

# k-WTA Activation Function

Marco Costa, Andrea Luca Antonini

24 giugno 2020

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this project is to implement a Neural Network in which we use k-WTA as activation function.

The use of k-WTA activation is motivated for defending against gradient-based adversarial attacks. In fact, provided a labeled data item  $(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , the attacker finds a perturbation  $\mathbf{x}'$  imperceptibly similar to  $\mathbf{x}$  but misleading enough to cause the network to output a label different from  $y$ . The most effective way to find such a perturbation, i.e. adversarial example, is by exploiting the gradient information of the network w.r.t. its input  $\mathbf{x}$ .

k-WTA activation function takes as input the entire output of a layer, retains its  $k$  largest values and deactivates all others to zero. If we use  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  to denote a k-WTA network taking an input  $\mathbf{x}$  and parameterized by weights  $\mathbf{w}$ , then the gradient  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  at certain  $\mathbf{x}$  is undefined. Therefore,  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  is  $C^0$  discontinuous. The discontinuities in the activation function also renders  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  discontinuous w.r.t.  $\mathbf{w}$ , but these discontinuities are rather sparse in the space of  $\mathbf{w}$ , thus the network can be trained successfully.

Formally, k-WTA retains the  $k$  largest values of a  $N \times 1$  input vector and sets all others to be zero before feeding the vector to the next network layer:

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{y})_j = \begin{cases} y_j, & \text{if } y_j \in \{k \text{ largest elements of } \mathbf{y}\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_k : R^N \rightarrow R^N$  is the k-WTA function (parameterized by an integer  $k$ ),  $\mathbf{y} \in R^N$  is the input to the activation, and  $\phi_k(\mathbf{y})_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th element of the output  $\phi_k(\mathbf{y})$ .

Instead of specifying  $k$ , we introduce a parameter  $\gamma \in \{0, 1\}$  called *sparsity ratio*. If a layer has an output dimension  $N$ , then its k-WTA activation has  $k = \lfloor \gamma \cdot N \rfloor$ . Even though the sparsity ratio can be set differently for different layers, in practice there is no gain from introducing such a variation, so we use a fixed  $\gamma$ .

In a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), the output of a layer is a  $C \times H \times W$  tensor: in this case we will treat the tensor as a  $C \cdot H \cdot W \times 1$  vector input to the k-WTA activation function.

The runtime of computing a k-WTA activation is asymptotically  $O(N)$ , because finding  $k$  largest value in a list corresponds to finding the  $k$ -th largest value, which has  $O(N)$  complexity.

Concerning the training of k-WTA networks, we know that when the sparsity ratio  $\gamma$  is relatively small ( $\leq 0.2$ ), the network training converges slowly. In fact,

a smaller  $\gamma$  activates fewer neurons, effectively reducing more of the layer width and, therefore, the network size. Nevertheless, we prefer a smaller  $\gamma$  because it usually leads to better robustness against finding adversarial examples.

Theoretically speaking, consider one layer outputting values  $\mathbf{x}$ , passed through a k-WTA activation, and followed by the next layer whose linear weight matrix is  $W$ . We define the k-WTA *activation pattern* under the input  $\mathbf{x}$  as:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}) := \{i \in [l] \mid x_i \text{ is one of the } k \text{ largest values in } \mathbf{x}\} \subseteq [l]$$

where we use  $[l]$  to indicate integer set  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ .

Even an infinitesimal perturbation of  $\mathbf{x}$  can change  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})$ : some element  $i$  is removed from  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})$ , while another element  $j$  is added in. Corresponding to this change, in the evaluation of  $W\phi_k(\mathbf{x})$ , the contribution of  $W$ 's column vector  $W_i$  is useless, while another column vector  $W_j$  suddenly takes effect. It's this change that makes the result of  $W\phi_k(\mathbf{x})$   $C^0$  discontinuous.

Once  $W$  is determined, the discontinuity jump depends on the value of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , that are respectively the input value that does no more affect the result and the new value that actually has effect. We note from previous experiments that the smaller  $\gamma$  is, the larger the discontinuity jump will be: for this reason, we prefer a smaller  $\gamma$ , that will make the search of adversarial examples harder.

If the activation patterns of all layers are fixed, then  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a linear function, but when the activation pattern changes,  $f(\mathbf{x})$  switches from one linear function to another linear function. Over the entire space of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is *piecewise linear*. The specific activation patterns of all layers define a specific linear piece of the function, i.e. a *linear region*: in k-WTA, these linear regions are disconnected. If the linear regions are densely distributed, a small perturbation  $\Delta\mathbf{x}$  from any data point will likely cross the boundary of the linear region where  $\mathbf{x}$  is. Whenever boundary crossing occurs, the gradient becomes undefined.

The rationale behind k-WTA activation is to destroy network gradients, that are information needed in *white box attacks*.

k-WTA is able to universally improve the white-box robustness, regardless of the training method. The k-WTA robustness under *black box attacks* is not always significantly better than other activation function networks (e.g. ReLU).