

06 - Itermediate Results

Lean: First Steps

Tariq Rashid

October 27, 2024

Intermediate Results

- Proof with more structure than simple direct calculation.
- Derive an intermediate result, which we make use of later in the full proof.

Task

- Given

$$a = b + 1$$

$$b - 1 = 0$$

- our task is to show

$$a = 2$$

- where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

- Most mathematicians would immediately find $b = 1$, and then use this derived result in $a = b + 1$ to give $a = 2$.
- Up to this point, learning to think in Lean encouraged us to find a clever substitution trick using only the given facts.

- Structured proof.

$$a = b + 1 \quad \text{given fact} \quad (1)$$

$$b - 1 = 0 \quad \text{given fact} \quad (2)$$

$$b - 1 = 0 \quad \text{using fact (2)}$$

$$b = 1 \quad \text{adding 1 to both sides} \quad (3)$$

$$a = b + 1 \quad \text{using fact (1)}$$

$$= (1) + 1 \quad \text{using intermediate result (3)} \quad (4)$$

$$a = 2 \quad \text{using arithmetic} \quad \square$$

- We've derived an **intermediate result** $b = 1$ at line (3), and used it later at line (4).
 - This is new.
- We justify $b = 1$ from $b - 1 = 0$ by adding 1 to both sides.
 - Uncontroversial step, doesn't need deeper justification.

- Previous thinking in Lean
 - rewrite $a = b + 1$ as $a = b - 1 + 1 + 1$
 - use given fact $b - 1 = 0$ to remove $b - 1$ from the expression
 - to give $a = (0) + 1 + 1 = 2$
- This trickery is not as natural as establishing $b = 1$ as an intermediate result.

```
-- 06 - Intermediate Result
```

```
import Mathlib.Tactic
```

```
example {a b :  $\mathbb{Z}$ } (h1 : a = b + 1) (h2: b - 1 = 0) : a = 2 := by
  have h3: b = 1 := by linarith [h2]
  calc
    a = b + 1 := by rw [h1]
    _ = 1 + 1 := by rw [h3]
    _ = 2 := by norm_num
```

- The final **calc** section is familiar, but uses a hypothesis **h3** not given in the proof header.
- **Intermediate result** $b = 1$ is given a label **h3**, similar to given facts in the proof header.
- Intermediate result is justified by “adding 1 to both sides” of $h2 : b - 1 = 0$ using the tactic **linarith** for linear arithmetic.
- **have** establishes the new hypothesis **h3** alongside **h1** and **h2**.

- As we develop and debug proofs, useful to keep track of what Lean thinks are the hypotheses it can use.
- Placing the cursor before the `have` instruction tells us the state of play before the new hypothesis is created.

```
a b : ℤ
h1 : a = b + 1
h2 : b - 1 = 0
├ a = 2
```

- Moving the cursor to the beginning of the next line, just before `calc`, gives us the following.

```
a b : ℤ
h1 : a = b + 1
h2 : b - 1 = 0
h3 : b = 1
├ a = 2
```

- We can see a new hypothesis `h3 : b = 1` has been added to the existing `h1` and `h2`.

Easy Exercise

- Write a Lean program to prove $a = 2$, given $a = b + c$, $b - 1 = 0$, and $c + 1 = 2$ where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- In your proof create and use two intermediate results, $b = 1$ and $c = 1$.