

DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY

ELECTRONIC AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Streaming Audio Server with Listener-Tracking Embedded Clients



Authors

Michael Lenehan michael.lenehan4@mail.dcu.ie

Supervisor

Martin Collier martin.collier@mail.dcu.ie

08/04/2019

Table of Contents

1 Acknowledgments	7
2 Declaration	8
3 Abstract	9
4 Introduction	10
5 Background Literature Survey	11
5.1 Hardware Requirements	11
5.2 Open Source Software	14
5.3 Client Tracking	16
6 Concepts, Modelling, and Design	17
7 Implementation and Testing	18
7.1 Audio Server Software Testing Implementation	18
7.2 Audio Server Testing Procedure	19
8 Results and Analysis	21
8.1 Audio Server Software	21
8.2 Client Tracking	31
9 Ethics	32
9.1 Audio Tracks	32
9.2 Listener Tracking	34
10 Conclusions and Recommendations	35
11 Appendix	36
11.1 Audio Server Software Munin Data	36
11.2 Audio Server Software Munin Data Tables	60

List of Tables

8.1	MPD Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters	22
8.2	MPD Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters	23
8.3	MPD Server and SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	24
8.4	Mopidy Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters	25
8.5	Mopidy Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters	26
8.6	Mopidy SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	27
8.7	Volumio Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters	28
8.8	Volumio Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters	29
8.9	Volumio Server and SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	30
11.1	MPD SnapClient Device Disk Parameters	60
11.2	MPD Server Device Disk Parameters	61
11.3	MPD SnapClient Device Network Parameters	62
11.4	MPD Server Device Network Parameters	63
11.5	MPD SnapClient Device Process Parameters	64
11.6	MPD Server Device Process Parameters	64
11.7	MPD SnapClient Device System Parameters	65
11.8	MPD Server Device System Parameters	66
11.9	MPD SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	66
11.10	MPD Server Device Sensor Parameters	67
11.11	Mopidy SnapClient Device Disk Parameters	67
11.12	Mopidy Server Device Disk Parameters	68
11.13	Mopidy SnapClient Device Network Parameters	69
11.14	Mopidy Server Device Network Parameters	70
11.15	Mopidy SnapClient Device Process Parameters	71
11.16	Mopidy Server Device Process Parameters	71
11.17	Mopidy SnapClient Device System Parameters	72
11.18	Mopidy Server Device System Parameters	73
11.19	Mopidy SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	73
11.20	Mopidy Server Device Sensor Parameters	74
11.21	Volumio SnapClient Device Disk Parameters	74
11.22	Volumio Server Device Disk Parameters	75
11.23	Volumio SnapClient Device Network Parameters	76
11.24	Volumio Server Device Network Parameters	77
11.25	Volumio SnapClient Device Process Parameters	78
11.26	Volumio Server Device Process Parameters	78

11.27Volumio SnapClient Device System Parameters	79
11.28Volumio Server Device System Parameters	80
11.29Volumio SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters	80
11.30Volumio Server Device Sensor Parameters	81

List of Figures

5.1	BeagleBone Black [1]	11
5.2	Asus TinkerBoard	12
5.3	Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+ [2]	13
5.4	Adafruit I2S Audio Bonnet [3]	14
9.1	Recorded Music Revenues for the United States [4]	33
9.2	Streaming Service Subscriber Numbers - Jan 2018 [4]	34
11.1	MPD Disk I/O on Client and Server Device	36
11.2	MPD Client and Server Device Disk Latency	36
11.3	MPD Client and Server Device Disk Throughput	37
11.4	MPD Client and Server Device Disk Utilization	37
11.5	MPD Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput	37
11.6	MPD Client and Server Device Eth Errors	38
11.7	MPD Client and Server Device Eth Traffic	38
11.8	MPD Client and Server Device Wlan Errors	38
11.9	MPD Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic	39
11.10	MPD Client and Server Device Netstat	39
11.11	MPD Client and Server Device Processes	39
11.12	MPD Client and Server Device Number of Threads	40
11.13	MPD Client and Server Device Load Average	40
11.14	MPD Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts	41
11.15	MPD Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches	41
11.16	MPD Client and Server Device Memory Usage	42
11.17	MPD Client and Server Device Fork Rate	42
11.18	MPD Client and Server Device CPU Usage	42
11.19	MPD Client and Server Device CPU Frequency	43
11.20	MPD Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling	43
11.21	MPD Client and Server Device CPU Temperature	43
11.22	Mopidy Disk I/O on Client and Server Device	44
11.23	Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Latency	44
11.24	Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Throughput	44
11.25	Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Utilization	45
11.26	Mopidy Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput	45
11.27	Mopidy Client and Server Device Eth Errors	45
11.28	Mopidy Client and Server Device Eth Traffic	46

11.29Mopidy Client and Server Device Wlan Errors	46
11.30Mopidy Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic	46
11.31Mopidy Client and Server Device Netstat	47
11.32Mopidy Client and Server Device Processes	47
11.33Mopidy Client and Server Device Process Priority	47
11.34Mopidy Client and Server Device Number of Threads	48
11.35Mopidy Client and Server Device Load Average	48
11.36Mopidy Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts	49
11.37Mopidy Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches	49
11.38Mopidy Client and Server Device Memory Usage	50
11.39Mopidy Client and Server Device Fork Rate	50
11.40Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Usage	50
11.41Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Frequency	51
11.42Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling	51
11.43Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Temperature	51
11.44Volumio Disk I/O on Client and Server Device	52
11.45Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Latency	52
11.46Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Throughput	52
11.47Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Utilization	53
11.48Volumio Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput	53
11.49Volumio Client and Server Device Eth Errors	53
11.50Volumio Client and Server Device Eth Traffic	54
11.51Volumio Client and Server Device Wlan Errors	54
11.52Volumio Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic	54
11.53Volumio Client and Server Device Netstat	55
11.54Volumio Client and Server Device Processes	55
11.55Volumio Client and Server Device Processes	55
11.56Volumio Client and Server Device Number of Threads	56
11.57Volumio Client and Server Device Load Average	56
11.58Volumio Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts	57
11.59Volumio Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches	57
11.60Volumio Client and Server Device Memory Usage	58
11.61Volumio Client and Server Device Fork Rate	58
11.62Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Usage	58
11.63Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Frequency	59
11.64Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling	59
11.65Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Temperature	59

1 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my project supervisor, Dr. Martin Collier. He provided guidance and assistance with all aspects of this project, and his knowledge and insight on the topic were invaluable. I would also like to thank Dr. Gabriel-Miro Muntean, who acted as the second assessor for this project. His insight into testing practices, which gave greater clarity to the overall project results.

