# Algorithm Design - Homework 2

Sapienza University of Rome

Marco Costa, 1691388

January 10, 2020

# Exercise 1

## First Problem

We need to model Michele's problem as a integer linear problem, and relax this to a corresponding LP.

## Solution

The problem can be modeled as an ILP as follow:

The problem can be modeled as an 
$$\begin{cases} minimize & \sum\limits_{i \in F} \sum\limits_{j \in O} x_{ij}w(i,j) \\ s.t. & \sum\limits_{j \in O} x_{ij} = 1, \forall i \in F \\ & \sum\limits_{i \in F} x_{ij} \leq 1, \forall j \in O \\ x_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \end{cases}$$

For the relaxation of this problem to a LP problem, we need simply to change the last condition in  $x_{ij} \in [0, 1] \ (0 \le x_{ij} \le 1)$ .

# Second Problem

Give a polynomial-time algorithm that, from any given optimal LP-solution, computes an optimal integer assignment, knowing that there is no *integrality gap* and for every fractional LP-solution, there exists an integral feasible solution with the same cost.

#### Solution

Exercise 2 Problem Solution

# Exercise 3

#### Problem

Given  $\alpha \geq 0$  calculate Philips payoff at some mixed Nash equilibrium, for  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

### Solution for $\alpha < 1$

Let's define as  $q_r$  the probability that the opponent plays R,  $q_p$  the probability that the opponent plays P and  $q_s = 1 - q_r - q_p$  the probability that the opponent plays S. Now we compute Philip's expected payoffs:

- Philip's expected payoff playing R:  $\alpha \cdot q_r + (\alpha 1) \cdot q_p + (\alpha + 1) \cdot (1 q_r q_p)$
- Philip's expected payoff playing P:  $1 \cdot q_r + 0 \cdot q_p 1 \cdot (1 q_r q_p) = 2q_r + q_p 1$
- Philip's expected payoff playing S:  $-1 \cdot q_r + 1 \cdot q_p + 0 \cdot (1 q_r q_p) = -q_r + q_p$

Since the strategy must be best responses, the payoffs must be equal, and we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \cdot q_r + (\alpha - 1) \cdot q_p + (\alpha + 1) \cdot (1 - q_r - q_p) = 2q_r + q_p - 1 \\ \alpha \cdot q_r + (\alpha - 1) \cdot q_p + (\alpha + 1) \cdot (1 - q_r - q_p) = -q_r + q_p \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} q_r = \frac{1}{3} \\ q_p = \frac{\alpha + 1}{3} \\ q_s = 1 - q_r - q_p = \frac{1 - \alpha}{3} \end{cases}$$

Since Philip is mixing in a Nash Equilibrium, the opponent must mix with weights  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{\alpha+1}{3}, \frac{1-\alpha}{3})$ . Now let's do the same for opponent's expected payoffs, defining as  $q'_r$ ,  $q'_p$  and  $q'_s = 1 - q'_r - q'_p$  the probability that Philip plays R, P or S respectively:

- Opponent expected payoff playing R:  $0 \cdot q_r' 1 \cdot q_p' + 1 \cdot (1 q_r' q_p') = -2q_p' q_r' + 1$
- Opponent expected payoff playing S:  $1 \cdot q'_r + 0 \cdot q'_p 1 \cdot (1 q'_r q'_p) = 2q'_r + q'_p 1$
- Opponent expected payoff playing P:  $-1 \cdot q'_r + 1 \cdot q'_p + 0 \cdot (1 q'_r q'_p) = -q'_r + q'_p$

Since the strategy must be best responses, the payoffs must be equal, and we obtain:

$$\begin{cases}
-2q'_p - q'_r + 1 = 2q'_r + q'_p - 1 \\
-2q'_p - q'_r + 1 = -q'_r + q'_p
\end{cases} \implies \begin{cases}
q'_r = \frac{1}{3} \\
q'_p = \frac{1}{3} \\
q'_s = 1 - q_r - q_p = \frac{1}{3}
\end{cases}$$

Since the opponent is mixing in a Nash Equilibrium, Philip must mix with weights  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ . So the MNE is:  $[(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{\alpha+1}{3}, \frac{1-\alpha}{3})]$ .

Finally we can calculate Philip's payoff as follow:

$$\alpha \cdot q_r \cdot q_r' + (\alpha - 1) \cdot q_p \cdot q_r' + (\alpha + 1) \cdot q_s \cdot q_r' + 1 \cdot q_r \cdot q_p' + 0 \cdot q_p \cdot q_p' + (-1) \cdot q_s \cdot q_p' + (-1) \cdot q_r \cdot q_s' + 1 \cdot q_p \cdot q_s' + 0 \cdot q_s \cdot q_s' = \frac{\alpha}{3} \cdot q_s' + (-1) \cdot q_s \cdot q_s$$

#### Solution for $\alpha > 1$

In this case, looking at the table it's possible to see that simplifications can be made: for Philip, P is dominated by R because of  $\alpha \geq 1$ , so we can delete the relative row. After that, for the opponent S is dominated by R, so we can delete the relative column.

1	Ph/Op	R	Р	S
	R	$\alpha$ , 0	$(\alpha - 1), 1$	$(\alpha + 1), -1$
9	P	1, -1	0,0	-1 , 1
	S	-1, 1	1,-1	0,0

Let's define as  $q_r$  and  $q_p = 1 - q_r$  the probability that the opponent plays R or P respectively, while as  $q'_r$  and  $q'_s = 1 - q'_r$  the probability that Philip plays R or S respectively.

We have the following payoffs:

	Pnilip	Opponent
R	$\alpha \cdot q_r + (\alpha - 1) \cdot (1 - q_r) = q_r + \alpha - 1$	$0 \cdot q_r' + 1 \cdot (1 - q_r') = 1 - q_r'$
P	none	$1 \cdot q_r' - 1 \cdot (1 - q_r') = 2q_r' - 1$
S	$-1 \cdot q_r + 1 \cdot (1 - q_r) = -2q_r + 1$	none

Since the strategy must be best responses, the payoffs of each player must be equal, and we obtain:  $\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} = \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} = \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha} =$ 

So the MNE is:  $\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right), \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{3}, \frac{\alpha+1}{3}\right)\right]$ .

Finally we can calculate Philip's payoff as follow:

$$\alpha \cdot q_r \cdot q_r' + (\alpha - 1) \cdot q_p \cdot q_r' + (-1) \cdot q_r \cdot q_s' + 1 \cdot q_p q_s' = \frac{2\alpha - 1}{3}.$$

Exercise 4
Problem
Solution

Exercise 5
Problem
Solution