

CMP-310 Mini Project Grading Rubric

Total Points: 100

1. Correctness and Functionality – 50 points

| Criteria | Points | Notes |
|--|--------|---|
| Shared bounded buffer implemented correctly | 10 | Fixed size buffer, mutual exclusion ensured |
| Producer threads generate and add data | 8 | At least one producer thread functional |
| Consumer threads remove and process data | 8 | At least one consumer thread functional |
| Producers pause if buffer full, consumers pause if empty | 8 | Blocking behavior must be shown |
| No race conditions or deadlocks | 8 | Proper use of mutexes and semaphores to protect buffer access |
| Graceful termination after N items | 8 | The Poison Pill technique is implemented correctly |

2. Code Quality – 20 points

| Criteria | Points | Notes |
|--|--------|---------------------------------|
| Modular and well-organized code | 5 | Functions used effectively |
| Proper pthreads, semaphores, mutex use | 5 | Correct headers, avoid globals |
| Well-commented synchronization logic | 5 | Comments must explain key logic |
| Meaningful names | 5 | Avoid meaningless identifiers |

3. Robustness and Error Handling – 15 points

| Criteria | Points | Notes |
|---|--------|-------------------------------|
| Input validation (e.g., argc/argv checks) | 5 | Should not crash on bad input |

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Thread creation and semaphore error checks | 5 | Basic error reporting expected |
| Handles edge cases without a crash | 5 | Robust under stress |

4. Documentation – 15 points

| Deliverable | Points | Notes |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---|
| README (compile, run, test case) | 5 | Should include at least one working example |
| Short report (design and challenges) | 5 | Bullet points are acceptable |
| Video demo (2+ members involved) | 5 | Video must demonstrate the application running, explain synchronization logic, and involve at least 2 group members |

5. Bonus Features – up to 10 points (optional)

| Feature | Points | Notes |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| Priority handling | +5 | Consumers process urgent items first while keeping FIFO within each priority. Show at least one test run with urgent items |
| Throughput and latency metrics | +5 | Record enqueue/dequeue times, compute averages, and include a brief comparison for two buffer sizes in the report. |