

Performance and calibration of the NIKA camera at the IRAM 30 m telescope

A. Catalano¹, M. Calvo², N. Ponthieu³, R. Adam¹, A. Adane⁴, P. Ade⁵,
P. André⁶, A. Beelen⁷, B. Belier⁸, A. Benoît², A. Bideaud⁵, N. Billot⁹,
N. Boudou², O. Bourrion¹, G. Coiffard⁴, B. Comis¹, A. D'Addabbo^{2,11},
F.-X. Désert³, S. Doyle⁵, J. Goupy², C. Kramer⁹, S. Leclercq⁴,
J. F. Macías-Pérez¹, J. Martino⁷, P. Mauskopf^{5,12}, F. Mayet¹, A. Monfardini²,
F. Pajot⁷, E. Pascale⁵, L. Perotto¹, V. Réveret⁶, L. Rodriguez⁶, G. Savini¹⁰,
K. Schuster⁴, A. Sievers⁹, C. Tucker⁵, R. Zylka⁴

¹ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble Alpes,
CNRS/IN2P3, 53, rue des Martyrs, Grenoble, France

² Institut Néel, CNRS and Université de Grenoble, France

³ Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble (IPAG), CNRS and
Université de Grenoble, France

⁴ Institut de Radio Astronomie Millimétrique (IRAM), Grenoble, France

⁵ Astronomy Instrumentation Group, University of Cardiff, UK

⁶ Laboratoire AIM, CEA/IRFU, CNRS/INSU, Université Paris Diderot, CEA-Saclay,
91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

⁷ Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale (IAS), CNRS and Université Paris Sud, Orsay,
France

⁸ Institut d'Électronique Fondamentale (IEF), Université Paris Sud, Orsay, France

⁹ Institut de Radio Astronomie Millimétrique (IRAM), Granada, Spain

¹⁰ University College London, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Gower Street,
London WC1E 6BT, UK


¹¹ Università Sapienza di Roma, Italy

¹² SESE and Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

June 20, 2014

Abstract

The New IRAM KID Array (*NIKA*) instrument is a dual-band imaging camera operating with Kinetic Inductance Detectors (KID) cooled at 100 mK. *NIKA* is designed to observe the sky at wavelengths of 1.25 and 2.14 mm from the IRAM 30 m telescope at Pico Veleta with an estimated resolution of 13 arcsec and 18 arcsec respectively. This work presents the performance of the *NIKA* camera prior to its opening to the astrophysical community as an IRAM common user facility in early 2014. *NIKA* is a test bench for the final *NIKA2* instrument to be installed at the end of 2015. The last *NIKA* observation campaigns on November 2012 and June 2013 have been used to evaluate this performance and to improve the control of systematic effects. We discuss here the dynamical tuning of the readout electronics to optimize the KID working point with



respect to background changes and the new technique of atmospheric absorption correction. These modifications ~~improve~~significantly the overall linearity, sensitivity, and absolute calibration performance of *NIKA*. This is proved on observations of point-like sources for which we obtain a best sensitivity (averaged over all valid detectors) of 40 and 14 mJy.s^{1/2} for optimal weather conditions for the 1.25 and 2.14 mm arrays, respectively. *NIKA* observations of well known extended sources (DR21 complex and the Horsehead nebula) are presented. This performance makes the *NIKA* camera a competitive astrophysical instrument.





1. Introduction

From p. 20 on you use the US spellings (-meter).

New challenges in millimetre wave astronomy require instruments with a combination of high sensitivity, angular resolution, and mapping speed. These goals demand the development of a new generation of arrays with large number of detectors (see for example Bintley et al. (2010); Staguhn et al. (2008); Niemack et al. (2008); Güsten et al. (2008)). Current individual detectors, such as high impedance bolometers (Tauber & Planck Collaboration 2012), are already photon noise limited both for space and ~~the~~ ground observations.

One of the possible technologies suitable for scaling up to larger format arrays is the kinetic inductance detector (KID). These detectors are designed to be read out with frequency division multiplexing with a large multiplex factor from a few hundred to several thousand per readout chain Bourrion et al. (2012a); Swenson et al. (2010)) and are relatively simple to fabricate (see for example Day et al. (2003); Doyle et al. (2007)). This technological solution has been selected for the *NIKA* project (Monfardini et al. (2010, 2011)) consisting of a dual-band millimetre camera for observations at the 30 m Institut de RadioAstronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) telescope (Pico Veleta, Spain).

