

An efficient isogeometric Galerkin method for the estimation of the Karhunen-Loève series expansion of a random field

Michal Mika^{a,*}, Dominik Schillinger^a, Thomas J.R. Hughes^b, René R. Hiemstra^a

^a*Institute of Mechanics and Computational Mechanics (IBNM), Leibniz University Hannover*

^b*Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences (ICES), University of Texas at Austin*

Abstract

Abstract.

Keywords: kw1, kw2

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Notation	2
3	Conclusion	4

1. Introduction

Introduction.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `mika@stud.uni-hannover.de` (Michal Mika), `schillinger@ibnm.uni-hannover.de` (Dominik Schillinger), `hughes@ices.utexas.edu` (Thomas J.R. Hughes), `rene@ices.utexas.edu` (René R. Hiemstra)

2. Notation

\mathcal{D}	physical space
$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$	parametric space
d	dimension of the parametric space
D	dimension of the physical space
k	parametric coordinate direction $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$
K	physical coordinate direction $k = 1, 2, \dots, D$
ξ_k	k th parametric coordinate
x_K	K th physical coordinate
n_k	number of basis functions in k th direction, $n_k \in \mathbb{N}^+$
p_k	polynomial degree of the basis in the k th direction, $p_k \in \mathbb{N}_0^+$
Ξ_k	knot vector corresponding to the k th parametric direction, $\Xi_k := [0 = \xi_{k,1}, \xi_{k,2}, \dots, \xi_{k,n_k+p_k+1} = 1] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k+p_k+1}$, $\xi_{k,1} \leq \xi_{k,2} \leq \dots \leq \xi_{k,n_k+p_k+1}$
B_{i_k,p_k}^k	i_k th B-spline basis function of p_k th order in the k th parametric direction, $1 \leq i_k \leq n_k$
$P_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K$	K th coordinate of a control point corresponding to the (i_1, \dots, i_d) set of basis functions, where a particular index $i_k = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$
\mathcal{B}^k	univariate B-spline space in the k th parametric coordinate direction
\mathcal{B}^k	multivariate B-spline space
\mathcal{N}	NURBS space

Assuming a convention for summation (reduction) with respect to repeated lower indices, which are not present on both sides of an equation, a point evaluation expressed in a long, general form would read

$$x_K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) = P_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) \quad (1)$$

or, for a special case in a short form,

$$p_X = P_{mn}^X B_{m,p}^u B_{n,q}^v \quad (2)$$

$$p_Y = P_{mn}^Y B_{m,p}^u B_{n,q}^v, \quad (3)$$

which is kind of aesthetically pleasing, if we use the tensor notation everywhere. The space of univariate rational B-splines in the k th parametric coordinate direction could be defined as

$$\mathcal{B}^k := \mathcal{B}^k(\Xi_k; p_k) := \text{span}\{B_{i_k, p_k}^k(\xi_k)\}_{i_k=1, \dots, n_k} \quad (4)$$

and the tensor product space as

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathcal{B}^k(\Xi_k; p_k) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \text{span}\{B_{i_k, p_k}^k(\xi_k)\}_{i_k=1, \dots, n_k}. \quad (5)$$

A NURBS (non-uniform rational B-spline) can be seen as a projection of a spline from a $D + 1$ dimensional non-rational B-spline space on to a D dimensional rational B-spline space with respect to some rational weights $W_{i_1 \dots i_d}$. Assuming a scalar projection operator

$$R(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) = W_{i_1 \dots i_d} B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) \quad (6)$$

the x_K coordinates of the NURBS projection may be computed as

$$x_K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) = \tilde{P}_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) R^{-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d), \quad K = 1, \dots, D \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{P}_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K$ denotes the weighted control points of the corresponding non-rational B-spline,

$$\tilde{P}_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K = P_{i_1 \dots i_d}^K W_{i_1 \dots i_d}. \quad (8)$$

The NURBS space is defined as

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}(\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_d; p_1, \dots, p_d; W_{i_1 \dots i_d}) \quad (9)$$

$$:= \text{span}\{W_{i_1 \dots i_d} B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) R^{-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)\}_{i_k=1, \dots, n_k}. \quad (10)$$

The expression for the A tensor from the classical Galerkin method on the non-rational B-spline solution subspace would read

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_d j_1 \dots j_d} = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}} \Gamma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_d) B_{i_k, p_k}^1(\xi_k) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) B_{j_k, p_k}^1(\xi'_k) \cdots B_{j_d, p_d}^d(\xi'_d) \\ \times \det(J_{\xi_k}) \det(J_{\xi'_k}) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_d d\xi'_1 \cdots d\xi'_d. \quad (11)$$

In the proposed efficient Galerkin method the solution subspace will be set to

$$\mathcal{W} := \text{span}\{B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) \det(J_{\xi})^{-1}\}_{i_k=1, \dots, n_k}. \quad (12)$$

by that we will abandon the isoparametric concept, since the map $x_K : \hat{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ will remain a NURBS map. Furthermore, the kernel $\Gamma : \hat{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be approximated by

$$\Gamma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_d) \approx g_{k_1 \dots k_d l_1 \dots l_d} \hat{B}_{k_1, \hat{p}_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots \hat{B}_{k_d, \hat{p}_d}^d(\xi_d) \hat{B}_{l_1, \hat{p}_1}^1(\xi'_1) \cdots \hat{B}_{l_d, \hat{p}_d}^d(\xi'_d). \quad (13)$$

From now on a hat over the symbols will be used to refer to the space approximating the kernel. The tensor A utilizing the approximated kernel will have the form

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_d j_1 \dots j_d} = g_{k_1 \dots k_d l_1 \dots l_d} M_{k_1 \dots k_d i_1 \dots i_d} M_{l_1 \dots l_d j_1 \dots j_d} \quad (14)$$

where the tensor M is defined as

$$M_{k_1 \dots k_d i_1 \dots i_d} := \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}} \hat{B}_{k_1, \hat{p}_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots \hat{B}_{k_d, \hat{p}_d}^d(\xi_d) B_{i_1, p_1}^1(\xi_1) \cdots B_{i_d, p_d}^d(\xi_d) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_d. \quad (15)$$

with $1 \leq k_k \leq \hat{n}_k$ and $1 \leq i_k \leq n_k$. Observing the structure of M it is easy to see that it can be rewritten as a tensor product of

$$M_{k_k i_k}^k := \int_{\xi_{k,1}}^{\xi_{k,i_k+p_k+1}} \hat{B}_{k_k, \hat{p}_k}^k(\xi_k) B_{i_k, i_k}^k(\xi_k) d\xi_k \quad (16)$$

namely,

$$M_{k_1 \dots k_d i_1 \dots i_d} = M_{k_1 i_1}^1 \cdots M_{k_d i_d}^d \quad (17)$$

5 The control points g of the kernel approximation might be computed by means of some quasi-interpolant or as the Greville ordinates for a given abscissae, i.e. integration points. It is also mandatory, that the parametrization of the kernel approximation is equivalent to the parametrization of the solution space and the geometrical mapping. To solve the generalized matrix eigenvalue problem it will be necessary to reorder the computed tensors such that $A_{i_1 \dots i_d j_1 \dots j_d} \rightarrow [A_{i_1 \dots i_d j_1 \dots j_d}]_{ij}$. There are methods for generalized tensor eigenvalue problems, but the way of least resistance would
10 be reordering. Since A is dense, it would be straight forward to redefine the access methods instead.

3. Conclusion

Conclusion.

Acknowledgments

ackn

15 References