


Evaluating the benefit of grid-based weather information in energy forecasting

Marcel Herm

INSTITUT FÜR AUTOMATION UND ANGEWANDTE INFORMATIK



Acknowledgements

Introduction

1 Topic

2 Related Work

3 Methods

4 Evaluation

5 Conclusion

Related Works

paper	type of weather data	forecast time series	methods	forecast horizon
Ludwig u. a. (2015)	station-based	energy prices	ARMA,ARMAX,LASSO,RF	24h
Salcedo-Sanz u. a. (2018)	grid-based	solar radiation	ELM,CRO,MARS,MLR,SVR,GGA	24h
Diagne u. a. (2013)	grid-based	solar radiation	ARMA,ARIMA,CARDS,NN,WNN	5 min-6h
Aguiar u. a. (2016)	mixed	solar radiation	NN	1-6h
Bofinger und Heilscher (2006)	mixed	solar power	MOS, IDW	24-120h
Haben u. a. (2018)	station-based	low voltage electricity load	KDE,SSLR,ARWD,ARWDY,HWT	up to 4 days
Alessandrini u. a. (2015)	station-based	wind power	ANEN	0-132h
Sperati u. a. (2016)	grid-based	solar power	PDF,NN,VD,EMOS,PE	0-72h
De Felice u. a. (2015)	grid-based	electricity demand	LR,SVM	1-2 months
Davò u. a. (2016)	grid-based	wind power,solar radiation	PCA,ANEN,NN	0-72h
This thesis	grid-based	electricity load	ARMA,ARMAX	1h

ARMA

$$y_t = c + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^q \rho_j \epsilon_{t-j} + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

ARMAX



$$y_t = c + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^q \rho_j \epsilon_{t-j} + \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k x_k + \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

Mean Absolute Percentage Error

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{k} \times 100 \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right| . \quad (3)$$

Evaluation I


Data filtered using shapefile from Eurostat¹.



¹<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/de/web/gisco/geodata/reference-data/administrative-units-statistical-units/nuts>


Evaluation II

Stuffy stuff.



Evaluation III

Wing stuffy². Be no wowy, mesa no talk much about disa.



The screenshot shows the Wing IDE interface with a Python code editor and a code completion tooltip. The code in the editor is:


```
236     arP : numpy.array
237     maQ : numpy.array
238     armax_result_ : statsmodels.tsa.arima_model.ARMAResults
239
240     self._armax_result = self._armafit.fit(**self._fit_kw)
241
242     def __init__(self, start, stop, p=None, q=None, exog=None, const=True):
243         """Initializes instance
244
245         Parameters
246         ---------
247         start : datetime.datetime
248             start time of forecast
249         stop : datetime.datetime
250             stop time of forecast
251         p : int
252             parameter for autoregressive part
253         q : int
254             parameter for moving average part
255         exog : list of strings
256             used exogenous variables, see _load_data for possible choices
257         const : boolean
258
259         self._armax_result_ = self._armafit.fit(**self._fit_kw)
```

A code completion tooltip is displayed over the `__init__` method definition, listing parameters and their descriptions. The tooltip also includes a "Refactor" button and a "Documentation" section.

²<https://wingware.com/>

Evaluation IV

Autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation function.



Evaluation V

MA(q) 0

1

2

3

4

5

>


AR(p)

v

AIC	1	489489.23	472851.05	467543.07	466091.08	465622.11	464728.34
BIC		489513.76	472883.76	467583.96	466140.14	465679.35	464793.76
HQIC		489497.15	472861.61	467556.27	466106.92	465640.59	464749.47
AIC	2	464699.50	464253.96	464204.94	464190.14	464054.46	463634.12
BIC		464732.21	464294.85	464254.01	464247.39	464119.87	463707.72
HQIC		464710.06	464267.16	464220.79	464208.63	464075.58	463657.89
AIC	3	464324.69	464226.02	464202.92	463643.15	462483.99	462271.48
BIC		464365.58	464275.09	464260.16	463708.57	462557.59	462353.25
HQIC		464337.89	464241.87	464221.4	463664.27	462507.75	462297.88
AIC	4	464171.28	464173.25	462433.17	462985.37	462149.01	-
BIC		464220.35	464230.49	462498.59	463058.97	462230.78	
HQIC		464187.13	464191.73	462454.29	463009.14	462175.41	
AIC	5	464173.18	463588.38	462944.78	-	462138.70	-
BIC		464230.42	463653.80	463018.38		462228.65	
HQIC		464191.66	463609.50	462968.54		462167.74	


Evaluation VI

Only load ARMA(2,2) from 2017/12/31 to 2018/01/08 using load data from 2015/01/080 to 2017/12/31 for training.