The *NIKA* camera is a 356-pixel instrument conceived as a resident common user instrument and an early technology demonstrator of the competitiveness of KID arrays. *NIKA* also serves as preparation for *NIKA2*, a full-scale instrument to be deployed in late 2015 and designed to fill the field of view of the IRAM 30 m telescope. The goals of the *NIKA* instrument are to perform simultaneous observations in two bands (1.25 mm and 2.14 mm) of milliJansky point sources ~~as well as~~ to map faint extended continuum emission with a diffraction-limited resolution and a background-limited performance. Achieving these goals will enable the *NIKA* instrument to be competitive in several astrophysical fields, such as observations from the Northern hemisphere of clusters of galaxies detected by PLANCK via the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect (Planck Collaboration et al. (2013b)), observations of high redshift galaxies and quasars, detection of early stages of star formation in molecular clouds in our galaxy and mapping of dust and free-free emission in nearby galaxies. In a companion paper, we present the first observation of the thermal SZ effect on cluster of galaxies (Adam et al. 2013). The *NIKA* camera is now open to the public for observations as of January 2014.

Previous observing campaigns with the *NIKA* camera have revealed several technical aspects that limited the sensitivity of detectors. Calvo et al. (2013) have shown how to linearize the KID signal, while another important point is the working point of the detectors that is evolving with time, due to varying atmospheric conditions, thus producing a loss of response. A dynamical tuning of the readout electronics has been developed in order to optimize the KID working point between two different sky observations. Atmospheric absorption correction is also required and a dedicated procedure has been developed in order to use the *NIKA* instrument as a tau-meter.

These improvements were implemented for the last two technical observing campaigns that took place on November 2012 and June 2013. We report here the overall linearity, sensitivity and absolute calibration of *NIKA*.

This article is organized as follows: a review of the main characteristics of the NIKA instrument, focusing on the instrumental improvements with respect to the previous observing campaigns, is presented in Sect. 2 and Sect. 3. In Section 4, we describe the sky observations made with NIKA that allowed us to characterise the focal plane. In Section 5 a short description of the reduction pipeline used to analyse the data is provided. In Section 6 we describe the atmospheric absorption correction method. In Section 7, the final performance of NIKA in terms of instrumental noise equivalent flux density (NEFD) is calculated on point-like sources. Finally in Section 8 we detail the observations of point sources and extended sources of the last November 2012 (run5) and June 2013 (run6) campaigns.

2. The NIKA Instrument

Cooling the two KID arrays at about 100 mK is the major requirement that drives the architecture of the NIKA instrument. This is achieved by a 4 K cryocooler and a closed-cycle ^3He - ^4He dilution. The optical coupling between the telescope and the detectors is made by warm aluminum mirrors and cold refractive optics. The optics consists in order of photon travel of a flat mirror at the top of the cryostat, an off-axis biconic-polynomial curved mirror, a 300 K window lens, a field stop, a 4 K lens, an aperture stop, a dichroic, a 100 mK lens and two band-defining filters in front of the back-illuminated KID arrays, which have a backshort matching the corresponding wavelength. A view of the optics of the NIKA instrument and the coupling with the 30 m telescope is presented in Fig. 1. All the elements presented in this figure are real optical elements except for the vertical segment showing the entrance of the receiver cabin and the vertical segments inside the cryostat (field and pupil diaphragms, filters, dichroic and detectors arrays).

The throughput of each pixel is calculated using the knowledge of the telescope diameter and equivalent focal, the fraction of unvignetted pupil defined by the cold field stop, and the receiver pixel (KID) size with respect to diffraction pattern. Using A for Area, Ω for solid Angle, u for pixel size in unit of $F\lambda$ (λ = wavelength and F = f-number or relative aperture), we have

$$A\Omega_{pixel} = \frac{A_{M1}(uF\lambda)^2}{f_{eq}^2} = (\pi/4)(u\lambda)^2$$

Where A_{M1} is the effective primary mirror diameter and f_{eq} is the equivalent focal of the telescope. The effective throughput of the instrument is then $A\Omega_{effective} = N * A\Omega_{pixel}$, where N is the number of valid receiver pixels.

The rejection of out-of-band emission from the sky and the telescope is achieved by using a series of low-pass metal mesh filters, placed at different cryogenic stages in order to minimize the thermal loading on the detectors. This determines the shape, width and position of each of the NIKA bands. Spectral characterisation of the NIKA bandpass was performed using a Martin-Puplett interferometer allowing to recover the spectral performance of each pixel of the two NIKA channels with uncertainties of a few percent. In Fig 2 we present the NIKA bandpasses together with the ATM model calculated for different water vapor contents (Pardo et al. (2002)). According to the Pardo model, the 1.25 mm channel is almost sensitive exclusively to the water vapour emission (water vapour secondary line at 183 GHz) in contrast with the 2.14 mm channel, which is slightly sensitive to the roto-



focal point? focus?




Figure 1. Snapshot from the Zemax simulation used to optimize the optical system of NIKA. In order of photon travel, the NIKA optics consists of a flat mirror at the top of the cryostat, an off-axis biconic-polynomial curved mirror, a 300 K window lens, a field stop, a 4 K lens, an aperture stop, a dichroic, a 100 mK lens and two band-defining filters in front of the back-illuminated KID arrays. Top panel: ray tracing from the entrance of the receiver cabin of the 30 m telescope (which is simulated but not shown in the image), view from the elevation axis (which is symbolized by the big circles and contains the 2 mirrors of the Nasmyth system). The NIKA cryostat and entrance nose are symbolised by the rectangles. Bottom panel: zoomed plot of the optical system inside the NIKA cryostat. The angular size, the beam efficiency and the overall optical efficiency of the system are presented in Table 1.

) see note above

vibrational emission line of dioxygen (at 119 GHz). ATM also predicts the contributions of minor species like ozone to the atmospheric absorption. These additional contributions are ignored in this paper. Changing the precipitable water vapour (pwv) content in the ATM model, we can derive the expected sky opacities integrated into the NIKA channels. The ratio between the opacities derived for the 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm channels is obtained not only according to the pseudo continuum emission of the atmosphere (proportional to ν^2 where ν represents the electromagnetic frequency) but also taking into account the contribution of the water vapor emission and dioxygen emission. Furthermore, between the 2012 and 2013 observing campaigns we changed (for technical reasons) the cold optical filter chain by adding a low pass filter with a cut-off at 270GHz. Therefore, we expect to have a different ratio in the derived sky opacities at 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm channels between the two campaigns. From the model, we obtain a ratio between the in-band sky opacities $\tau(2.14 \text{ mm})/\tau(1.25 \text{ mm})$ of 0.75 and 0.6 for the 2012 and 2013 observing campaigns, respectively.




Figure 2. NIKA bandpasses. The bandpass of the 1.25 mm channel (resp. 2.14 mm) is shown in red (resp. blue). The bandpasses are averaged over all valid pixels, with dispersion (rms) of 2 % at 1.25 mm and 1 % at 2.14 mm. The ATM model calculated for different water vapor contents is presented in green.

	1.25 mm Channel	2.14 mm Channel
Pixel Size [mm]	1.6	2.3
Dual Polarisation	yes	yes
Angular Size ($F\lambda$)	0.9	0.79
Beam Efficiency [%]	55	70
Detector Efficiency [%]	80	80
Overall Optical Efficiency [%]	30	30
Total Background [pW]	45	20

Table 1. Characteristics of the NIKA instrument coupled to the IRAM telescope. The total background is calculated per pixel with a contribution of the atmosphere derived in good weather conditions. This corresponds to an expected photon noise level equal to about $5 \cdot 10^{-17} W/\sqrt{Hz}$ for the 2.14mm channel and $9 \cdot 10^{-17} W/\sqrt{Hz}$ for the 1.25mm channel. This table has to be considered as a good indication of the characteristic of the NIKA instrument. The presented parameters have a 50% precision level.

from ? to ?
This information is more for the text than the table note, except the last sentence.
→ to text
not here

2.1. Detector arrays configurations

The NIKA LEKID detector arrays are made of Aluminum and they are sensitive to dual polarisation. The need to have a symmetric design with a constant filling factor over the whole direct sensitive area drives us to use Hilbert fractal design, which is a well-known geometry for patch antennas (Roesch et al. (2012)). The gap of the Al films has been measured thanks to absorption spectra taken in the lab using a Martin-Pupplet interferometer. The measurements show that below roughly 110 GHz, no radiation is absorbed, meaning that the energy gap in the case of 18nm Al films is approximately 0.2meV, and the Tc around 1.45K. The expected film impedance (with respect to the incoming photon able to




Figure 3. Image of the bondings added across the feedline (top). As can be seen in the frequency sweep carried out before (blue) and after (red) adding the bondings (bottom), the depth of the different resonances has become much more uniform, *since* the coupling of the resonators to the feedline is no longer affected by the presence of standing waves supported by the slotline modes. Such standing waves were also responsible of the larger dips that are observed before adding the bondings and that *en* disappear afterwards.

break Cooper pairs) is actually that of the normal film state. This has been measured to be around $2 \Omega/\text{square}$. This value has been used when designing the detectors *in order* to match their effective impedance to that of the incoming radiation (which is absorbed from the substrate side, in a 'back-illumination' configuration).

