). R M' M \} \rangle
    using assms wf-fst-wf-pair by auto
  then have wf: \langle \bigwedge P. \ (\forall x. \ (\forall y. \ (y, x) \in \{((M', N'), M, N). \ R \ M' \ M\} \longrightarrow P \ y) \longrightarrow P \ x) \Longrightarrow All \ P \rangle
    unfolding wf-def by auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding wf-def
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix P :: \langle 'c \times 'a \Rightarrow bool \rangle and x :: \langle 'c \times 'a \rangle
      assume H: \langle \forall x. \ (\forall y. \ (y, x) \in \{((M', N'), M, y). \ R \ N' \ y\} \longrightarrow P \ y) \longrightarrow P \ x \rangle
      obtain a b where x: \langle x = (a, b) \rangle by (cases x)
      have P: \langle P | x = (P \circ (\lambda(a, b), (b, a))) (b, a) \rangle
        unfolding x by auto
      show \langle P | x \rangle
        using wf[of \langle P \ o \ (\lambda(a, b), (b, a)) \rangle] apply rule
           using H apply simp
        unfolding P by blast
    qed
qed
lemma wf-if-measure-f-notation2:
  assumes \langle wf r \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r \} \rangle
  apply (rule wf-subset)
  using wf-if-measure-f[OF\ assms,\ of\ f] by auto
lemma wf-wf-if-measure'-notation2:
  assumes \langle wf r \rangle and H: \langle \bigwedge x y. P x \Longrightarrow g x y \Longrightarrow (f y, f (h x)) \in r \rangle
  shows \langle wf \{(y,h \ x)| \ y \ x. \ P \ x \land g \ x \ y\} \rangle
proof -
  have \langle wf \{(b, h a) | b \ a. \ (f \ b, f \ (h a)) \in r \} \rangle using assms(1) wf-if-measure-f-notation2 by auto
  then have \langle wf \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ P \ a \land g \ a \ b \land (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r \} \rangle
    using wf-subset[of - \langle \{(b, h \ a) | \ b \ a. \ P \ a \land g \ a \ b \land (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r \} \rangle] by auto
  moreover have \langle \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ P \ a \land g \ a \ b \land (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r \}
    \subseteq \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r\} \ by auto
```

```
moreover have \langle \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ P \ a \land g \ a \ b \land (f \ b, f \ (h \ a)) \in r \} = \{(b, h \ a) | b \ a. \ P \ a \land g \ a \ b \} \rangle
    using H by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-subset by simp
qed
lemma power-ex-decomp:
  assumes \langle (R^{\widehat{}}n) \mid S \mid T \rangle
  shows
    \langle \exists f. \ f \ 0 = S \land f \ n = T \land (\forall i. \ i < n \longrightarrow R \ (f \ i) \ (f \ (Suc \ i))) \rangle
  using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: T)
  case \theta
  then show (?case) by auto
  case (Suc n) note IH = this(1) and R = this(2)
  from R obtain T' where
    ST: \langle (R \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) \ S \ T' \rangle and
    T'T: \langle R T' T \rangle
    by auto
  obtain f where
    [simp]: \langle f | \theta = S \rangle and
    [simp]: \langle f | n = T' \rangle and
    H: \langle \bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow R \ (f \ i) \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) \rangle
    using IH[OF\ ST] by fast
  let ?f = \langle f(Suc \ n := T) \rangle
  show ?case
    by (rule\ exI[of - ?f])
      (use H ST T'T in auto)
The following lemma gives a bound on the maximal number of transitions of a sequence that is
```

well-founded under the lexicographic ordering lexn on natural numbers.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lexn-number-of-transition} :
```

```
assumes
    le: \langle \bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow ((f \ (Suc \ i)), (f \ i)) \in lexn \ less-than \ m \rangle and
    upper: \langle \bigwedge i j. \ i \leq n \Longrightarrow j < m \Longrightarrow (f i) ! j \in \{0... < k\} \rangle and
    \langle finite \ A \rangle and
    k: \langle k > 1 \rangle
  shows \langle n < k \cap Suc m \rangle
proof -
  define r where
    \langle r | x = zip | x \pmod{(\lambda i. k \cap (length | x - i))} [0... < length | x] \rangle for x :: \langle nat | list \rangle
  define s where
    \langle s | x = foldr \ (\lambda a \ b. \ a + b) \ (map \ (\lambda(a, b). \ a * b) \ x) \ \theta \rangle for x :: \langle (nat \times nat) \ list \rangle
  have [simp]: \langle r \mid ] = [] \rangle \langle s \mid ] = \emptyset \rangle
    by (auto simp: r-def s-def)
  have upt': \langle m > 0 \Longrightarrow [0..< m] = 0 \# map Suc [0..< m-1]  for m
    by (auto simp: map-Suc-upt upt-conv-Cons)
  have upt'': \langle m > 0 \Longrightarrow [0..< m] = [0..< m-1] @ [m-1] \rangle for m
    by (cases m) (auto simp:)
  have Cons: \langle r (x \# xs) = (x, k^{\hat{}}(Suc (length xs))) \# (r xs) \rangle for x xs
```

```
unfolding r-def
apply (subst upt')
apply (clarsimp simp add: upt" comp-def nth-append Suc-diff-le simp flip: zip-map2)
apply (clarsimp simp add: upt" comp-def nth-append Suc-diff-le simp flip: zip-map2)
done
have [simp]: \langle s \ (ab \# xs) = fst \ ab * snd \ ab + s \ xs \rangle for ab \ xs
 unfolding s-def by (cases ab) auto
have le2: \langle (\forall a \in set \ b. \ a < k) \Longrightarrow (k \cap (Suc \ (length \ b))) > s \ ((r \ b)) \rangle for b
 apply (induction b arbitrary: f)
 using k apply (auto simp: Cons)
 apply (rule order.strict-trans1)
 apply (rule-tac j = \langle (k-1) * k * k \cap length b \rangle in Nat.add-le-mono1)
 subgoal for a b
   by auto
 apply (rule order.strict-trans2)
 apply (rule-tac b = \langle (k-1) * k * k \cap length b \rangle and d = \langle (k * k \cap length b) \rangle in add-le-less-mono)
 apply (auto simp: mult.assoc\ comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(2))
 done
have \langle s \ (r \ (f \ (Suc \ i))) < s \ (r \ (f \ i)) \rangle if \langle i < n \rangle for i
proof -
 have i-n: \langle Suc \ i \leq n \rangle \ \langle i \leq n \rangle
   using that by auto
 have length: \langle length (f i) = m \rangle \langle length (f (Suc i)) = m \rangle
  using le[OF that] by (auto dest: lexn-length)
 define xs and ys where \langle xs = f i \rangle and \langle ys = f (Suc i) \rangle
 show ?thesis
   using le[OF\ that]\ upper[OF\ i-n(2)]\ upper[OF\ i-n(1)]\ length\ Cons
   unfolding xs-def[symmetric] ys-def[symmetric]
 proof (induction m arbitrary: xs ys)
   case \theta then show ?case by auto
   case (Suc m) note IH = this(1) and H = this(2) and p = this(3-)
   have IH: \langle (tl \ ys, \ tl \ xs) \in lexn \ less-than \ m \Longrightarrow s \ (r \ (tl \ ys)) < s \ (r \ (tl \ xs)) \rangle
     apply (rule IH)
     subgoal by auto
     subgoal for i using p(1)[of \langle Suc i \rangle] p by (cases xs; auto)
     subgoal for i using p(2)[of \langle Suc \ i \rangle] p by (cases \ ys; \ auto)
     subgoal using p by (cases xs) auto
     subgoal using p by auto
     subgoal using p by auto
     done
  have \langle s \ (r \ (tl \ ys)) < k \ \widehat{} \ (Suc \ (length \ (tl \ ys))) \rangle
    apply (rule le2)
    unfolding all-set-conv-all-nth
    using p by (simp add: nth-tl)
  then have \langle ab * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) <
            ab * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) + (k * k \cap length (tl ys))  for ab
  also have \langle ... ab \leq (ab + 1) * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) \rangle for ab
  finally have less: \langle ab < ac \implies ab * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) <
                                 ac * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) \land for ab ac
```

```
proof -
      assume a1: \bigwedge ab. ab * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) <
                 (ab + 1) * (k * k \cap length (tl ys))
      assume ab < ac
      then have \neg ac * (k * k \cap length (tl ys)) < (ab + 1) * (k * k \cap length (tl ys))
        by (metis (no-types) One-nat-def Suc-leI add.right-neutral add-Suc-right
           mult-less-cancel2 not-less)
      then show ?thesis
        using a1 by (meson le-less-trans not-less)
   have \langle ab < ac \Longrightarrow
       ab * (k * k ^ length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) \\
       < ac * (k * k \cap length (tl xs)) + s (r (tl xs)) \land for ab ac
       using less[of ab ac] p by auto
   then show ?case
        apply (cases xs; cases ys)
       using IH H p(3-5) by auto
   qed
 qed
  then have \langle i \leq n \Longrightarrow s \ (r \ (f \ i)) + i \leq s \ (r \ (f \ \theta)) \rangle for i
   apply (induction i)
   subgoal by auto
   subgoal premises p for i
      using p(3)[of \langle i-1 \rangle] p(1,2)
     apply auto
     by (meson Nat.le-diff-conv2 Suc-leI Suc-le-lessD add-leD2 less-diff-conv less-le-trans p(3))
   done
 from this[of n] show \langle n < k \cap Suc m \rangle
   using le2[of \langle f \theta \rangle] upper[of \theta] k
   using le[of \ \theta] apply (cases \langle n = \theta \rangle)
   by (auto dest!: lexn-length simp: all-set-conv-all-nth eq-commute[of - m])
qed
end
theory WB-List-More
 imports Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More HOL-Library.Finite-Map
    HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
    HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
begin
```

This theory contains various lemmas that have been used in the formalisation. Some of them could probably be moved to the Isabelle distribution or *Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More*.

More Sledgehammer parameters

#### 1.2 Various Lemmas

#### 1.2.1 Not-Related to Refinement or lists

Unlike clarify/auto/simp, this does not split tuple of the form  $\exists T. P T$  in the assumption. After calling it, as the variable are not quantified anymore, the simproc does not trigger, allowing to safely call auto/simp/...

```
\mathbf{method}\ \mathit{normalize}\text{-}\mathit{goal} =
```

```
(match premises in J[thin]: \langle \exists x. \rightarrow \Rightarrow \langle rule \ exE[OF \ J] \rangle
| J[thin]: \langle \neg \land \neg \rangle \Rightarrow \langle rule \ conjE[OF \ J] \rangle
```

```
lemma nat-less-induct-case[case-names 0 Suc]: assumes (P \ \theta) and (\bigwedge n. \ (\forall m < Suc \ n. \ P \ m) \Longrightarrow P \ (Suc \ n)) shows (P \ n) apply (induction rule: nat-less-induct) by (rename-tac n, case-tac n) (auto intro: assms)
```

This is only proved in simple cases by auto. In assumptions, nothing happens, and the theorem *if-split-asm* can blow up goals (because of other if-expressions either in the context or as simplification rules).

```
lemma if-0-1-ge-0 [simp]:
  \langle 0 < (if \ P \ then \ a \ else \ (0::nat)) \longleftrightarrow P \land 0 < a \rangle
  by auto
lemma bex-lessI: P j \Longrightarrow j < n \Longrightarrow \exists j < n. P j
  by auto
lemma bex-qtI: P \ j \Longrightarrow j > n \Longrightarrow \exists j > n. P \ j
  by auto
lemma bex-geI: P j \Longrightarrow j \ge n \Longrightarrow \exists j \ge n. P j
lemma bex-leI: P j \Longrightarrow j \le n \Longrightarrow \exists j \le n. P j
  by auto
Bounded function have not yet been defined in Isabelle.
definition bounded :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b::ord) \Rightarrow bool where
\langle bounded \ f \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ b. \ \forall \ n. \ f \ n \le b) \rangle
abbreviation unbounded :: \langle ('a \Rightarrow 'b):ord \rangle \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
\langle unbounded \ f \equiv \neg \ bounded \ f \rangle
lemma not-bounded-nat-exists-larger:
  \mathbf{fixes}\ f:: \langle nat \Rightarrow nat \rangle
  assumes unbound: \langle unbounded f \rangle
  shows \langle \exists n. \ f \ n > m \land n > n_0 \rangle
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume H: \langle \neg ?thesis \rangle
  have \langle finite \{f \mid n \mid n. \mid n \leq n_0 \} \rangle
  have \langle \bigwedge n. f n \leq Max (\{f n | n. n \leq n_0\} \cup \{m\}) \rangle
    apply (case-tac \langle n \leq n_0 \rangle)
    apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Max-ge Un-insert-right (finite \{f \mid n \mid n. n \leq n_0\})
      finite-insert insertCI mem-Collect-eq sup-bot.right-neutral)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H Max-less-iff Un-insert-right (finite \{f \mid n \mid n. \ n \leq n_0\})
      finite-insert insertI1 insert-not-empty leI sup-bot.right-neutral)
```

```
then show False
using unbound unfolding bounded-def by auto
qed
```

A function is bounded iff its product with a non-zero constant is bounded. The non-zero condition is needed only for the reverse implication (see for example k = 0 and  $f = (\lambda i. i)$  for a counter-example).

```
lemma bounded-const-product:
    fixes k :: nat and f :: (nat \Rightarrow nat)
    assumes (k > 0)
    shows (bounded f \longleftrightarrow bounded (\lambda i. k * f i))
    unfolding bounded-def apply (rule iffI)
    using mult-le-mono2 apply blast
    by (metis Suc-leI add-right-neutral assms mult.commute mult-0-right mult-Suc-right mult-le-mono nat-mult-le-cancel1)

lemma bounded-const-add:
    fixes k :: nat and f :: (nat \Rightarrow nat)
    assumes (k > 0)
    shows (bounded f \longleftrightarrow bounded (\lambda i. k + f i))
    unfolding bounded-def apply (rule iffI)
    using nat-add-left-cancel-le apply blast
    using add-leE by blast
```

This lemma is not used, but here to show that property that can be expected from *bounded* holds.

```
lemma bounded-finite-linorder:

fixes f :: \langle 'a :: finite \Rightarrow 'b :: \{linorder\} \rangle

shows \langle bounded f \rangle

proof —

have \langle finite (f `UNIV) \rangle

by simp

then have \langle \bigwedge x. f x \leq Max (f `UNIV) \rangle

by (auto\ intro:\ Max-ge)

then show ?thesis

unfolding bounded-def by blast

qed
```

#### 1.3 More Lists

#### 1.3.1 set, nth, tl

**lemma** *in-set-remove1D*:

```
lemma ex\text{-}geI: \langle P \ n \Longrightarrow n \ge m \Longrightarrow \exists \ n \ge m. \ P \ n \rangle
by auto
lemma Ball\text{-}atLeastLessThan\text{-}iff: \langle (\forall \ L \in \{a... < b\}. \ P \ L) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ L. \ L \ge a \land L < b \longrightarrow P \ L) \land
unfolding set\text{-}nths by auto
lemma nth\text{-}in\text{-}set\text{-}tl: \langle i > 0 \Longrightarrow i < length \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \ ! \ i \in set \ (tl \ xs) \land
by (cases \ xs) \ auto
lemma tl\text{-}drop\text{-}def: \langle tl \ N = drop \ 1 \ N \land
by (cases \ N) \ auto
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ take\text{-}length\text{-}take\ While\text{-}eq\text{-}take\ While:
  \langle take \ (length \ (take While \ P \ xs)) \ xs = take While \ P \ xs \rangle
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma fold-cons-replicate: \langle fold \ (\lambda - xs. \ a \# xs) \ [0... < n] \ xs = replicate \ n \ a @ xs \rangle
  by (induction \ n) auto
lemma Collect-minus-single-Collect: \langle \{x.\ P\ x\} - \{a\} = \{x\ .\ P\ x \wedge x \neq a\} \rangle
lemma in-set-image-subsetD: \langle f : A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow f x \in B \rangle
 by blast
lemma mset-tl:
  \langle mset\ (tl\ xs) = remove1\text{-}mset\ (hd\ xs)\ (mset\ xs) \rangle
  by (cases xs) auto
lemma hd-list-update-If:
  \langle outl' \neq [] \implies hd \ (outl'[i:=w]) = (if \ i=0 \ then \ w \ else \ hd \ outl') \rangle
  by (cases outl') (auto split: nat.splits)
lemma list-update-id':
  \langle x = xs \mid i \Longrightarrow xs[i := x] = xs \rangle
  by auto
This lemma is not general enough to move to Isabelle, but might be interesting in other cases.
lemma set-Collect-Pair-to-fst-snd:
  by auto
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{butlast-Nil-iff:} \ \langle \textit{butlast} \ \textit{xs} = [] \longleftrightarrow \textit{length} \ \textit{xs} = 1 \ \lor \ \textit{length} \ \textit{xs} = 0 \rangle
 by (cases xs) auto
lemma Set-remove-diff-insert: (a \in B - A \Longrightarrow B - Set.remove \ a \ A = insert \ a \ (B - A))
lemma Set-insert-diff-remove: (B - insert \ a \ A = Set.remove \ a \ (B - A))
  by auto
lemma Set-remove-insert: \langle a \notin A' \Longrightarrow Set.remove \ a \ (insert \ a \ A') = A' \rangle
 by (auto simp: Set.remove-def)
lemma diff-eq-insertD:
  \langle B - A = insert \ a \ A' \Longrightarrow a \in B \rangle
  by auto
lemma in-set-tlD: \langle x \in set \ (tl \ xs) \Longrightarrow x \in set \ xs \rangle
  by (cases xs) auto
This lemmma is only useful if set xs can be simplified (which also means that this simp-rule
should not be used...)
lemma (in -) in-list-in-setD: \langle xs = it @ x \# \sigma \Longrightarrow x \in set xs \rangle
```