2 Declaration

I declare that this material, which I now submit for assessment, is entirely my own work and has not been taken from the work of others, save and to the extent that such work has been cited and acknowledged within the text of my work. I understand that plagiarism, collusion, and copying are grave and serious offences in the university and accept the penalties that would be imposed should I engage in plagiarism, collusion or copying. I have read and understood the Assignment Regulations set out in the module documentation. I have identified and included the source of all facts, ideas, opinions, and viewpoints of others in the assignment references. Direct quotations from books, journal articles, internet sources, module text, or any other source whatsoever are acknowledged and the source cited are identified in the assignment references. This assignment, or any part of it, has not been previously submitted by me or any other person for assessment on this or any other course of study.

I have read and understood the DCU Academic Integrity and Plagiarism at https://www4.dcu.ie/sites/default/files/policy/1%20-%20integrity_and_plagiarism_ovpaa_v3.pdf and IEEE referencing guidelines found at <https://loop.dcu.ie/mod/url/view.php?id=448779>.

Name: Michael Lenehan Date: 08/04/2019

3 Abstract

Open-Source audio server softwares are numerous. The most popular available options for embedded linux devices are intended for use as “headless” audio devices, controlled over the network, and outputting their audio locally. With a large number of subscription based audio streaming services available, such as Spotify, Tidal, and Google Play Music, there is an increasing need for an option to stream a users own music, from a central storage device, to their client device of choice.

The addition of listener tracking allows a user to play audio through multiple speakers as they traverse a space, such as their home, without needing to play music through all available speakers, or without turning device volumes up or down.

4 Introduction

There are many options for open-source audio streaming available to users. A number of configurations of the available open-source audio streaming hardware and software allow for end-users to play locally stored audio on a so-called “Headless” system, whereby the server software is controlled remotely by the user.

In recent years, a movement away from locally stored audio solutions has taken place. Subscription based audio streaming services such as Spotify exist to allow users access audio which they have no access to physical copies of. While this trend exists, an optimal server software solution would serve both locally stored, and streaming audio options to listeners.

This project explores the idea of implementing a streaming server which allows users access a stored collection of audio, from any connected device, and to stream this audio to the nearest available client device. A solution must offer an accessible user experience, and importantly provide good quality playback.

5 Background Literature Survey

5.1 Hardware Requirements

Embedded Linux Devices

There are a number of Linux based embedded systems which may be configured to act as an audio streaming server with the appropriate software. Commonly used systems include the Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+, BeagleBone Black, and ASUS Tinker Board. There are differences between these development platforms which allow them more or less suitability for the purposes of this project.

The BeagleBone Black (BBB) is a low cost platform, with compatibility for many Linux distributions. The device has on board flash memory, Ethernet and HDMI outputs. There is also on board I^2S support, allowing for hardware Digital to Analog Converters (DACs) to be connected. The BBB has 512MB of DDR3 RAM, and a 1GHz ARM processor on board [1].



Figure 5.1: BeagleBone Black [1]

The ASUS Tinker Board is a small form-factor Single Board Computer (SBC). The computer has Gigabit Ethernet, HDMI output, multiple IO, including 40 GPIO pins and 4 USB ports. The 1.8GHz ARM based CPU provides high performance when coupled with the 600MHz GPU and 2GB of dual-channel DDR3 RAM. This SBC also supports the I^2S audio protocol [5].




Figure 5.2: Asus TinkerBoard
[5]

The Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+ is one of the most commonly used embedded Linux development platforms. The device has a 1.4GHz ARM processor, 1GB of DDR2 RAM, Gigabit Ethernet, Bluetooth Low Energy, and multiple IO ports. Again, this board supports the I^2S protocol, with outputs on its GPIO [2].




Figure 5.3: Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+ [2]

Each of the aforementioned options offers different levels of performance at different price points. The BeagleBone Black is both the cheapest and least powerful option. The ASUS TinkerBoard is the most powerful and most expensive option, while the Raspberry Pi offers comparatively high performance at a mid price. The benefits and costs of these Single Board Computers must be compared in order to choose that which is most appropriate for the application of serving and streaming audio.

For the purposes of this project, the Raspberry Pi Model 3 B+ was chosen. This platform has a large user base, and an active online community, allowing for a more user friendly experience, especially with regards to aspects such as initial setup and software installation. The Raspberry Pi also has a large number of available add-on boards, commonly referred to as “Hats” or “Bonnets”. These boards may be used to give additional functionality to the Raspberry Pi, with boards available for purposes such as adding display capabilities, numerous sensors, and, as is applicable to this project, audio DAC and amplifiers.

Audio DAC

As previously mentioned, there are a number of amplifier and DAC add-on options for the Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+. Some of the most popular options available are from HiFiBerry, including their HiFiBerry Dac+ Pro. This board offers RCA output, with dual-domain low-jitter clocks [6].

The option chosen was the Adafruit I2S Audio Bonnet for Raspberry Pi. This DAC also utilises the I^2S audio protocol, through the UDA1334A stereo DAC. The board outputs audio via a standard 3.5mm audio jack, with the option of soldering RCA jacks to the PCB.




Figure 5.4: Adafruit I2S Audio Bonnet [3]

5.2 Open Source Software

A number of software solutions exist for streaming audio from low powered hardware. Options such as MPD - the Music Player Daemon -, Mopidy, and Volumio, allow for users to play music on the system. These options are typically used to implement headless audio player setups, with the user sending messages over the network to control the player.

While these options provide much of the basic required functionality, they are not a suitable solution for the project. The required functionality from the software will be to

stream media from the server system to the client system. This functionality exists in these open-source software options, but requires modifications to be made to configuration files in order to be implemented.

Audio software PulseAudio may also be utilised, as it is often found on Linux based systems. PulseAudio is a sound server which routes audio from the running application to the selected output device. On Linux systems, this is used to send audio output to the system speakers, or connected USB devices. However, the functionality exists to pass the output audio over the network to a specified address [7].

MPD

MPD is a server-side audio application, available on Debian based Linux platforms, such as Raspbian, via the standard “apt” package manager repositories. Via the available configuration files, parameters such as the music directory, audio output device, audio encoder and decoder plugins, and audio format settings may be set. MPD allows for playback of a number of audio formats, including WAV, FLAC, and MP3, and supports both FIFO, and HTTPD streaming [8].

Mopidy

Mopidy is a server-side audio application, written in python, which extends the functionality of MPD. It is available on Debian based Linux platforms, such as Raspbian, via the standard “apt” package manager repositories. In its default configuration, Mopidy acts as a local media server, based on MPD. The advantage of Mopidy however, lies in its extensibility via available “Extensions”. These extensions include Spotify connectivity, allowing users not only access to locally stored audio files, but also to streaming audio.