The results reported in this paper cover two different observing campaigns at the telescope, with slightly different properties of the two focal planes:

- **Campaign 11/2012.** During this campaign, referred to as *run 5*, the 2.14 mm array is made of 132 pixels with a 20 nm thick Al film on a 300 μm HR silicon substrate. The 1.25 mm array consists of 224 pixels with a film of the same thickness but on a 180 μm substrate. The cold amplifier of the 240 GHz channel showed an unexpectedly low saturation power, so that we could *readout simultaneously only 8 pixels* with the ideal excitation level, or alternatively 90 pixels but with a lower power per tone, resulting in sub-optimal performance. The number of valid pixels were 100 and 80 for the 2.14 mm and 1.25 mm channels respectively. The configuration adopted during this observing campaign limited the final sensitivity of the instrument. The channel at 2.14 mm (*that we changed for the 2013 campaign*) was limited by the detector noise. For the 1.25 mm channel, the detectors noise was always relatively flat. This means that the intrinsic frequency noise due to random variations of the effective dielectric is negligible compared to the sky noise. The cold amplifier temperature noise was also negligible compared to the sky noise.

– **Campaign 06/2013.** This campaign is referred to as ~~run~~ 6. For the 2.14 mm channel, we replaced the array with a new one, obtained from a thinner Al film (18 nm), in which we ~~further optimized the coupling~~ to the feedline. We also added bondings across the feedline to suppress spurious slotline modes that were affecting the uniformity of the pixel properties, which led to an increase in the number of valid pixels (figure 3). The overall geometry and the pitch between pixels was left unchanged, as was the readout chain. For the 1.25 mm channel, the only intervention was ~~the replacement~~ ~~of~~ ~~the cold amplifier with a new one having a higher power handling. RF filters have also been added on the readout chain to avoid the harmonics of the local oscillator from reaching the cold amplifier input. Since we suspected that the optical load on the 1.25 mm array was preventing it from cooling down appropriately, we added an additional low-pass edge filter cutting frequencies above 270 GHz, even though this led to the loss of a fraction of the power available in the atmospheric window of interest. The number of valid pixels for this campaign was 125 at 2.14 mm and 190 at 1.25 mm, for a total of 315 pixels. This new configuration was expected to yield a much improved low noise performance~~ but the bad weather during the observing campaign limited the sensitivity of our arrays. For both channels the dominant noise contribution was due to residual sky noise associated to atmospheric turbulences and residual correlated electronic noise.

For the readout, we used the new NIKEI version 1 electronics, which have worked flawlessly. The boards, described in detail in Bourrion et al. (2012b), are capable of generating up to 400 tones each over a 500 MHz bandwidth. This is achieved by using ~~6~~ ~~six~~ separate FPGAs: five of them generate 80 tones each over a 100 MHz band, using five associated DACs. The sixth FPGA acts as a central unit that combines the signal of the other units, appropriately shifting and filtering the different 100 MHz sub-bands to finally cover the whole 500 MHz available for the frequency comb used to excite the detectors. An analogous, but reversed, process is then applied to the signal acquired by the ADC of the board, which is once again split into ~~five~~ different sub-bands treated separately. Each NIKEI v1 board thus allows us to monitor all the 400 tones simultaneously with a margin of ~~2~~ ~~two~~ bits in the 12 bits ADC dynamics.

Data are acquired at a 23.842 Hz rate, synchronously over the two arrays. Binary files are provided as output, which contain the raw data, as well as the resonant frequency of each KID used to set the corresponding excitation tone.

The principal characteristics of the NIKA instrument are presented in Table 1.

