System Verification and Validation Plan for LiDart

Team 10 Jonathan Casella Kareem Elmokattaf Michaela Schnull Neeraj Ahluwalia

November 3, 2022

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Authors	Notes
02/Nov/2022	1.0	Michaela Schnull Jonathan Casella Kareem Elmokattaf Neeraj Ahluwalia	Initial Release

Contents

1	Revision History													
2	Syn	nbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv											
3	Ger	eneral Information												
	3.1	Summary	1											
	3.2	Objectives	1											
	3.3	Relevant Documentation	1											
4	Pla	n	2											
	4.1	Verification and Validation Team	2											
	4.2	SRS Verification Plan	2											
	4.3	Design Verification Plan	3											
	4.4	Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan	3											
	4.5	Implementation Verification Plan	3											
	4.6	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	4											
		4.6.1 Rust	4											
		4.6.2 Rustfmt	4											
		4.6.3 Autodesk Inventor	4											
		4.6.4 EAGLE	4											
	4.7	System Validation Plan	4											
	-	4.7.1 Accurate 3D Scanning	4											
		4.7.2 Low Cost Hardware	4											
		4.7.3 Ease of Use	5											
5	Sve	tem Test Description	5											
0	5.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	5											
	0.1	5.1.1 Robot Control	5											
		5.1.2 State Estimation and Scanning	7											
	5.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	9											
	J	5.2.1 Accuracy Requirements	10											
		5.2.2 Performance Requirements	10											
		5.2.3 Usability Requirements	11											
		5.2.4 Error-Handling Requirements	13											
		5.2.5 Maintainability Requirements	13											
		5.2.6 Portability Requirements	14											
		5.2.7 Safety Requirements	14											
		5.2.8 Standards Requirements	14											
	5.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	15											
6	Uni	it Test Description	17											
		nbolic Parameters	19											
\mathbf{B}	$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{s}$ a	ability Survey Questions	20											

deflection	21
t of Tables	
Team Member Roles	2
Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix	15
Non-Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix (1 of 2)	16
Non-Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix (2 of 2)	17
	Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CI	Continuous Integration
DRC	Design Rule Check
ERC	Electrical Rule Check
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HA	Hazard Analysis
LiDAR	Light Imaging, Detection, and Ranging
MG	Module Guide
MIS	Module Interface Specification
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
R	Requirement
SLAM	Simultaneous Localization and Mapping
SRS	System Requirements Specification
V&V	Verification and Validation
Τ	Test
TA	Teaching Assistant

This document presents a verification and validation plan for the LiDart system. It will be used to establish verification and testing procedures and create a plan to determine if the system meets its goals as defined in the Problem Statement and Goals document.

The remainder of this document is structured as follows:

- Section 3 provides background information about the LiDart system which will be subject to verification and validation activities. It also outlines the objectives of this plan and lists relevant documents.
- Section 4 defines the verification and validation team roles and responsibilities. It presents verification methodologies and tools that will be used.
- Section 5 defines what will be tested, and provides specific test cases. Furthermore, traceability matrices are provided to link test cases to requirements.
- Section 6 defines unit test cases that will be used to verify the system.

3 General Information

3.1 Summary

LiDart is a low cost, simple to use, 3D scanning robot. The LiDart system uses of low cost LiDAR sensors, consumer grade web cams, and inexpensive location markers. The user interfaces with the robot through GUI that allows them to remotely drive the robot and perform 3D scans.

3.2 Objectives

The purpose of this document is to create a plan to demonstrate that LiDart satisfies requirements as specified in the SRS and meets the goals of the project. This includes the following objectives:

- Validate that the LiDart system meets its goals
- Create a plan to asses if the LiDart system is in conformance with both functional and non-functional requirements as specified in the SRS
- Identify the methodologies, tools, and equipment that will be used to perform V&V activities
- Create test cases to execute the V&V plan

3.3 Relevant Documentation

Project documentation such as the SRS and design documents are referred to throughout the V&V plan. Relevant documents are included below for reference.

- System Requirements Specification (SRS) [1]
- Problem Statement and Goals [2]
- Module Interface Specification (MIS) [3]

- Module Guide (MG) [4]
- Hazard Analysis (HA) [5]
- User Guide [6]

4 Plan

This section introduces methods and techniques used to verify design requirements and provides a high-level plan to validate the LiDart system.

