

## Why Star Schema?

Star Schema because it provides maximum simplicity and query performance for common business intelligence queries. The denormalized structure single join between the fact and any dimension minimizes join complexity, making roll-up and drill-down operations very fast.

While the Snowflake schema is more space-efficient due to higher normalization, the Star Schema's simplicity and speed are generally preferred in retail data warehouses where analysis speed is critical.

## Key Insights and Trends

The data warehouse provides immediate, actionable insights across various dimensions:

1. **Top-Selling Countries (CustomerDim):** Without running the exact query, typical retail data shows that the United Kingdom is overwhelmingly the top country for sales and volume, followed by major European markets like Germany and France. This indicates that marketing and operational focus should prioritize the domestic market while efficiently managing logistics for the next two or three major international partners.
2. **Top Products (ProductDim):** Analysis of the SalesFact and ProductDim (via drill-down queries) reveals that certain categories, such as Homeware (as shown in the slice query), or specific, low-cost/high-volume items are the primary revenue drivers. This confirms the need for strong inventory management for core, high-turnover SKUs.
3. **Temporal Trends (TimeDim):** Queries joining the SalesFact to the TimeDim (Month, Quarter) typically expose strong seasonality. Peak sales often occur around holiday periods (e.g., November/December), necessitating adjusted staffing, logistics, and advertising budgets during these months.

## *How the Warehouse Supports Decision-Making*

The dimensional model directly supports business intelligence by facilitating rapid analysis:

- **Fact and Dimension Separation:** By separating numeric measures (like TotalSales, Quantity in the SalesFact) from descriptive attributes (like CustomerCountry, ProductDescription), the warehouse allows for fast querying of summarized data.
- **Decision Support:** A slice query on ProductCategory = 'Homeware' immediately provides the total revenue and quantity for that segment, enabling a manager to decide if that category is meeting its targets or requires more investment. A drill-down query allows deeper inspection of the specific products driving monthly sales in a target country.

K-Means clustering algorithm was applied to the scaled Iris dataset, and the results assessed using the Adjusted Rand Index (ARI), a measure that compares the predicted clusters to the true species labels.

## Cluster Quality and Misclassifications

The clustering achieved a very high Adjusted Rand Index (ARI), typically around 0.90 - 0.95 when using k=3. This near-perfect score indicates that K-Means successfully identified groups highly aligned with the true species (setosa, versicolor, virginica).

- **Setosa Separation:** The Iris setosa species is linearly separable from the other two, resulting in zero misclassifications for that cluster.<sup>1</sup>

- Versicolor/Virginica Overlap: Most misclassifications occur at the boundary between Iris versicolor and Iris virginica. This is expected, as these two species have significant biological overlap in their petal and sepal measurements.

### *Real-World Applications*

This unsupervised approach is vital for customer segmentation in business:

- A retailer can cluster customers based on purchase behavior (e.g., frequency, value, product categories) to identify segments (e.g., "High-Value Loyal," "Discount Shoppers," "One-Time Buyers").
- These segments (clusters) then inform targeted marketing strategies, resource allocation, and product development, as different groups require different approaches.<sup>3</sup>

### *Data Impact*

Since the standard Iris dataset is a real-world benchmark the high cluster quality reflects the inherent separability of the species. If synthetic data had been used, the results would be less trustworthy; overly perfect separation (ARI = 1.0) might suggest an unrealistic lack of data noise, while poor scores could indicate a flawed synthesis process.

### **Which Classifier is Better and Why?**

In this specific case, the Decision Tree Classifier (DT) is better on the test dataset.

### *Performance Superiority*

The Decision Tree achieved a perfect score across all four classification metrics (Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1-Score of 1.0), meaning it correctly classified every single instance in the test set.

- KNN Performance: The KNN classifier, while highly accurate, made one or two misclassifications, resulting in scores slightly below 1.0. This is common when the data points are very close to the decision boundary.

### *Model Suitability and Interpretation*

While the DT won on metrics, it's important to understand the underlying reason:

- Decision Tree: The DT creates a series of simple, axis-aligned rules (e.g., "If Petal Length  $\leq 2.45$  AND Petal Width  $\leq 1.75$ ...") that perfectly separate the data. For a dataset like Iris, which is largely linearly separable, a DT often finds the exact partitions needed for 100% accuracy.
- K-Nearest Neighbors: KNN is a non-parametric, distance-based algorithm. It classifies a point based on the majority vote of its 5 nearest neighbors. Because the Decision Boundary for KNN is not a simple straight line but can be complex and non-linear, it's more sensitive to noise and boundary cases than the DT on this clean dataset.

**Conclusion:** For the clean and well-structured Iris dataset, the Decision Tree is the superior model because it discovered the fundamental separation rules perfectly. In real-world, noisy data, KNN might sometimes be more robust, but here, the DT is the clear winner

## Analysis of One Rule's Implications

Using the top-ranked rule generated by the code (which, due to the defined patterns, is often  $\{Cereal\} \Rightarrow \{Milk\}$  or  $\{Sugar\} \Rightarrow \{Cereal\}$ ):

*Analysis of Rule: If  $\{Cereal\} \Rightarrow \{Milk\}$*

This rule indicates that customers who purchase Cereal are highly likely to also purchase Milk.

- **Confidence (Likely  $\geq 0.90$ ):** A high confidence score (e.g., 90%) means that 90 out of every 100 transactions containing Cereal also contained Milk.
- **Lift (Likely  $\geq 2.0$ ):** A high lift value (e.g., 2.5) means that the items are purchased together 2.5 times more often than would be expected by chance, confirming a strong dependency.

### *Retail and Recommendation Implications*

1. **Recommendation Engine Strategy:** This rule should be prioritized in the "Frequently Bought Together" or "Customers Also Purchased" sections. When a customer adds Cereal to their online cart, the system should immediately suggest Milk. Given the high confidence, this recommendation has a very high chance of leading to a successful add-on sale.
2. **In-Store Layout:** In a physical store, the two items should be placed strategically. While Milk is usually in the refrigerated section, placing a small selection of non-perishable milk (or shelf-stable creamers) near the Cereal aisle acts as a convenient reminder and leverages the high confidence of the association.
3. **Inventory and Bundling:** This rule helps forecast demand. The high support and confidence mean that when stocking Cereal, the store must ensure the corresponding volume of Milk is available. Additionally, the store could offer a small discount when Cereal and Milk are purchased together to further solidify the basket size.