Volumio

Volumio is a stand alone Linux based operating system, or “distribution”, which has been designed specifically for audio playback [9]. It is designed to be used on low powered computers, such as the Raspberry Pi. Playback is accessible via a web application user interface. The playback functionality of Volumio is controlled by an MPD server.

Snapcast

Snapcast is a time synchronized client-server audio player. Snapcast reads audio data from the server device, and, utilising the TCP protocol, sends the data to all connected

network client devices. Using the aforementioned audio playback softwares, audio data can be passed to a file, which Snapcast may then read from. Synchronicity is achieved by passing the server's time to all clients, allowing their received data buffers to be played back at the appropriate timing.

5.3 Client Tracking

Another aspect of the project is the client tracking and audio routing. There are multiple protocols which may be used in order to determine location of a mobile device. Bluetooth “Beacons” or Access Points are used, sending a packet to the device, the signal strength may be used to calculate and approximate distance [10]. Using filtering techniques, distances may be calculated, using Bluetooth, to an accuracy of approximately 1.8 meters [10].

Location using WiFi may provide greater accuracy, however requires specialised hardware [11]. The 802.11AC WiFi standard allows for the use of Beamforming, in which multiple antennas transmit at once, allowing for the targeted transmission of data [12]. Using Angle-of-Arrival (AoA), and Time-of-Flight (ToF), and Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC) algorithms, the distance and direction from the Access Point may be determined [13]. The operations which must be performed are complex, and dependent on the hardware being used. As such, less complex solutions, such as RSSI, may be implemented, however there is also a reduction in accuracy.

Bluetooth Beacons

A number of Bluetooth Beacon Specifications are currently accessible for use on embedded Linux platforms. These specifications include AltBeacon [14], from Radius Networks, and Eddystone [15] from Google Beacon Platform. These specifications allow for ranging requests, which provide the requesting device with a distance estimate, based on the received signal strength indication (RSSI) from beacon device to the user device.

802.11MC WiFi

The 802.11MC WiFi protocol provides location determination functionality, using Round Trip Time (RTT). This protocol, if implemented on the embedded Linux platforms, would allow for ranging requests to be performed from Android devices on API level 28 (Android 9 “Pie”) [16].

6 Concepts, Modelling, and Design

7 Implementation and Testing

7.1 Audio Server Software Testing Implementation

A number of parameters must be tested in order to determine the optimal open -source audio server solution. Each audio server software is tested under equal testing conditions, with the values for network usage, CPU temperature, CPU load, and CPU frequency monitored and recorded.

Testing setup consists of three Raspberry Pi's, each running the Raspbian Stretch Light OS, with the exception of the Volumio server. One Raspberry Pi runs the audio server software, and the Snapcast server software. The second Raspberry Pi runs the Snapclient software. The final Raspberry Pi runs the Munin server software, allowing to monitor the clients, which are running on the other two Raspberry Pi's.

Both the audio server device and the Munin server device are connected to the network router/access point via Ethernet, with the client device connected to the network wirelessly.

SSH

Munin

Munin is a server performance monitoring software, which runs on an Apache server, with the client software running on each device requiring monitoring [17]. The recorded information is hosted on a locally accessible website, at the IP address of the server device. The output information is displayed in graphical representation, which can be analysed.

Cron

Cron is a scheduling utility, which allows for the automation of command execution at specified times, or set time intervals [18]. Using a crontable, a file for entering cron jobs, the required testing schedule can be run on the audio server Raspberry Pi. For

the purposes of testing the audio server software while streaming audio files of different formats, a crontable is configured to play audio in the Wave format, followed by audio in the FLAC format, followed by audio in the MP3 format. Each audio format is played continuously for two hours, with a two minute space between formats.

MPC

MPC is the “Music Player Controller”, a software used for controlling MPD, or MPD derived softwares [19]. As such, it may be used to control MPD, Mopidy, and Volumio.

7.2 Audio Server Testing Procedure

The testing steps outlined below will initiate playback of the available audio files from the audio server. Audio will be played for a total of six hours, divided amongst the three available audio formats, wave, FLAC, and MP3. As shown below, the three available albums wereciwaree “Hardwired To Self Destruct” by Metallica, in wave format, “Superfuzz Bigmuff” by Mudhoney in FLAC format, and “Sonic Highways” by Foo Fighters in MP3.

The Music Player Client “mpc” is used to control playback on each of the audio server softwares. The following mpc commands are used for playback configuration:

- “add”
 - Adds the specified audio to the current playlist
- “repeat”
 - Toggles the repeat option, or sets this option to the input argument, i.e. on or off
- “play”
 - Begins playback of the current playlist
- “stop”
 - Stops playback of the current playlist
- “clear”
 - Clears all audio files from the current playlist

Testing Steps

The following test procedure must be followed in order to retrieve data for the comparison of the chosen audio server softwares.

1. Using ssh, connect to the audio server device
 - \$ ssh pi@<Server Pi IP>
2. Create a crontable on the server device to start and stop audio playback at a set time(s):
 - \$ crontab -e
 - Enter the following lines to the crontable
 - 00 10 * * * mpc add Hardwired_To-Self-Destruct_BoxSet_WAV/ && mpc repeat on && mpc play
 - 00 12 * * * mpc stop && mpc clear && mpc repeat off
 - 02 12 * * * mpc add mudhoney-superfuzz_bigmuff-flac/ && mpc repeat on && mpc play
 - 02 14 * * * mpc stop && mpc clear && mpc repeat off
 - 04 14 * * * mpc add Sonic_Highways/ && mpc repeat on && mpc play
 - 04 16 * * * mpc stop && mpc clear && mpc repeat off
3. Once testing is complete of all available audio formats, replace the audio server software - "MPD" - with the "Mopidy" audio server software. Repeat step one for the "mopidy" server software.
4. Once testing is complete of all available audio formats, replace the audio server software - "Mopidy" - with the "Volumio" audio server software. Repeat step one for the "Volumio" server software.
5. Record the data output from Munin, available in the browser from <Munin Server IP>/munin.

For analysis, compare all applicable parameters as recorded from Munin. The results and analysis may be seen in Section 8.1.

8 Results and Analysis

The following results and analysis have been completed following testing of the audio server softwares, and the client tracking.

8.1 Audio Server Software

The following tables have been extracted from the collected Munin data. A full list of results can be found within the Appendices Section 11.2.

MPD

The network information for the MPD Server and SnapClient configuration below shows there are no Ethernet errors or traffic on the Client device, as it is connected to the network via WiFi. Conversely, on the Server device, there are Wireless network errors, and traffic values, as the server device is both setup on the network via WiFi and Ethernet.