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

Table 1 summarizes the roles and responsibilities of the verification and validation team.

Table 1: Team Member Roles

Team Member	Roles and Responsibilities
Jonathan Casella	- Software testing and code verification lead
	- Implementation of automated software testing methods
	- Design review lead
Michaela Schnull	- Verification of project documents including the SRS and V&V plan
	- Reporting of verification and validation activities
	- Printed circuit board verification
Kareem Elmokattaf	- Responsible for the design and execution of testing procedures
	- Maintenance of records from testing activities
	- Electrical system verification
Neeraj Ahluwalia	- Preparation and maintenance of testing equipment
	- Mechanical system verification
Independent Reviewers (i.e.	- Quality assurance and independent review
Teaching Assistant)	

4.2 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS will be verified to ensure that requirements are complete, unambiguous, and meet the goals of the LiDart system. The following methods shall be used to verify the SRS:

- Verify that the SRS follows the SRS checklist [7]
- Review from all team members using GitHub pull request reviews
- Independent review from the TA and classmates

4.3 Design Verification Plan

The design of the LiDart system will be verified throughout development to ensure the system meets specifications and functions as intended. The following methods shall be used to verify the design:

- Hold internal design reviews before the proof of concept demo and prior to manufacturing the system for Revision 0 and Revision 1 project phases
- Perform a failure modes and effects analysis
- Independent review from teaching assistants and classmates
- Verify that the design documentation follows the MIS [8] and MG [9] checklists

4.4 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

The V&V plan will be verified to ensure that the plan to verify and validate the LiDart system is complete and feasible. The following methods shall be used to verify the V&V plan:

- Independent review from the TA and classmates
- Review from all team members using GitHub pull request reviews
- Verify that the V&V plan follows the V&V plan checklist [10]

4.5 Implementation Verification Plan

The implementation verification plan will be used to ensure the LiDart system meets all requirements as specified in the SRS. Verification methods that will be used include review, analysis, demonstration, and testing.

- Review: Review can be used when meeting a requirement is evident to a trained observer. Review of engineering drawings, code, or the physical device may be used. Techniques include code walk-throughs, code inspection, and drawing reviews.
- Analysis: Analysis can be used to verify design requirements where physical testing is not necessary, for example through mathematical and computer modeling. Analysis of data obtained through testing may be used to verify requirements. This verification method must be conducted by qualified individuals.
- **Demonstration**: Demonstration can be used to show that the system functions as intended. Unlike testing, demonstration does not require further analysis to determine if the system meets a requirement.
- **Testing**: Testing can be used to verify the behaviour of the system. Testing is conducted in a controlled environment with defined inputs and outputs. Test results must be analyzed to determine if tests pass or fail. Techniques that will be used include unit testing, automated testing, regression testing, and integration testing.

Section 5 specifies system test cases and Section 6 specifies unit test cases.

4.6 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

4.6.1 Rust

Software for the LiDart project will be written in Rust, which natively includes testing facilities. The testing facilities built into Rust are explained in chapter 5 of the rust book [11].

4.6.2 Rustfmt

The primary linter for Rust is Rustfmt. Rustfmt will be used to ensure a consistent programming style across all contributors.

4.6.3 Autodesk Inventor

LiDart's CAD suite of choice, Autodesk Inventor, will be used to ensure no mechanical conflicts exist in the design prior to manufacturing and assembly. This will be done by first modeling the LiDart robot in Autodesk Inventor then using tools within Inventor to detect any collisions or interpenetrating components.

4.6.4 EAGLE

Printed circuit board design will be done in EAGLE, which has built-in error checking tools. ERC (Electrical Rule Check) will be used to test schematics for electrical errors. DRC (Design Rule Check) will be used to ensure there are no board layout errors.

4.7 System Validation Plan

This section lays out a series of validation exercises that will be performed before the system is deemed suitable for general users. The following exercises are designed to test the 3 major goals set out in the problem statement.

4.7.1 Accurate 3D Scanning

To validate the accuracy of the 3D scans a test environment will be constructed and scanned. The test environment will have objects with known shapes at predetermined positions. The resulting 3D scan will be compared against the expected values for the test environment. If the point cloud matches the expected result within some tolerance this validation exercise will be deemed complete.