3. Readout optimisation procedure

In the standard KID readout scheme, each pixel is excited using a fixed tone at its resonant frequency. The signal transmitted past the detector is compared to a reference copy of the excitation signal to get its in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) components. From these it is then necessary to estimate the corresponding shift in resonance frequency f_0 of the detector, because this is the physical property directly related to the incoming optical power: $\delta f_0 \propto \delta P_{opt}$ for small values of δP_{opt} (Swenson et al. (2010)). Finding a reliable way to evaluate $f_0(t)$ ~~low~~




Figure 4. Representation in the I-Q plane of a sweep around a resonance. The measure of the angles φ and ϑ can be carried out for each acquired point thanks to the modulated readout technique. On resonance, one gets $\vartheta = 0$

starting from $I(t)$ and $Q(t)$ represents a challenge, and this is especially true in the case of ground-based experiments, as these have to cope with the effects induced by the variations in atmospheric opacity. To improve the photometric reproducibility, we have developed a measurement process which is described in the next subsection.

3.1. Modulated Readout

For the NIKA detectors an innovative readout technique has been developed, which has been successfully tested during the 2011 run and adopted for all the following campaigns. The details of this technique are described in Calvo et al. (2013). Briefly, the underlying idea is to replace the standard excitation of the detectors, which uses a fixed tone, with a new excitation based on two different frequencies. We achieve this by modulating the Local Oscillator signal between two values, separated by δf_{LO} , in order to generate two tones, one just above ($f_+ = f_0 + \delta f_{LO}/2$) and one just below ($f_- = f_0 - \delta f_{LO}/2$) the detector resonant frequency. The modulation is carried out at about 1 kHz, synchronously to the FPGA sampling of the signal. Thus, each raw data point, sent to the acquisition software at a rate of 23.842 Hz, is composed by the values $(I(t), Q(t))$ of each pixel as well as the corresponding differential values

$$\left(\frac{dI}{df}(t), \frac{dQ}{df}(t) \right) = \left(\frac{I(f_+) - I(f_-)}{\delta f_{LO}}, \frac{Q(f_+) - Q(f_-)}{\delta f_{LO}} \right) \quad . \quad (1)$$

If a variation $(\Delta I(t), \Delta Q(t))$ is observed between successive points, it is possible to estimate the corresponding shift in the resonant frequency, $\Delta f_0(t)$, by projecting $(\Delta I(t), \Delta Q(t))$ along the gradient found using equation 1:

$$\Delta \hat{f}_0(t) = \frac{(\Delta I(t), \Delta Q(t)) \cdot (dI/df(t), dQ/df(t))}{(dI/df(t), dQ/df(t))^2} \cdot \delta f_{LO} \quad . \quad (2)$$

We use the name $RFdIdQ$ to refer to this estimate of Δf_0 .

3.2. Automated tuning procedure

The modulated readout technique is the core of a fast and effective method to retune the detectors that we have successfully implemented during the last two campaigns. When operating from ground, the variations in the background load due to the atmosphere can cause the resonant frequency of the detectors to vary by a substantial amount, introducing greater shifts that can be in some cases larger than the resonances themselves. This effect must be constantly monitored and, if needed, counterbalanced by changing the excitation tones, in order to always match the resonant frequency of each pixel thus keeping the detectors near to their ideal working point.

The standard solution is that of performing full frequency sweeps before each on-sky observation, leading to a significant loss of observing time. The new tuning method is based on the measurement of the angle φ between the vectors (I, Q) and $(dI/df_{LO}, dQ/df_{LO})$, as shown in figure 4. Thus, a single data point is now sufficient to retune the detectors without recurring to frequency sweeps. This leads to a crucial advantage in terms of observing time, especially in the case of medium and poor weather conditions. The new procedure reduces the required time for retuning by 75 %. Furthermore, in the case of altazimuthal maps, which are always composed of different subscans, the fast tuning method makes it, in principle, possible to recenter the tones during the time spent by the telescope for changing the direction of its motion at the end of each subscan. Although we still did not make use of this approach, it does not pose any fundamental problem, and might prove highly effective especially in the case of large maps and long integration, in which case the sky conditions can change significantly between the start and the end of an observation.

The tuning process takes place as follows: from the angle φ we calculate $\vartheta = \pi/2 - \varphi$, so that the new angle ϑ varies smoothly, decreasing across each resonance from π to $-\pi$. After an initial frequency scan has been performed to find the resonances, we fix each excitation tone where $\vartheta = 0$. At the same time, the slope of the curve $\vartheta(f)$, which is approximately linear around the resonance, is determined as $\Delta\vartheta/\Delta f$. Once the tones are fixed, for each tone at frequency f^i it is possible to continuously monitor the value of ϑ^i . If the corresponding resonant frequency f_0^i shifts, due to changes in the optical load, this directly translates into a variation of ϑ^i , from which it is possible to estimate the actual value of $f_0^i(t)$ as

$$f_0^i(t) \simeq f^i - \frac{\vartheta^i(t)}{\Delta\vartheta/\Delta f} \quad \text{because} \quad (3)$$

Although this relationship is not exact, mainly due to the fact that the changes in the optical load affect the $\vartheta(f)$ relationship and the corresponding value of $\Delta\vartheta/\Delta f$, the results are very accurate, provided that the shift of the resonance frequency does not exceed the resonance width.