Network						
Eth0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	830.03m	0.00	835.49m	0.00	853.19m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	1.25k	1.31k	23.66k	951.47k	29.07k	1.27M
Wlan0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	270.53m	0.00	302.72m	0.00	343.80m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	327.00m	0.00	335.22m	0.00	349.93m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	669.92	1.58k	939.62k	31.80k	1.26M	39.03k
Wlan0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	186.42	17.12	321.71	22.45	741.32	39.21

Table 8.1: MPD Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters
22

Within the Munin system measurements, it can be seen that on the Server device, approximately 964MB of the 1GB of DDR2 RAM on the Raspberry Pi Model 3B+ is in use on average, with average system load of 0.41, and CPU usage of 5.89% (idling at 92% - Note: The Munin monitoring software measures CPU usage percentage from 0-400%, i.e. usage on each CPU core). On the Client device, approximately 327MB of the 1GB of DDR2 RAM is in use on average, with average system load of 0.13, and CPU usage of 3.35% (idling at 96%)

System			
Load Average (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.02	0.13	0.46
Load Average (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.03	0.41	1.03
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Active	164.04M	165.38M	167.67M
Inactive	51.14M	51.17M	51.21M
Unused	670.26M	673.18M	675.15M
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Active	270.40M	408.59M	437.63M
Inactive	424.17M	541.23M	589.36M
Unused	31.03M	35.88M	43.78M
CPU Usage (%) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.05	3.35	9.27
Idle	381.73	384.41	394.69
CPU Usage (%) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.21	5.89	15.08
Idle	363.71	369.60	394.16

Table 8.2: MPD Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters

The Raspberry Pi CPU frequency and temperature were measured using a Munin plugin. The Client device kept an average frequency of 600MHz, with average frequency scaling of 618.10MHz on CPU core 1 and 2, and average frequency scaling of 610.10MHz on CPU core 3 and 4. The average temperature of the Client device is 42.66 °C.

The Server device had an average frequency of 656.53MHz, however at times reached its maximum frequency of 1.4GHz. On CPU cores 1-4 the average frequency scaling is 691.30MHz, and had an average temperature of 56.11 °C.

Sensors			
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	600.00	600.00	600.00
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	600.00	656.53	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	613.87	618.10	620.98
CPU2	613.92	618.10	620.97
CPU3	613.87	610.10	620.99
CPU4	613.92	610.10	620.98
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	624.32	691.30	842.15
CPU2	624.32	691.30	842.12
CPU3	624.30	691.30	842.14
CPU4	624.30	691.30	842.11
CPU Temperature (°C) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	41.86	42.66	44.00
CPU Temperature (°C) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	53.69	56.11	58.52

Table 8.3: MPD Server and SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Mopidy

The network information for the Mopidy Server and SnapClient configuration below, again, shows that there are no Ethernet errors or traffic on the Client device, due to the network connection being wireless. The Server device has both wireless and wired errors and traffic.

Network						
Eth0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	827.43m	0.00	835.03m	0.00	852.73m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	1.23k	1.34k	25.65k	961.24k	35.72k	1.34M
Wlan0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	313.56m	0.00	330.82m	0.00	344.32m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	329.11m	0.00	334.61m	0.00	347.44m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	181.87	17.17	280.87	22.18	756.88	31.00
Wlan0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	636.24	1.58k	950.23k	34.74k	1.33M	48.40k

Table 8.4: Mopidy Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters
25

In the system measurements, the Server device uses approximately 950MB of RAM on average, with average system load on 0.23, and average CPU Usage of 3.64%. The Client device uses on average approximately 338MB of RAM, with average system load of 0.11, and CPU usage of 3.43% on average.

System			
Load Average (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.02	0.11	0.26
Load Average (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.05	0.23	0.40
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Active	170.56M	171.03M	171.55M
Inactive	56.72M	56.77M	56.81M
Unused	661.03M	661.75M	662.69M
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Active	161.88M	375.25M	445.90M
Inactive	245.70M	462.17M	701.93M
Unused	26.69M	54.62M	472.22M
CPU Usage (%) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.01	3.43	9.45
Idle	381.26	384.85	394.51
CPU Usage (%) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.68	3.64	7.53
Idle	351.52	365.23	386.77

Table 8.5: Mopidy Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters

The CPU frequency of the Client device is on average 608.89MHz, with an average of 616.29 frequency scaling on all four CPU cores. The CPU temperature on the Client device has an average value of 44.63 °C.

The CPU frequency of the Server device kept, on average, at the maximum frequency of 1.4GHz, with all four CPU cores frequency scaling at 646.91MHz, and average temperature of 58.94 °C.

Sensors			
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	600.00	608.89	1.37k
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU2	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU3	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU4	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU2	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU3	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU4	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU Temperature (°C) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	43.48	44.63	46.14
CPU Temperature (°C) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	56.93	58.94	60.15

Table 8.6: Mopidy SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Volumio

The network information for the Volumio Server and SnapClient configuration below, again, shows that there are no Ethernet errors or traffic on the Client device, due to the network connection being wireless. The Server device has both wireless and wired errors and traffic.

Network						
Eth0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	826.87m	0.00	835.74m	0.00	856.40m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	15.75k	1.75k	38.40k	1.01M	44.88k	1.34M
Wlan0 Errors (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	304.44m	0.00	332.58m	0.00	342.16m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Errors (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic (Client)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	631.61	1.60k	993.84k	31.64k	1.32M	39.29k
Wlan0 Traffic (Server)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	2.30	3.69	13.02	20.92

Table 8.7: Volumio Server and SnapClient Device Network Parameters
28

Within the System measurements, it can be seen that the Server device uses on average 965MB of the 1GB of available RAM, has average load of 0.11. The Server device has approxiamtely 2.61% CPU Usage on average.

The Client device uses, on average, 224MB of the available 1GB of RAM, and has system load of 0.14 on average. The Client device averages approximately 4.07% CPU Usage.

System			
Load Average (Client)			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.01	0.14	0.34
Load Average (Server)			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.02	0.11	0.23
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Client)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Active	92.55M	93.46M	94.27M
Inactive	30.49M	30.54M	30.58M
Unused	774.41M	775.71M	777.38M
Memory Usage (Bytes) (Server)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Active	508.26M	525.56M	596.66M
Inactive	296.90M	364.93M	374.74M
Unused	30.66M	36.30M	47.90M
CPU Usage (%) (Client)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.14	4.07	10.54
Idle	381.29	384.25	394.36
CPU Usage (%) (Server)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.50	2.61	3.06
Idle	378.43	382.80	395.45

Table 8.8: Volumio Server and SnapClient Device System Parameters

Within Munins Sensor measurements, it can be seen that the average CPU frequency of the Client device is 1.39GHz with average frequency scaling of 627.54MHz on all four CPU cores. The client device had an average temperature of 45.65 °C.

