# Home Assignment 1

ECONOMETRICS OF HIGH-DIMENSIONAL MODELS - ECON231C

Mauricio Vargas-Estrada

Master in Quantitative Economics University of California - Los Angeles

### Problem 1

Prove Hoeffding's lemma: for any mean-zero random variable Z satisfying  $|Z| \le a$  almost surely,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda Z_{i}\right)\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{2} a^{2}}{2}\right),$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\exp(\lambda Z_i)$  is a convex function of  $Z_i$ , for all  $z_i \in [-a, a]$ ,

$$\exp(\lambda z_i) \le \frac{a - z_i}{a - (-a)} \exp(-a\lambda) + \frac{z_i - (-a)}{a - (-a)} \exp(a\lambda)$$
$$\le \frac{a - z_i}{2a} \exp(-a\lambda) + \frac{z_i + a}{2a} \exp(a\lambda)$$

taking expectation on both sides and knowing that Z is mean-zero, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda Z_{i}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{a-Z_{i}}{2a}\exp\left(-a\lambda\right)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z_{i}+a}{2a}\exp\left(a\lambda\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{a-\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i}\right]}{2a}\exp\left(-a\lambda\right) + \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i}\right]+a}{2a}\exp\left(a\lambda\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{a}{2a}\exp\left(-a\lambda\right) + \frac{a}{2a}\exp\left(a\lambda\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-a\lambda\right) + \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(a\lambda\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-a\lambda\right) + \exp\left(a\lambda\right)$$

Calculating the Taylor's expansion of the right-hand side of the inequality over  $\lambda$  and simplifying,

$$\exp(-a\lambda) + \exp(a\lambda) = \left(1 - a\lambda + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{a^3\lambda^3}{6} + \dots\right) + \left(1 + a\lambda + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{a^3\lambda^3}{6} + \dots\right)$$
$$= 1 + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{a^4\lambda^4}{24} \dots$$

We recognize that the Taylor's expansion of  $\exp\left(\frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2}\right)$  is:

$$\exp\left(\frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2}\right) = 1 + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{a^4\lambda^4}{8}\dots$$

meaning that:

$$1 + \frac{a^2 \lambda^2}{2} + \frac{a^4 \lambda^4}{24} \dots \le 1 + \frac{a^2 \lambda^2}{2} + \frac{a^4 \lambda^4}{8} \dots$$
$$\exp(-a\lambda) + \exp(a\lambda) \le \exp\left(\frac{a^2 \lambda^2}{2}\right)$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda Z_{i}\right)\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{2} a^{2}}{2}\right)$$

# Problem 2

While proving the Hoeffding inequality, we said that two probabilities,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mu\geq t\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mu\leq-t\right),$$

can be bounded in the same way and did the derivation only for the former probability. Show that the latter probability is indeed bounded by the same quantity.

*Proof.* Lets define  $Z_i = X_i - \mu, \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Then, we have:

$$\bar{Z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i = \bar{X}_n - \mu$$

and,

$$|Z_i| \le a, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

then, whe can rewrite the former probability as:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mu\leq-t\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{Z}_{n}\geq-t\right)$$

Expanding the average  $\bar{Z}_n$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{Z}_n \ge -t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \le -nt\right)$$

for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we have <sup>1</sup>:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{Z}_n \ge -t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \le -\lambda nt\right)$$

and, by Markov's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \leq -\lambda nt\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(-\lambda nt)}$$

since  $Z_i$  are independent and identically distributed, we can write:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(-\lambda nt)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(-\lambda Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(-\lambda nt)}$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(-1) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(-\lambda nt)}$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(\lambda nt)}$$

Applying the Hoeffding's lemma <sup>2</sup> to the above expression, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda X)\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 a^2}{2}\right)$$

<sup>1.</sup> Given that  $f(x) = \lambda x$  is a monotonically increasing function when  $\lambda > 0$ 

<sup>2.</sup> If X is a random variable such that  $X \leq a$ , then for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we have:

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda Z_{i})\right]}{\exp(\lambda n t)} \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{2} a^{2}}{2}\right)}{\exp(\lambda n t)} \\
\leq \frac{\exp\left(\frac{n\lambda^{2} a^{2}}{2}\right)}{\exp(\lambda n t)} \\
\leq \exp\left(\frac{n\lambda^{2} a^{2}}{2} - \lambda n t\right)$$

Because the above inequality holds for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we can optimize the right-hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ .

$$\lambda^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{n\lambda^2 a^2}{2} - \lambda nt \right\}$$

Calculating the F.O.C. with respect to  $\lambda$ , we get:

$$na^2\lambda^* - nt = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^* = \frac{t}{a^2}$$

