Machine	Learning	Exercises	(chapter3)

2. Part 1: We define Sasa training set of Xxfo, 17. If the training set contains a posetive instance (9ct), the algorithm returns hat, otherwise, it ceturns ho which is an ERM ( we assume the fact that there can be at most a point with lable 1) - Ls(hs) =0 2. Part 2: (PAC learnability) - Assume that get \$5, if D(fyty) < E then Loger (h) < & for all h in H. Suppose that D(faity) > E, then: triex st. 9/ + 9 -> D(5x19) <1-E. then we have: 35/2: L(D,f)(hs)>E}=15/x: 9+ €5/x · D(9+4)>E}= = BS/v: 49/ES/ D(54/7) SI-E} > Dm ( f S1x: L(D, p)(hs) > E) = Dm(fS1x: 491'ES1x D(f91'4) x 1-E) x ₹ (1-E)m < e-Em Let 8 € (0,1) st. e- Em (8 => m > log( 1)

Hence His PAC leanable with MH & log( 1/8)

3. Assume that ERM algorithm A takes S as training set and it returns the tightest circle that contains all posetive instances. Define his as output function and its radius by rs, we having Realizability assumption (existing aht EH that its radius is r\*) Now Let E, SE(0,1), we suppose that there is a rawhich rxx\* then: Dx (fx:r < 11x11 < r\* 9) = E / E = fx \in 12; r < 11x11 < r\* 9 We suppose E than we have: P(LD(hs)>E) = P(x; ES s.t. 9(E) = M. (1-P(x; EE)) = (1-E) m < em & 8 eme 38 Now Let SE (0,1), the we have se  $m > log(\frac{1}{8})$ Hence His PAC-leanable and MH(E, 8) (log (1)

5. He set of bad hypotheses /

We suppose the help st.  $L(\overline{D}_{m},f)(h) \neq E$  then we have:  $P[L(s,f)(h)=0] = \prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{X \sim D_{i}}[f(x)=h(x)] = \prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{X \sim D_{i$ 

 $P[\exists h \in H : L_{(\overline{D}_m,f)}(h) \rangle \in , L_{(S,\ell)}(h) = 0] \leq |H_B| e^{-\epsilon_m} |H_{L-\epsilon_m}(h) \rangle = 0$ 

Therefore we have;

6. We define hs:= A(s), D: distribution over Xxf0,14 then we
have: Dm (
Suppose fEH: f is a true labeling function.
(determine probability of 91 over y.)
If m/m+(8,8), A(s) will perform and out put h. then:
Dx(4 S: LOn, f) (h) > EY) <8 His PAC-lernable
with realizability: min Lp(h) =0, hence A is a PAC learner of H

7. Suppose REX and P: conditional probability of a positive lable given &, then we have: P[fo(x) + Y | X=x] = P[Y=0|X=x]1 + P[Y=1 | X=x]1 [P<=] =  $(1-p)1_{[p]\frac{1}{2}} + p.1_{[p<\frac{1}{2}]}$ =min { P, 1-P} suppose that g is classifier g: X - 20,19: P[g(x) + Y | x=x] = P[g(x)=0| X=x]P[Y=1 | X=n]+P[g(x]=1 | X=n] +P[4=0 | X=91]= =P[X=9]p+P[g(X)=1|X=n](1-p)> > P[g(x)=0|x=n]minfp,1-p]+P[g(x)=1|x-n]min 1P,1-P7= = min {p, 1-p3 Therefore: [ (9) = E(x, Y) ~ D[ 1 [g(x) + Y]] = Ex ~ Dn [ Ex ~ Dy | [ 1 [g(x) + Y] | X - 9]] > > Exmon[E[1[fo(m) xy] | X=m] = E[1[fo(x) xy] - Lp(fo) Heree: Lp(fp) ≤ Lp(9) /