The Server device's CPU frequency kept at it's maximum value of 1.4GHz, with the average frequency scaling on all four CPU cores also averaging at 1.4GHz. The CPU

temperature of the Server device averaged at 59.97 °C.

Sensors			
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	613.33	1.39k	1.40k
CPU Frequency (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	621.70	627.54	631.72
CPU2	621.70	627.54	631.72
CPU3	621.72	627.54	631.72
CPU4	621.72	627.54	631.70
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU2	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU3	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU4	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Temperature (°C)(Client)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	44.55	45.65	47.23
CPU Temperature (°C) (Server)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	58.53	59.97	60.69

Table 8.9: Volumio Server and SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Audio Server Software Analysis

From the results in Table 8.1, Table 8.4, Table and 8.7 it can be seen that the Mopidy Audio Server experienced the least Ethernet drops, and the most outbound Ethernet Traffic (with the same value as Volumio). The Mopidy server also had the highest WLAN Traffic. The difference in Ethernet drops experienced by each of the server options is minimal, with the MPD server having an average value of $835.49m$, the Mopidy server having an average value of $835.03m$, and the Volumio having an average value of $835.74m$. There is variation in the output packets from the Ethernet traffic measurements between each Server. The MPD server has an average value of $951.47k$ packets sent, the Mopidy

server has an average value of $961.24k$ packets sent, and the Volumio server has an average value of $1.01M$ packets sent. A large difference can be seen in the WLAN traffic between the server options. The MPD server has an average value of 22.45 packets sent, the Mopidy server has an average value of $34.74k$ packets sent, and the Volumio server has an average value of 3.69 packets sent.

From the results in Table 8.2, Table 8.5, and Table 8.8, it can be seen that the highest system load was experienced by the MPD audio server, with an average value of 0.41, and a maximum value of 1.03. The Mopidy and Volumio Server had an average load of 0.23 and 0.11 respectively, with maximum values of 0.40 and 0.23 respectively. The memory usage of the Mopidy server was the lowest, with an average value of $945.38MB$, and a maximum usage of approximately $973.31MB$. The MPD and Volumio Servers had an average memory usage of $964.12MB$ and $963.70MB$, with maximum values of $968.97MB$ and $969.34MB$ respectively. The Volumio Server experienced the lowest CPU usage, with system usage averaging 2.61%, and a maximum value of 3.06%. The MPD and Mopidy Servers had system CPU Usage values of 5.89% and 3.64%, with maximum values of 15.08% and 7.53% respectively.

From the results in Table 8.3, Table 8.6, Table 8.9 it can be seen that the lowest average CPU frequency, temperature, and CPU frequency scaling were achieved by the MPD server. This server had an average CPU frequency of $656.53MHz$, average CPU frequency scaling of $691.30MHz$, and average CPU temperature of $56.11^{\circ}C$. The Mopidy and Volumio Servers had average CPU frequency values of $1.4GHz$, with average frequency scaling values of $646.91MHz$ and $1.4GHz$ respectively. The low CPU frequency value of the MPD Server device can be attributed to a number of issues, such as thermal throttling, however, due to idling, the device can also lower CPU frequency to 600MHz [20].

While streaming, there were no noticeable audio issues detected. Audio played from both the audio serving device, and the client device, streamed via SnapCast, with no “popping” or audio distortion experienced. As the performance of audio playback was not varying during testing, and due to the minimal differences between the server softwares, it is concluded that, due to ease of configuration, and lowest memory usage and CPU temperatures, along with comparable Network and System measurement values, the MPD server was chosen as the Server software to be used.

8.2 Client Tracking


9 Ethics

9.1 Audio Tracks

While there have been questions raised in recent years over the ethical problems with streaming services, and the revenue paid to artists whose music is played via their platforms, there are also a number of issues with audio piracy from users illegally downloading audio tracks.

Streaming Solutions

With the large increase in paying subscribers in audio streaming services, such as Spotify, in the last number of years questions have arisen with regards to the payment received by artists. Spotify, which, as of 2018 [4] is the largest audio streaming service, with a paying subscriber count of approximately 96 million as of Q4 2018 [21], has been criticized for the payment which the artists on its service receive. More popular artists, who hold a larger percentage of the rights to their music can earn between \$0.006 and \$0.0084 per stream. Studies have shown that per million plays, an artist generates approximately \$7,000 on Spotify, or approximately \$1,650 on Pandora [22].



Economist.com

Figure 9.1: Recorded Music Revenues for the United States [4]



Economist.com

Figure 9.2: Streaming Service Subscriber Numbers - Jan 2018 [4]

Audio Piracy

9.2 Listener Tracking

There are a number of ethical issues involved with client tracking in services such as that described in this project.