 $\langle a \in set \ (remove1 \ x \ xs) \Longrightarrow a \in set \ xs \rangle$ 

**by** (meson notin-set-remove1)

```
by auto
lemma Collect-eq-comp': \langle \{(x, y). \ P \ x \ y\} \ O \ \{(c, a). \ c = f \ a\} = \{(x, a). \ P \ x \ (f \ a)\} \rangle
  by auto
lemma (in -) filter-disj-eq:
  \langle \{x \in A. \ P \ x \lor Q \ x\} = \{x \in A. \ P \ x\} \cup \{x \in A. \ Q \ x\} \rangle
 by auto
lemma zip-conq:
  \langle (\bigwedge i. \ i < min \ (length \ xs) \ (length \ ys) \Longrightarrow (xs! \ i, \ ys! \ i) = (xs'! \ i, \ ys'! \ i)) \Longrightarrow
     length \ xs = length \ xs' \Longrightarrow length \ ys = length \ ys' \Longrightarrow zip \ xs \ ys = zip \ xs' \ ys'
proof (induction xs arbitrary: xs' ys' ys)
 case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
  case (Cons x xs xs' ys' ys) note IH = this(1) and eq = this(2) and p = this(3-)
thm IH
 have \langle zip \ xs \ (tl \ ys) = zip \ (tl \ xs') \ (tl \ ys') \rangle for i
    apply (rule IH)
    subgoal for i
      using p eq[of \langle Suc i \rangle] by (auto simp: nth-tl)
    subgoal using p by auto
    subgoal using p by auto
  moreover have \langle hd \ xs' = x \rangle \langle hd \ ys = hd \ ys' \rangle if \langle ys \neq [] \rangle
    using eq[of \ \theta] that p[symmetric] apply (auto simp: hd-conv-nth)
    apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
    apply auto
    apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
    apply auto
    done
  ultimately show ?case
    using p by (cases xs'; cases ys'; cases ys)
      auto
qed
lemma zip-cong2:
  \langle (\bigwedge i. \ i < min \ (length \ xs) \ (length \ ys) \Longrightarrow (xs! \ i, \ ys! \ i) = (xs'! \ i, \ ys'! \ i)) \Longrightarrow
     length \ xs = length \ xs' \Longrightarrow length \ ys \leq length \ ys' \Longrightarrow length \ ys \geq length \ xs \Longrightarrow
     zip \ xs \ ys = zip \ xs' \ ys'
{f proof}\ (induction\ xs\ arbitrary:\ xs'\ ys'\ ys)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons x xs xs' ys' ys) note IH = this(1) and eq = this(2) and p = this(3-)
  have \langle zip \ xs \ (tl \ ys) = zip \ (tl \ xs') \ (tl \ ys') \rangle for i
    apply (rule IH)
    subgoal for i
      using p \ eq[of \langle Suc \ i \rangle] by (auto simp: nth-tl)
    subgoal using p by auto
    subgoal using p by auto
    subgoal using p by auto
    done
  moreover have \langle hd \ xs' = x \rangle \langle hd \ ys = hd \ ys' \rangle if \langle ys \neq [] \rangle
```

```
using eq[of \ \theta] that p apply (auto simp: hd-conv-nth)
   apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
   apply auto
   apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
   apply auto
   done
  ultimately show ?case
   using p by (cases xs'; cases ys'; cases ys)
qed
          List Updates
1.3.2
lemma tl-update-swap:
  \langle i \geq 1 \implies tl \ (N[i := C]) = (tl \ N)[i-1 := C] \rangle
 by (auto simp: drop-Suc[of 0, symmetric, simplified] drop-update-swap)
lemma tl-update-\theta[simp]: \langle tl \ (N[\theta := x]) = tl \ N \rangle
 by (cases N) auto
declare nth-list-update[simp]
This a version of i < length ?xs \implies ?xs[?i := ?x]! ?j = (if ?i = ?j then ?x else ?xs! ?j) with
a different condition (j instead of i). This is more useful in some cases.
lemma nth-list-update-le'[simp]:
 j < length \ xs \Longrightarrow (xs[i:=x])!j = (if \ i = j \ then \ x \ else \ xs!j)
 by (induct xs arbitrary: i j) (auto simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split)
1.3.3
          Take and drop
lemma take-2-if:
  \langle take\ 2\ C = (if\ C = []\ then\ []\ else\ if\ length\ C = 1\ then\ [hd\ C]\ else\ [C!0,\ C!1] \rangle
 by (cases C; cases \langle tl \ C \rangle) auto
lemma in-set-take-conv-nth:
  \langle x \in set \ (take \ n \ xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ m < min \ n \ (length \ xs). \ xs \ ! \ m = x) \rangle
 by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-take min.commute min.strict-boundedE nth-take)
lemma in-set-dropI:
  \langle m < length \ xs \Longrightarrow m \ge n \Longrightarrow xs \ ! \ m \in set \ (drop \ n \ xs) \rangle
 unfolding in-set-conv-nth
 by (rule\ exI[of - \langle m-n \rangle])\ auto
lemma in-set-drop-conv-nth:
  \langle x \in set \ (drop \ n \ xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ m > n. \ m < length \ xs \land xs \mid m = x) \rangle
  apply (rule iffI)
  subgoal
   apply (subst (asm) in-set-conv-nth)
   apply clarsimp
   apply (rule-tac x = \langle n+i \rangle in exI)
   apply (auto)
   done
  subgoal
   by (auto intro: in-set-dropI)
  done
```

```
Taken from ~~/src/HOL/Word/Word.thy
lemma atd-lem: \langle take \ n \ xs = t \Longrightarrow drop \ n \ xs = d \Longrightarrow xs = t @ d \rangle
 by (auto intro: append-take-drop-id [symmetric])
lemma drop-take-drop-drop:
  \langle j \geq i \implies drop \ i \ xs = take \ (j-i) \ (drop \ i \ xs) \ @ \ drop \ j \ xs \rangle
 apply (induction \langle j - i \rangle arbitrary: j i)
 subgoal by auto
 subgoal by (auto simp add: atd-lem)
  done
lemma in-set-conv-iff:
  \langle x \in set \ (take \ n \ xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ i < n. \ i < length \ xs \land xs \ ! \ i = x) \rangle
  apply (induction \ n)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for n
    apply (cases \langle Suc \ n < length \ xs \rangle)
    subgoal by (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth less-Suc-eq dest: in-set-takeD)
    subgoal
      apply (cases \langle n < length | xs \rangle)
     subgoal
       apply (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
       by (rule-tac \ x=i \ in \ exI; \ auto; \ fail)+
      subgoal
       apply (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth dest: in-set-takeD)
       by (rule-tac \ x=i \ in \ exI; \ auto; \ fail)+
      done
    done
  done
lemma distinct-in-set-take-iff:
  (distinct\ D \Longrightarrow b < length\ D \Longrightarrow D \mid b \in set\ (take\ a\ D) \longleftrightarrow b < a)
  apply (induction a arbitrary: b)
 subgoal by simp
  subgoal for a
    by (cases \langle Suc \ a < length \ D \rangle)
      (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
  done
lemma in-set-distinct-take-drop-iff:
 assumes
    \langle distinct \ D \rangle and
    \langle b < length D \rangle
 shows \langle D \mid b \in set \ (take \ (a - init) \ (drop \ init \ D)) \longleftrightarrow (init < b \land b < a) \rangle
  using assms apply (auto 5 5 simp: distinct-in-set-take-iff in-set-conv-iff
      nth-eq-iff-index-eq dest: in-set-takeD)
 by (metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-less-mono le-iff-add)
1.3.4 Replicate
lemma list-eq-replicate-iff-nempty:
  \langle n > 0 \Longrightarrow xs = replicate \ n \ x \longleftrightarrow n = length \ xs \land set \ xs = \{x\} \rangle
 by (metis length-replicate neq0-conv replicate-length-same set-replicate singletonD)
lemma list-eq-replicate-iff:
  \langle xs = replicate \ n \ x \longleftrightarrow (n = 0 \land xs = []) \lor (n = length \ xs \land set \ xs = \{x\}) \rangle
```

### 1.3.5 List intervals (upt)

The simplification rules are not very handy, because theorem upt.simps (2) (i.e.  $[?i..<Suc~?j] = (if~?i \le ?j~then~[?i..<?j] @ [?j]~else~[])$ ) leads to a case distinction, that we usually do not want if the condition is not already in the context.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ upt\text{-}Suc\text{-}le\text{-}append \colon \langle \neg i \leq j \Longrightarrow [i... < Suc \ j] = [] \rangle \\ \textbf{by} \ auto \\ \end{array}
```

lemmas upt-simps[simp] = upt-Suc-append upt-Suc-le-append

**declare**  $upt.simps(2)[simp \ del]$ 

The counterpart for this lemma when n - m < i is theorem take-all. It is close to theorem ? $i + ?m \le ?n \Longrightarrow take ?m [?i..<?n] = [?i..<?i + ?m]$ , but seems more general.

```
\textbf{lemma} \ take-upt-bound-minus[simp]:
```

```
assumes \langle i \leq n - m \rangle
shows \langle take \ i \ [m... < n] = [m \ ... < m+i] \rangle
using assms by (induction i) auto
```

```
lemma append-cons-eq-upt:
```

qed

```
assumes \langle A @ B = [m.. < n] \rangle

shows \langle A = [m .. < m + length \ A] \rangle and \langle B = [m + length \ A.. < n] \rangle

proof —

have \langle take \ (length \ A) \ (A @ B) = A \rangle by auto

moreover {

have \langle length \ A \leq n - m \rangle using assms \ linear \ calculation by fastforce

then have \langle take \ (length \ A) \ [m.. < n] = [m \ .. < m + length \ A] \rangle by auto }

ultimately show \langle A = [m \ .. < m + length \ A] \rangle using assms by auto

show \langle B = [m + length \ A.. < n] \rangle using assms by (metis \ append-eq-conv-conj \ drop-upt)
```

The converse of theorem append-cons-eq-upt does not hold, for example if @ term B:: nat list is empty and A is [0::'a]:

```
lemma \langle A @ B = [m.. \langle n] \longleftrightarrow A = [m .. \langle m + length A] \land B = [m + length A.. \langle n] \rangle oops
```

A more restrictive version holds:

```
lemma \langle B \neq [] \Longrightarrow A @ B = [m.. < n] \longleftrightarrow A = [m .. < m + length A] \land B = [m + length A.. < n] \land (is \langle ?P \Longrightarrow ?A = ?B \rangle)
proof
assume ?A then show ?B by (auto simp add: append-cons-eq-upt)
next
assume ?P and ?B
then show ?A using append-eq-conv-conj by fastforce
qed
```

```
lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length-i:
```

```
assumes \langle A @ i \# B = [m.. < n] \rangle
shows \langle A = [m .. < i] \rangle
proof –
```

have  $\langle A = [m ... < m + length A] \rangle$  using assms append-cons-eq-upt by autohave  $\langle (A @ i \# B) ! (length A) = i \rangle$  by auto

```
moreover have (n - m = length (A @ i \# B))
   using assms length-upt by presburger
  then have \langle [m.. < n] ! (length A) = m + length A \rangle by simp
  ultimately have \langle i = m + length \ A \rangle using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using \langle A = [m .. < m + length A] \rangle by auto
qed
lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length:
  assumes \langle A @ i \# B = [m.. < n] \rangle
 shows \langle length \ A = i - m \rangle
 using assms
proof (induction A arbitrary: m)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (metis append-Nil diff-is-0-eq list.size(3) order-reft upt-eq-Cons-conv)
next
  case (Cons\ a\ A)
  then have A: \langle A @ i \# B = [m+1...< n] \rangle by (metis append-Cons upt-eq-Cons-conv)
 then have \langle m < i \rangle by (metis Cons.prems append-cons-eq-upt-length-i upt-eq-Cons-conv)
  with Cons.IH[OF A] show ?case by auto
qed
lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end:
  assumes \langle A @ i \# B = [m.. < n] \rangle
  \mathbf{shows} \ \langle B = [Suc \ i \ .. < n] \rangle
proof -
  have \langle B = [Suc \ m + length \ A... < n] \rangle using assms append-cons-eq-upt[of \langle A @ [i] \rangle \ B \ m \ n] by auto
 have \langle (A @ i \# B) ! (length A) = i \rangle by auto
 moreover have \langle n - m = length \ (A @ i \# B) \rangle
   using assms length-upt by auto
  then have \langle [m.. < n]! \ (length \ A) = m + length \ A \rangle by simp
  ultimately have \langle i = m + length \ A \rangle using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using \langle B = [Suc \ m + length \ A.. < n] \rangle by auto
lemma Max-n-upt: \langle Max (insert 0 \{Suc 0...< n\}) = n - Suc 0 \rangle
proof (induct n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ n) note IH = this
 have i: \langle insert \ \theta \ \{Suc \ \theta... < Suc \ n\} = insert \ \theta \ \{Suc \ \theta... < n\} \cup \{n\} \rangle by auto
 show ?case using IH unfolding i by auto
qed
lemma upt-decomp-lt:
  assumes H: \langle xs @ i \# ys @ j \# zs = [m .. < n] \rangle
  shows \langle i < j \rangle
proof -
  have xs: \langle xs = [m ... < i] \rangle and ys: \langle ys = [Suc \ i ... < j] \rangle and zs: \langle zs = [Suc \ j ... < n] \rangle
   using H by (auto dest: append-cons-eq-upt-length-i append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end)
  show ?thesis
   by (metis append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end assms lessI less-trans self-append-conv2
      upt-eq-Cons-conv upt-rec ys)
qed
lemma nths-upt-upto-Suc: \langle aa < length \ xs \implies nths \ xs \ \{0... < Suc \ aa\} = nths \ xs \ \{0... < aa\} \ @ [xs! \ aa] \rangle
```

```
by (simp add: atLeast0LessThan take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
```

The following two lemmas are useful as simp rules for case-distinction. The case length l=0 is already simplified by default.

