

2.4 Prove following subsets of $(0, 1]$ are Borel-measurable by finding their measure.

(a) Any countable set:

If A is a countable set \Rightarrow there is a bijection between A and $\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{a_i\}$ and we know that $\lambda(\{a_i\}) = 0$ (measure of a singleton).
 Therefore, $\lambda(A) = \lambda\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \{a_i\}\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \lambda(\{a_i\}) = 0$.
 count. add. and $\{a_i\} \cap \{a_j\} = \emptyset \ \forall i \neq j$

Remark: We know that for a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $\lambda(A) = 0 \Rightarrow A$ is Lebesgue measurable (so Borel-measurable) because in the proof of the Carathéodory extension theorem:

• $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^* = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda^* \Leftrightarrow \lambda^*(Y) = \lambda^*(A \cap Y) + \lambda^*(A^c \cap Y)$
 if $\lambda(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^*(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^*(A \cap Y) \leq \lambda^*(A) = 0$ and:
 (i) $\lambda^*(Y) \leq \lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(Y \setminus A) = \lambda^*(Y \setminus A) \Rightarrow \lambda^*(Y) = \lambda^*(A \cap Y) + \lambda^*(Y \setminus A)$
 (ii) $\lambda^*(Y \setminus A) \leq \lambda^*(Y)$ □

(iii) Set in $(0, 1]$ where decimal expansion doesn't contain 7:

If we call A that set, we will try to calculate A^c .

We can see A^c as the set that is the disjoint union between the subset with the first 7 in the decimal exp. in the position $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) $j=1 \Rightarrow$ interval $[0.7, 0.8) \Rightarrow$ measure 0.2

(ii) $j=2 \Rightarrow [0.07, 0.08) \cup [0.17, 0.18) \cup \dots =$
 $= \bigcup_{k=0}^9 [0.k7, 0.k8) \setminus [0.77, 0.78)$ (9 intervals of measure 0.01)

If we continue $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, finally we will have:

$\lambda(A^c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{9^k}{10^{k+2}} = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^j}_1 = \frac{1}{10} \cdot 10 = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(A) = 1 - \lambda(A^c) = 0$ □

2.5 $\mathcal{I} = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{F}_3 as defined

(a) \mathcal{F}_3 is a σ -algebra?

(i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_3$ because $\{\emptyset\}$ is countable.

(ii) $A \in \mathcal{F}_3 \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} B = A^c \in \mathcal{F}_3$

case 1: A countable \Rightarrow If $B = A^c$, $B^c = A$ countable and $B \in \mathcal{F}_3$

case 2: A uncountable $\Rightarrow A^c$ countable because $A \in \mathcal{F}_3 \Rightarrow B = A^c \in \mathcal{F}_3$ because countable

(iii) $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_3 \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_3$

case 1: if A_i countable $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ countable $\Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}_3$

case 2: if $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. A_j uncountable:

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \subseteq A_j^c \text{ countable} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \text{ countable} \Rightarrow B^c \text{ countable} \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}_3.$$

(b) Is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}_3, P)$ a measurable space?

We have to prove that P is a probability measure.

P is a measure:

(i) $P(\emptyset) = 0$ because \emptyset is countable

(ii) We have to check that $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ disjoint $\Rightarrow P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$

case 1: A_i countable $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow P(A_i) = 0 \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_3$ countable so

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

case 2: $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_3$ uncountable. In this case, A_j^c countable because $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_3$

If $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ we have that $B^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \subseteq A_j^c$ countable \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow B^c \text{ countable} \Rightarrow P(B^c) = 0 \Rightarrow P(B) = 1 - P(B^c) = 1$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \sum_{i \neq j} \underbrace{P(A_i)}_{\text{countable}} + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1$$

case 3: There is no more case, because if $\exists j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $j_1 \neq j_2$ and

$A_{j_1}, A_{j_2} \in \mathcal{F}_3$ are uncountable $\Rightarrow A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2} \neq \emptyset$ (!) (for construction of $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$).

In fact, if A_{j_1} and A_{j_2} are uncountable $\Rightarrow A_{j_1}^c, A_{j_2}^c$ countable (because

$$A_{j_1}, A_{j_2} \in \mathcal{F}_3) \Rightarrow A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2} = \underbrace{(A_{j_1}^c \cup A_{j_2}^c)^c}_{\text{countable set}} \text{ and}$$

So, if $A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2} = \emptyset \Rightarrow (A_{j_1}^c \cup A_{j_2}^c)^c = \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{j_1}^c \cup A_{j_2}^c = \Omega$ (!!) because Ω is uncountable.

As a consequence, $\Omega = A \cup A^c$ where one is countable and the other one uncountable, and $P(\Omega) = P(A) + P(A^c) = 1$. \square

Exercise 2.6 (Theory) - PROPOSITION 2.4.1.

If $C = \{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ we have to prove $\sigma(C) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

⊆

Let's take an $(-\infty, a) \in C$.

We have that $(-\infty, a) = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \underbrace{(-n, a - \frac{1}{n})}_{\in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}} \cup \underbrace{\{a\}}_{\in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ so

as $\sigma(C)$ is generated by C and $C \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \Rightarrow \sigma(C) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by being a generated σ -algebra

⊇

Let's consider (a, b) open interval. We have $(a, b) = (-\infty, b) \setminus (-\infty, a] \overset{\in \sigma(C)}{\in \sigma(C)}$
 But $(-\infty, b) = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \underbrace{(-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n})}_{\in C}$ so $(a, b) \in \sigma(C) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \sigma(C)$.