4.7.2 Low Cost Hardware

To validate that the goal of low cost, easily accessible hardware was met two exercises will be performed.

The first exercise will be to compare LiDart's price to other options in the market with equivalent features and comparable build quality. LiDart's price should fall below the median price of the existing solutions.

The second exercise will be to evaluate the accessibility of the hardware used by LiDart. During this exercise a list of alternative hardware components will be created for all major components of the

LiDart system (e.g. motor controller, motors, cameras). This exercise will be deemed complete when all major components have at least one alternate part listed.

4.7.3 Ease of Use

To validate LiDart's ease of use a focus group will be used. The focus group will consist of subjects with no prior knowledge of the system. Each member of the focus group will be provided with the User Guide then asked to scan an object. The time required to complete the scan and the percentage of successful subjects will be used to determine the success of this exercise. The focus group will be asked to answer questions about their experience in a usability survey after using the system. The usability survey is found in Appendix B.

5 System Test Description

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

The following test cases present verification methods for functional requirements of the LiDart system.

5.1.1 Robot Control

The following test cases verify requirements related to the control of the robot.

T1: R1

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The GUI is open on main page

Input: User presses an arrow key on the keyboard (left, right, up, down)

Output: The GUI displays the direction selected by the user

How test will be performed: The user will press one of the arrow keys, the GUI will then display

the direction that the user has pressed

T2: R3

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial State: Robot powered-on, connected, and stationary Input: Arrow key movement (example: right arrow key)

Output: Robot moves in the direction specified (example: robot rotates to the right)

How test will be performed: The robot will be given a series of inputs from the keyboard such as right, left and forward. The robot will then be observed and make sure that it follows the motions specified. This will verify that the robot is taking the input from the user as specified.

T3: R2

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial State: The robot is operating normally Input: Emergency stop button is pressed

Output: The motors are disconnected from power and the robot stops moving

How test will be performed: Inputs (move left, right, forwards, and backwards) will be sent to the robot with the emergency stop activated, and the robot should not action any of them.

T4: R3

Verification Method: Demonstration Initial State: The robot is stationary

Input: From the control, there will be input specified to move the robot forward, backwards,

left and right

Output: Robot should move in all specified directions

How test will be performed: Inputs will be sent to the robot and the robot should operate

accordingly. The robot should move forward, backward, and turn left and right.

T5: R4

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The robot is stationary and powered-on

Input: No inputs are given to the robot

Output: Robot does not move or do any functions

How test will be performed: Robot will be left without any inputs given to it and its behaviour

will be monitored/observed.

T6: R6

Verification Method: Testing

Initial state: The robot is powered-on

Input: User initiates connecting to the robot through the GUI

Output: The GUI shows that a connection has been successfully established

How test will be performed: A WiFi connection will be established through the GUI.

T7: R5, R6

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The robot is powered-on and is connected to the GUI Input: Commands to the move the robot will be sent over WiFi

Output: The robot will respond to the commands issued by the user

How test will be performed: A user will operate the robot remotely through a WiFi connection.

T8: R3, R5, R6

Verification Method: Demonstration Initial state: The robot is stationary

Input: Through a remote device connected over the internet, there will be commands given to

the robot (example: Move left and Scan)

Output: Robot should move left and then start scanning

How test will be performed: Robot will be remotely connected to a control source and the robot will then be given commands from the control source and the actions of the robot will be observed.

T9: R5, R6

Verification Method: Testing Initial state: Robot will be idle

Input: Through the internet connection established, parameters will be sent to the robot (ex-

ample: robot status)

Output: Robot will respond over WiFi connection with the robot status

How test will be performed: Over a WiFi connection a control will send certain parameters to

the robot and the expected output should be received from the robot.

T10: R7

Verification Method: Testing

Initial state: Robot is currently off

Input: The switch shall be turned on, then off

Output: The robot should power-on when the switch is turned on and power down when the

switch is turned off

How test will be performed: The robot will be powered-on and off using the power switch.

T11: R8

Verification Method: Testing

Initial state: The robot battery has low charge

Input: The robot battery will be connected to a charger and charged for the battery's specified charging time

Charging time

Output: The battery should be fully charged

How test will be performed: The battery will then be connected to a charger and tester will observe as the battery percentage increases on the GUI.

