

# 1 Performance at Transport Layer

## 1.1 TCP

### Characteristics

- Connection-oriented reliable byte-stream service
  - Two comms end points must establish, maintain connection
  - Byte stream data exchanged between end points
  - Reliable data delivery ensured

### 1.1.1 Principles

- Data broken into segments of certain size
  - Max. Segment Size (MSS) set (negotiated) at conn. estab.
  - MSS val carried by SYN segment
  - End point never TX segment larger than MSS
  - Higher MSS, better performance (min. OH vs payload ratio)
  - If not indicated, default val of 536 bytes is used for MSS
  - In reality, seg size 40 bytes larger as also includes
    - \* 20 bytes TCO header
    - \* 20 bytes IP header

### 1.1.2 Problem

- Data broken into segments of certain size
  - Compute efficiency considering OH and payload when TX 4GB video and MSS of 1460 and 536 used:
    - \* Case 1: No pkts:  $4 * \frac{1024}{1460} = 2873$ ; *Headers* :  $40 * 2873 = 114920$ 
      - Efficiency = useful data/total data =  $\frac{4194304}{4309224} = 97.33\%$
    - \* Case 2: No pkts:  $4 * \frac{1024}{536} = 7826$ ; *Headers* :  $40 * 7826 = 313040$ 
      - Efficiency = useful data/total data =  $\frac{419304}{4507344} = 93.05\%$

### 1.1.3 Principles

- Reliability through ack and reTX
- When TX seg, timer set
- RX expected to ack seg
- If ack not RX, seg is reTX

#### 1.1.4 Issues

- ReTX TimeOut (RTO) period is variable
  - RTO set in relation to RTT
  - RTT estimated using smoothed estimator (SRTT) using a low-pass filter
  - After unsuccessful reTX, TO period doubled (exponential backoff) with an upper limit
- After series of unsuccessful reTX, conn. is reset
  - TCP implementation have Keepalive timer, not present in TCP standard

#### 1.1.5 Problem

- Exponential backoff reTX
  1. List times at which reTX occurs if there is a need for 6 reTX and the first two are 2s apart
    - ReTX: 1,3,7,15,31,63
  2. List times at which reTX occur if there is need for 10 reTX and the first two are 1.5s apart
    - ReTX: 1,2.5,6.5,11.5,23.5,47.5,96.5,159.5,223.5,287.5

#### 1.1.6 RTT Estimation

- Calculated every time new measurement performed
- $SRTT = \alpha * SRTT + (1 - \alpha) * MRTT$ , where
  - SRTT is RTT estimator
  - $\alpha$  is smoothing factor with rec. val between 0.8 and 0.9
  - MRTT is measured RTT

#### 1.1.7 RTO Value

- Calculated every time there is need for reTX
- $RTO = \min(RTO_{Max}, \max(RTO_{Min}, (\beta * SRTT)))$ , where
  - $RTO_{Max}$  is upper bound on timeout (e.g. 1m, 64sec)
  - $RTO_{Min}$  is lower bound on timeout (e.g. 1s)
  - $\beta$  is delay variance factor with fixed val between 1.3 and 2
  - If ack not RX, seg is reTX

#### 1.1.8 Issues

- RTT estimation accuracy problem
  - RTT estimation alg assumes RTT variations are small, constant
  - Loses accuracy with wide fluctuations in RTT, causing unnecessary reTX
  - ReTX add traffic to already loaded net.
- Jacobson's soln.
  - Keep track of both mean and variance of RTT, compute RTO based on both

#### 1.1.9 RTT Average and Mean Deviation

- Calc every time new measurement performed
- $Err = MRTT - ARTT$ 
  - Err is error between measured val and smothered value for RTT
  - ARTT is smothered RTT average
  - g is gain factor with rec. value of 1/8
  - MRTT is measured RTT

#### 1.1.10 RTT MEan Deviation

- Calc. every time new measurement performed
- $DRTT = DRTT * h * (|Err| - DRTT)$ 
  - DRTT is smothered mean deviation
  - h is difference factor set to 0.25

#### 1.1.11 RTO value

- Calc. every time need for reTX
- $RTO = ARTT + r * DRTT$ , where
  - r is constant set to 4
  - Initial val set for r was 2, later changed to 4

#### 1.1.12 Issues

- RTT measurement accuracy problem
  - RTT measured between sending of data pkt and its ack
  - When Large delays occur, timer goes off, reTX takes place
  - When RX ack, no way to know if it was delayed res. to orig data seg or res. to reTX seg

- Karn's soln
  - Not to update RTT estimator with info on reTX seg's performance
- Limitations of Karn's soln
  - When RTT increases sharply, normal reTX resumed after series of reTX and TCP does to receive ack, for a while RTT not updated, reTX would happen considering old RTT val
- Limitations soln
  - Exponential backoff timer timeout val employed:  $\text{timeout} = 2 * \text{timeout}$
- Solution issue
  - If it increases too much, delays added with no correlation with actual net. delay
- Solution fix
  - Upper limitations added to value  $> 1$  min: 64s

### 1.1.13 Computation of RTT estimation

## 1.2 Congestion control

- Modern TCP std include 4 major alg
  - Slow start
  - COngestion avoidance
  - Fast reTX
  - Fast recovery

## 1.3 Slow Start

### 1.3.1 Principle

- Slowly probes net in order to determine available capacity
- Employed at beginning of transfer/after loss detected by TX timer
- Uses following var.
  - COngestion window (cwnd) - sender side limit on amount which can be TX before receiving ack
  - Receiver window (rwnd) - Receiver-side limit on outstanding data
  - Slow start threshold (ssthresh) - limit to decide using slow start or congestion avoidance
  - Sender Maximum Segment Size (SMSS) - Max seg size at sender
  - Flight Size - amount of unack data in TX between sender, receiver
- TX should exchange min of cwnd and rwnd amount of data
- Slow start used when  $\text{cwnd} > \text{ssthresh}$ , Congestion Avoidance employed for  $\text{cwnd} < \text{ssthresh}$  and either alg when  $\text{cwnd} = \text{ssthresh}$

### 1.3.2 MEchanism

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

### 1.3.3 Note

- 

### 1.3.4 Problem: ACK Division

- ‘
- 
- 

### 1.3.5 UPdated Mechanism

- 
- 
- 

### 1.3.6 Performance Issues

- — \*
- — \*
- \*
- — \*
- \*
- \*
- \*  
\*  
\*  
\*

## **1.4 Congestion Avoidance**

### **1.4.1 Principle**

### **1.4.2 Mechanism**

### **1.4.3 Updated MEchanism**

### **1.4.4 Note**

## **1.5 Fast Retransmit**

### **1.5.1 Principle**

### **1.5.2 Mechanism**

## **1.6 Fast Recovery**

### **1.6.1 Principle**

### **1.6.2 Mechanism**

### **1.6.3 Note**

## **1.7 Fast Retransmit and Fast Recovery**

### **1.7.1 PRinciple**

- Two major alg types that improve Fast reTX and Fast recovery
- Based on TCP selective Ack
- 

## **2 TCP Tahoe**

### **2.1 Characteristics**

- Fast recovery not included
- Fast reTX not included
- TXP old tahoe did not have fast reTX either
- Implemented in Unix 4.3 BSD Tahoe

### **2.2 ISsues**

- ONLY mechanism to detect loss is through reTX timer timeout
  - Introduces potential delays
- TCP old tahoe by not emplying dast reTX alg, slow start has to be used
  - Rates kept low
- Every lost pkt determines cwnd reste to min
  - Severe reduction in rates

## **3 TCP Reno**

### **3.1 Characteristics**

### **3.2 Issues**

## **4 TCP New Reno, SACK and Vegas**

### **4.1 TCP New Reno**

#### **4.1.1 Characteristics**

#### **4.1.2 Issues**

### **4.2 TCP SACK**

#### **4.2.1 Characteristics**

#### **4.2.2 Issues**

### **4.3 TCP Vegas**

#### **4.3.1 Characteristics**

#### **4.3.2 Issues**

## **5 SCTP**

### **5.1 Motivation**

- TCP limitations with wireless and mobile comms
- Need for multi-streaming
- Need for multi-homing

### **5.2 Overview**

- Series of IETF 2960 (2000), IETF RFC 3286 (2002)

### **5.3 Features**

- Reliable transport protocol
- Uses association instead of conn.
- Designed for message oriented applications
  - Framing (preserve message boundaries)
- Ack error free transfer of msg
- Detection of data corruption, data loss and data duplication
- Selective reTX to correct lost or corrupted data
- Active monitoring of session conn. via heartbeat

- Resistance to DOS attacks
  - 4-way handshake
- Supports multi-streaming
  - Up to 64K indep. ordered streams
- Supports multi-homing
  - Set of IP addresses per endpoint

#### 5.4 Message Format - 1:HEader

- Src Port and Dest Port (2+2 bytes)
  - Same port concept as TCP and UDP
- Verification Tag (4 bytes)
  - Exchanged etween endpoints at startup to validate the sender
- Checksum (4 bytes)
  - Uses CRC32 alg

#### 5.5 MESSage Format - 2: Chuncks

- Type (1 byte)
  - Control or Data: e.g. Data, Init, SACK
- Flags (1 byte)
  - Carry info depending on type
- Length (2 bytes)
  - Chunk length, including data payload length
- Data (N bytes)
  - Variable length payload

#### 5.6 Message Format - 3: Important Chunk Types

- DATA
  - IDs chunks carrying data
- INIT, INIT-ACK, COOKIE-ECHO, COOKIE-ACK
  - Used for association establishment
- HEARTBEAT, HEARTBEAT-ACK
  - Used for keep-alive chacking
- SHUTDOWN, SHUTDOWN-ACK
  - Used for graceful disconn.



## 5.7 Establishing an Association

Association Establishment Procedure

- 

## 5.8 INIT Chunk

- Initiate Tag
  - Receiver stores Initiate Tag Value
  - Must be placed in VERification Tag field of every SCTP pkt receiver sends
- Advertised Receiver Window Credit (a\_rwnd)
  - Indicates dedicated buffer space sender reserved for this association
- Number of Outbound Streams (OS)
  - Number of outbound streams sender wishes to create in this association (max 64k)
- Number of Inbound Streams (I-TSN)
  - Defines initial TX seq number the sender will use
  - Field may be set to value of Initiate Tag Field
- –

## 5.9 INIT-ACK Chunk

## 5.10 CECHO and CACK Chunks

## 5.11 DATA Chunk

## 5.12 Terminating an Association

## 5.13 SHUTDOWN Chunks

## 5.14 Multihoming

SCTP Association:

- Comm. hosts use set of IP addr. instead of single one each
- Multi comms path may be set up
  - One primary path
  - No. of secondary paths
- Lists of IP addrs exchanged between hosts during init of assoc.
  - Both INIT and INITACK msgs include list of IP addrs
- Source of INIT msg is dest of INIT-ACK

- In general, determine primary path

SCTP Operation:

- Hosts monitor data TOs and No. of reTX to determine path's transmission quality
- ReTX Data chunks may be sent over multipaths if status of one path is suspect
- Faulty paths marked "Out of Service"
- HEartbeat chunks sent periodically to all inactive IP addr
- Non-responding IP addrs will be marked "Out of Service"

## 6 mSCTP

Mobile SCTP

- Extends SCTP
  - Adds Dynamic Address Reconfiguration (ADDIP)
  - Enables SCTO to add, delete, and change existing IP addrs attached to an assoc. during an active conn.
  - Enables support for seamless handover for mobile hosts that are moving between IP networks
  - Uses ASCONF and ASCONF-ACK
    - \* Add new IP addrs to assoc
    - \* Change primary IP of assoc
    - \* Delete old IP addr from assoc

## 7 DCCP

Motivation

- UDP and TCP limitations with realtime transport of data
- Need to support real-time data transfers over wireless links

Overview

- DCCP is novel non-reliable transport layer protocol
- 
- 

Features

- 

Datagram Format 1:

- Headers - Long Sequence No.

Datagram Format 2:

- Headers - Short seq no.
- Acknowledgements - Short seq no.
- Options and Data

Datagram Fields

- 

Packet Types

- 

Connection Setup

- 3-way handshake

Data exchange

- Two endpoints exchange Data pkts and ack pkts acking data
- Optionally DataAck pkts containing data and acks can be exchanged
- If one endpoint has no data to send it will send ack pkts exclusively

Connection Close

- 3-way handshake

## 8 Congestion Control-Related Schemes

Drop Tail

- Involves default queue mechanisms
- Drops all pkts exceeding queue length
  - Any TCP-based receiver reports loss in ACK pkt
  - Most often sender adapts to loss by multiplicatively decreasing
- One loss event is very likely to be followed by series of loss events
  - Little or no space in queue
- If adaptive senders need some time to respond

Random Early Detection (RED)

- Uses active queue management
- Drops pkt in intermediate node based on av. queue length exceeding a thresh

- Any TCP receiver reports loss in ACK pkt
- Most often sender adapts to loss by multiplicatively decreasing rate
- RED experiences mostly singular loss events
- Gives time to adaptive senders to respond

#### Early Congestion Notifications

- End-End congestion avoidance mechanism
  - Implemented in routers and supported by end-systems
  - Not multimedia-specific, very TCP-specific
- Uses two IP header bits
  - ECT - ECN Capable Transport, set by sender
  - CE - COngestion Experienced, may be set by routers
- If pkt has ECT bit 0,
  - ECN acts as RED
- If pkt has ECT bit 1:
  - ECN node sets CE bit
  - Any TCP receiver sets ECN bit in ACK
  - As result most often sender applies multi decrease
- ECN-pkts never lost on un-congested links
- Distinction between loss and marked pkts
  - TX window can decrease
  - No pkt loss and no reTX

#### Early Congestion Notification (ECN) Nonce

- Optional addition to ECN
- Improves robustness of congestion control
- Prevents receivers from exploiting ECN to gain unfair share of net. BW
- Protects against accidental/malicious concealment of marked pkts from sender

#### Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)

- Protocol for Connections with high BW-delay product
- Routers return explicit feedback to host
- Hosts use feedback from routers to change their congestion window

## 9 TCP over Wireless

### Motivation

- Large percentage of traffic is reliable:
  - File Transfer (FTP)
  - Web Traffic (HTTP)
  - Command Based (TELNET, SSH)
- TCP very popular in wired networks
  - Very good congestion control
  - Very good congestion avoidance

### TCP in Wireless Networks

- Pkt loss in wireless networks occurs due to:
  - Bit errors due to wireless channel impairments
  - Handovers due to mobility
  - Congestion (rarely)
  - Pkt reordering (rarely)
- TCP assumes pkt loss is due to:
  - Congestion in the net.
  - Pkt reordering (rarely)

### Problems with TCP over Wireless Networks

- Congestion avoidance can be triggered by pkt loss
  - TCPs mechanisms do not respond well to pkt loss due to bit errors and handoffs
  - Efficiency of TCP-based transfers suffer
- Error bursts may occur due to low signal strength or longer period of noise
  - More than one pkt lost in TCP
  - More likely to be detected as TO -> TCP enters slow start
- Delay is often very high and variable
  - RTT can be very long and variable
  - TCPs TO mechanisms may not work well
  - Problem exacerbated by link-level reTX
- Links may be asymmetric
  - Delayed ACKs in slow dirn. limit throughput in fast dirn

### Solutions for TCP over Wireless Networks

- Link-Layer approaches (A)
  - Hide losses not caused by congestion from the transport-layer sender
  - Makes link appear to be more reliable than it is in reality
  - Solns:
    - \* Use frame reTX
      - Link-level automatic reTX request (ARQ)
    - \* Use error correction codes
      - Forward Error Correction (FEC)
    - \* Use hybrid solutions
      - ARQ and FEC
- Advantages
  - Requires no change to existing sender behaviour
  - Matches layered protocol stack model
- Disadvantages
  - Negative TCP effect:
    - \* Delays due to link-level TO and reTX may trigger TCP fast reTX
    - \* TX efficiency decreases
  - Soln to negative TCP effect
    - \* Make link-level protocol TCP-aware
- Example: Snoop TCP
  - Advantages
    - \* Attempts to reTX locally, suppress duplicate ack
    - \* State is soft, handoff simplified
  - Disadvantage
    - \* May not completely shield TCP from effects of mobility and wireless loss

#### Split Connection Approaches (B)

- Divide single TCO conn. into two conn.
- Isolate wired net. from wireless net
- Often split at base station or access point
- soln
  - Use TCP on wired net
  - Enhanced protocol over wireless net
- Advantages
  - Clarity of approach

