Both exploit generators may be compiled with a standard gcc command:

```
gcc -o exploit_local exploit_local.c
gcc -o exploit exploit.c
```

To execute the exploits, simply pipe the output of the program into a netcat command with the respective victim IP address and port number:

```
./exploit_local | nc [VICTIM IP ADDRESS] [VICTIM PORT NUMBER]
./exploit | nc 10.247.49.156 8888
```

The exploit for the remote attack on the device located in the infrastructure should return a shell to a device on port **6288**, however, I was unable to get this exploit to function properly. The local exploit works under the conditions that I have tested it. To develop these exploits, I began with a proof-of-concept attack on a locally-run nweb instance on a Redhat9 virtual machine. I started by transferring nweb to the machine using WiNSCP and installing it in the root directory. After installing, I made sure Redhat9 would generate core files of unlimited size (ulimit -c unlimited) and executed the web server, running it on port 8888. With the web server running, I then began to attack it using a terminal on a Kali Linux virtual machine. At first, I tried a large number of A's, using the perl script suggested in the assignment guidelines. This attack produced a core file on the victim machine that, when opened using GDB, revealed the EIP had been overwritten by A's (**0x41414141**). To determine where the EIP was in relation to the buffer that had been overflowed, I generated a pattern of length 2000 and, like before, sent it to the web server. This time, the core file on the victim machine revealed an EIP value of **0x42346942** which, when processed by the offset calculator, determined that the offset between the buffer and the EIP was 1032 bytes. With this value, the exploit could now be constructed. Using the Metasploit framework, I generated a payload using the alpha mixed encoder whose listening host (LHOST) had been set to the IP address of my Kali Linux virtual machine and the listening port (**LPORT**) had been set to a port of my choosing (6288 in this case). Combining 1032 NOP instructions, followed by the JMP ESP instruction, followed by 500 more NOPs and, finally, the above payload, I was able to construct an exploit string that, when sent to the running nweb server, returned a shell to the Kali Linux machine who was listening on that port. However, when I tried to substitute the payload for one whose **LHOST** was **10.247.49.156** and **LPORT** was, again, 6288, I could not seem to get a shell returned on the listening machine. Unfortunately, I did not have enough time to debug my exploit program for this instance, but I suspect it may have been to long to work properly in this context. Had I split the exploit string into two payloads divided by the JMP ESP instruction, as it is depicted in the lecture slides, I may have been more successful in this attack.