```
lemma length-list-Suc-0:
  \langle length \ W = Suc \ \theta \longleftrightarrow (\exists L. \ W = [L]) \rangle
  apply (cases W)
    apply (simp; fail)
  apply (rename-tac a W', case-tac W')
  apply auto
  done
lemma length-list-2: \langle length \ S = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ a \ b. \ S = [a, \ b]) \rangle
  apply (cases S)
  apply (simp; fail)
  apply (rename-tac \ a \ S')
  apply (case-tac S')
  by simp-all
lemma finite-bounded-list:
  fixes b :: nat
  shows (finite {xs. length xs < s \land (\forall i < length xs. xs! i < b)}) (is \( \forall finite \( (?S s) \) )
proof
  have H: \langle finite \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0... < b\} \land \ length \ xs \le s\} \rangle
    by (rule finite-lists-length-le[of \langle \{0...< b\} \rangle \langle s \rangle]) auto
  show ?thesis
    by (rule finite-subset[OF - H]) (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
qed
lemma last-in-set-drop While:
  assumes \langle \exists L \in set (xs @ [x]). \neg P L \rangle
  shows \langle x \in set \ (drop While P \ (xs @ [x])) \rangle
  using assms by (induction xs) auto
lemma mset-drop-upto: \langle mset \ (drop \ a \ N) = \{ \# N!i. \ i \in \# \ mset-set \ \{ a.. < length \ N \} \# \} \rangle
proof (induction N arbitrary: a)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons\ c\ N)
  have upt: \langle \{0... < Suc \ (length \ N)\} = insert \ 0 \ \{1... < Suc \ (length \ N)\} \rangle
  then have H: (mset\text{-}set \{0... < Suc (length N)\}) = add\text{-}mset 0 (mset\text{-}set \{1... < Suc (length N)\}))
    unfolding upt by auto
  have mset-case-Suc: \{\# case \ x \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow c \mid Suc \ x \Rightarrow N \ ! \ x \ . \ x \in \# \ mset-set \ \{Suc \ a.. < Suc \ b\}\#\} =
    \{\#N \mid (x-1) : x \in \# \text{ mset-set } \{Suc \ a.. < Suc \ b\} \#\} \} \text{ for } a \ b
    by (rule image-mset-cong) (auto split: nat.splits)
  have Suc\text{-}Suc: \langle \{Suc\ a... < Suc\ b\} = Suc\ `\{a... < b\} \rangle for a\ b
    by auto
  then have mset\text{-}set\text{-}Suc\text{-}Suc: (mset\text{-}set \{Suc \ a... < Suc \ b\} = \{\#Suc \ n. \ n \in \# \ mset\text{-}set \ \{a... < b\}\#\}) for
a b
    unfolding Suc-Suc by (subst image-mset-mset-set[symmetric]) auto
 have *: \{\#N \mid (x-Suc\ \theta) : x \in \# \text{ mset-set } \{Suc\ a... < Suc\ b\}\#\} = \{\#N \mid x : x \in \# \text{ mset-set } \{a... < b\}\#\}
    by (auto simp add: mset-set-Suc-Suc)
  show ?case
```

```
apply (cases \ a)
   using Cons[of 0] Cons by (auto simp: nth-Cons drop-Cons H mset-case-Suc *)
qed
lemma last-list-update-to-last:
  \langle last \ (xs[x := last \ xs]) = last \ xs \rangle
 by (metis last-list-update list-update.simps(1))
lemma take-map-nth-alt-def: \langle take \ n \ xs = map \ ((!) \ xs) \ [0..< min \ n \ (length \ xs)] \rangle
proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (snoc \ x \ xs) note IH = this
 show ?case
 proof (cases \langle n < length (xs @ [x]) \rangle)
   case True
   then show ?thesis
     using IH by (auto simp: min-def nth-append)
 next
   case False
   have [simp]:
     \langle map \ (\lambda a. \ if \ a < length \ xs \ then \ xs \ ! \ a \ else \ [x] \ ! \ (a - length \ xs)) \ [0..< length \ xs] =
      map \ (\lambda a. \ xs \ ! \ a) \ [0..< length \ xs] \  for xs and x :: 'b
     by (rule map-cong) auto
   show ?thesis
     using IH False by (auto simp: nth-append min-def)
 qed
qed
1.3.6
          Lexicographic Ordering
```

```
lemma lexn-Suc:
  \langle (x \# xs, y \# ys) \in lexn \ r \ (Suc \ n) \longleftrightarrow
  (length \ xs = n \land length \ ys = n) \land ((x, y) \in r \lor (x = y \land (xs, ys) \in lexn \ r \ n))
 by (auto simp: map-prod-def image-iff lex-prod-def)
lemma lexn-n:
  \langle n > 0 \Longrightarrow (x \# xs, y \# ys) \in lexn \ r \ n \longleftrightarrow
  (length\ xs=n-1\ \land\ length\ ys=n-1)\ \land\ ((x,\ y)\in r\ \lor\ (x=y\ \land\ (xs,\ ys)\in lexn\ r\ (n-1)))
 apply (cases n)
  apply simp
 by (auto simp: map-prod-def image-iff lex-prod-def)
```

There is some subtle point in the previous theorem explaining why it is useful. The term 1 is converted to  $Suc\ \theta$ , but 2 is not, meaning that 1 is automatically simplified by default allowing the use of the default simplification rule lexn.simps. However, for 2 one additional simplification rule is required (see the proof of the theorem above).

```
lemma lexn2-conv:
  \langle ([a, b], [c, d]) \in lexn \ r \ 2 \longleftrightarrow (a, c) \in r \lor (a = c \land (b, d) \in r) \rangle
  by (auto simp: lexn-n simp del: lexn.simps(2))
lemma lexn3-conv:
  \langle ([a, b, c], [a', b', c']) \in lexn \ r \ \mathcal{3} \longleftrightarrow
    (a,\,a')\in r \vee (a=a' \wedge (b,\,b')\in r) \vee (a=a' \wedge b=b' \wedge (c,\,c')\in r) \rangle
```

```
by (auto simp: lexn-n simp del: lexn.simps(2))
lemma prepend-same-lexn:
  assumes irrefl: \langle irrefl R \rangle
  \mathbf{shows} \ \langle (A @ B, A @ C) \in lexn \ R \ n \longleftrightarrow (B, C) \in lexn \ R \ (n - length \ A) \rangle \ (\mathbf{is} \ \langle ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \rangle)
proof
  assume ?A
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: \langle length \ B = n - length \ A \rangle and
    len-C: \langle length \ C = n - length \ A \rangle and
    AB: \langle A @ B = xys @ x \# xs \rangle and
    AC: \langle A @ C = xys @ y \# ys \rangle and
    xy: \langle (x, y) \in R \rangle
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  have x-neq-y: \langle x \neq y \rangle
    using xy irrefl by (auto simp add: irrefl-def)
  then have \langle B = drop \ (length \ A) \ xys @ x \# xs \rangle
    using arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AB,\ of\ \langle drop\ (length\ A)\rangle]
    apply (cases \langle length \ A - length \ xys \rangle)
    apply (auto; fail)
    by (metis AB AC nth-append nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
  moreover have \langle C = drop \ (length \ A) \ xys @ y \# ys \rangle
    using arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AC,\ of\ \langle drop\ (length\ A)\rangle]\ x\text{-}neq\text{-}y
    apply (cases \langle length \ A - length \ xys \rangle)
    apply (auto; fail)
    by (metis AB AC nth-append nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
  ultimately show ?B
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
next
 assume ?B
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: \langle length \ B = n - length \ A \rangle and
    len-C: \langle length \ C = n - length \ A \rangle and
    AB: \langle B = xys @ x \# xs \rangle and
    AC: \langle C = xys @ y \# ys \rangle and
    xy: \langle (x, y) \in R \rangle
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  define Axys where \langle Axys = A @ xys \rangle
 have \langle A @ B = Axys @ x \# xs \rangle
    using AB Axys-def by auto
  moreover have \langle A @ C = Axys @ y \# ys \rangle
    using AC Axys-def by auto
  moreover have \langle Suc\ (length\ Axys + length\ xs) = n \rangle and
    \langle length \ ys = length \ xs \rangle
    using len-B len-C AB AC Axys-def by auto
  ultimately show ?A
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
qed
lemma append-same-lexn:
 assumes irrefl: \langle irrefl R \rangle
```

```
shows (B @ A, C @ A) \in lexn \ R \ n \longleftrightarrow (B, C) \in lexn \ R \ (n - length \ A) \land (is \land ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \land)
proof
  assume ?A
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: \langle n = length \ B + length \ A \rangle and
    len-C: \langle n = length \ C + length \ A \rangle and
    AB: \langle B @ A = xys @ x \# xs \rangle and
    AC: \langle C @ A = xys @ y \# ys \rangle and
    xy: \langle (x, y) \in R \rangle
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  have x-neq-y: \langle x \neq y \rangle
    using xy irrefl by (auto simp add: irrefl-def)
  have len-C-B: \langle length \ C = length \ B \rangle
    using len-B len-C by simp
  have len-B-xys: \langle length \ B > length \ xys \rangle
    apply (rule ccontr)
    using arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AB,\ of\ \langle take\ (length\ B)\rangle]\ arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AB,\ of\ \langle drop\ (length\ B)\rangle]}
      arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AC,\ of\ \langle drop\ (length\ C)\rangle] x-neq-y len-C-B
    by auto
  then have B: \langle B = xys @ x \# take (length B - Suc (length xys)) xs \rangle
    using arg\text{-}cong[OF\ AB,\ of\ \langle take\ (length\ B)\rangle]
    by (cases \langle length B - length xys \rangle) simp-all
 have C: \langle C = xys @ y \# take (length <math>C - Suc (length xys)) ys \rangle
    using arg\text{-}conq[OF\ AC,\ of\ \langle take\ (length\ C)\rangle] x-neq-y len-B-xys unfolding len-C-B[symmetric]
    by (cases \langle length \ C - length \ xys \rangle) auto
  show ?B
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy B C
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
next
 assume ?B
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: \langle length \ B = n - length \ A \rangle and
    len-C: \langle length \ C = n - length \ A \rangle and
    AB: \langle B = xys @ x \# xs \rangle and
    AC: \langle C = xys @ y \# ys \rangle and
    xy: \langle (x, y) \in R \rangle
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  define Ays \ Axs \ where \langle Ays = ys \ @ \ A \rangle \ and\langle Axs = xs \ @ \ A \rangle 
 have \langle B @ A = xys @ x \# Axs \rangle
    using AB Axs-def by auto
  moreover have \langle C @ A = xys @ y \# Ays \rangle
    using AC Ays-def by auto
  moreover have \langle Suc\ (length\ xys + length\ Axs) = n \rangle and
    \langle length \ Ays = length \ Axs \rangle
    using len-B len-C AB AC Axs-def Ays-def by auto
  ultimately show ?A
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
qed
lemma irrefl-less-than [simp]: ⟨irrefl less-than⟩
 by (auto simp: irrefl-def)
```

#### 1.3.7 Remove

#### More lemmas about remove

**lemma** distinct-remove1-last-butlast:

```
\langle distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow remove1 \ (last \ xs) \ xs = butlast \ xs \rangle
  by (metis append-Nil2 append-butlast-last-id distinct-butlast not-distinct-conv-prefix
      remove1.simps(2) remove1-append)
lemma remove1-Nil-iff:
  \langle remove1 \ x \ xs = [] \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \lor xs = [x] \rangle
  by (cases xs) auto
lemma removeAll-upt:
  \langle removeAll \ k \ [a.. < b] = (if \ k \geq a \land k < b \ then \ [a.. < k] @ [Suc \ k.. < b] \ else \ [a.. < b] \rangle
  by (induction b) auto
lemma remove1-upt:
  \langle remove1 \ k \ [a..< b] = (if \ k \ge a \land k < b \ then \ [a..< k] @ [Suc \ k..< b] \ else \ [a..< b] \rangle
  by (subst distinct-remove1-removeAll) (auto simp: removeAll-upt)
lemma sorted-removeAll: \langle sorted \ C \Longrightarrow sorted \ (removeAll \ k \ C) \rangle
  by (metis map-ident removeAll-filter-not-eq sorted-filter)
lemma distinct-remove1-rev: (distinct xs \implies remove1 \ x \ (rev \ xs) = rev \ (remove1 \ x \ xs))
  using split-list[of x xs]
  by (cases \langle x \in set \ xs \rangle) (auto simp: remove1-append remove1-idem)
Remove under condition
This function removes the first element such that the condition f holds. It generalises remove1.
fun remove1-cond where
\langle remove1\text{-}cond f \mid | = | \rangle |
(remove1\text{-}cond\ f\ (C'\ \#\ L) = (if\ f\ C'\ then\ L\ else\ C'\ \#\ remove1\text{-}cond\ f\ L))
lemma \langle remove1 \ x \ xs = remove1\text{-}cond\ ((=)\ x)\ xs \rangle
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma mset-map-mset-remove1-cond:
  (mset (map mset (remove1-cond (\lambda L. mset L = mset a) C)) =
    remove1-mset (mset a) (mset (map mset C))
  by (induction C) auto
We can also generalise removeAll, which is close to filter:
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{removeAll\text{-}cond} :: \langle ('a \Rightarrow \mathit{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathit{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathit{list} \rangle \ \mathbf{where}
\langle removeAll\text{-}cond \ f \ [] = [] \rangle \ |
\langle removeAll\text{-}cond \ f \ (C' \# L) = (if \ f \ C' \ then \ removeAll\text{-}cond \ f \ L \ else \ C' \# \ removeAll\text{-}cond \ f \ L) \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ removeAll\text{-}removeAll\text{-}cond: \langle removeAll\ x\ xs = removeAll\text{-}cond\ ((=)\ x)\ xs \rangle
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma removeAll-cond-filter: \langle removeAll-cond \ P \ xs = filter \ (\lambda x. \ \neg P \ x) \ xs \rangle
  by (induction xs) auto
{\bf lemma}\ mset{-}map{-}mset{-}removeAll{-}cond:
  (mset \ (map \ mset \ (removeAll-cond \ (\lambda b. \ mset \ b = mset \ a) \ C))
```

```
= removeAll\text{-}mset \ (mset \ a) \ (mset \ (map \ mset \ C))
  by (induction C) auto
{f lemma} count-mset-count-list:
  (count\ (mset\ xs)\ x = count\ list\ xs\ x)
  by (induction xs) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{length\text{-}removeAll\text{-}count\text{-}list}\colon
  \langle length \ (removeAll \ x \ xs) = length \ xs - count-list \ xs \ x \rangle
proof -
  have \langle length \ (removeAll \ x \ xs) = size \ (removeAll-mset \ x \ (mset \ xs)) \rangle
   by auto
 also have \langle \dots = size \ (mset \ xs) - count \ (mset \ xs) \ x \rangle
    by (metis count-le-replicate-mset-subset-eq le-refl size-Diff-submset size-replicate-mset)
  also have \langle \dots = length \ xs - count\text{-}list \ xs \ x \rangle
    unfolding count-mset-count-list by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma removeAll-notin: \langle a \notin \# A \implies removeAll-mset a A = A \rangle
  using count-in I by force
Filter
lemma distinct-filter-eq-if:
  \langle distinct \ C \Longrightarrow length \ (filter \ ((=) \ L) \ C) = (if \ L \in set \ C \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) \rangle
  by (induction C) auto
lemma length-filter-update-true:
 assumes \langle i < length \ xs \rangle and \langle P \ (xs \ ! \ i) \rangle
 shows (length\ (filter\ P\ (xs[i:=x])) = length\ (filter\ P\ xs) - (if\ P\ x\ then\ 0\ else\ 1))
  apply (subst (5) append-take-drop-id[of i, symmetric])
  using assms upd-conv-take-nth-drop[of i xs x] Cons-nth-drop-Suc[of i xs, symmetric]
  unfolding filter-append length-append
 by simp
lemma length-filter-update-false:
  assumes \langle i < length \ xs \rangle and \langle \neg P \ (xs \ ! \ i) \rangle
  shows \langle length \ (filter \ P \ (xs[i := x])) = length \ (filter \ P \ xs) + (if \ P \ x \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) \rangle
 apply (subst (5) append-take-drop-id[of i, symmetric])
  using assms upd-conv-take-nth-drop[of i xs x] Cons-nth-drop-Suc[of i xs, symmetric]
  unfolding filter-append length-append
 by simp
lemma mset-set-mset-set-minus-id-iff:
 assumes (finite A)
 shows \langle mset\text{-}set \ A = mset\text{-}set \ (A - B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ b \in B. \ b \notin A) \rangle
 have f1: mset\text{-}set\ A = mset\text{-}set\ (A-B) \longleftrightarrow A-B = A
    using assms by (metis (no-types) finite-Diff finite-set-mset-mset-set)
  then show ?thesis
   \mathbf{by} blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mset-set-eq-mset-set-more-conds}:
  \{finite \ \{x.\ P\ x\} \Longrightarrow mset\text{-set}\ \{x.\ P\ x\} = mset\text{-set}\ \{x.\ Q\ x \land P\ x\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x.\ P\ x \longrightarrow Q\ x)\}
```

```
(is (?F \Longrightarrow ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B))

proof —

assume ?F

then have (?A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \{x. \ P \ x\}. \ x \in \{x. \ Q \ x \land P \ x\}))

by (subst \ mset\text{-}set\text{-}eq\text{-}iff) \ auto

also have (... \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \ P \ x \longrightarrow Q \ x))

by blast

finally show ?thesis.

qed

lemma count\text{-}list\text{-}filter: (count\text{-}list \ xs \ x = length \ (filter \ ((=) \ x) \ xs))

by (induction \ xs) \ auto

lemma sum\text{-}length\text{-}filter\text{-}compl': (length \ [x \leftarrow xs. \ \neg P \ x] + length \ (filter \ P \ xs) = length \ xs)

using sum\text{-}length\text{-}filter\text{-}compl[of \ P \ xs] by auto
```

#### 1.3.8 Sorting

See  $[sorted ?xs; distinct ?xs; sorted ?ys; distinct ?ys; set ?xs = set ?ys] \implies ?xs = ?ys.$ 