Substituting  $\lambda^*$  back into the inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{Z}_n \ge -t\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{n\left(\frac{t}{a^2}\right)^2 a^2}{2} - \frac{t}{a^2}nt\right)$$
$$\le \exp\left(\frac{nt^2}{2a^2} - \frac{nt^2}{a^2}\right)$$
$$\le \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2a^2}\right)$$

replacing  $\bar{Z}_n$  by  $\bar{X}_n - \mu$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \ge t\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2a^2}\right)$$

#### Problem 3

(Tricky) While proving the Hoeffding inequality, we have used the following bound:

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \delta) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

An alternative could be

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \delta) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k}, \quad k \ge 0.$$

Show that if  $X \geq 0$  a.s., then

$$\inf_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^k\right]}{\delta^k} \leq \inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}.$$

*Proof.* From the right hand side of the inequality, we note that the following expressions are equivalent:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda \delta}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{e^{\lambda X}}{e^{\lambda \delta}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{e^{X}}{e^{\delta}}\right)^{\lambda}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{X-\delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right]$$

Taking the Taylor's expansion of  $(e^{X-\delta})^{\lambda}$  around  $\lambda = 0$ :

$$(e^{X-\delta})^{\lambda} = 1 + (X-\delta) + \lambda^2 \frac{(X-\delta)^2}{2!} + \lambda^3 \frac{(X-\delta)^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

Taking the expected value of that expansion:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{X-\delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right] = 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X-\delta\right)\right] + \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X-\delta\right)^{2}\right]}{2!} + \lambda^{3} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X-\delta\right)^{3}\right]}{3!} + \cdots$$

We are interested in  $\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}$ . Given the above expansion, we can see that the infimum of the right hand side of the inequality can by approximated by takin the limit where  $\lambda \to 0^+$ :

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[ (X - \delta) \right] + \lambda^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[ (X - \delta)^2 \right]}{2!} + \lambda^3 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[ (X - \delta)^3 \right]}{3!} + \dots = 1$$

Meaning that  $\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda\delta}} = 1$ .

Now, we are interested in  $\inf_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k}$ . We can see that the left hand side of the inequality can be approximated by taking the limit where  $k \to \infty$ 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^k\right]}{\delta^k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X^k\right]}{\delta^k}$$

Assuming that all the moments of X exist, we can see that the limit of the left hand side of the inequality depends on the value of  $\delta$ .

If  $0 \ge \delta < 1$ , then:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X^k\right]}{\delta^k}=\infty$$

Meaning that the infimum of the left hand side of the inequality is  $\inf_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^0]}{\delta^0} = 1$ . If  $\delta \geq 1$ , then:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X^k\right]}{\delta^k} = 0$$

Meaning that the infimum of the left hand side of the inequality is  $\inf_{k=0,1,2,...} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k} = 0$ . Therefore, for any  $\delta > 0$ , and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have that:

$$\inf_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^k\right]}{\delta^k} \leq \inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}.$$

## Problem 4

While proving the maximal inequality, i.e., a bound on

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq p}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{ij}-\mu_{j}\right|\geq t\right),\,$$

we applied the union bound followed by the Hoeffding inequality. Show what happens if we replace the Hoeffding inequality by the Chebyshev inequality.

**Theorem 1** (Chebyshev's inequality). Given a random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \ge t) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

The dimension of each random vector  $X_i$  is p, where  $X_i = [X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,p}]'$ , and  $X_{i,j}$  is the j-th component of the i-th random vector.

Also, recall that:

$$|X_{i,j} - \mu_j| \le a, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, p, \quad \forall a > 0$$

By the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq p}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i,j}-\mu_{j}\right|\geq t\right)\leq \sum_{j=1}^{p}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i,j}-\mu_{j}\right|\geq t\right)$$

By the Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i,j} - \mu_{j}\right| \ge t\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\sigma_{j}^{2}}{nt^{2}}$$

We know that each component of the random vector  $X_i$  is bounded in mean by a, i.e.,  $|X_{i,j} - \mu_j| \le a$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_j^2 \le a^2$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i,j} - \mu_{j}\right| \ge t\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\sigma_{j}^{2}}{nt^{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{a^{2}}{nt^{2}} = \frac{pa^{2}}{nt^{2}}$$

In other words, according to the Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq p}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i,j}-\mu_{j}\right|\geq \frac{a\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}\sqrt{n}}\right)\leq \epsilon$$

Or Using the big O notation,

$$\max_{1 \le j \le p} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i,j} - \mu_j \right| = O_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}}\right)$$

Which indicates a rate of convergence slower than the one obtained by the Hoeffding's inequality.