10 Conclusions and Recommendations

11 Appendix

11.1 Audio Server Software Munin Data

MPD

Disk



Figure 11.1: MPD Disk I/O on Client and Server Device




Figure 11.2: MPD Client and Server Device Disk Latency




Figure 11.3: MPD Client and Server Device Disk Throughput




Figure 11.4: MPD Client and Server Device Disk Utilization

Network




Figure 11.5: MPD Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput




Figure 11.6: MPD Client and Server Device Eth Errors



Figure 11.7: MPD Client and Server Device Eth Traffic




Figure 11.8: MPD Client and Server Device Wlan Errors



Figure 11.9: MPD Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic




Figure 11.10: MPD Client and Server Device Netstat

Processes




Figure 11.11: MPD Client and Server Device Processes




Figure 11.12: MPD Client and Server Device Number of Threads

System



Figure 11.13: MPD Client and Server Device Load Average



Figure 11.14: MPD Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts




Figure 11.15: MPD Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches




Figure 11.16: MPD Client and Server Device Memory Usage



Figure 11.17: MPD Client and Server Device Fork Rate




Figure 11.18: MPD Client and Server Device CPU Usage

Sensors




Figure 11.19: MPD Client and Server Device CPU Frequency




Figure 11.20: MPD Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling




Figure 11.21: MPD Client and Server Device CPU Temperature

Mopidy

Disk



Figure 11.22: Mmopidy Disk I/O on Client and Server Device




Figure 11.23: Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Latency




Figure 11.24: Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Throughput




Figure 11.25: Mopidy Client and Server Device Disk Utilization

Network




Figure 11.26: Mopidy Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput




Figure 11.27: Mopidy Client and Server Device Eth Errors




Figure 11.28: Mopidy Client and Server Device Eth Traffic




Figure 11.29: Mopidy Client and Server Device Wlan Errors




Figure 11.30: Mopidy Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic



Figure 11.31: Mopidy Client and Server Device Netstat

Processes




Figure 11.32: Mopidy Client and Server Device Processes




Figure 11.33: Mopidy Client and Server Device Process Priority




Figure 11.34: Mopidy Client and Server Device Number of Threads

System



Figure 11.35: Mopidy Client and Server Device Load Average




Figure 11.36: Mopidy Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts




Figure 11.37: Mopidy Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches




Figure 11.38: Mopidy Client and Server Device Memory Usage



Figure 11.39: Mopidy Client and Server Device Fork Rate



Figure 11.40: Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Usage

Sensors



Figure 11.41: Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Frequency



Figure 11.42: Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling



Figure 11.43: Mopidy Client and Server Device CPU Temperature

Volumio

Disk




Figure 11.44: Volumio Disk I/O on Client and Server Device



Figure 11.45: Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Latency




Figure 11.46: Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Throughput




Figure 11.47: Volumio Client and Server Device Disk Utilization

Network




Figure 11.48: Volumio Client and Server Device Firewall Throughput




Figure 11.49: Volumio Client and Server Device Eth Errors



Figure 11.50: Volumio Client and Server Device Eth Traffic




Figure 11.51: Volumio Client and Server Device Wlan Errors



Figure 11.52: Volumio Client and Server Device Wlan Traffic




Figure 11.53: Volumio Client and Server Device Netstat

Processes




Figure 11.54: Volumio Client and Server Device Processes




Figure 11.55: Volumio Client and Server Device Processes




Figure 11.56: Volumio Client and Server Device Number of Threads

System



Figure 11.57: Volumio Client and Server Device Load Average



Figure 11.58: Volumio Client and Server Device Individual Interrupts



Figure 11.59: Volumio Client and Server Device Interrupts and Context Switches




Figure 11.60: Volumio Client and Server Device Memory Usage




Figure 11.61: Volumio Client and Server Device Fork Rate



Figure 11.62: Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Usage

Sensors




Figure 11.63: Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Frequency




Figure 11.64: Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Frequency Scaling




Figure 11.65: Volumio Client and Server Device CPU Temperature

11.2 Audio Server Software Munin Data Tables

MPD

Disk (Client)						
Disk I/O						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
IO/sec	0.00	66.79m	0.00	82.31m	0.00	141.08m
Req Size (KB)	0.00	6.66	0.00	8.46	0.00	10.30
Disk Latency						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Device I/O Time	926.77u		1.60m		2.58m	
I/O Wait Time	4.20		7.32m		16.60m	
Read I/O Time	0.00m		0.00m		0.00m	
Write I/O Time	4.20m		7.32m		16.60m	
Disk Throughput						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Bytes	0.00	453.23	0.00	697.68	0.00	1.23k
Disk Utilization						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Utilization (%Busy)	0.01		0.01		0.02	

Table 11.1: MPD SnapClient Device Disk Parameters

Disk (Server)						
Disk I/O						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
IO/sec	0.00	69.87m	252.42m	422.49m	1.03	536.13m
Req Size (KB)	0.00	6.40	113.98	6.98	296.23	8.55
Disk Latency						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	Device I/O Time	397.44u	43.4m	10.33m	I/O Wait Time	1.18m
	Read I/O Time	0.00m	7.65m	20.36m	Write I/O Time	1.18m
			3.00m			9.56m
Disk Throughput						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Bytes	0.00	572.00	59.27k	2.82k	185.35k	3.94k
Disk Utilization						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	Utilization (%Busy)	0.00	0.39			1.17

Table 11.2: MPD Server Device Disk Parameters

Network (Client)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	983.67m		99.33		126.69	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	270.53m	0.00	302.72m	0.00	343.80m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	669.92	1.58k	939.62k	31.80k	1.26M	39.03k
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	nan		nan		nan	
passive	3.31m		3.33m		3.35m	
failed	0.00		0.00		0.00	
resets	0.00		39.22u		3.26m	
established	2.00		2.26		3.00	

Table 11.3: MPD SnapClient Device Network Parameters

Network (Server)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	1.91		99.26		122.94	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	830.03m	0.00	835.49m	0.00	853.19m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	1.25k	1.31k	23.66k	951.47k	29.07k	1.27M
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	327.00m	0.00	335.22m	0.00	349.93m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	186.42	17.12	321.71	22.45	741.32	39.21
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	nan		nan		nan	
passive	3.31m		3.33m		3.35m	
failed	0.00		0.00		0.00	
resets	0.00		39.22u		3.22m	
established	4.00		4.26		5.00	

Table 11.4: MPD Server Device Network Parameters

Processes (Client)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	50.00	52.23	56.00		
Uninterruptable	0.00	23.61m	973.33m		
Runnable	1.00	1.05	1.97		
Total	91.03	93.77	99.92		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	98.03	100.80	106.97		

Table 11.5: MPD SnapClient Device Process Parameters

Processes (Server)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	52.01	54.27	58.00		
Runnable	1.00	1.04	1.99		
Total	94.01	96.30	100.99		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	112.00	114.26	118.98		

Table 11.6: MPD Server Device Process Parameters

System (Client)			
Load Average			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
Interrupts	Min	Avg	Max
Active	151.95	1.69k	2.10k
Active	208.25	1.87k	2.27k
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Active	164.04M	165.38M	167.67M
Inactive	51.14M	51.17M	51.21M
Unused	670.26M	673.18M	675.15M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
Forks	Min	Avg	Max
Forks	1.30	1.34	1.50
CPU Usage (%)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.05	3.35	9.27
Idle	381.73	384.41	394.69

Table 11.7: MPD SnapClient Device System Parameters

System (Server)			
Load Average			
	Min	Avg	Max
Load	0.03	0.41	1.03
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts	170.32	490.99	550.87
Active	250.84	742.53	898.79
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Active	270.40M	408.59M	437.63M
Inactive	424.17M	541.23M	589.36M
Unused	31.03M	35.88M	43.78M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
	Min	Avg	Max
Forks	1.30	1.39	1.70
CPU Usage (%)			
	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.21	5.89	15.08
Idle	363.71	369.60	394.16

Table 11.8: MPD Server Device System Parameters

Sensors (Client)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	600.00	600.00	600.00
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	613.87	618.10	620.98
CPU2	613.92	618.10	620.97
CPU3	613.87	610.10	620.99
CPU4	613.92	610.10	620.98
CPU Temperature (°C)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	41.86	42.66	44.00

Table 11.9: MPD SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Sensors (Server)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	600.00	656.53	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	624.32	691.30	842.15
CPU2	624.32	691.30	842.12
CPU3	624.30	691.30	842.14
CPU4	624.30	691.30	842.11
CPU Temperature (°C)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	53.69	56.11	58.52