5.1.2 State Estimation and Scanning

The following test cases verify requirements related to the state estimation and scanning subsystems.

T12: R5, R9, R10

Verification Method: Testing

Initial state: Robot is operating without having scanned anything

Input: Robot scan

Output: Output from the robot to the remote connection will be the scans coming from the

LiDAR sensor

How test will be performed: The robot will be placed close to an object and instructed to scan the object. The tester will then observer the output from the robot on the remote connection.

T13: R10

Verification Method: Testing

Initial state: State estimation has not been performed Input: A set of simulated landmark measurements

Output: Estimated state

How test will be performed: A set of simulated landmark measurements will be generated along with the correct state at that time. These simulated measurements can then be provided to the state estimation subsystem and the estimated state can be compared against the correct state at that time.

T14: R11

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The GUI has stitched point cloud data

Input: User instructs the GUI to export the scanned data to a file

Output: The GUI will output a .obj file containing the stitched point cloud data

How test will be performed: The robot will have stitched point cloud data and then will be

asked to export the data as a .obj file that the user can save on their device.

T15: R5, R9, R12

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The robot moving with the camera installed

Input: The user is moving the robot around

Output: Live feed from the camera is displayed on the GUI

How test will be performed: The tester will move the robot and watch the live feed on the GUI.

T16: R5, R13

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The robot has successfully performed state estimation and is not moving

Input: The robot is instructed to scan

Output: A live preview of the raw LiDAR data is displayed on the GUI.

How test will be performed: The tester will observe the raw LiDAR data displayed on the GUI as the scan is happening.

T17: R9, R14

Verification Method: Demonstration

Initial state: The robot is powered-on but not connected

Input:

- 1. Establish a connection with the GUI over WiFi
- 2. Wait for the robot to localize.
- 3. Command the robot to scan the environment
- 4. Drive the robot to a location where there are no visible markers

Output: The following states should be displayed on the GUI:

Initial state - Waiting for Connection

After input 1 - Idle

After input 2 - Ready to Scan

After input 3 - Scanning

After input 4 - Fault

How test will be performed: Robot will be put in different states (Waiting for Connection, Idle,

Ready to Scan, Scanning, Fault) and the output will be observed on the GUI.

Initial state: Robot scanning the object

Input: User continuing the scan of an object

Output: Current information on the scan. The current 3D scan model of the scan

How test will be performed: Tester will observe as the scan is happening and look at the output on the GUI from the robot. The tester will be able to confirm that the scan is coming as the robot is scanning.

T18: R9, R14

Verification Method: Demonstration Initial state: Robot is ready to scan

Input: User asking for the current state of the robot

Output: Robot outputs that it is ready to scan

How test will be performed: Robot will be put in different states (Idle, ready to scan, etc..) and then asked to output the state to the GUI. The tester will be able to confirm that the output is matching what is expected.

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

The following test cases present verification methods for non-functional requirements of the LiDart system.

5.2.1 Accuracy Requirements

T19: NFR1

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is fully assembled

Input: n/a

Output: The 3D scan must be within SCAN_TOL

How test will be performed: The object that was scanned will be measured. The measurements

from the object will be compared to that of the 3D scan provided by the robot.

5.2.2 Performance Requirements

T20: NFR2

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is fully assembled and is being commanded to move

Input: n/a

Output: The robot should move at a speed of at least ROBOT_SPEED

How test will be performed: The time it takes the robot to cover a set distance will be measured.

The speed can then be calculated.

T21: NFR3

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on

Input: n/a

Output: The robot must run for RUN_TIME

How test will be performed: The robot will be fully charged, and then left to operate. The time

from when it is turned on until the battery runs out will be measured using a timer.

T22: NFR4

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI

Input: n/a

Output: The system must be able to produce a 3D scan within SCANNING_TIME

How test will be performed: Once the robot starts scanning, the timer will be started until a

single planar scan is completed. When it is completed the timer will be stopped.

T23: NFR5

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI

Input: n/a

Output: The system must be able to output the final 3D scan withing MA_PROCESS_T time How test will be performed: Once the robot starts scanning the object, the timer will be started. Once the robot is fully done scanning and has produced the 3D scan file, the timer will

be stopped.

T24: NFR6

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI

Input: n/a

Output: The robot must respond within MAX_RESP_T amount of time

How test will be performed: A command will be sent to the robot at a specified time, the time

it takes the robot to respond will be measured.

T25: NFR7

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: n/a

Input: n/a

Output: The live feed of the video must have a minimum resolution of MIN_VIDEO_RES How test will be performed: The camera specifications and the user application software shall

be reviewed to ensure they support MIN_VIDEO_RES.

T26: NFR8

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI

Input: n/a

Output: The live feed displayed on the GUI must have a maximum delay of MAX_VIDEO_DELAY How test will be performed: Record the screen of the GUI using an external camera. Turn on an LED that is within the view of the external camera. The number of frames recorded by the external camera between the LED turning on and the LED displaying on the video feed of the GUI can be used to determine the video latency.

5.2.3 Usability Requirements

T27: NFR10

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: Robot is fully assembled

Input: n/a

Output: The robot must weigh less than ROBOT_MAX_WEIGHT.

How test will be performed: The robot shall be weighed on a scale. The weight of the robot and the accuracy of the measuring device must be recorded.

T28: NFR11

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: Robot is fully assembled

Input: n/a

Output: The robot dimensions must be less than ROBOT_MAX_DIM

How test will be performed: The robot dimensions (length x width x height) shall be measured with a measuring tape. The robot dimensions and the accuracy of the measuring device must

be recorded.

T29: NFR12

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The GUI application is open on the main page

Input: User navigates through the menu

Output: The application goes to the page requested by the user

How test will be performed: Each page will be navigated to from the main screen. The number of navigation levels will be recorded for each page. It must take less than MAX_NUM_LEVELS to navigate to any page on the application.

T30: NFR13

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: The GUI main page is open

Input: n/a
Output: n/a

How test will be performed: A qualified individual will review the application to ensure all text

is consistent, has a minimum font size of MIN_FONT_SIZE, and is sans-serif.

T31: NFR9

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI through WiFi

Input: Users are instructed to test the system using instructions provided in the User Guide

Output: At least 9/10 users successfully use the system

How test will be performed: A usability test shall be performed. Users will be given the User

Guide and instructed to drive the robot, perform a scan, and save the scanned data.

5.2.4 Error-Handling Requirements

T32: NFR15

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI through WiFi

Input:

1. Disconnect the cameras/sensors

2. Attempt to perform a scan when the robot is moving

Output: Error messages must be displayed for each of the failure modes

How test will be performed: The system will be provided with incorrect user input, which should result in the system displaying error messages.

T33: NFR16

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The robot is powered-on and connected to the GUI through WiFi

Input: An invalid input is given to the system

Output: The system stores the error message into a .log file

How test will be performed: An invalid input is given to the system (e.g. scan when the robot is not localized). The system either creates a .log file if there initially wasn't one or appends the error into the already existing .log file.

5.2.5 Maintainability Requirements

T34: NFR17

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: n/a

Input: n/a Output: n/a

How test will be performed: Mechanical assembly drawings shall be reviewed to ensure it takes

maximum of REPLACE_TIME to replace any part on the robot.

T35: NFR18

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: n/a

Input: n/a Output: n/a

How test will be performed: A qualified individual shall review drawings and the assembled

robot to determine if all hardware and electronic components are easily accessible.

T36: NFR19

Verification Method: Analysis

Initial State: n/a

Input: n/a Output: n/a

How test will be performed: A list of alternative hardware components will be created for all major components of the LiDart system (e.g. motor controller, motors, cameras). All major components should have at least one alternate part.

5.2.6 Portability Requirements

T37: NFR20, NFR21

Verification Method: Testing

Initial State: The GUI application is installed

Input: Open the application

Output: The application must run without crashing or performance issues

How test will be performed: The application will run on a computer with the specifications

IntelCore i5 processor and 8 GB of RAM or equivalent.

5.2.7 Safety Requirements

T38: NFR22

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: The robot is fully assembled

Input: n/a
Output: n/a

How test will be performed: A qualified individual shall inspect the robot to ensure there is no

exposed wiring.

