

Week 12. Misspecification

May 26, 2024

1 Misspecified Models and Projection

Let \mathcal{M} be any convex set of curves and μ be any curve outright.

Exercise 1 Show that the model's closest curve to μ ,

$$\mu^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|m - \mu\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2$$

satisfies

$$\langle \mu^* - \mu, m - \mu^* \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all curves } m \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (1)$$

And draw a diagram or two to illustrate how your argument works and help you remember the result.

Hint. Focus on what goes on in a small neighborhood of μ^* . Instead of looking at the inner product $\langle \mu^* - \mu, m - \mu^* \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}$, look at $\langle \mu^* - \mu, m_\lambda - \mu^* \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$ for a curve $m_\lambda = \mu^* + \lambda(m - \mu^*)$ and take $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Hint. We know that $\|\mu^* - \mu\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2 \leq \|m_\lambda - \mu\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2$. Why? And why is convexity important?

Hint. Recall that $\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2 = \langle u, u \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$. If we expand $\|m_\lambda - \mu\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2$ into a quadratic $a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c$, $\langle \mu^* - \mu, m - \mu^* \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$ will show up.

Exercise 2 Explain why our least squares estimator estimator,

$$\hat{\mu} = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_i - m(X_i)\}^2,$$

will converge to μ^* , even if our model is misspecified in the sense that $\mu \notin \mathcal{M}$. In particular, explain the role the property (1) plays.

Exercise 3 Why is it not necessarily the case that (1) is true when \mathcal{M} isn't convex? Think about how this affects our least squares estimator $\hat{\mu}$. Can you think of a case — a curve μ and a non-convex model \mathcal{M} — in which $\hat{\mu}$ doesn't converge to anything at all? It may help to draw some pictures.

Exercise 4 See if you can generalize (1) and subsequent arguments to say something about the weighted least squares estimator, $\operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n w(X_i) \{Y_i - m(X_i)\}^2$. Why might we want to use weighted least squares? If you like, think about the case that we're estimating a treatment effect using a regression discontinuity design.

Hint. Is (1) specific to the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$ and the corresponding norm $\|v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{P}_n)}$ or does it work for all inner products $\langle u, v \rangle$ and closest points in terms of corresponding norm $\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$?