```
lemma sorted-mset-unique:
 \mathbf{fixes} \ \mathit{xs} :: \langle 'a :: \mathit{linorder} \ \mathit{list} \rangle
 shows (sorted xs \Longrightarrow sorted \ ys \Longrightarrow mset \ xs = mset \ ys \Longrightarrow xs = ys)
  using properties-for-sort by auto
lemma insort-upt: \langle insort \ k \ [a.. < b] =
  (if k < a then k \# [a..< b]
  else if k < b then [a..< k] @ k \# [k ..< b]
  else [a..< b] @ [k]
proof -
  have H: \langle k < Suc \ b \Longrightarrow \neg \ k < a \Longrightarrow \{a.. < b\} = \{a.. < k\} \cup \{k.. < b\} \rangle for a \ b :: nat
    by (simp\ add:\ ivl-disj-un-two(3))
  show ?thesis
 apply (induction \ b)
  apply (simp; fail)
  apply (case-tac \langle \neg k < a \land k < Suc b \rangle)
  apply (rule sorted-mset-unique)
      apply ((auto simp add: sorted-append sorted-insort ac-simps mset-set-Union
        dest!: H; fail)+)[2]
    apply (auto simp: insort-is-Cons sorted-insort-is-snoc sorted-append mset-set-Union
      ac\text{-}simps\ dest:\ H;\ fail)+
  done
qed
lemma removeAll-insert-removeAll: \langle removeAll \ k \ (insort \ k \ xs) = removeAll \ k \ xs \rangle
 by (simp add: filter-insort-triv removeAll-filter-not-eq)
lemma filter-sorted: \langle sorted \ xs \Longrightarrow sorted \ (filter \ P \ xs) \rangle
 by (metis list.map-ident sorted-filter)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{removeAll-insort} :
  (sorted \ xs \Longrightarrow k \neq k' \Longrightarrow removeAll \ k' \ (insort \ k \ xs) = insort \ k \ (removeAll \ k' \ xs))
  by (simp add: filter-insort removeAll-filter-not-eq)
```

#### 1.3.9 Distinct Multisets

```
lemma distinct-mset-remdups-mset-id: (distinct-mset C \Longrightarrow remdups-mset C = C)
  by (induction C) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ not in-add-mset-remdups-mset:
  \langle a \notin \# A \implies add\text{-mset } a \text{ (remdups-mset } A) = remdups\text{-mset } (add\text{-mset } a \text{ } A) \rangle
  by auto
lemma distinct-mset-image-mset:
  \langle distinct\text{-}mset \ (image\text{-}mset \ f \ (mset \ xs)) \longleftrightarrow distinct \ (map \ f \ xs) \rangle
  apply (subst mset-map[symmetric])
  apply (subst distinct-mset-mset-distinct)
lemma distinct-image-mset-not-equal:
  assumes
    LL': \langle L \neq L' \rangle and
    dist: \langle distinct\text{-}mset\ (image\text{-}mset\ f\ M) \rangle and
    L: \langle L \in \# M \rangle and
    L': \langle L' \in \# M \rangle and
    fLL'[simp]: \langle f L = f L' \rangle
  shows \langle False \rangle
proof -
  obtain M1 where M1: \langle M = add\text{-}mset\ L\ M1 \rangle
    using multi-member-split[OF L] by blast
  obtain M2 where M2: \langle M1 = add\text{-}mset L' M2 \rangle
    using multi-member-split of L' M1 LL' L' unfolding M1 by (auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset)
  {f show} False
    using dist unfolding M1 M2 by auto
qed
               Set of Distinct Multisets
1.3.10
definition distinct-mset-set :: \langle 'a \text{ multiset set} \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
  \langle distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set \ \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall S \in \Sigma. \ distinct\text{-}mset \ S) \rangle
lemma distinct-mset-set-empty[simp]: \( \distinct-mset-set \{ \} \)
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
lemma distinct-mset-set-singleton[iff]: \langle distinct-mset-set \{A\} \longleftrightarrow distinct-mset A \rangle
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set\text{-}insert[iff]:
  \langle \textit{distinct-mset-set} \; (\textit{insert} \; S \; \Sigma) \longleftrightarrow (\textit{distinct-mset} \; S \; \wedge \; \textit{distinct-mset-set} \; \Sigma) \rangle
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
lemma distinct-mset-set-union[iff]:
  \langle distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set \ (\Sigma \cup \Sigma') \longleftrightarrow (distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set \ \Sigma \land distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set \ \Sigma') \rangle
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ in\text{-}distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}set\text{-}distinct\text{-}mset:
  \langle a \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow distinct\text{-mset-set } \Sigma \Longrightarrow distinct\text{-mset } a \rangle
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
lemma distinct-mset-remdups-mset[simp]: \langle distinct-mset (remdups-mset S)\rangle
```

```
\mathbf{using}\ \mathit{count\text{-}remdups\text{-}mset\text{-}eq\text{-}1}\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}def}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{metis}
```

```
lemma distinct-mset-mset-set: (distinct-mset (mset-set A))
  unfolding distinct-mset-def count-mset-set-if by (auto simp: not-in-iff)
lemma distinct-mset-filter-mset-set[simp]: \langle distinct\text{-mset} \ \{ \# a \in \# \ mset\text{-set} \ A. \ P \ a\# \} \rangle
  by (simp add: distinct-mset-filter distinct-mset-mset-set)
lemma distinct-mset-set-distinct: (distinct\text{-mset-set} (mset `set Cs) \longleftrightarrow (\forall c \in set Cs. distinct c))
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto
1.3.11
              Sublists
lemma nths-single-if: \langle nths \ l \ \{n\} = (if \ n < length \ l \ then \ [l!n] \ else \ []) \rangle
  have [simp]: \langle 0 < n \Longrightarrow \{j. \ Suc \ j = n\} = \{n-1\} \rangle for n
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (induction l arbitrary: n)
    subgoal by (auto simp: nths-def)
    subgoal by (auto simp: nths-Cons)
    done
qed
lemma atLeastLessThan-Collect: \langle \{a.. < b\} = \{j. \ j \ge a \land j < b\} \rangle
  by auto
lemma mset-nths-subset-mset: \langle mset (nths xs A) \subseteq \# mset xs \rangle
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: A)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for a xs A
    using subset-mset.add-increasing2[of (add-mset - <math>\{\#\}) (mset (nths \ xs \ \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\}))]
      \langle mset \ xs \rangle
    by (auto simp: nths-Cons)
  done
lemma nths-id-iff:
  \langle nths \ xs \ A = xs \longleftrightarrow \{0.. < length \ xs\} \subseteq A \rangle
proof -
  have \langle \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\} = (\lambda j. \ j-1) \ `(A - \{0\}) \rangle for A
    using DiffI by (fastforce simp: image-iff)
  have 1: \langle \{0... < b\} \subseteq \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \ x-1 < b \longrightarrow x \neq 0 \longrightarrow x \in A) \rangle
    for A :: \langle nat \ set \rangle and b :: nat
    by auto
  have [simp]: \langle \{0..< b\} \subseteq \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \ x-1 < b \longrightarrow x \in A) \rangle
    if \langle \theta \in A \rangle for A :: \langle nat \ set \rangle and b :: nat
    using that unfolding 1 by auto
  have [simp]: \langle nths \ xs \ \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\} = a \ \# \ xs \longleftrightarrow False \rangle
    for a :: 'a and xs :: \langle 'a | list \rangle and A :: \langle nat | set \rangle
    using mset-nths-subset-mset[of xs (\{j. Suc j \in A\})] by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (induction xs arbitrary: A)
    subgoal by auto
      by (auto 5 5 simp: nths-Cons) fastforce
```

done

```
qed
```

```
lemma nts-upt-length[simp]: \langle nths \ xs \ \{0..< length \ xs\} = xs \rangle
 by (auto simp: nths-id-iff)
lemma nths-shift-lemma':
  (map\ fst\ [p\leftarrow zip\ xs\ [i..< i+n].\ snd\ p+b\in A]=map\ fst\ [p\leftarrow zip\ xs\ [0..< n].\ snd\ p+b+i\in A])
proof (induct xs arbitrary: i n b)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
 have 1: (map\ fst\ [p \leftarrow zip\ (a \# xs)\ (i \# [Suc\ i... < i + n]).\ snd\ p + b \in A] =
     (if i + b \in A then a \# map fst [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [Suc \ i.. < i + n]. \ snd \ p + b \in A]
     else map fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [Suc \ i... < i + n]. \ snd \ p + b \in A])
    by simp
  have 2: \langle map \ fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ (a \# xs) \ [0... < n] \ . \ snd \ p + b + i \in A] =
     (if i + b \in A then a \# map fst [p \leftarrow zip xs [1... < n]]. snd p + b + i \in A]
      else map fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ (xs) \ [1... < n] \ . \ snd \ p + b + i \in A])
    if \langle n > \theta \rangle
    by (subst upt-conv-Cons) (use that in \langle auto \ simp: \ ac\text{-}simps \rangle)
  show ?case
  proof (cases n)
    case \theta
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case n: (Suc m)
    then have i-n-m: \langle i + n = Suc \ i + m \rangle
      by auto
    have 3: \langle map \ fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [Suc \ i... < i+n] \ . \ snd \ p + b \in A] =
             map fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [0.. < m] \ . \ snd \ p + b + Suc \ i \in A] \rangle
      using Cons[of \ b \ \langle Suc \ i \rangle \ m] unfolding i-n-m.
    have 4: \langle map \ fst \ [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [1... < n] \ . \ snd \ p + b + i \in A] =
                  map fst [p \leftarrow zip \ xs \ [0..< m] \ . \ Suc \ (snd \ p + b + i) \in A]
      using Cons[of \langle b+i \rangle \ 1 \ m] unfolding n \ Suc-eq-plus 1-left \ add. commute[of \ 1]
      by (simp-all add: ac-simps)
    show ?thesis
      apply (subst upt-conv-Cons)
      using n apply (simp; fail)
      apply (subst 1)
      apply (subst 2)
      using n apply (simp; fail)
      apply (subst 3)
      apply (subst 3)
      apply (subst 4)
      apply (subst 4)
      \mathbf{by}\ force
 qed
qed
lemma nths-Cons-upt-Suc: \langle nths (a \# xs) \{0... < Suc n\} = a \# nths xs \{0... < n\} \rangle
  unfolding nths-def
  apply (subst upt-conv-Cons)
  apply simp
  using nths-shift-lemma'[of 0 \ \langle \{0... < Suc\ n\} \rangle \ \langle xs \rangle \ 1 \ \langle length\ xs \rangle]
```

```
by (simp-all add: ac-simps)
```

```
lemma nths-empty-iff: \langle nths \ xs \ A = [] \longleftrightarrow \{.. < length \ xs\} \cap A = \{\} \rangle
proof (induction xs arbitrary: A)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons\ a\ xs) note IH=this(1)
  have \langle (\forall x < length \ xs. \ x \neq 0 \longrightarrow x \notin A) \rangle
    if a1: \langle \{..< length \ xs\} \cap \{j. \ Suc \ j \in A\} = \{\}\rangle
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ nn
    assume nn: \langle nn < length \ xs \rangle \langle nn \neq \theta \rangle
    moreover have \forall n. Suc n \notin A \lor \neg n < length xs
      using a1 by blast
    then show nn \notin A
      using nn
      by (metis (no-types) lessI less-trans list-decode.cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
  show ?case
  proof (cases \langle \theta \in A \rangle)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then show ?thesis by (subst nths-Cons) auto
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      by (subst nths-Cons) (use less-Suc-eq-0-disj IH in auto)
qed
lemma nths-upt-Suc:
  assumes \langle i < length \ xs \rangle
  shows \langle nths \ xs \ \{i... < length \ xs\} = xs!i \ \# \ nths \ xs \ \{Suc \ i... < length \ xs\} \rangle
  have upt: \langle \{i..< k\} = \{j. \ i \leq j \land j < k\} \rangle for i \ k :: nat
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons\ a\ xs\ i) note IH=this(1) and i\text{-}le=this(2)
    have [simp]: \langle i - Suc \ 0 \le j \longleftrightarrow i \le Suc \ j \rangle if \langle i > \theta \rangle for j
      using that by auto
    \mathbf{show} ?case
      using IH[of \langle i-1 \rangle] i-le
      by (auto simp add: nths-Cons upt)
  qed
qed
lemma nths-upt-Suc':
  assumes \langle i < b \rangle and \langle b <= length \ xs \rangle
  shows \langle nths \ xs \ \{i... < b\} = xs!i \ \# \ nths \ xs \ \{Suc \ i... < b\} \rangle
proof -
```

```
have S1: \langle \{j. \ i \leq Suc \ j \land j < b - Suc \ 0 \} = \{j. \ i \leq Suc \ j \land Suc \ j < b \} \rangle for i \ b
    by auto
  have S2: \langle \{j. \ i \leq j \land j < b - Suc \ 0\} = \{j. \ i \leq j \land Suc \ j < b\} \rangle for i \ b
    by auto
  have upt: \langle \{i... < k\} = \{j. \ i \leq j \land j < k\} \rangle for i \ k :: nat
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: i b)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons a xs i) note IH = this(1) and i-le = this(2,3)
    have [simp]: \langle i - Suc \ 0 \le j \longleftrightarrow i \le Suc \ j \rangle if \langle i > 0 \rangle for j
      using that by auto
    have \langle i - Suc \ \theta < b - Suc \ \theta \lor (i = \theta) \rangle
      using i-le by linarith
    moreover have \langle b - Suc \ \theta \leq length \ xs \lor xs = [] \rangle
      using i-le by auto
    ultimately show ?case
      using IH[of \langle i-1 \rangle \langle b-1 \rangle] i-le
      apply (subst nths-Cons)
      apply (subst nths-Cons)
      by (auto simp: upt S1 S2)
  qed
qed
lemma Ball-set-nths: (\forall L \in set (nths \ xs \ A). \ P \ L) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in A \cap \{0... < length \ xs\}. \ P \ (xs \ ! \ i)) >
  unfolding set-nths by fastforce
```

#### 1.3.12 Product Case

The splitting of tuples is done for sizes strictly less than 8. As we want to manipulate tuples of size 8, here is some more setup for larger sizes.

```
lemma prod-cases8 [cases type]:
   obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)
   by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto

lemma prod-induct8 [case-names fields, induct type]:
   (\bigwedge a b c d e f g h. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) \Longrightarrow P x
   by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases9 [cases type]:
   obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)
   by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto

lemma prod-induct9 [case-names fields, induct type]:
   (\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) \Longrightarrow P x
   by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases10 [cases type]:
   obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j)
   by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto
```

**lemma** prod-induct10 [case-names fields, induct type]:

```
(\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j))\Longrightarrow P\ x
 by (cases \ x) \ blast
lemma prod-cases11 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k  where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k)
 by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct11 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j,\ k))\Longrightarrow P\ x
  by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases12 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)
  by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct12 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l. \ P \ (a, \ b, \ c, \ d, \ e, \ f, \ g, \ h, \ i, \ j, \ k, \ l)) \Longrightarrow P \ x
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases13 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)
 by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct13 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l \ m. \ P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)) \Longrightarrow P \ x
  by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases14 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n)
  by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto
lemma prod-induct14 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l \ m \ n. \ P \ (a, \ b, \ c, \ d, \ e, \ f, \ g, \ h, \ i, \ j, \ k, \ l, \ m, \ n)) \Longrightarrow P \ x
  by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases15 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f q h i j k l m n p where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p)
 by (cases y, cases (snd\ y)) auto
lemma prod-induct15 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n\ p.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j,\ k,\ l,\ m,\ n,\ p)) \Longrightarrow P\ x
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases16 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q)
  by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct16 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n\ p\ q.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j,\ k,\ l,\ m,\ n,\ p,\ q)) \Longrightarrow P\ x
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases17 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r)
```

```
by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct17 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n\ p\ q\ r.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j,\ k,\ l,\ m,\ n,\ p,\ q,\ r))\Longrightarrow P\ x
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases18 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s)
  by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct18 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n\ p\ q\ r\ s.\ P\ (a,\ b,\ c,\ d,\ e,\ f,\ g,\ h,\ i,\ j,\ k,\ l,\ m,\ n,\ p,\ q,\ r,\ s))\Longrightarrow P\ x
  by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases19 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)
  by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct19 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l \ m \ n \ p \ q \ r \ s \ t.
     P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)) \Longrightarrow Px
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases20 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)
  by (cases y, cases \langle snd y \rangle) auto
lemma prod-induct20 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n\ p\ q\ r\ s\ t\ u.
      P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)) \Longrightarrow Px
 by (cases x) blast
lemma prod-cases21 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f q h i j k l m n p q r s t u v where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)
 by (cases y, cases (snd\ y)) auto
lemma prod-induct21 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l \ m \ n \ p \ q \ r \ s \ t \ u \ v.
      P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)) \Longrightarrow Px
  by (cases \ x) \ (blast \ 43)
lemma prod-cases22 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w where
    y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)
  by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto
lemma prod-induct22 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i \ j \ k \ l \ m \ n \ p \ q \ r \ s \ t \ u \ v \ w.
      P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)) \Longrightarrow Px
 by (cases x) (blast 43)
```

**lemma** prod-cases23 [cases type]:

```
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w x where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x) by (cases y, cases (snd y)) auto

lemma prod-induct23 [case-names fields, induct type]:

(\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e f g \ h \ i j k \ l m \ n \ p \ q \ r s \ t \ u \ v \ w \ y.

P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y)) \Longrightarrow P \ x by (cases x) (blast 43)
```

#### 1.3.13 More about list-all2 and map

More properties on the relator *list-all2* and *map*. These theorems are mostly used during the refinement and especially the lifting from a deterministic relator to its list version.

```
lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff: (list-all2 (\lambda L. (=) (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow aa = map f a)
  apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
  apply (auto; fail)
  by (rename-tac aa, case-tac aa) (auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff':} \ \langle \mathit{list-all2} \ (\lambda L \ L'. \ L' = f \ L) \ a \ aa \longleftrightarrow aa = \mathit{map} \ f \ a \rangle
  apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
  apply (auto; fail)
  by (rename-tac aa, case-tac aa) auto
lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-left-iff: (list-all2 (\lambda L' L. L' = (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow a = map f aa)
  apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
  apply (auto; fail)
  by (rename-tac aa, case-tac aa) (auto)
lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-map-right-iff:
  \langle list\text{-}all2\ (list\text{-}all2\ (\lambda L.\ (=)\ (f\ L)))\ xs'\ x\longleftrightarrow x=map\ (map\ f)\ xs'\rangle for x
    apply (induction xs' arbitrary: x)
     apply (auto; fail)
    apply (case-tac \ x)
  by (auto simp: list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff)
lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-map-left-iff:
  \langle list\text{-}all2 \ (list\text{-}all2 \ (\lambda L' \ L. \ L' = f \ L)) \ xs' \ x \longleftrightarrow xs' = map \ (map \ f) \ x \rangle
    apply (induction xs' arbitrary: x)
     apply (auto; fail)
    apply (rename-tac x, case-tac x)
  by (auto simp: list-all2-op-eq-map-left-iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{list-all2-conj} :
  \langle list-all 2 \ (\lambda x \ y. \ P \ x \ y \land Q \ x \ y) \ xs \ ys \longleftrightarrow list-all 2 \ P \ xs \ ys \land list-all 2 \ Q \ xs \ ys \rangle
  by (auto simp: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
lemma list-all2-replicate:
  \langle (bi, b) \in R' \Longrightarrow list-all2 \ (\lambda x \ x'. \ (x, x') \in R') \ (replicate \ n \ bi) \ (replicate \ n \ b) \rangle
  by (induction n) auto
```

#### 1.3.14 Multisets

We have a lit of lemmas about multisets. Some of them have already moved to Nested-Multisets-Ordinals. Multiset but others are too specific (especially the distinct-mset property, which roughly corresponds to finite sets).

```
notation image-mset (infixr '# 90)
lemma in-multiset-nempty: \langle L \in \# D \Longrightarrow D \neq \{\#\} \rangle
  by auto
The definition and the correctness theorem are from the multiset theory ~~/src/HOL/Library/
Multiset.thy, but a name is necessary to refer to them:
definition union-mset-list where
\langle union\text{-}mset\text{-}list \ xs \ ys \equiv case\text{-}prod \ append \ (fold \ (\lambda x \ (ys, zs), \ (remove1 \ x \ ys, x \ \# \ zs)) \ xs \ (ys, \parallel)) \rangle
lemma union-mset-list:
  \langle mset \ xs \ \cup \# \ mset \ ys = mset \ (union-mset-list \ xs \ ys) \rangle
proof -
 have \langle \Delta zs. mset (case-prod append (fold (<math>\Delta x (ys, zs). (remove1 \ x \ ys, x \# zs)) \ xs (ys, zs))) =
      (mset \ xs \cup \# \ mset \ ys) + mset \ zs)
    by (induct xs arbitrary: ys) (simp-all add: multiset-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: union-mset-list-def)
qed
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{union-mset-list-Nil[simp]:} \ \langle \textit{union-mset-list} \ [] \ \textit{bi} = \textit{bi} \rangle
 by (auto simp: union-mset-list-def)
lemma size-le-Suc-0-iff: (size M \leq Suc\ 0 \longleftrightarrow ((\exists a\ b.\ M = \{\#a\#\}) \lor M = \{\#\}))
  using size-1-singleton-mset by (auto simp: le-Suc-eq)
lemma size-2-iff: \langle size \ M = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ a \ b. \ M = \{\#a, \ b\#\}) \rangle
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-1 Suc-pred empty-not-add-mset nonempty-has-size size-Diff-singleton
      size-eq-Suc-imp-eq-union size-single union-single-eq-diff union-single-eq-member)
lemma subset-eq-mset-single-iff: \langle x2 \subseteq \# \{\#L\#\} \longleftrightarrow x2 = \{\#\} \lor x2 = \{\#L\#\} \rangle
  by (metis single-is-union subset-mset.add-diff-inverse subset-mset.eq-reft subset-mset.zero-le)
lemma mset-eq-size-2:
  \langle mset \ xs = \{\#a, \ b\#\} \longleftrightarrow xs = [a, \ b] \lor xs = [b, \ a] \rangle
  by (cases xs) (auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset Diff-eq-empty-iff-mset subset-eq-mset-single-iff)
lemma butlast-list-update:
  \langle w \rangle = take \ w \ xs \ (last \ xs \ \# \ drop \ (Suc \ w) \ xs \rangle
  by (induction xs arbitrary: w) (auto split: nat.splits if-splits simp: upd-conv-take-nth-drop)
lemma mset-butlast-remove1-mset: \langle xs \neq [] \implies mset (butlast xs) = remove1-mset (last xs) (mset xs)
  apply (subst(2) append-butlast-last-id[of xs, symmetric])
  apply assumption
  apply (simp only: mset-append)
  by auto
lemma distinct-mset-mono: \langle D' \subseteq \# D \Longrightarrow distinct\text{-mset } D \Longrightarrow distinct\text{-mset } D' \rangle
  by (metis distinct-mset-union subset-mset.le-iff-add)
lemma distinct-mset-mono-strict: \langle D' \subset \# D \implies distinct-mset D \implies distinct-mset D' \rangle
  using distinct-mset-mono by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subset-mset-trans-add-mset}\colon
  \langle D \subseteq \# D' \Longrightarrow D \subseteq \# add\text{-}mset \ L \ D' \rangle
  by (metis add-mset-remove-trivial diff-subset-eq-self subset-mset.dual-order.trans)
```

```
lemma subset-add-mset-notin-subset: \langle L \notin \# E \implies E \subseteq \# add-mset L D \longleftrightarrow E \subseteq \# D \rangle
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{meson}\ \mathit{subset-add-mset-notin-subset-mset}\ \mathit{subset-mset-trans-add-mset})
lemma remove1-mset-empty-iff: \langle remove1\text{-mset }L | N = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow N = \{\#L\#\} \lor N = \{\#\}\}
    by (cases \langle L \in \# N \rangle; cases N) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ distinct-subseteq-iff:
    assumes dist: distinct-mset M and fin: distinct-mset N
    shows set-mset M \subseteq set-mset N \longleftrightarrow M \subseteq \# N
proof
    assume set-mset M \subseteq set-mset N
    then show M \subseteq \# N
        using dist fin by auto
next
    assume M \subseteq \# N
    then show set-mset M \subseteq set-mset N
        by (metis set-mset-mono)
qed
lemma distinct-set-mset-eq-iff:
    assumes \langle distinct\text{-}mset \ M \rangle \ \langle distinct\text{-}mset \ N \rangle
    shows \langle set\text{-}mset\ M=set\text{-}mset\ N\longleftrightarrow M=N\rangle
    using assms distinct-mset-set-mset-ident by fastforce
lemma (in -) distinct-mset-union2:
     \langle distinct\text{-}mset\ (A+B) \Longrightarrow distinct\text{-}mset\ B \rangle
    using distinct-mset-union[of\ B\ A]
    by (auto simp: ac-simps)
lemma in-remove1-mset1: \langle x \neq a \Longrightarrow x \in \# M \Longrightarrow x \in \# remove1-mset a M \geqslant 
    by (simp add: in-remove1-mset-neq)
lemma count-multi-member-split:
     \langle count \ M \ a \geq n \Longrightarrow \exists M'. \ M = replicate-mset \ n \ a + M' \rangle
    apply (induction n arbitrary: M)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal premises IH for n M
        using IH(1)[of (remove1-mset \ a \ M)] IH(2)
        apply (cases \langle n \leq count \ M \ a - Suc \ \theta \rangle)
          apply (auto dest!: Suc-le-D)
        by (metis count-greater-zero-iff insert-DiffM zero-less-Suc)
    done
\mathbf{lemma}\ count\text{-}image\text{-}mset\text{-}multi\text{-}member\text{-}split:
     (count\ (image\text{-}mset\ f\ M)\ L \geq Suc\ 0 \implies \exists\ K.\ f\ K = L \land K \in \#\ M)
    by auto
lemma count-image-mset-multi-member-split-2:
    assumes count: (count\ (image-mset\ f\ M)\ L \ge 2)
    shows (\exists K K' M'. fK = L \land K \in \# M \land fK' = L \land K' \in \# remove1-mset KM \land K')
                M = \{ \#K, K'\# \} + M' 
proof -
    obtain K where
        K: \langle f | K = L \rangle \langle K \in \# M \rangle
        using count-image-mset-multi-member-split[of f M L] count by fastforce
```

```
then obtain K' where
      K': \langle f K' = L \rangle \langle K' \in \# remove1\text{-}mset \ K \ M \rangle
      using count-image-mset-multi-member-split[of f \land remove1-mset K \bowtie L] count
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
   moreover have (\exists M'. M = \{\#K, K'\#\} + M')
      using multi-member-split of K M multi-member-split of K' (remove 1-mset K M) K K'
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
   then show ?thesis
      using KK' by blast
qed
lemma minus-notin-trivial: L \notin \# A \Longrightarrow A - add-mset L B = A - B
   by (metis diff-intersect-left-idem inter-add-right1)
lemma minus-notin-trivial2: (b \notin \# A \Longrightarrow A - add\text{-mset } e \ (add\text{-mset } b \ B) = A - add\text{-mset } e \ B)
   by (subst add-mset-commute) (auto simp: minus-notin-trivial)
lemma diff-union-single-conv3: \langle a \notin \# I \implies remove1-mset a(I+J) = I + remove1-ms
   by (metis diff-union-single-conv remove-1-mset-id-iff-notin union-iff)
lemma filter-union-or-split:
   \{\#L \in \# \ C. \ P \ L \lor Q \ L\#\} = \{\#L \in \# \ C. \ P \ L\#\} + \{\#L \in \# \ C. \ \neg P \ L \land Q \ L\#\}\}
   by (induction C) auto
lemma subset-mset-minus-eq-add-mset-noteq: (A \subset \# C \Longrightarrow A - B \neq C)
   by (auto simp: dest: in-diffD)
lemma minus-eq-id-forall-notin-mset:
   \langle A - B = A \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# B. L \notin \# A) \rangle
   by (induction A)
     (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subset-mset-minus-eq-add-mset-noteq)
lemma in-multiset-minus-notin-snd[simp]: \langle a \notin \# B \Longrightarrow a \in \# A - B \longleftrightarrow a \in \# A \rangle
   by (metis count-greater-zero-iff count-inI in-diff-count)
lemma distinct-mset-in-diff:
   \langle distinct\text{-}mset\ C \Longrightarrow a \in \#\ C - D \longleftrightarrow a \in \#\ C \land a \notin \#\ D \rangle
   by (meson distinct-mem-diff-mset in-multiset-minus-notin-snd)
lemma diff-le-mono2-mset: \langle A \subseteq \# B \Longrightarrow C - B \subseteq \# C - A \rangle
   apply (auto simp: subseteq-mset-def ac-simps)
   by (simp add: diff-le-mono2)
lemma subseteq-remove1[simp]: \langle C \subseteq \# C' \Longrightarrow remove1-mset L C \subseteq \# C' \rangle
   by (meson diff-subset-eq-self subset-mset.dual-order.trans)
lemma filter-mset-cong2:
   (\bigwedge x. \ x \in \# M \Longrightarrow f \ x = g \ x) \Longrightarrow M = N \Longrightarrow filter\text{-mset } f \ M = filter\text{-mset } g \ N
   by (hypsubst, rule filter-mset-cong, simp)
lemma filter-mset-cong-inner-outer:
         M-eq: \langle (\bigwedge x. \ x \in \# \ M \Longrightarrow f \ x = g \ x) \rangle and
        notin: \langle (\bigwedge x. \ x \in \# \ N - M \Longrightarrow \neg g \ x \rangle \rangle and
         MN: \langle M \subseteq \# N \rangle
```

**shows**  $\langle filter\text{-}mset\ f\ M = filter\text{-}mset\ g\ N \rangle$ 

```
proof -
      define NM where \langle NM = N - M \rangle
      have N: \langle N = M + NM \rangle
           unfolding NM-def using MN by simp
      have \langle filter\text{-}mset\ g\ NM = \{\#\} \rangle
           using notin unfolding NM-def[symmetric] by (auto simp: filter-mset-empty-conv)
      moreover have \langle filter\text{-}mset\ f\ M = filter\text{-}mset\ g\ M \rangle
           by (rule filter-mset-cong) (use M-eq in auto)
      ultimately show ?thesis
           unfolding N by simp
qed
lemma notin-filter-mset:
      \langle K \notin \# C \Longrightarrow filter\text{-mset } P C = filter\text{-mset } (\lambda L. \ P \ L \land L \neq K) \ C \rangle
     by (rule filter-mset-cong) auto
lemma distinct-mset-add-mset-filter:
     assumes \langle distinct\text{-}mset\ C \rangle and \langle L \in \#\ C \rangle and \langle \neg P\ L \rangle
     shows \langle add\text{-}mset\ L\ (filter\text{-}mset\ P\ C) = filter\text{-}mset\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \lor\ x=L)\ C \rangle
     using assms
proof (induction C)
     case empty
      then show ?case by simp
next
      case (add \ x \ C) note dist = this(2) and LC = this(3) and P[simp] = this(4) and - = this
      then have IH: (L \in \# C \Longrightarrow add\text{-mset } L \text{ (filter-mset } P C) = \{\#x \in \# C. P x \lor x = L\#\}) by auto
      show ?case
      proof (cases \langle x = L \rangle)
           case [simp]: True
           have \langle filter\text{-}mset\ P\ C = \{\#x \in \#\ C.\ P\ x \lor x = L\#\} \rangle
                  by (rule filter-mset-cong2) (use dist in auto)
           then show ?thesis
                  by auto
     next
           case False
           then show ?thesis
                  using IH LC by auto
     qed
qed
lemma set-mset-set-mset-eq-iff: \langle set\text{-mset }A=set\text{-mset }B\longleftrightarrow (\forall a\in\#A.\ a\in\#B) \land (\forall a\in\#B.\ a\in\#B) \land (\forall a\in\#
     by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{remove1}\text{-}\mathit{mset-union-distrib}\text{:}
      \langle remove1\text{-}mset\ a\ (M\ \cup\#\ N) = remove1\text{-}mset\ a\ M\ \cup\#\ remove1\text{-}mset\ a\ N \rangle
     by (auto simp: multiset-eq-iff)
lemma member-add-mset: \langle a \in \# \ add\text{-mset} \ x \ xs \longleftrightarrow a = x \lor a \in \# \ xs \rangle
      by simp
lemma sup-union-right-if:
      \langle N \cup \# \ add\text{-}mset \ x \ M =
               (if \ x \notin \# \ N \ then \ add\text{-}mset \ x \ (N \cup \# \ M) \ else \ add\text{-}mset \ x \ (remove1\text{-}mset \ x \ N \cup \# \ M))
     by (auto simp: sup-union-right2)
```

```
lemma same-mset-distinct-iff:
  \langle mset \ M = mset \ M' \Longrightarrow distinct \ M \longleftrightarrow distinct \ M' \rangle
 by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-distinct[symmetric] simp del: distinct-mset-mset-distinct)
lemma inj-on-image-mset-eq-iff:
  assumes inj: \langle inj-on f (set-mset (M + M') \rangle \rangle
  shows \langle image\text{-}mset\ f\ M' = image\text{-}mset\ f\ M \longleftrightarrow M' = M \rangle (is \langle ?A = ?B \rangle)
proof
  assume ?B
  then show ?A by auto
next
  assume ?A
  then show ?B
    using inj
  proof(induction M arbitrary: M')
    case empty
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (add \ x \ M) note IH = this(1) and H = this(2) and inj = this(3)
    obtain M1 x' where
      M': \langle M' = add\text{-}mset \ x' \ M1 \rangle and
     f-xx': \langle f x' = f x \rangle and
      M1-M: \langle image\text{-}mset\ f\ M1 = image\text{-}mset\ f\ M \rangle
      using H by (auto dest!: msed-map-invR)
    moreover have \langle M1 = M \rangle
      apply (rule IH[OF M1-M])
      using inj by (auto simp: M')
    moreover have \langle x = x' \rangle
      using inj f-xx' by (auto simp: M')
    ultimately show ?case by fast
 qed
qed
lemma inj-image-mset-eq-iff:
  assumes inj: \langle inj \ f \rangle
  shows (image-mset f M' = image-mset f M \longleftrightarrow M' = M)
  using inj-on-image-mset-eq-iff [of f M' M] assms
 by (simp add: inj-eq multiset.inj-map)
lemma singleton-eq-image-mset-iff: \langle \#a\# \} = f '\# NE' \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. NE' = \{\#b\# \} \land f b = a) \rangle
 by (cases NE') auto
lemma image-mset-If-eq-notin:
  \langle C \notin \# A \Longrightarrow \{ \# f \ (if \ x = C \ then \ a \ x \ else \ b \ x). \ x \in \# A \# \} = \{ \# f(b \ x). \ x \in \# A \ \# \} \}
  by (induction A) auto
lemma finite-mset-set-inter:
  \langle finite \ A \Longrightarrow finite \ B \Longrightarrow mset\text{-set} \ (A \cap B) = mset\text{-set} \ A \cap \# \ mset\text{-set} \ B \rangle
  apply (induction A rule: finite-induct)
 subgoal by auto
  subgoal for a A
    apply (cases \langle a \in B \rangle; cases \langle a \in \# mset\text{-set } B \rangle)
    using multi-member-split[of a \langle mset-set B \rangle]
    by (auto simp: mset-set.insert-remove)
```

### done

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}inter\text{-}remdups\text{-}mset:
  assumes dist: \langle distinct\text{-}mset | A \rangle
  shows \langle A \cap \# \ remdups\text{-}mset \ B = A \cap \# \ B \rangle
proof -
  have [simp]: \langle A' \cap \# remove1\text{-}mset \ a \ (remdups\text{-}mset \ Aa) = A' \cap \# Aa \rangle
    if
       \langle A' \cap \# \ remdups\text{-}mset \ Aa = A' \cap \# \ Aa \rangle \ \mathbf{and}
       \langle a \notin \# A' \rangle and
       \langle a\in \#\ Aa\rangle
    for A' Aa :: \langle 'a \ multiset \rangle and a
  by (metis insert-DiffM inter-add-right1 set-mset-remdups-mset that)
  show ?thesis
    using dist
    apply (induction A)
    subgoal by auto
     subgoal for a A'
      apply (cases \langle a \in \# B \rangle)
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{multi-member-split}[\mathit{of} \ \mathit{a} \ \langle \mathit{B} \rangle] \quad \mathit{multi-member-split}[\mathit{of} \ \mathit{a} \ \langle \mathit{A} \rangle]
       by (auto simp: mset-set.insert-remove)
    done
qed
lemma mset-butlast-update-last[simp]:
  \langle w \langle length \ xs \implies mset \ (butlast \ (xs[w := last \ (xs)])) = remove1-mset \ (xs! \ w) \ (mset \ xs) \rangle
  by (cases \langle xs = [] \rangle)
    (auto simp add: last-list-update-to-last mset-butlast-remove1-mset mset-update)
lemma in-multiset-ge-Max: (a \in \# N \Longrightarrow a > Max (insert 0 (set-mset N)) \Longrightarrow False)
  by (simp \ add: \ leD)
lemma distinct-mset-set-mset-remove1-mset:
  \langle distinct\text{-}mset\ M \Longrightarrow set\text{-}mset\ (remove1\text{-}mset\ c\ M) = set\text{-}mset\ M - \{c\} \rangle
  by (cases \ (c \in \# M)) (auto\ dest!:\ multi-member-split\ simp:\ add-mset-eq-add-mset)
lemma distinct-count-msetD:
  \langle distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow count \ (mset \ xs) \ a = (if \ a \in set \ xs \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) \rangle
  unfolding distinct-count-atmost-1 by auto
lemma filter-mset-and-implied:
  \langle (\bigwedge ia.\ ia \in \#\ xs \Longrightarrow Q\ ia \Longrightarrow P\ ia) \Longrightarrow \{\#ia \in \#\ xs.\ P\ ia \land Q\ ia\#\} = \{\#ia \in \#\ xs.\ Q\ ia\#\} \rangle
  by (rule filter-mset-cong2) auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{filter-mset-eq-add-msetD:} \ \langle \mathit{filter-mset} \ P \ \mathit{xs} = \mathit{add-mset} \ \mathit{a} \ A \Longrightarrow \mathit{a} \in \# \ \mathit{xs} \ \land \ \mathit{P} \ \mathit{a} \rangle
  by (induction xs arbitrary: A)
    (auto split: if-splits simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset)
lemma filter-mset-eq-add-msetD': \langle add-mset a \ A = filter-mset P \ xs \implies a \in \# \ xs \land P \ a \rangle
  using filter-mset-eq-add-msetD[of P xs a A] by auto
lemma image-filter-replicate-mset:
  \langle \{ \# Ca \in \# \ replicate\text{-mset} \ m \ C. \ P \ Ca \# \} = (if \ P \ C \ then \ replicate\text{-mset} \ m \ C \ else \ \{ \# \} ) \rangle
  by (induction \ m) auto
```

```
lemma size-Union-mset-image-mset:
  \langle size (\bigcup \# A) = (\sum i \in \# A. \ size \ i) \rangle
  by (induction A) auto
lemma image-mset-minus-inj-on:
  (inj\text{-}on\ f\ (set\text{-}mset\ A\cup set\text{-}mset\ B) \Longrightarrow f\ '\#\ (A-B) = f\ '\#\ A-f\ '\#\ B)
  apply (induction A arbitrary: B)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for x A B
    apply (cases \langle x \in \# B \rangle)
    apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
    apply (subst diff-add-mset-swap)
    apply auto
    done
  done
lemma filter-mset-mono-subset:
  (A \subseteq \# B \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. \ x \in \# A \Longrightarrow P \ x \Longrightarrow Q \ x) \Longrightarrow \textit{filter-mset } P \ A \subseteq \# \textit{filter-mset } Q \ B)
  by (metis multiset-filter-mono multiset-filter-mono2 subset-mset.order-trans)
lemma mset-inter-empty-set-mset: \langle M \cap \# \ xc = \{ \# \} \longleftrightarrow set\text{-mset} \ M \cap set\text{-mset} \ xc = \{ \} \rangle
  by (induction xc) auto
lemma sum-mset-mset-set-sum-set:
  \langle (\sum A \in \# mset\text{-set } As. f A) = (\sum A \in As. f A) \rangle
  apply (cases \langle finite | As \rangle)
  by (induction As rule: finite-induct) auto
lemma sum-mset-sum-count:
  \langle (\sum A \in \# As. f A) = (\sum A \in set\text{-mset } As. count As A * f A) \rangle
proof (induction As)
  case empty
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (add \ x \ As)
  define n where \langle n = count \ As \ x \rangle
  define As' where \langle As' \equiv removeAll\text{-}mset \ x \ As \rangle
  have As: \langle As = As' + replicate-mset \ n \ x \rangle
    by (auto simp: As'-def n-def intro!: multiset-eqI)
  have [simp]: \langle set\text{-}mset\ As' - \{x\} = set\text{-}mset\ As' \rangle \langle count\ As'\ x = 0 \rangle \langle x \notin As' \rangle
    unfolding As'-def
    by auto
  have \langle (\sum A \in set\text{-}mset \ As'.)
       (if \ x = A \ then \ Suc \ (count \ (As' + replicate-mset \ n \ x) \ A)
        else count (As' + replicate-mset \ n \ x) \ A) *
       f(A) =
       (\sum A \in set\text{-}mset\ As'.
       (count (As' + replicate-mset n x) A) *
       f(A)
    by (rule sum.cong) auto
  then show ?case using add by (auto simp: As sum.insert-remove)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{sum-mset-inter-restrict} \colon
  \langle (\sum x \in \# filter\text{-mset } P M. f x) = (\sum x \in \# M. if P x then f x else 0) \rangle
```

```
by (induction M) auto
lemma mset-set-subset-iff:
  \langle mset\text{-}set\ A\subseteq \#\ I\longleftrightarrow infinite\ A\lor A\subseteq set\text{-}mset\ I\rangle
  by (metis finite-set-mset finite-set-mset-mset-set mset-set.infinite mset-set-set-mset-subseteq
    set-mset-mono subset-imp-msubset-mset-set subset-mset.bot.extremum subset-mset.dual-order.trans)
lemma sumset-diff-constant-left:
  assumes \langle \bigwedge x. \ x \in \# A \Longrightarrow f \ x \leq n \rangle
  shows \langle (\sum x \in \# \ A \ . \ n-f \ x) = size \ A * n - (\sum x \in \# \ A \ . \ f \ x) \rangle
proof -
  have \langle size \ A * n \ge (\sum x \in \# A \ . f \ x) \rangle
    if \langle \bigwedge x. \ x \in \# \ A \Longrightarrow f \ x \leq n \rangle for A
    using that
    by (induction A) (force simp: ac-simps)+
  then show ?thesis
    using assms
    by (induction A) (auto simp: ac-simps)
qed
lemma mset\text{-}set\text{-}eq\text{-}mset\text{-}iff: \langle finite \ x \Longrightarrow mset\text{-}set \ x = mset \ xs \longleftrightarrow distinct \ xs \land x = set \ xs \rangle
  apply (auto simp flip: distinct-mset-mset-distinct eq-commute[of - \langle mset-set -\rangle]
    simp: distinct-mset-mset-set mset-set-set)
  apply (metis finite-set-mset-mset-set set-mset-mset)
  apply (metis finite-set-mset-mset-set set-mset-mset)
  done
lemma distinct-mset-iff:
  (\neg \textit{distinct-mset}\ C \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ a\ C'.\ C = \textit{add-mset}\ a\ (\textit{add-mset}\ a\ C')))
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) One-nat-def
      count-add-mset distinct-mset-add-mset distinct-mset-def
      member-add-mset mset-add not-in-iff)
1.4
           Finite maps and multisets
Finite sets and multisets
abbreviation mset-fset :: \langle 'a \ fset \Rightarrow 'a \ multiset \rangle where
  \langle mset\text{-}fset \ N \equiv mset\text{-}set \ (fset \ N) \rangle
definition fset-mset :: \langle 'a \ multiset \Rightarrow 'a \ fset \rangle where
  \langle fset\text{-}mset \ N \equiv Abs\text{-}fset \ (set\text{-}mset \ N) \rangle
lemma fset-mset-mset-fset: \langle fset-mset (mset-fset N) = N \rangle
  by (auto simp: fset.fset-inverse fset-mset-def)
lemma mset-fset-fset-mset[simp]:
  \langle mset\text{-}fset \ (fset\text{-}mset \ N) = remdups\text{-}mset \ N \rangle
  by (auto simp: fset.fset-inverse fset-mset-def Abs-fset-inverse remdups-mset-def)
lemma in-mset-fset-fmember[simp]: \langle x \in \# \text{ mset-fset } N \longleftrightarrow x \mid \in \mid N \rangle
  by (auto simp: fmember.rep-eq)
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \ in\text{-}fset\text{-}mset\text{-}mset[simp]: } \langle x \mid \in \mid fset\text{-}mset \ N \longleftrightarrow x \in \# \ N \rangle \\ \textbf{by} \ (auto \ simp: fmember.rep\text{-}eq \ fset\text{-}mset\text{-}def \ Abs\text{-}fset\text{-}inverse}) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \ distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}subset\text{-}iff\text{-}remdups:} \\ \langle distinct\text{-}mset \ a \Longrightarrow a \subseteq \# \ b \longleftrightarrow a \subseteq \# \ remdups\text{-}mset \ b \rangle \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}inter\text{-}remdups\text{-}mset \ subset\text{-}mset.le\text{-}iff\text{-}inf}) \\ \end{array}
```

