1. Using Figure 1.2 as a model, illustrate the operation of INSERTION-SORT on the array A = <31,41,59,26,41,58>.

The following Java code implements INSERTION-SORT:

```
public class Sort {
    public <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(List<T> m) {
      //! Guard against IndexOutOfBoundsException
      if (m. size() \ll 1)
         return;
5
      //! Implement INSERTION—SORT
      for (int i=1; i < m. size(); ++i) {
        T \text{ elem} = m. \text{ get (i)};
9
         int j = i-1;
10
         while (j \ge 0 \&\& m.get(j).compareTo(elem) > 0)
          m. set (j+1, m. get (j)); j--;
12
        m. set (j+1, elem);
    }
16
17 }
```

This is an in-place implementation of INSERTION-SORT, with the output overwriting the input and at most a constant amount of secondary memory being allocated from the heap. The following illustrates the state of the input array as the algorithm runs:

```
\begin{array}{l} i=1,\ j=0,\ elem=41,\ A=<31,41,59,26,41,58>\\ i=1,\ j=0,\ elem=41,\ A=<31,\ 41,59,26,41,58>\rightarrow A(1)=41\\ i=2,\ j=1,\ elem=59,\ A=<31,41,59,26,41,58>\\ i=2,\ j=1,\ elem=59,\ A=<31,41,59,26,41,58>\\ i=2,\ j=1,\ elem=26,\ A=<31,41,59,26,41,58>\rightarrow A(2)=59\\ i=3,\ j=2,\ elem=26,\ A=<31,41,59,59,41,58>\rightarrow A(3)=59\\ i=3,\ j=1,\ elem=26,\ A=<31,41,41,59,59,41,58>\rightarrow A(2)=41\\ i=3,\ j=0,\ elem=26,\ A=<31,31,41,59,41,58>\rightarrow A(1)=31\\ i=3,\ j=0,\ elem=26,\ A=<26,31,41,59,41,58>\rightarrow A(0)=26\\ i=4,\ j=3,\ elem=41,\ A=<26,31,41,59,41,58>\\ i=4,\ j=3,\ elem=41,\ A=<26,31,41,59,41,58>\\ i=4,\ j=2,\ elem=41,\ A=<26,31,41,59,59,59,58>\rightarrow A(4)=59\\ i=4,\ j=2,\ elem=41,\ A=<26,31,41,41,59,58>\\ i=5,\ j=4,\ elem=58,\ A=<26,31,41,41,59,59>\rightarrow A(5)=59\\ i=5,\ j=4,\ elem=58,\ A=<26,31,41,41,59,59>\rightarrow A(4)=58\\ \end{array}
```

The final result is therefore:

$$A = <26, 31, 41, 41, 58, 59 >$$

2. Rewrite the INSERTION-SORT procedure to sort into non-increasing instead of non-decreasing order.

The following Java code provides an implementation of INSERTION-SORT that sorts arrays into non-increasing order:

```
public class Sort {
    public <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(List<T> m) {
      //! Guard against IndexOutOfBoundsException
      if (m. size() \ll 1)
         return m;
      //! Implement INSERTION—SORT
      for (int i=1; i < m. size(); ++i) {
        T \text{ elem} = m. \text{ get (i)};
        int j = i-1;
         while (j \ge 0 \&\& m. get(j). compareTo(elem) < 0) {
11
          m. set (j+1, m. get (j)); j--;
        m. set (j+1, elem);
15
    }
16
17 }
```

The only change is at line 11 where

```
m. get(j). compareTo(elem) > 0
```

has been changed to:

```
m. get(j). compare To(elem) < 0
```

3. Consider the *searching problem*:

**Input**: A sequence of n numbers  $A = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$  and a value v.

**Output**: An index i such that v = A[i] or the special value NIL if v does not appear in A.

Write pseudocode for  $linear\ search$ , which scans through the sequence looking for v.

The following Python code implements the algorithm:

4. Consider the problem of adding two n-bit binary numbers, stored in two n-element arrays A and B. The sum of the two integers should be stored in an (n+1)-element array C. State the problem formally and write pseudocode for adding the two integers.

We can reason about this problem inductively (i.e., recursively).

Suppose we start by adding two 1-bit binary numbers.

There are four cases to consider:

$$[0] + [0] = [00]$$

$$[1] + [0] = [01]$$

$$[0] + [1] = [01]$$

$$[1] + [1] = [10]$$

From this pattern we can surmise that:

$$C[i] = (A[i] + B[i])\%2$$
  
 $C[i+1] = (A[i] + B[i])/2$ 

We can implement the desired algorithm in Python as follows:

```
def add(A, B, n):
    A_ = A[:]; A_.reverse()
    B_ = B[:]; B_.reverse()
    C = [0] * (n+1)
    for i in range(n):
        sum_ = A_[i] + B_[i] + C[i]
        C[i] = int(sum_%2)
        C[i+1] = int(sum_/2)
        C.reverse()
    return C
```