Table 11.10: MPD Server Device Sensor Parameters

Mopidy

Disk (Client)						
Disk I/O						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
IO/sec	0.00	57.86m	0.00	81.74m	0.00	138.22m
Req Size (KB)	0.00	6.63	0.00	8.47	0.00	10.05
Disk Latency (sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Device I/O Time	891.70u		1.68m		3.20m	
I/O Wait Time	4.00		7.65m		12.67m	
Read I/O Time	0.00m		0.00m		0.00m	
Write I/O Time	4.00m		7.65m		12.67m	
Disk Throughput						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Bytes	0.00	422.65	0.00	695.06	0.00	1.20k
Disk Utilization						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Utilization (%Busy)	0.01		0.01		0.03	

Table 11.11: Mopidy SnapClient Device Disk Parameters

Disk (Server)						
Disk I/O						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
IO/sec	0.00	1.01m	479.63m	2.05	2.39	3.64
Req Size (KB)	0.00	10.33	54.58	11.42	131.06	14.25
Disk Latency						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Device I/O Time		1.62m		3.24m		6.52m
I/O Wait Time		23.95m		78.49m		105.33m
Read I/O Time		0.00m		4.62m		10.31m
Write I/O Time		30.35m		89.96m		185.33m
Disk Throughput						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Bytes	0.00	12.98k	54.91k	22.87k	176.23k	45.14k
Disk Utilization						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	Utilization (%Busy)		0.24		0.88	

Table 11.12: Mopidy Server Device Disk Parameters

Network (Client)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	973.78m		96.31		126.42	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Drops	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Drops	313.56m		330.82m		344.32m	
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	181.87	17.17	280.87	22.18	756.88	31.00
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	nan		nan		nan	
passive	3.31m		3.33m		3.34m	
failed	0.00		0.00		0.00	
resets	0.00		0.00		0.00	
established	2.00		2.00		2.00	

Table 11.13: Mopidy SnapClient Device Network Parameters

Network (Server)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	3.11		100.40		132.30	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	827.43m	0.00	835.03m	0.00	852.73m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	1.23k	1.34k	25.65k	961.24k	35.72k	1.34M
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	329.11m	0.00	334.61m	0.00	347.44m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	636.24	1.58k	950.23k	34.74k	1.33M	48.40k
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	nan		nan		nan	
passive	6.65m		7.26m		26.15m	
failed	0.00		0.00		0.00	
resets	0.00		0.00		0.00	
established	6.00		6.00		6.00	

Table 11.14: Mopidy Server Device Network Parameters

Processes (Client)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	50.03	50.96	51.00		
Uninterruptable	0.00	11.07m	970.00m		
Runnable	1.00	1.06	2.00		
Total	91.00	92.53	94.92		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	98.00	99.50	101.90		

Table 11.15: Mopidy SnapClient Device Process Parameters

Processes (Server)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	57.03	58.88	60.97		
Uninterruptable	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Runnable	1.00	1.62	2.98		
Total	100.00	101.47	103.00		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	126.00	133.41	137.97		

Table 11.16: Mopidy Server Device Process Parameters

System (Client)			
Load Average			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
Interrupts	Min	Avg	Max
Active	151.78	1.65k	2.19k
Active	206.83	1.82k	2.33k
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Active	170.56M	171.03M	171.55M
Inactive	56.72M	56.77M	56.81M
Unused	661.03M	661.75M	662.69M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
Forks	Min	Avg	Max
Forks	1.30	1.34	1.46
CPU Usage (%)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
System	1.01	3.43	9.45
Idle	381.26	384.85	394.51

Table 11.17: Mopidy SnapClient Device System Parameters

System (Server)			
Load Average			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
Interrupts	Min	Avg	Max
Active	2.22k	2.51k	2.64k
	334.59	853.76	1.09k
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
	161.88M	375.25M	445.90M
Inactive	245.70M	462.17M	701.93M
Unused	26.69M	54.62M	472.22M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
Forks	Min	Avg	Max
	2.38	2.72	2.97
CPU Usage (%)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
	1.68	3.64	7.53
Idle	351.52	365.23	386.77

Table 11.18: Mopidy Server Device System Parameters

Sensors (Client)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
CPU	Min	Avg	Max
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
CPU1	600.00	608.89	1.37k
CPU2	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU3	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU4	611.73	616.29	620.02
CPU Temperature (°C)			
CPU	Min	Avg	Max
	43.48	44.63	46.14

Table 11.19: Mopidy SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Sensors (Server)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU2	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU3	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU4	638.58	646.91	674.50
CPU Temperature (°C)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	56.93	58.94	60.15

Table 11.20: Mopidy Server Device Sensor Parameters

Volumio

Disk (Client)					
Disk I/O					
	Min		Avg		Max
	-	+	-	+	-
IO/sec	0.00	60.47m	0.00	78.84m	0.00
Req Size (KB)	0.00	7.91	0.00	8.51	0.00
Disk Latency					
	Min		Avg		Max
Device I/O Time	856.84u		1.48m		3.28m
I/O Wait Time	3.78m		6.39m		17.66m
Read I/O Time	0.00m		0.00m		0.00m
Write I/O Time	3.78m		6.39m		17.66m
Disk Throughput					
	Min		Avg		Max
	-	+	-	+	-
Bytes	0.00	560.72	0.00	668.53	0.00
Disk Utilization					
	Min		Avg		Max
Utilization (%Busy)	0.01		0.01		0.02

Table 11.21: Volumio SnapClient Device Disk Parameters

Disk (Server)						
Disk I/O						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
IO/sec	0.00	0.00	526.775m	0.00	16.59	0.00
Req Size (KB)	0.00	0.00	345.62	0.00	1.02	0.00
Disk Latency						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Device I/O Time	0.00		44.04u		449.24u	
I/O Wait Time	0.00		1.22m		11.15m	
Read I/O Time	0.00m		1.22m		11.15m	
Write I/O Time	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Disk Throughput						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Bytes	0.00	0.00	539.39	0.00	16.59k	0.00
Disk Utilization						
	Min		Avg		Max	
Utilization (%Busy)	0.00		0.01		0.14	

Table 11.22: Volumio Server Device Disk Parameters

Network (Client)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	952.33m		100.80		127.03	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	304.44m	0.00	332.58m	0.00	342.16m	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	631.61	1.60k	993.84k	31.64k	1.32M	39.29k
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	nan		nan		nan	
passive	3.32m		3.33m		3.34m	
failed	0.00		0.00		0.00	
resets	0.00		39.22u		3.26m	
established	2.00		2.00		2.00	

Table 11.23: Volumio SnapClient Device Network Parameters

Network (Server)						
Firewall Throughput (Packets/sec)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Received	12.34		115.96		138.90	
Forwarded	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Eth0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	826.87m	0.00	835.74m	0.00	856.40m	0.00
Collisions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eth0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	15.75k	1.75k	38.40k	1.01M	44.88k	1.34M
Wlan0 Errors						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
Errors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drops	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Collisions	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wlan0 Traffic						
	Min		Avg		Max	
	-	+	-	+	-	+
bps	0.00	0.00	2.30	3.69	13.02	20.92
Netstat (TCP Connections)						
	Min		Avg		Max	
active	0.00		1.67m		6.44m	
passive	3.31m		3.84m		38.89m	
failed	0.00		1.32m		3.32m	
resets	0.00		38.76u		3.22m	
established	15.00		15.02		16.93	

Table 11.24: Volumio Server Device Network Parameters

Processes (Client)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	51.00	51.00	51.00		
Uninterruptable	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Runnable	1.00	1.05	1.99		
Total	91.00	92.51	94.99		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	98.00	99.50	101.99		

Table 11.25: Volumio SnapClient Device Process Parameters

Processes (Server)					
Processes					
	Min	Avg	Max		
	-	+	-	+	-
Sleeping	76.03	77.01	77.96		
Runnable	1.00	1.01	1.97		
Total	117.07	118.67	121.17		
Number of Threads					
	Min	Avg	Max		
Threads	182.05	183.65	185.16		

Table 11.26: Volumio Server Device Process Parameters

System (Client)			
Load Average			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
Interrupts	Min	Avg	Max
Interruptions	147.50	1.69k	2.13k
Active	208.83	1.86k	2.28k
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Used	92.55M	93.46M	94.27M
Inactive	Min	Avg	Max
Unused	30.49M	30.54M	30.58M
Unused	Min	Avg	Max
Available	774.41M	775.71M	777.38M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
Forks	Min	Avg	Max
Created	1.30	1.34	1.43
CPU Usage (%)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
User	1.14	4.07	10.54
Idle	Min	Avg	Max
System	381.29	384.25	394.36

Table 11.27: Volumio SnapClient Device System Parameters

System (Server)			
Load Average			
Load	Min	Avg	Max
Interrupts and Context Switches (/sec)			
Interrupts	Min	Avg	Max
Active	378.71	925.01	1.28k
Inactive	480.15	1.45k	2.11k
Memory Usage (Bytes)			
Active	Min	Avg	Max
Inactive	508.26M	525.56M	596.66M
Unused	296.90M	364.93M	374.74M
Total	30.66M	36.30M	47.90M
Fork Rate (/sec)			
Forks	Min	Avg	Max
Total	2.97	3.06	3.37
CPU Usage (%)			
System	Min	Avg	Max
Idle	1.50	2.61	3.06
User	378.43	382.80	395.45

Table 11.28: Volumio Server Device System Parameters

Sensors (Client)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
CPU	Min	Avg	Max
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
CPU1	621.70	627.54	631.72
CPU2	621.70	627.54	631.72
CPU3	621.72	627.54	631.72
CPU4	621.72	627.54	631.70
CPU Temperature (°C)			
CPU	Min	Avg	Max
Total	44.55	45.65	47.23

Table 11.29: Volumio SnapClient Device Sensor Parameters

Sensors (Server)			
CPU Frequency (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Frequency Scaling (MHz)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU1	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU2	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU3	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU4	1.40k	1.40k	1.40k
CPU Temperature (°C)			
	Min	Avg	Max
CPU	58.53	59.97	60.69

Table 11.30: Volumio Server Device Sensor Parameters

Bibliography

- [1] BeagleBoard.org, “Beaglebone black,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://beagleboard.org/black>
- [2] Raspberry Pi Foundation, “Raspberry pi 3 model b+.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-3-model-b-plus/>
- [3] Adafruit, “Overview | adafruit i2s audio bonnet for raspberry pi,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://learn.adafruit.com/adafruit-i2s-audio-bonnet-for-raspberry-pi/overview>
- [4] The Economist, “Having rescued recorded music, spotify may upend the industry again,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.economist.com/business/2018/01/11/having-rescued-recorded-music-spotify-may-upend-the-industry-again?frsc=dg|e>
- [5] ASUS, “Asus tinker board.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.asus.com/ie/Single-Board-Computer/Tinker-Board/>
- [6] HiFiBerry, “Hifiberry dac+ pro,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.hifiberry.com/shop/boards/hifiberry-dac-pro/>
- [7] PulseAudio, “Pulseaudio,” 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://www.freedesktop.org/wiki/Software/PulseAudio/About/>
- [8] T. M. P. D. Project”, “Music player daemon 0.21.4 documentation,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.musicpd.org/doc/>
- [9] Volumio, “Volumio documentation,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://volumio.github.io/docs/index.html>
- [10] J. Park, J. Kim, and S. Kang, “Ble-based accurate indoor location tracking for home and office,” Computer Science & Information Technology, 2015.
- [11] J. Gjengset, J. Xiong, G. McPhilips, and K. Jamieson, “Phaser: Enabling phased array signal processing on commodity wifi access points,” School of Information Systems at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University, 2014.

- [12] K. T. Ye Heejung, “Beamforming transmission in ieee 802.1ac under time-varying channels,” The Scientific World Journal, 2014.
- [13] A. Uddin Afaz, R. Arablouei, F. de Hoog, B. Kusy, R. Jurdak, and N. Bergmann, “Estimating angle-of-arrival and time-of-flight for multipath components using wifi channel state information,” Sensors, 2018.
- [14] AltBeacon.org, “Altbeacon - the open proximity beacon,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://altbeacon.org/>
- [15] Google Developers, “Beacons | google developers,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://developers.google.com/beacons/>
- [16] Android Developers, “Wi-fi location: ranging with rtt | android developers,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.android.com/guide/topics/connectivity/wifi-rtt>
- [17] Munin, “Munin wiki start,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://munin-monitoring.org/>
- [18] Admin’s Choice, “Crontab - quick reference.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.adminschoice.com/crontab-quick-reference>
- [19] Systutorials, “mpc (1) - linux man pages.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.systutorials.com/docs/linux/man/1-mpc/>
- [20] E. Upton, “Introducing turbo mode: up to 50% more performance for free - raspberry pi.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.raspberrypi.org/blog/introducing-turbo-mode-up-to-50-more-performance-for-free/>
- [21] Statista, “Number of spotify premium subscribers worldwide from 1st quarter 2015 to 4th quarter 2018 (in millions),” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/244995/number-of-paying-spotify-subscribers/>
- [22] K. Sehgal, “Spotify and apple music should become record labels so musicians can make a fair living,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cnbc.com/2018/01/26/how-spotify-apple-music-can-pay-musicians-more-commentary.html>