Wrocław University of Science and Technology Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

Field of study: **IST** Speciality: **CE**

MASTER THESIS

Title

Maciej Sroczek

Supervisor

dr inż. Dariusz Konieczny

Keywords: mobile app performance, native, cross-platform, Kotlin, Swift, Flutter, React Native, Ionic

ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

STRESZCZENIE

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

CONTENTS

| 1. | Intro | duction | 3 |
|----|-------|--|----|
| | 1.1. | The aim of the thesis | 4 |
| | 1.2. | The scope of the thesis | 4 |
| | 1.3. | The structure of the thesis | 4 |
| 2. | Liter | ature review | 5 |
| | 2.1. | Related work | 5 |
| | 2.2. | Mobile development relevancy | 5 |
| | 2.3. | Development approaches | 5 |
| | | 2.3.1. Native mobile development | 5 |
| | | 2.3.2. Web development | 5 |
| | | 2.3.3. Cross-platform mobile development | 5 |
| | 2.4. | Evaluation of cross-platform frameworks | 6 |
| | 2.5. | Performance measurement | 6 |
| | | 2.5.1. Mobile development | 6 |
| | | 2.5.2. Web development | 6 |
| 3. | Resea | arch method | 7 |
| | 3.1. | Performance metrics | 7 |
| | | 3.1.1. Mobile environment | 7 |
| | | 3.1.2. Web environment | 7 |
| | 3.2. | Research scenarios | 7 |
| | 3.3. | Testing tools | 7 |
| | | 3.3.1. Mobile environment | 7 |
| | | 3.3.2. Web environment | 7 |
| | 3.4. | Testing devices | 7 |
| 4. | Imple | ementation of sample applications | 8 |
| | 4.1. | App 1??? | 8 |
| 5. | Resea | arch results | 9 |
| | 5.1. | Mobile environment | 9 |
| | | 5.1.1. App 1??? | 9 |
| | 5.2. | Web environment | 9 |
| | | 5.2.1. App 1??? | 9 |
| 6 | Discu | ussion | 10 |

| | 6.1. | Mobile environment | . 1 | 10 |
|----|---------|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| | 6.2. | Web environment | . 1 | 10 |
| 7. | Sum | mary | | 11 |
| | 7.1. | Contribution | | 11 |
| | 7.2. | Limitations | | 11 |
| | 7.3. | Suggestions for future work | • • | 11 |
| Bi | bliogr | aphy | . 1 | 12 |
| Li | st of F | Figures | . 1 | 13 |
| Li | st of T | Tables | . 1 | 14 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years, mobile devices, such as smartphones, tablets, or even smartwatches, could be seen as a rather essential part of human lives. This is confirmed by the big and still increasing number of over 7 billion mobile users across the world [4]. Because nearly 90 percent of users spend their time using different apps, the number of mobile app downloads is very high, at over 200 billion in 2020, which has a direct impact on the expansion of the mobile app market [5]. The growth of the mentioned market results in the evolution of different implementation methods for mobile development, with native and cross-platform being the most widely used.

Native mobile development implies creating software that can only be run on a specific platform (operating system), such as Android or iOS [1]. In order to do so, platform-specific tools must be utilized. In the case of Android, the programming language Kotlin may be used, and in the case of iOS, Swift. While it can be seen as a limitation, it provides some advantages, such as being able to use different elements of the system directly and, with that, maximize the achievable performance.

Cross-platform mobile development aims to eliminate the need to implement multiple versions of the same mobile app in order to make it available for users of different platforms. This method assumes the use of a single codebase that enables building the app for various operating systems. From the perspective of a user, each of them should perform and look as if they were implemented natively [3]. Such an approach quickly became popular among developers, including successful companies such as Meta and Google [2]. Some examples of cross-platform frameworks are Flutter, React Native, and Ionic.

All of the differences between the above-mentioned implementation approaches can make them more or less applicable in various scenarios. The selection of either native or cross-platform development method as well as the specific technology is really important because it may directly affect aspects such as development time, cost, and overall end-product quality. However, most of the popular solutions are constantly being updated, which leads to the necessity of recurrent comparative analysis in order to obtain the most up-to-date state of the art. Such knowledge will then be helpful to determine in which cases different development approaches and tools should be optimally used.