- Each of the protocols performs best in its setup
- Disadvantages
  - Extra protocol OH
  - Violates end-end semantics of TCP
  - Complicates handoff due to state info at access point or base station where protocol is “split”
- Example
  - Indirect TCP

#### End-to-End Approaches (C)

- Make sender aware that some losses are not due to congestion
- Avoid congestion control when not needed
- Solns
  - Selective ack (SACKs)
  - Explicit loss notification (ELN) distinguishes between congestion and other losses
- Advantages
  - Maintains end-end semantics of TCP
  - Introduces no extra OH at base stations for protocol processing or handoff
- Disadvantages
  - Requires modified TCP
  - May not operate efficiently e.g. for pkt reordering versus pkt loss
- Example
  - SMART

## 10 Snoop TCP

### Overview

- Link-layer protocol that snoops passing TCP data and acks
- Employs Snoop agent between two endpoints
- Data from Fixed Host to Mobile Host
  - Cache unack'd TCP data
  - Perform local reTX
- Data from Mobile Host to Fixed Host
  - Detect missing pkts

- Perform negative ack

#### Architecture

- 

#### Fixed Host to Mobile Host Operation

- If new pt rec. in normal TCP seq
  - Add to snoop cache
  - Forward to Mobile Host
- If out of seq pkt cached earlier arrives
  - Fast reTX/TO at send due to:
    - if  $\text{last\_ACK} < \text{crt\_seq\_no}$ 
      - \* Loss in wireless link - Forward to Mobile Host
    - if  $\text{last\_ACK} > \text{crt\_seq\_no}$ 
      - \* Loss of previous ACK - send ACK to Fixed Host with Mobile Host addr and port
- If out of seq pkt not cached earlier arrives
  - if  $\text{seq\_no}$  far from  $\text{last\_seq\_no}$ 
    - \* Congestion in fixed network
      - Forward to Mobile Host
      - Mark as reTX by sender
  - if  $\text{seq\_no}$  close to  $\text{last\_seq\_no}$ 
    - \* Out of order delivery

#### Mobile Host to Fixed Host Operation

- If new ack rec. in normal TCP operation
  - Normal Case
    - \* Clean snoop cache
    - \* Update RT estimate
    - \* Forward ack to Fixed Host
- if spurious ack rec.
  - Discard
- If duplicate ack rec.
  - If pkt not in snoop cache
    - \* Lost in fixed net.
      - Forwarded to fixed host
  - If pkt marked as sender reTX
    - \* Forward to Fixed Host



- If unexpected (first after a pkt loss)
  - \* Lost pkt on wireless link
    - ReTX at higher priority
- If expected (subsequent after one lost)
  - \* Discard

#### Advantages

- Improved performance in wireless net.
- No change to TCP at fixed host
- No violation of end-end TCP semantics
- No recompiling/re-linking of existing applications
- Automatic fallback to standard TCP
  - No need to ensure all foreign net. provide Snoop agent

#### Disadvantages

- Does not fully isolate wireless link errors from the fixed net.
- Mobile host must be modified to handle NACKs for reverse traffic
- Cannot snoop encrypted datagrams
- Cannot be used with authentication

## 11 Indirect TCP (I-TCP)

#### Overview

- Hides pkt loss due to wireless from sender
- Wireless TCP can be independently optimized
- Good performance in case of wide-area net.
- reTX occurs only on bad link
- Faster recovery due to relatively short RTT for wireless link
- Handoff requires state transfer
- Buffer space needed, extra copying at proxy
- End-end semantics violation needs to be augmented by application level

#### Architecture

- 

#### Advantages

- No changes to TCP at fixed hosts

- Wireless link errors are corrected at the TCP proxy and do not propagate to the fixed net.
- New “wireless” protocol affects only limited part of internet
- Possible further optimizations over wireless link
- Delay variance between proxy and mobile host is small -> optimised TCP
- Opportunity for header compression
- Opportunity for different transport protocol

#### Disadvantages

- Loss of TCPs end-end semantics
- Addition of third point of failure (proxy) apart from fixed, mobile hosts
- Handover can be significant
- OH at proxy for per pkt processing
- TCP proxy must be trusted
- Opportunities for snooping and DOS attacks
- End-end IP-level privacy and auth. must terminate at proxy
- Proxy failure may cause loss of TCP state

## 12 Other Approaches