

# Proof Theory

枫聆

2022 年 3 月 31 日

## 目录

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Natural Deduction</b>               | <b>2</b> |
| 1.1      | Judgments and Propositions . . . . .   | 2        |
| 1.2      | Introduction and Elimination . . . . . | 2        |
| 1.3      | Hypothetical Derivations . . . . .     | 2        |

## Natural Deduction

**Remark 1** Natural deduction is a kind of proof calculus in which logical reasoning is expressed by inference rules closely related to the "natural" way of reasoning.

### Judgments and Propositions

**Definition 2** A *judgment* is something we may know, this is, an object of knowledge. A judgment is *evident* if we in fact know it.

**Annotation 3** "A is false" (see classical logic), "A is true at time t" (see temporal logic), "A is necessarily true" or "A is possibly true" (see modal logic), "the program M has type " (see programming languages and type theory), "A is achievable from the available resources" (see linear logic).

### Introduction and Elimination

**Definition 4** Inference rules that introduce a logical connective in the conclusion are known as *introduction rules*. i.e., to conclude "A and B true" for propositions A and B, one requires evidence for "A true" and B true. As an inference rule:

$$\frac{A \text{ true} \quad B \text{ true}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I$$

Here  $\wedge I$  stands for "conjunction introduction".

**Definition 5** Inference rules that describe how to deconstruct information about a compound proposition into information about its constituents are elimination rules. i.e., from  $A \wedge B \text{ true}$ , we can conclude  $A \text{ true}$  and  $B \text{ true}$ :

$$\frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_L \quad \frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_R$$

### Hypothetical Derivations

**Definition 6** A *hypothetical judgment* is  $J_1, \dots, J_n \vdash J$ , where judgments  $J_1, \dots, J_n$  are unproved assumptions, and the judgment  $J$  is the conclusion. A *hypothetical deduction*(derivation) for  $J_1, \dots, J_n \vdash J$  has the form

$$\begin{array}{c} J_1 \quad \cdots \quad J_n \\ \vdots \\ J \end{array}$$

which means  $J$  is derivable from  $J_1, \dots, J_n$ .

**Annotation 7** 上面的  $J_1, \dots, J_2$  都可以替换成关于  $J_i$  的一个 hypothetical derivation.

**Definition 8** In the natural deduction calculus, an assumption is discharged when the conclusion of an inference does not depend on it, although one of the premises of the inference does[1].

**Annotation 9** Once the appropriate rules have been completed, these are known as discharged assumptions, and are not included in the pool of assumptions on which the conclusion of the rule depends[3].

**Annotation 10** hypothetical derivation 要求最后的 conclusion 依赖的 pool of assumptions 不是空的.

**Theorem 11** Deduction theorem

$$T, P \vdash Q \iff T \vdash P \rightarrow Q$$

**Annotation 12** 在 deduction theorem 中我们注意到第一个 hypothetical judgment 里面的 antecedent  $Q$  被去掉了, 在第二个 hypothetical judgment 的 succedent 里面作为一个 implication 的 antecedent 出现了, 这里我们就可以说 assumption  $Q$  is discharged, 即现在的 conclusion 已经不依赖它了. 那么我们是如何构造 deduction theorem 里面的 implication 的呢? 下面接着看

**Definition 13** If  $B$  is true under the assumption that  $A$  is true, formally written  $A \supset B$ . The corresponded introduction and elimination rule as follow

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u \quad \vdots \quad B \text{ true}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I^u \quad \frac{A \supset B \quad A \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}} \supset E$$

**Annotation 14** Why indexed  $u$  In the introduction rule, the antecedent named  $u$  is discharged in the conclusion. This is a mechanism for delimiting the scope of the hypothesis: its sole reason for existence is to establish " $B \text{ true}$ "; it cannot be used for any other purpose, and in particular, it cannot be used below the introduction.

上面这段话出自 natural deduction 的 wiki, 这个  $u$  实际上就是代指了从  $A$  到  $B$  这中间可能的 derivation, 现在我们通过 introduction rule 将它总结成了  $A \supset B$ , 因此 premise 实际上"已经没有用了", 对照 discharge. 美妙!

**Example 15** Considering the following proof of  $A \supset (B \supset (A \wedge B))$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u \quad \overline{B \text{ true}}^w}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I}{B \supset (A \wedge B) \text{ true}} I^w}{A \supset (B \supset (A \wedge B)) \text{ true}} I^u.$$

这个整个 derivation 不是 hypothetical 的, 因为两个 assumptions  $A \text{ true}$  和  $B \text{ true}$  都已经被 discharged, 因此它实际上一个 complete proof!

## 参考文献

- [1] John Slaney. The Logic Notes. <http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~jks/LogicNotes/>
- [2] The relation between deduction theorem and discharged. <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3527285/what-does-discharging-an-assumption-mean-in-natural-deduction>
- [3] Definition:Discharged Assumption. [https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Definition:Discharged\\_Assumption](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Definition:Discharged_Assumption)