## Finite map and multisets

Roughly the same as ran and dom, but with duplication in the content (unlike their finite sets counterpart) while still working on finite domains (unlike a function mapping). Remark that dom-m (the keys) does not contain duplicates, but we keep for symmetry (and for easier use of multiset operators as in the definition of ran-m).

```
definition dom-m where
  \langle dom\text{-}m \ N = mset\text{-}fset \ (fmdom \ N) \rangle
definition ran-m where
  \langle ran\text{-}m \ N = the '\# fmlookup \ N '\# dom\text{-}m \ N \rangle
lemma dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmdrop[simp]: \langle dom\text{-}m \ (fmdrop \ C \ N) = remove1\text{-}mset \ C \ (dom\text{-}m \ N) \rangle
  unfolding dom-m-def
  by (cases \langle C | \in | fmdom N \rangle)
    (auto simp: mset-set.remove fmember.rep-eq)
lemma dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmdrop\text{-}All: (dom\text{-}m (fmdrop C N)) = removeAll\text{-}mset C (dom\text{-}m N))
  unfolding dom-m-def
  by (cases \langle C \mid \in \mid fmdom \mid N))
    (auto simp: mset-set.remove fmember.rep-eq)
lemma dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmupd[simp]: \langle dom\text{-}m \ (fmupd \ k \ C \ N) = add\text{-}mset \ k \ (remove1\text{-}mset \ k \ (dom\text{-}m \ N)) \rangle
  unfolding dom-m-def
  by (cases \langle k | \in | fmdom N \rangle)
    (auto simp: mset-set.remove fmember.rep-eq mset-set.insert-remove)
lemma distinct-mset-dom: \langle distinct-mset (dom-m N) \rangle
  by (simp add: distinct-mset-mset-set dom-m-def)
lemma in-dom-m-lookup-iff: (C \in \# dom-m \ N' \longleftrightarrow fmlookup \ N' \ C \neq None)
  by (auto simp: dom-m-def fmdom.rep-eq fmlookup-dom'-iff)
lemma in-dom-in-ran-m[simp]: \langle i \in \# \text{ dom-m } N \Longrightarrow \text{ the } (\text{fmlookup } N \ i) \in \# \text{ ran-m } N \rangle
  by (auto simp: ran-m-def)
lemma fmupd-same[simp]:
  \langle x1 \in \# dom - m \ x1aa \Longrightarrow fmupd \ x1 \ (the \ (fmlookup \ x1aa \ x1)) \ x1aa = x1aa \rangle
  by (metis fmap-ext fmupd-lookup in-dom-m-lookup-iff option.collapse)
lemma ran-m-fmempty[simp]: \langle ran-m fmempty = \{\#\} \rangle and
    dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmempty[simp]: \langle dom\text{-}m\ fmempty = \{\#\} \rangle
  by (auto simp: ran-m-def dom-m-def)
lemma fmrestrict-set-fmupd:
  \langle a \in xs \Longrightarrow fmrestrict\text{-set } xs \ (fmupd \ a \ C \ N) = fmupd \ a \ C \ (fmrestrict\text{-set } xs \ N) \rangle
  \langle a \notin xs \Longrightarrow fmrestrict\text{-set } xs \ (fmupd \ a \ C \ N) = fmrestrict\text{-set } xs \ N \rangle
  by (auto simp: fmfilter-alt-defs)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set}\colon
  (fset\ (fmdom\ (fmrestrict\text{-}set\ xs\ N)) = fset\ (fmdom\ N) \cap xs)
  by (auto simp: fmfilter-alt-defs)
lemma dom-m-fmrestrict-set: \langle dom\text{-}m \text{ (fmrestrict-set (set xs) N)} = mset xs \cap \# dom\text{-}m N \rangle
  using fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set[of \langle set \ xs \rangle \ N] \ distinct-mset-dom[of \ N]
  distinct-mset-inter-remdups-mset[of \langle mset-fset (fmdom\ N) \rangle \langle mset\ xs \rangle]
  by (auto simp: dom-m-def fset-mset-mset-fset finite-mset-set-inter multiset-inter-commute
    remdups-mset-def)
lemma dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmrestrict\text{-}set': (dom\text{-}m (fmrestrict\text{-}set \ xs \ N) = mset\text{-}set \ (xs \cap set\text{-}mset \ (dom\text{-}m \ N))
  using fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set[of \langle xs \rangle N] distinct-mset-dom[of N]
  by (auto simp: dom-m-def fset-mset-mset-fset finite-mset-set-inter multiset-inter-commute
    remdups-mset-def)
lemma indom-mI: \langle fmlookup \ m \ x = Some \ y \Longrightarrow x \in \# \ dom-m \ m \rangle
  by (drule fmdomI) (auto simp: dom-m-def fmember.rep-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fmupd-fmdrop-id}:
  assumes \langle k \mid \in \mid fmdom \ N' \rangle
  shows \langle fmupd \ k \ (the \ (fmlookup \ N' \ k)) \ (fmdrop \ k \ N') = N' \rangle
proof -
  have [simp]: \langle map\text{-}upd \ k \ (the \ (fmlookup \ N' \ k))
       (\lambda x. if x \neq k then fmlookup N' x else None) =
     map-upd \ k \ (the \ (fmlookup \ N' \ k))
       (fmlookup N')
    by (auto intro!: ext simp: map-upd-def)
  have [simp]: \langle map\text{-}upd \ k \ (the \ (fmlookup \ N' \ k)) \ (fmlookup \ N') = fmlookup \ N' \rangle
    using assms
    by (auto intro!: ext simp: map-upd-def)
  have [simp]: \langle finite\ (dom\ (\lambda x.\ if\ x=k\ then\ None\ else\ fmlookup\ N'\ x))\rangle
    by (subst dom-if) auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp: fmupd-def fmupd.abs-eq[symmetric])
    unfolding fmlookup-drop
    apply (simp add: fmlookup-inverse)
    done
qed
lemma fm-member-split: \langle k \mid \in \mid fmdom \ N' \Longrightarrow \exists \ N'' \ v. \ N' = fmupd \ k \ v \ N'' \land the \ (fmlookup \ N' \ k) = v
    k \not \models \mid \mathit{fmdom} \ N^{\,\prime\prime} \rangle
  by (rule\ exI[of - \langle fmdrop\ k\ N' \rangle])
    (auto simp: fmupd-fmdrop-id)
lemma \langle fmdrop \ k \ (fmupd \ k \ va \ N'') = fmdrop \ k \ N'' \rangle
  by (simp add: fmap-ext)
lemma fmap-ext-fmdom:
   \langle (\mathit{fmdom}\ N = \mathit{fmdom}\ N') \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge\ x.\ x \mid \in \mid \mathit{fmdom}\ N \Longrightarrow \mathit{fmlookup}\ N\ x = \mathit{fmlookup}\ N'\ x) \Longrightarrow 
       N = N'
  by (rule fmap-ext)
    (case-tac \langle x | \in | fmdom N \rangle, auto simp: fmdom-notD)
```

**lemma** fmrestrict-set-insert-in:

```
\langle xa \in fset \ (fmdom \ N) \Longrightarrow
   fmrestrict-set (insert xa\ l1) N = fmupd\ xa\ (the\ (fmlookup\ N\ xa))\ (fmrestrict-set l1\ N)
  apply (rule fmap-ext-fmdom)
  apply (auto simp: fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set fmember.rep-eq notin-fset dest: fmdom-notD; fail)[]
  apply (auto simp: fmlookup-dom-iff; fail)
  done
lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-notin:
  \langle xa \notin fset \ (fmdom \ N) \Longrightarrow
   fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmrestrict-set l1 N
  by (rule fmap-ext-fmdom)
    (auto simp: fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set fmember.rep-eq notin-fset dest: fmdom-notD)
lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-in-dom-m[simp]:
  \langle xa \in \# dom\text{-}m \ N \Longrightarrow
   fmrestrict-set (insert xa\ l1) N = fmupd\ xa\ (the\ (fmlookup\ N\ xa))\ (fmrestrict-set l1\ N)
  by (simp add: fmrestrict-set-insert-in dom-m-def)
lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-notin-dom-m[simp]:
  \langle xa \notin \# \ dom\text{-}m \ N \Longrightarrow
   fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmrestrict-set l1 N
  by (simp add: fmrestrict-set-insert-notin dom-m-def)
lemma fmlookup\text{-}restrict\text{-}set\text{-}id\text{:} \langle fset \ (fmdom \ N) \subseteq A \Longrightarrow fmrestrict\text{-}set \ A \ N = N \rangle
  by (metis fmap-ext fmdom'-alt-def fmdom'-notD fmlookup-restrict-set subset-iff)
lemma fmlookup-restrict-set-id': \langle set\text{-mset} \ (dom\text{-}m\ N) \subseteq A \Longrightarrow fmrestrict\text{-set}\ A\ N=N \rangle
  by (rule fmlookup-restrict-set-id)
   (auto\ simp:\ dom-m-def)
Compact domain for finite maps
TODO KILL!
  \langle Max-dom\ N=Max\ (set-mset\ (add-mset\ 0\ (dom-m\ N))) \rangle
```

packed is a predicate to indicate that the domain of finite mapping starts at 1 and does not contain holes. We used it in the SAT solver for the mapping from indexes to clauses, to ensure that there not holes and therefore giving an upper bound on the highest key.

```
definition Max-dom where
definition packed where
  \langle packed \ N \longleftrightarrow dom\text{-}m \ N = mset \ [1.. < Suc \ (Max\text{-}dom \ N)] \rangle
```

Marking this rule as simp is not compatible with unfolding the definition of packed when marked as:

```
lemma Max-dom-empty: \langle dom-m b = \{\#\} \Longrightarrow Max-dom b = 0 \rangle
 by (auto simp: Max-dom-def)
lemma Max-dom-fmempty: \langle Max-dom fmempty = 0 \rangle
 by (auto simp: Max-dom-empty)
lemma packed-empty[simp]: \langle packed \ fmempty \rangle
 by (auto simp: packed-def Max-dom-empty)
```

**lemma** packed-Max-dom-size:

```
\mathbf{assumes}\ p{:}\ \langle packed\ N\rangle
  shows \langle Max\text{-}dom\ N = size\ (dom\text{-}m\ N) \rangle
proof -
  have 1: \langle dom\text{-}m \ N = mset \ [1.. \langle Suc \ (Max\text{-}dom \ N)] \rangle
   using p unfolding packed-def Max-dom-def[symmetric].
  have \langle size\ (dom\text{-}m\ N) = size\ (mset\ [1.. < Suc\ (Max-dom\ N)]) \rangle
    unfolding 1 ...
  also have \langle \dots = length [1..<Suc (Max-dom N)] \rangle
   unfolding size-mset ..
  also have \langle \dots = Max - dom N \rangle
   unfolding length-upt by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma Max-dom-le:
  \langle L \in \# dom\text{-}m \ N \Longrightarrow L \leq Max\text{-}dom \ N \rangle
 by (auto simp: Max-dom-def)
lemma remove1-mset-ge-Max-some: (a > Max-dom b \Longrightarrow remove1-mset a (dom-m b) = dom-m b)
  by (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove-1-mset-id-iff-notin
     dest!: multi-member-split)
lemma Max-dom-fmupd-irrel:
  \langle (a :: 'a :: \{zero, linorder\}) > Max-dom \ M \Longrightarrow Max-dom \ (fmupd \ a \ C \ M) = max \ a \ (Max-dom \ M) \rangle
  by (cases \langle dom - m M \rangle)
    (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some ac-simps)
lemma Max-dom-alt-def: \langle Max-dom\ b = Max\ (insert\ 0\ (set-mset\ (dom-m\ b))) \rangle
  unfolding Max-dom-def by auto
lemma Max-insert-Suc-Max-dim-dom[simp]:
  \langle Max \ (insert \ (Suc \ (Max-dom \ b)) \ (set-mset \ (dom-m \ b))) = Suc \ (Max-dom \ b) \rangle
  unfolding Max-dom-alt-def
  by (cases \langle set\text{-}mset (dom\text{-}m b) = \{\}\rangle) auto
lemma size-dom-m-Max-dom:
  \langle size \ (dom\text{-}m \ N) \leq Suc \ (Max\text{-}dom \ N) \rangle
proof -
  have \langle dom\text{-}m \ N \subseteq \# \ mset\text{-}set \ \{0..< Suc \ (Max\text{-}dom \ N)\} \rangle
   apply (rule distinct-finite-set-mset-subseteq-iff[THEN iffD1])
   subgoal by (auto simp: distinct-mset-dom)
   subgoal by auto
   subgoal by (auto dest: Max-dom-le)
  from size-mset-mono[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma Max-atLeastLessThan-plus: \langle Max \{(a::nat) ... < a+n\} = (if n = 0 then Max \{\} else a+n - 1) \rangle
  apply (induction n arbitrary: a)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for n a
   by (cases \ n)
     (auto simp: image-Suc-atLeastLessThan[symmetric] mono-Max-commute[symmetric] mono-def
         at Least Less Than Suc \\
       simp \ del: image-Suc-atLeastLessThan)
```

```
done
```

```
lemma Max-atLeastLessThan: (Max \{(a::nat) ... < b\}) = (if b \le a then Max \{\} else b - 1))
  using Max-atLeastLessThan-plus[of a \langle b-a \rangle]
 by auto
lemma Max-insert-Max-dom-into-packed:
  \langle Max \ (insert \ (Max-dom \ bc) \ \{Suc \ 0.. < Max-dom \ bc\}) = Max-dom \ bc \rangle
 by (cases \langle Max\text{-}dom\ bc \rangle; cases \langle Max\text{-}dom\ bc - 1 \rangle)
   (auto\ simp:\ Max-dom-empty\ Max-atLeastLessThan)
lemma packed0-fmud-Suc-Max-dom: \langle packed b \implies packed (fmupd (Suc (Max-dom b)) C b) \rangle
 by (auto simp: packed-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some Max-dom-fmupd-irrel max-def)
lemma ge-Max-dom-notin-dom-m: \langle a > Max-dom \ ao \implies a \notin \# \ dom-m \ ao \rangle
 by (auto simp: Max-dom-def)
lemma packed-in-dom-mI: \langle packed\ bc \Longrightarrow j \leq Max-dom\ bc \Longrightarrow 0 < j \Longrightarrow j \in \#\ dom-m\ bc \rangle
 by (auto simp: packed-def)
lemma mset-fset-empty-iff: \langle mset-fset a = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow a = fempty \}
 by (cases a) (auto simp: mset-set-empty-iff)
lemma dom-m-empty-iff[iff]:
  \langle dom\text{-}m \ NU = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow NU = fmempty \rangle
 by (cases NU) (auto simp: dom-m-def mset-fset-empty-iff mset-set.insert-remove)
lemma nat-power-div-base:
 fixes k :: nat
 assumes \theta < m \theta < k
 shows k \cap m \ div \ k = (k::nat) \cap (m - Suc \ \theta)
 have eq: k \cap m = k \cap ((m - Suc \theta) + Suc \theta)
   by (simp add: assms)
 show ?thesis
   using assms by (simp only: power-add eq) auto
qed
end
theory Explorer
imports Main
keywords explore explore-have explore-lemma explore-context :: diag
begin
```

# 1.4.1 Explore command

This theory contains the definition of four tactics that work on goals and put them in an Isar proof:

• explore generates an assume-show proof block

- explore-have generates an have-if-for block
- lemma generates a lemma-fixes-assumes-shows block
- explore-context is mostly meaningful on several goals: it combines assumptions and variables between the goals to generate a context-fixes-begin-end bloc with lemmas in the middle. This tactic is mostly useful when a lot of assumption and proof steps would be shared.