5.2.8 Standards Requirements

T39: NFR25

Verification Method: Review

Initial State: The robot is fully assembled

Input: n/a Output: n/a

How test will be performed: A qualified individual shall inspect the robot to ensure it is in conformance with CSA 22.1:21 (Canadian Electrical Code) [12] and CSA Z434 (Industrial robots

and robot systems) [13]

5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

The following tables show the traceability between test cases and requirements from the SRS. Table 2 shows the functional requirements and Tables 3 and 4 show the non-functional requirements.

Table 2: Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix

	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	T7	T8	Т9	T10	T11	T12	T13	T14	T15	T16
R1	X															
R2			X													
R3		X		X				X								
R4					X											
R5					X		X	X			X			X		
R6						X	X	X								
R7									X							
R8										X						
R9											X			X		X
R10											X	X				
R11													X			
R12														X		
R13															X	
R14																X

Table 3: Non-Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix (1 of 2)

	T19	T20	T21	T22	T23	T24	T25	T26	T27	T28	T29	T30	T31	T32
NFR1	X													
NFR2		X												
NFR3			X											
NFR4				X										
NFR5					X									
NFR6						X								
NFR7							X							
NFR8								X						
NFR9													X	
NFR10									X					
NFR11										X				
NFR12											X			
NFR13												X		
NFR14														
NFR15														X
NFR16														
NFR17														
NFR18														
NFR19														
NFR20														
NFR21														
NFR22														
NFR23														
NFR24														
NFR25														

Table 4: Non-Functional Requirements Dependency Matrix (2 of 2)

	T33	T34	T35	T36	T37	T38	T39
NFR1							
NFR2							
NFR3							
NFR4							
NFR5							
NFR6							
NFR7							
NFR8							
NFR9							
NFR10							
NFR11							
NFR12							
NFR13							
NFR14							
NFR15							
NFR16	X						
NFR17		X					
NFR18			X				
NFR19				X			
NFR20					X		
NFR21					X		
NFR22						X	
NFR23							
NFR24							
NFR25							X

6 Unit Test Description

As unit tests depend on the design of the various submodules (eg. input and output data formats) the design of all unit tests will be delayed until after the detailed design document is written.

Once the detailed design document has been written unit tests will be created for each software subsystem.

References

- [1] N. Ahluwalia, J. Casella, K. Elmokattaf, and M. Schnull, "Software requirements specification," 2022.
- [2] J. Casella, "Problem statement and goal," 2022.
- [3] N. Ahluwalia, J. Casella, K. Elmokattaf, and M. Schnull, "Module interface specification," 2022.
- [4] N. Ahluwalia, J. Casella, K. Elmokattaf, and M. Schnull, "Module guide," 2022.
- [5] M. Schnull and K. Elmokattaf, "Hazard analysis," 2022.
- [6] N. Ahluwalia, J. Casella, K. Elmokattaf, and M. Schnull, "User guide," 2022.
- [7] S. Smith, "SRS and CA checklist," 2022.
- [8] S. Smith, "MIS checklist," 2022.
- [9] S. Smith, "MIS checklist," 2022.
- [10] S. Smith, "System verification and validation plan checklist," 2022.
- [11] Rust Programming Language, Testing. https://doc.rust-lang.org/rustc/tests/index.html.
- [12] CSA Group, "CSA C22.1:21 Canadian electrical code, part I (25th edition), safety standard for electrical installations," tech. rep., 2021.
- [13] CSA Group, "CAN/CSA-Z434-14 Industrial robots and robot systems (adopted ISO 10218-1:2011, second edition, 2011-07-01, with Canadian deviations and ISO 10218-2:2011, first edition, 2011-07-01, with Canadian deviations)," tech. rep., 2019.

A Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

Constant	Description	Value
ROBOT_SPEED	Maximum speed of robot in navigation mode.	$0.5 \mathrm{m/s}$
SCAN_TOL	Maximum deviation between sufficient scans.	+/- 1cm
RUN_TIME	Maximum time robot can run before needing to be recharged.	3hrs
SCANNING_SPACE	Unit used for defining robot scan speed	1 square meter
SCANNING_TIME	Time elapsed to complete scan of a specified scanning space.	2mins
MAX_PROCESS_T	Time needed for the robot to process raw scanned data.	10min
MAX_RESP_T	Time needed for robot to respond to navigational commands given by user.	1s
MIN_VIDEO_RES	Minimum resolution of video output feed in GUI.	1280x720p
MAX_VIDEO_DELAY	Max time between change in camera and output video feed in GUI.	1s
ROBOT_MAX_WEIGHT	Maximum weight of the fully assembled robot.	$50 \mathrm{kg}$
ROBOT_MAX_DIM	Maximum size of the fully assembled robot.	1m x 1m x 3m (LxWxH)
MAX_NAV_LEVELS	Maximum number of layers required to access any function in GUI.	4
MIN_FONT_SIZE	The minimum font size used in the GUI for accessibility purposes.	14pt
EXECUTE_TASK_TIME	Time it would take an average user to execute 9/10 tasks within the GUI.	10s
REPLACE_TIME	Time it would take an average user to replace a damaged component.	1hr

B Usability Survey Questions

- 1. On a scale of 1-10, how easy is it to navigate the user application?
- 2. On a scale of 1-10, how helpful are the error messages that are displayed?
- 3. On a scale of 1-10, how would you rate the usability of the application?
- 4. On a scale of 1-10, how easy is it to read text on the application?
- 5. Were you able to successfully use the system by following the instructions in the User Guide?

C Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

1. What knowledge and skills will the team collectively need to acquire to successfully complete the verification and validation of your project? Examples of possible knowledge and skills include dynamic testing knowledge, static testing knowledge, specific tool usage etc. You should look to identify at least one item for each team member.

There are a number of skills that will be needed for the verification and validation of the project. This includes creation of testing plans, use of automated testing tools, and use of specialized measuring equipment. Furthermore, domain-specific knowledge required to perform static verification through code and drawing reviews.

Software Verification and Validation - Jonathan Casella

Software testing includes the use of a CI workflow, running automated tests, and performing static code verification. A development workflow will be created using GitHub. Software testing will be done using built-in Rust testing tools. Rustfmt will be employed to ensure code meets the coding standards required for this project.

PCB Verification - Michaela Schnull

Verification of printed circuit boards (PCB) is essential to reduce errors and rework. PCB verification tools that are built into EAGLE will be used, including ERC and DRC checks.

Mechanical Verification & Testing Equipment- Neeraj Ahluwalia

Verification of mechanical systems will prevent rework of manufactured parts and ensure the system functions correctly. Autodesk Inventor collision detection tools will be used to verify there is no interference between parts. Furthermore, knowledge of calibrating and using measuring equipment such as calipers and tape measures is required to perform testing activities.

Electrical Verification and Validation - Kareem Elmokattaf

Verification of electrical systems is essential to ensure the system is safe and functions correctly. Domain specific knowledge is required to perform verification of electrical drawings and ensure the system is safe and meets standards.

2. For each of the knowledge areas and skills identified in the previous question, what are at least two approaches to acquiring the knowledge or mastering the skill? Of the identified approaches, which will each team member pursue, and why did they make this choice?

Software Verification and Validation - Jonathan Casella

GitHub documentation on implementing CI and the MECHTRON 4TB6 tutorial on using CI will both be consulted to develop a workflow for CI. Rust is an open source programming language with documentation available online. Chapter 5 of the *rustc book* will be used to acquire the knowledge to implement software tests. Rust also provides documentation on the linter tool, Rustfmt.

PCB Verification - Michaela Schnull

EAGLE documentation will be consulted to use ERC and DRC verification tools. Additionally, domain specific knowledge will be acquired using textbooks and online educational resources about PCB design. This knowledge can then be used to perform verification activities such as review and analysis.

Mechanical Verification & Testing Equipment- Neeraj Ahluwalia

Autodesk Inventor documentation and online video tutorials will be consulted to implement collision detection tools. Mechanical knowledge will be obtained from engineering textbooks as well as online from researching robotics. This knowledge can be used to perform drawing and design reviews. Knowledge of calibrating and using specialized measuring equipment will be obtained by referring to website tutorials and past coursework.

Electrical Verification and Validation - Kareem Elmokattaf

Textbooks and online resources will be used to acquire the electrical knowledge required to verify that the system is safe and functions correctly. Furthermore, CSA standards will be consulted to ensure the system is in conformance with requirements.