Evaluation VII

Forecast from 2017/12/31 to 2018/01/08 for ARMAX(2,2) with load data from 2015/01/08 to 2017/12/31 for train and grid points of ten regions with highest pop as exog.




Evaluation VIII

forecast from 2017/12/31 to 2018/01/08 for ARMAX(2,2) with load data from 2015/01/08 to 2017/12/31 for train and load data shifted back in time by 1 week for same time range as exog.



Evaluation IX

Forecast from 2017/12/31 to 2018/01/08 for ARMAX(1,0) with load data from 2017/01/01 to 2017/12/31 for train and all 1435 grid points of the t2m variable as exog.




Evaluation X

ARMA/ARMAX performance using different input data and models.

model	shifted load	t2m mean	counter	weekend	top ten regions	RMSE	MAE	MPE	MAPE
ARMA(1,0)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	2621.940	1961.213	0.006	3.460
ARMA(2,2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	1727.742	1216.559	0.220	2.114
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	1024.265	628.298	-0.020	1.103
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	1024.310	628.331	-0.006	1.103
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	1024.251	628.292	-0.020	1.103
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	1024.295	628.324	-0.006	1.103
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	1030.753	633.436	0.101	1.112
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	1030.756	633.433	0.101	1.112
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	1029.191	633.093	-0.165	1.114
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	1029.199	633.103	-0.165	1.114
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	1043.292	649.564	-0.018	1.144
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	1043.711	650.046	-0.012	1.145
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	1051.469	659.243	-0.012	1.164
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	1051.801	659.805	-0.021	1.165
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1051.956	660.006	-0.004	1.165
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	1062.208	667.663	-0.011	1.179
ARMAX(2,2)	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	1656.760	1178.435	0.021	2.043
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	1662.298	1179.187	0.185	2.039
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	1661.909	1179.681	0.187	2.040
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	1656.224	1179.021	0.022	2.044
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	1730.620	1226.238	-0.382	2.119
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	1730.620	1226.237	-0.382	2.119
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	1811.407	1321.255	0.043	2.315
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	2004.932	1444.396	-0.036	2.547
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	2032.248	1456.478	-0.025	2.570
ARMAX(2,2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	2130.514	1508.978	0.211	2.663

Conclusion

Membra NN?



References I

- Aguiar, L. M., B. Pereira, P. Lauret, F. Díaz und M. David (2016). "Combining solar irradiance measurements, satellite-derived data and a numerical weather prediction model to improve intra-day solar forecasting". In: *Renewable Energy* 97, S. 599–610.
- Alessandrini, S., L. Delle Monache, S. Sperati und J. N. Nissen (2015). "A novel application of an analog ensemble for short-term wind power forecasting". In: *Renewable Energy* 76, S. 768–781.
- Bofinger, S. und G. Heilscher (2006). "Solar electricity forecast : Approaches and first results". In: *Proceedings of the 21st European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition*.
- Davò, F., S. Alessandrini, S. Sperati, L. Delle Monache, D. Airoldi und M. T. Vespucci (2016). "Post-processing techniques and principal component analysis for regional wind power and solar irradiance forecasting". In: *Solar Energy* 134, S. 327–338.
- De Felice, M., A. Alessandri und F. Catalano (2015). "Seasonal climate forecasts for medium-term electricity demand forecasting". In: *Applied Energy* 137, S. 435–444.
- Diagne, M., M. David, P. Lauret, J. Boland und N. Schmutz (2013). "Review of solar irradiance forecasting methods and a proposition for small-scale insular grids". In: *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 27, S. 65–76.

References II

- Haben, S., G. Giasemidis, F. Ziel und S. Arora (2018). "Short term load forecasting and the effect of temperature at the low voltage level". In: *International Journal of Forecasting* 35.4, S. 1469–1484.
- Ludwig, N., S. Feuerriegel und D. Neumann (2015). "Putting Big Data analytics to work: Feature selection for forecasting electricity prices using the LASSO and random forests". In: *Journal of Decision Systems* 24.1, S. 19–36.
- Salcedo-Sanz, S., R. C. Deo, L. Cornejo-Bueno, C. Camacho-Gómez und S. Ghimire (2018). "An efficient neuro-evolutionary hybrid modelling mechanism for the estimation of daily global solar radiation in the Sunshine State of Australia". In: *Applied Energy* 209.July 2017, S. 79–94.
- Sperati, S., S. Alessandrini und L. Delle Monache (2016). "An application of the ECMWF Ensemble Prediction System for short-term solar power forecasting". In: *Solar Energy* 133, S. 437–450.