If you use any of those tactic or have an idea how to improve it, please send an email to the current maintainer!

```
\mathbf{ML} (
signature\ EXPLORER\text{-}LIB =
sig
 datatype \ explorer-quote = QUOTES \mid GUILLEMOTS
 val\ set\text{-}default\text{-}raw\text{-}param:\ theory\ ->\ theory
 val\ default-raw-params: theory -> string * explorer-quote
 val switch-to-cartouches: theory -> theory
 val switch-to-quotes: theory -> theory
end
structure\ Explorer-Lib: EXPLORER-LIB =
 datatype \ explorer-quote = QUOTES \mid GUILLEMOTS
 type \ raw-param = string * explorer-quote
 val\ default-params = (explorer-quotes, QUOTES)
structure\ Data = Theory-Data
 type T = raw-param \ list
 val\ empty = single\ default-params
 val\ extend = I
 fun\ merge\ data:\ T=AList.merge\ (op=)\ (K\ true)\ data
fun \ set-default-raw-param \ thy =
   thy \mid > Data.map (AList.update (op =) default-params)
fun\ switch-to-quotes\ thy=
  thy \mid > Data.map (AList.update (op =) (explorer-quotes, QUOTES))
fun\ switch-to-cartouches\ thy=
  thy \mid > Data.map \ (AList.update \ (op =) \ (explorer-quotes, \ GUILLEMOTS))
fun\ default-raw-params thy =
 Data.qet thy > hd
end
{\bf setup} \ \textit{Explorer-Lib.set-default-raw-param}
 Explorer-Lib.default-raw-params @\{theory\}
```

```
\mathbf{ML} (
```

```
signature\ EXPLORER =
sig
 datatype explore = HAVE-IF | ASSUME-SHOW | ASSUMES-SHOWS | CONTEXT
 val explore: explore -> Toplevel.state -> Proof.state
end
structure\ Explorer:\ EXPLORER=
datatype \ explore = HAVE-IF \mid ASSUME-SHOW \mid ASSUMES-SHOWS \mid CONTEXT
fun \ split-clause \ t =
   val\ (fixes,\ horn) = funpow-yield\ (length\ (Term.strip-all-vars\ t))\ Logic.dest-all\ t;
   val \ assms = Logic.strip-imp-prems \ horn;
   val\ shows = Logic.strip-imp-concl\ horn;
 in (fixes, assms, shows) end;
fun\ space-implode-with-line-break\ l=
 if length l > 1 then
            \setminus n
 else
   space-implode and \backslash n
                              l
fun keyword-fix HAVE-IF =
   keyword-fix ASSUME-SHOW =
   keyword-fix ASSUMES-SHOWS =
fun\ keyword-assume HAVE-IF =
 \mid keyword\text{-}assume \ ASSUME\text{-}SHOW =
 \mid keyword-assume ASSUMES-SHOWS =
fun\ keyword-goal\ HAVE-IF=
 | keyword-qoal ASSUME-SHOW =
                                            show
  keyword-qoal\ ASSUMES-SHOWS =
                                            shows
fun isar-skeleton ctxt aim enclosure (fixes, assms, shows) =
 let
   val \ kw-fix = keyword-fix aim
   val \ kw-assume = keyword-assume aim
   val \ kw\text{-}goal = keyword\text{-}goal \ aim
   val fixes-s = if null fixes then NONE
     else SOME (kw-fix \hat{\ } space-implode \ and
       (map\ (fn\ (v,\ T) => v\ \widehat{\ }::\ \widehat{\ }enclosure\ (Syntax.string-of-typ\ ctxt\ T))\ fixes));
   val(-, ctxt') = Variable.add-fixes(map fst fixes) ctxt;
   val \ assumes - s = if \ null \ assms \ then \ NONE
     else SOME (kw-assume ^ space-implode-with-line-break
       (map (enclosure o Syntax.string-of-term ctxt') assms))
   val\ shows-s = (kw-goal\ \widehat{\ }(enclosure\ o\ Syntax.string-of-term\ ctxt')\ shows)
   val \ s =
     (case aim of
       HAVE\text{-}IF = > (map\text{-}filter\ I\ [fixes\text{-}s],\ map\text{-}filter\ I\ [assumes\text{-}s],\ shows\text{-}s)
      ASSUME-SHOW => (map-filter\ I\ [fixes-s],\ map-filter\ I\ [assumes-s],\ shows-s\ \widehat{\ }sorry)
     |ASSUMES-SHOWS| > (map-filter\ I\ [fixes-s],\ map-filter\ I\ [assumes-s],\ shows-s));
```

```
in
   s
  end;
fun generate-text ASSUME-SHOW context enclosure clauses =
  let \ val \ lines = clauses
     |> map (isar-skeleton context ASSUME-SHOW enclosure)
     |> map \ (fn \ (a, b, c) => a @ b @ [c])
     |> map cat-lines
  in
 (proof - :: separate next lines @ [qed])
| generate-text HAVE-IF context enclosure clauses =
   let
     val\ raw-lines = map\ (isar-skeleton context\ HAVE-IF enclosure)\ clauses
     fun\ treat-line\ (fixes-s,\ assumes-s,\ shows-s) =
       let \ val \ combined-line = [shows-s] @ assumes-s @ fixes-s | > cat-lines
        have \widehat{\ } combined-line \widehat{\ } \nproof -\ n show ?thesis sorry\nged
      end
     val\ raw-lines-with-proof-body = map treat-line raw-lines
     separate \setminus n \ raw-lines-with-proof-body
   end
| generate-text ASSUMES-SHOWS context enclosure clauses =
   let
     val\ raw-lines = map\ (isar-skeleton context\ ASSUMES-SHOWS enclosure) clauses
     fun\ treat-line\ (fixes-s,\ assumes-s,\ shows-s) =
       let val combined-line = fixes-s @ assumes-s @ [shows-s] |> cat-lines
        lemma \ n \cap combined-line \cap \ nproof - \ n show ?thesis sorry \ nqed
      end
     val\ raw-lines-with-lemma-and-proof-body = map treat-line raw-lines
     separate \ n \ raw-lines-with-lemma-and-proof-body
   end;
datatype \ proof-step = ASSUMPTION \ of \ term \mid FIXES \ of \ (string * typ) \mid GOAL \ of \ term
   Step \ of \ (proof\text{-}step * proof\text{-}step)
  | Branch of (proof-step list)
datatype \ cproof\text{-}step = cASSUMPTION \ of \ term \ list \ | \ cFIXES \ of \ ((string * typ) \ list) \ | \ cGOAL \ of \ term
   cStep \ of \ (cproof\text{-}step * cproof\text{-}step)
   cBranch of (cproof-step list)
  | cLemma \ of \ ((string * typ) \ list * term \ list * term)
fun explore-context-init (FIXES var :: cgoal) =
   Step ((FIXES var), explore-context-init cqoal)
  | explore-context-init (ASSUMPTION assm :: cqoal) =
   Step ((ASSUMPTION assm), explore-context-init cgoal)
  |explore\text{-}context\text{-}init ([GOAL\ show]) =
   GOAL show
  | explore-context-init (GOAL show :: cgoal) =
   Step (GOAL show, explore-context-init cgoal)
```

```
fun\ branch-hd-fixes-is P\ (Step\ (FIXES\ var,\ -))=P\ var
 | branch-hd-fixes-is P - = false
fun\ branch-hd-assms-is P\ (Step\ (ASSUMPTION\ var,\ -)) = P\ var
   branch-hd-assms-is P(Step(GOAL\ var,\ -)) = P\ var
   branch-hd-assms-is P (GOAL var) = P var
  branch-hd-assms-is - - = false
fun\ find-find-pos\ P\ brs =
   let
     fun \ f \ accs \ (br :: brs) = if \ P \ br \ then \ SOME \ (accs, br, brs)
         else f (accs @ [br]) brs
     |f - [] = NONE
   in f [] brs end
(* Term.exists-subterm (curry (op =) t) *)
fun explore-context-merge (FIXES var :: cgoal) (Step (FIXES var', steps)) =
   if var = var' then
     Step (FIXES var',
       explore-context-merge cgoal steps)
   else
      Step (FIXES var', explore-context-merge cgoal steps)
 | explore-context-merge (FIXES var :: cgoal) (Branch brs) =
   (case\ find\mbox{-}find\mbox{-}pos\ (branch\mbox{-}hd\mbox{-}fixes\mbox{-}is\ (curry\ (op\ =)\ var))\ brs\ of
     SOME (b, (Step (fixe, st)), after) =>
       Branch (b @ Step (fixe, explore-context-merge cgoal st) :: after)
   \mid NONE =>
       Branch (brs @ [Step (FIXES var, explore-context-init cgoal)]))
 | explore-context-merge (FIXES var :: cgoal) steps =
      Branch (steps :: [Step (FIXES var, explore-context-init cgoal)])
 |explore-context-merge\ (ASSUMPTION\ assm\ ::\ cgoal)\ (Step\ (ASSUMPTION\ assm',\ steps)) =
   if \ assm = assm' \ then
     Step (ASSUMPTION assm', explore-context-merge cgoal steps)
     Branch [Step (ASSUMPTION assm', steps), explore-context-init (ASSUMPTION assm:: cqoal)]
  explore-context-merge (ASSUMPTION assm :: cqoal) (Step (GOAL assm', steps)) =
   if \ assm = assm' \ then
     Step~(GOAL~assm',~explore\text{-}context\text{-}merge~cgoal~steps)
   else
     Branch [Step (GOAL assm', steps), explore-context-init (ASSUMPTION assm :: cqoal)]
 | explore-context-merge (ASSUMPTION assm :: cgoal) (GOAL assm') =
   if \ assm = assm' \ then
     Step (GOAL assm', explore-context-init cgoal)
   else
     Branch [GOAL assm', explore-context-init (ASSUMPTION assm :: cgoal)]
 | explore-context-merge (ASSUMPTION assm :: cgoal) (Branch brs) =
   (case find-find-pos (branch-hd-assms-is (fn t => assm = (t))) brs of
     SOME (b, (Step (assm, st)), after) =>
       Branch (b @ Step (assm, explore-context-merge cgoal st) :: after)
   | SOME (b, (GOAL goal), after) =>
       Branch\ (b @ Step\ (GOAL\ goal,\ explore-context-init\ cgoal) :: after)
   \mid NONE = >
       Branch (brs @ [Step (ASSUMPTION assm, explore-context-init cgoal)]))
 | explore-context-merge (GOAL show :: []) (Step (GOAL show', steps)) =
```

```
if show = show' then
     GOAL \ show'
     Branch [Step (GOAL show', steps), GOAL show]
 | explore-context-merge\ clause\ ps =
   Branch [ps, explore-context-init clause]
fun \ explore-context-all \ (clause :: clauses) =
 fold explore-context-merge clauses (explore-context-init clause)
fun\ convert\text{-}proof\ (ASSUMPTION\ a) = cASSUMPTION\ [a]
   convert-proof (FIXES \ a) = cFIXES \ [a]
    convert-proof (GOAL\ a) = cGOAL\ a
    convert-proof (Step (a, b)) = cStep (convert-proof a, convert-proof b)
    convert-proof (Branch\ brs) = cBranch\ (map\ convert-proof brs)
fun\ compress-proof\ (cStep\ (cASSUMPTION\ a,\ cStep\ (cASSUMPTION\ b,\ step))) =
   compress-proof (cStep (cASSUMPTION (a @ b), compress-proof step))
 | compress-proof (cStep (cFIXES a, cStep (cFIXES b, step))) =
   compress-proof (cStep (cFIXES (a @ b), compress-proof step))
  | compress-proof (cStep (cFIXES a, cStep (cASSUMPTION b,
            cStep\ (cFIXES\ a',\ step)))) =
   compress-proof (cStep (cFIXES (a @ a'), compress-proof (cStep (cASSUMPTION b, step))))
 | compress-proof (cStep (a, b)) =
     val \ a' = compress-proof \ a
     val \ b' = compress-proof \ b
   in
     if a = a' and also b = b' then cStep(a', b')
     else compress-proof (cStep (a', b'))
  end
 | compress-proof (cBranch brs) =
   cBranch (map compress-proof brs)
 | compress-proof a = a
fun\ compress-proof2\ (cStep\ (cFIXES\ a,\ cStep\ (cASSUMPTION\ b,\ cGOAL\ q))) =
   cLemma\ (a,\ b,\ g)
 | compress-proof2 (cStep (cASSUMPTION b, cGOAL g)) =
   cLemma ([], b, g)
   compress-proof2 (cStep (cFIXES b, cGOAL g)) =
   cLemma\ (b,\ [],\ g)
   compress-proof2 (cStep (a, b)) =
   cStep \ (compress-proof2 \ a, \ compress-proof2 \ b)
   compress-proof2 (cBranch brs) =
   cBranch (map compress-proof2 brs)
 | compress-proof2 \ a = a
fun reorder-assumptions-wrt-fixes (fixes, assms, qoal) =
    fun depends-on t (fix) = Term.exists-subterm (curry (op =) (Term.Free fix)) t
    fun depends-on-any t (fix :: fixes) = depends-on t fix orelse depends-on-any t fixes
      |\ \textit{depends-on-any} \ \text{-} \ [] = \textit{false}
    fun\ insert-all-assms\ []\ assms=map\ ASSUMPTION\ assms
       \mathit{insert}\text{-}\mathit{all}\text{-}\mathit{assms}\;\mathit{fixes}\;[] = \mathit{map}\;\mathit{FIXES}\;\mathit{fixes}
       insert-all-assms (fix :: fixes) (assm :: assms) =
```

```
if depends-on-any assm (fix :: fixes) then
         FIXES fix :: insert-all-assms fixes (assm :: assms)
         ASSUMPTION assm :: insert-all-assms (fix :: fixes) assms
 in
   insert-all-assms fixes assms @ [GOAL goal]
  end
fun generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cFIXES fixes) =
   let
     val \ kw-fix =
                   fixes
     val fixes-s = if null fixes then NONE
       else SOME (kw-fix ^ space-implode and
        (map\ (fn\ (v,\ T) => v\ ^ ::\ ^ enclosure\ (Syntax.string-of-typ\ ctxt\ T))\ fixes));
   in the-default fixes-s end
  | generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cASSUMPTION assms) =
     val \ kw-assume = assumes
     val \ assumes - s = if \ null \ assms \ then \ NONE
       else SOME (kw-assume ^ space-implode-with-line-break
        (map (enclosure o Syntax.string-of-term ctxt) assms))
   in the-default assumes-s end
  generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cGOAL shows) =
   hd (generate-text ASSUMES-SHOWS ctxt enclosure [([], [], shows)])
  || generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cStep (cFIXES f, cStep (cASSUMPTION assms, st))) =
   let \ val \ (-, \ ctxt') = Variable.add-fixes \ (map \ fst \ f) \ ctxt \ in
     [context,
      generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cFIXES f),
      generate-context-proof ctxt' enclosure (cASSUMPTION assms),
      generate-context-proof ctxt' enclosure st,
      end
   |> cat-lines
   end
  | generate\text{-}context\text{-}proof\ ctxt\ enclosure\ (cStep\ (cFIXES\ f,\ st)) =
   let \ val \ (-, \ ctxt') = Variable.add-fixes \ (map \ fst \ f) \ ctxt \ in
     [context,
      generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cFIXES f),
      begin,
      generate-context-proof ctxt' enclosure st,
      end
     |> cat-lines
   end
  || generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cStep (cASSUMPTION assms, st)) =
    generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure (cASSUMPTION assms),
    begin,
    generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure st,
    end
   |> cat-lines
  | qenerate\text{-}context\text{-}proof\ ctxt\ enclosure\ (cStep\ (st,\ st')) =
   [generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure st,
    generate-context-proof ctxt enclosure st'
   |> cat-lines
  | generate\text{-}context\text{-}proof\ ctxt\ enclosure\ (cBranch\ st) =
   separate \setminus n \ (map \ (generate\text{-}context\text{-}proof \ ctxt \ enclosure) \ st)
   |> cat-lines
```

```
| generate\text{-}context\text{-}proof\ ctxt\ enclosure\ (cLemma\ (fixes,\ assms,\ shows)) =
   hd (generate-text ASSUMES-SHOWS ctxt enclosure [(fixes, assms, shows)])
fun \ explore \ aim \ st =
 let
   val thy = Toplevel.theory-of st
   val\ quote-type = Explorer-Lib.default-raw-params\ thy\ |>\ snd
   val\ enclosure =
     (case quote-type of
       Explorer-Lib.GUILLEMOTS => cartouche
       Explorer-Lib.QUOTES => quote
   val\ st = Toplevel.proof-of\ st
   val \{ context, facts = -, goal \} = Proof.goal st;
   val\ goal\text{-}props = Logic.strip\text{-}imp\text{-}prems\ (Thm.prop\text{-}of\ goal);
   val\ clauses = map\ split-clause\ qoal-props;
   val \ text =
     if \ aim = CONTEXT \ then
        (clauses
        |> map reorder-assumptions-wrt-fixes
        |> explore-context-all
        |> convert-proof
        |> compress-proof
        |> compress-proof2
        |> generate-context-proof context enclosure)
      else cat-lines (generate-text aim context enclosure clauses);
   val\ message = Active.sendback-markup-properties\ []\ text;
 in
   (st
    |> tap (fn - => Output.information (Proof outline with cases: \n \cap message)))
 end
end
val \ explore-cmd =
 Toplevel.keep-proof (K () o Explorer.explore Explorer.ASSUME-SHOW)
 Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword explore}
   explore current goal state as Isar proof
   (Scan.succeed\ (explore-cmd))
val\ explore-have-cmd =
 Toplevel.keep-proof (K () o Explorer.explore Explorer.HAVE-IF)
val - =
 Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword explore-have}
   explore current goal state as Isar proof with have, if and for
   (Scan.succeed explore-have-cmd)
val\ explore-lemma-cmd =
 Toplevel.keep-proof (K () o Explorer.explore Explorer.ASSUMES-SHOWS)
val - =
 Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword explore-lemma}
   explore current goal state as Isar proof with lemma, fixes, assumes, and shows
   (Scan.succeed\ explore-lemma-cmd)
```

```
val\ explore\text{-}ctxt\text{-}cmd =
  Toplevel.keep-proof (K() o Explorer.explore Explorer.CONTEXT)
  Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword explore-context}
    explore current goal state as Isar proof with context and lemmas
    (Scan.succeed\ explore-ctxt-cmd)
1.4.2
             Examples
You can choose cartouches
\mathbf{setup}\ Explorer\text{-}Lib.switch\text{-}to\text{-}cartouches
lemma
  distinct xs \Longrightarrow P \ xs \Longrightarrow length \ (filter \ (\lambda x. \ x = y) \ xs) \le 1 \ \textbf{for} \ xs
  apply (induct xs)
  explore
  explore-have
  explore-lemma
  \mathbf{oops}
lemma
  \bigwedge x. \ A1 \ x \Longrightarrow A2
  \bigwedge x \ y. \ A1 \ x \Longrightarrow B2 \ y
  \bigwedge x \ y \ z \ s. \ B2 \ y \Longrightarrow A1 \ x \Longrightarrow C2 \ z \Longrightarrow C3 \ s
  \bigwedge x \ y \ z \ s. \ B2 \ y \Longrightarrow A1 \ x \Longrightarrow C2 \ z \Longrightarrow C4 \ s
  \bigwedge x \ y \ z \ s \ t. \ B2 \ y \Longrightarrow \ A1 \ x \Longrightarrow C2 \ z \Longrightarrow C4 \ s \Longrightarrow C3' \ t
  \bigwedge x \ y \ z \ s \ t. B2 y \Longrightarrow A1 \ x \Longrightarrow C2 \ z \Longrightarrow C4 \ s \Longrightarrow C4' \ t
  \bigwedge x \ y \ z \ s \ t. B2 \ y \Longrightarrow A1 \ x \Longrightarrow C2 \ z \Longrightarrow C4 \ s \Longrightarrow C5' \ t
  explore-context
  explore-have
  explore-lemma
  oops
You can also choose quotes
{f setup}\ Explorer	ext{-}Lib.switch	ext{-}to	ext{-}quotes
lemma
  distinct xs \Longrightarrow P \ xs \Longrightarrow length \ (filter \ (\lambda x. \ x = y) \ xs) \le 1 \ \textbf{for} \ xs
  apply (induct xs)
  explore
  explore-have
  explore-lemma
  oops
And switch back
```

 ${\bf setup} \ \textit{Explorer-Lib.switch-to-cartouches}$ 

```
lemma  \begin{array}{l} \textit{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow P \; xs \Longrightarrow \textit{length } (\textit{filter } (\lambda x. \; x = y) \; xs) \leq 1 \; \textbf{for } xs \\ \textbf{apply } (\textit{induct } xs) \\ \\ \textbf{explore} \\ \textbf{explore-have} \\ \textbf{explore-lemma} \\ \textbf{oops} \\ \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```