1.1. THE AIM OF THE THESIS

The purpose of this master's thesis is to carry out research on the performance of selected cross-platform frameworks in comparison to each other and to native development methods. A number of metrics will be selected for analysis based on a literature review and personal experience. Exemplary applications will be prepared as an environment for the experiments. The results will form the basis for defining the advantages and downsides of developing single codebase cross-platform applications. Furthermore, optimal scenarios of use will be proposed for each studied framework and native technology.

1.2. THE SCOPE OF THE THESIS

To begin with, a problem analysis will be performed, which will result in defining the specifications for the experiments to be carried out. Conducted experiments will provide data for further analysis, which will be organized into groups based on the experiment environments, studied platforms, and frameworks. The results will be interpreted in the context of quality and possible optimal use-cases for implementing mobile applications using the selected frameworks and native methods. All of the research must be documented.

1.3. THE STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS

The thesis has been divided into seven chapters. The first chapter aims to provide a brief introduction to the topic. The second chapter contains the literature review, which helps to present the relevancy of the subject matter as well as provide knowledge necessary for the further work. In the third chapter, the research method is mostly defined based on the literature review. The fourth chapter concerns the implementation of testing environments and the realization of prepared experiments. In the fifth chapter, the results from performed experiments are visualized and described. The sixth chapter contains the discussion that emerged from the experiment results and the conclusions drawn. Finally, in the last chapter, the complete work is summarized and key takeaways are featured. Additionally, limitations are explained, and suggestions for future work are proposed. The dissertation closes with a bibliography as well as lists of figures and tables.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1. RELATED WORK | |
|---|---------------------|
| 2.2. MOBILE DEVELOPMENT RELEVANCY | |
| 2.3. DEVELOPMENT APPROACHES | |
| 2.3.1. Native mobile development | |
| 2.3.1.1. Android | |
| Include Material!!! | |
| 2.3.1.2. iOS | |
| Include Cupertino!!! | |
| 2.3.2. Web development | |
| 2.3.3. Cross-platform mobile development | |
| 2.3.3.1. Flutter | |
| 2.3.3.2. React Native | |
| 2.3.3.3. Ionic | |
| 2.3.3.4. Comparison | |
| tutai tahelka z frameworkami I w kolumnach rozne elementy, nn | supported platforms |

itd.?

2.4. EVALUATION OF CROSS-PLATFORM FRAMEWORKS

2.5. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

- 2.5.1. Mobile development
- 2.5.2. Web development

3. RESEARCH METHOD

- 3.1. PERFORMANCE METRICS
- 3.1.1. Mobile environment
- 3.1.2. Web environment
- 3.2. RESEARCH SCENARIOS
- 3.3. TESTING TOOLS
- 3.3.1. Mobile environment
- 3.3.2. Web environment
- 3.4. TESTING DEVICES

4. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAMPLE APPLICATIONS

4.1. APP 1???

5. RESEARCH RESULTS

- **5.1. MOBILE ENVIRONMENT**
- 5.1.1. App 1???
- **5.2. WEB ENVIRONMENT**
- 5.2.1. App 1???

6. DISCUSSION

- **6.1. MOBILE ENVIRONMENT**
- **6.2. WEB ENVIRONMENT**

7. SUMMARY

- 7.1. CONTRIBUTION
- 7.2. LIMITATIONS
- 7.3. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Flutter Impeller

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Competition Markets Authority, *Mobile ecosystems. Market study final report.* Updated 4 Aug. 2022.
- [2] Kotlin, The six most popular cross-platform app development frameworks, https://kotlinlang.org/docs/cross-platform-frameworks.html. Accessed 18 Apr. 2023.
- [3] Lachgar, M., Hanine, M., Benouda, H., Ommane, Y., *Decision framework for cross-platform mobile development frameworks using an integrated multi-criteria decision-making methodology*, International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications 13(1). 2022.
- [4] Statista, Forecast number of mobile users worldwide 2020-2025, https://www.statista.com/statistics/218984/number-of-global-mobile-users-since-2010/. Accessed 18 Apr. 2023.
- [5] TechJury, 55+ jaw dropping app usage statistics in 2023, https://techjury.net/blog/app-usage-statistics/#gref. Accessed 18 Apr. 2023.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES