

# Corregidum to “Novel whitening approaches in functional settings”

Marc Vidal\* <sup>1,2</sup> and Ana M. Aguilera\*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Ghent University, Belgium*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Granada, Spain*

Due to production errors, Equation 3 in pp. 3 is written as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{M}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-1} \langle f, \gamma_j \rangle \langle g, \gamma_j \rangle = \left\langle \Gamma^{1/2\dagger} f, \Gamma^{1/2\dagger} g \right\rangle f, \quad g \in \mathbb{M},$$

while it was originally written as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{M}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-1} \langle f, \gamma_j \rangle \langle g, \gamma_j \rangle = \left\langle \Gamma^{1/2\dagger} f, \Gamma^{1/2\dagger} g \right\rangle \quad f, g \in \mathbb{M}.$$

In §3, the statement reads, “Then, we can use the inner product (3) to construct a space of isotropic functions (i.e., their covariance operator satisfies the identity), so that the space ends up having a certain Gaussian appearance...” The term *space of isotropic functions* is unclear in the current context. Since our whitening operators are mappings defined through elements over  $T$ , this does not necessarily imply that the realizations of  $\mathbb{X}$  are on the unit sphere  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{H} \mid \|x\|^2 = 1\}$ . The isotropy property would be satisfied when whitening the coefficients  $A$  in the direction of its transpose, assuming dependencies in a secondary domain exist. Therefore, one could use the following instead: “...to construct a space of white/orthogonalized functions (i.e., their covariance operator satisfies the identity), so that the space ends up having a certain Gaussian appearance...”.

In §4, the sentence “As  $2\text{tr}(\Gamma_{X\mathbb{X}})$  is the only dependence between the original and the whitened variable, the minimization problem can be reduced to the maximization of  $\text{tr}(\Gamma_{X\mathbb{X}})$ .” reads also as “.. is the only *dependent term*...”.

In the Technical proofs (first paragraph), due to abuse of notation, in the sentence “Note that Condition 1 cannot be reached when  $\langle X, \gamma_j \rangle^2 = \lambda_j$ , or for  $c_j \rightarrow c > 0$ ,  $\langle X, \gamma_j \rangle^2 = \lambda_j c_j$ ...”,  $X$  stands for a deterministic function.

## REFERENCES

- Vidal, M. and Aguilera, M. (2023). Novel whitening approaches in functional settings. *Stat*, 12(1):e516.  
Vidal, M., Rosso, M., and Aguilera, A. M. (2021). Bi-smoothed functional independent component analysis of EEG data. *Mathematics*, 9:1243.

---

\*For correspondence: [marc.vidalbadia@ugent.be](mailto:marc.vidalbadia@ugent.be) (M.V.), [aaguiler@ugr.es](mailto:aaguiler@ugr.es) (A.M.A)