The results can be further improved by iterating the process. For this reason every time that the telescope is not observing we activate an automated tuning procedure. This procedure repeatedly estimates the current value of $f_0^i(t)$ for each detector using equation 3, adjusts the corresponding tone accordingly (figure 5), and updates the coefficient $\Delta\vartheta/\Delta f$ by measuring the value of ϑ^i just before and just after changing the frequency f^i . Thus taking into account the changes in the slope of $\vartheta(f)$ and allowing the excitation tone f^i to rapidly converge to the correct resonant frequency $f_0^i(t)$. The procedure is then halted

This is not a full sentence.
Perhaps you intended it to
continue the preceding one?




Figure 5. Representation of the tuning technique based on the measurement of ϑ . A sweep (solid line) is carried out to look for the resonances where $\vartheta = 0$. The tone is fixed at the corresponding frequency (diamond) and the slope is evaluated (dashed line). If the optical load increases, ϑ changes allowing to evaluate the appropriate correction Δf^i (black arrow). The new tone (circle) will be nearer to the actual position of the resonant frequency, f_0^i , and will be used to update the estimated slope of the $\vartheta(f)$ curve, thus making the iterative tunings increasingly more accurate.

as soon as a new observation starts. In preparation of the NIKA open scientific pools, we will add an automatic check of the distance of each tone from its corresponding resonance. If this distance increases too much (something that would lead to incorrect data), the tone is automatically recentered on the resonance. The process is thus not continuous but carried out only in case of need. Under good sky weather conditions, even very long scans can be carried out without having to change the tones. This automatic tuning process will allow astronomers to observe throughout the future campaigns with no interventions of the supporting NIKA team.

4. Field-of-view (FOV) properties and main beam characterisation

The frequency multiplexing of NIKA prevents us from knowing *a priori* the pointing direction of each detector on the sky. This has to be determined on astronomical observations. We therefore scan a strong astromical source with the entire focal plane. The calibration source, typically a planet (Mars, Uranus, Neptune), is small compared to our beam and can be considered as a point source. Indeed, during the 2012 observing campaign, the angular diameter of Uranus was 3.54 arcsec. The convolution of the corresponding disk with

a gaussian beam of 13.5 and 18.4 arcsec FWHM would broaden our beam by only 0.17 and 0.12 arcsec at 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm respectively.

The source is raster scanned at 35 arcsec/s, and each subscan is 420 arcsec long, centered on the source as the latter is being tracked by the telescope. This scanning speed provides about 10 and 12 points per FWHM at 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm resp., hence providing excellent beam sampling. To have a clean determination of the beam parameters (position, width, and orientation) of each KID, we proceed in two steps.

1. We apply a median filter of approximately 5 FWHM of width to the detector timelines to subtract most of the atmospheric signal and the low frequency correlated electronic noise while preserving most of the planet signal (less than 1 % lost at scales smaller than

Or do you mean "because" here?
See Sect. 6.2 of www.aanda.org/language-editing






Figure 6. Detector sampling of the FOV at 1.25 mm (left) and 2.14 mm (right) for the 2012 (top) and 2013 (bottom) observing campaigns. Beam pattern contours have a diameter of $1\sigma = FWHM/\sqrt{8 \ln 2}$.

2.5 x FWHM). These timelines are then projected onto individual maps per detector, and a Gaussian ellipse is fitted on the source. Centroid position provides a first estimate of the pointing parameters of each pixel. The amplitude of the Gaussian gives a calibration in Jy/Hz, where Hz represents the shift in resonant frequency for each detector. If the atmospheric absorption is known and accounted for, this becomes a determination of the absolute calibration of each detector. If not, this can still be considered as a cross-calibration of KIDs altogether, and they can be combined accordingly into a single map of the source. This map provides the position of the source in sky coordinates.

- With this information in hand, we can flag out the source in all KID timelines and build a template of the low frequency part of the signal (mostly sky noise and electronics noise) using, at each time, all detectors that are far from the source (typically further than a few beam FWHM, e.g. 30 arcsec). This template is then subtracted from each KID timeline. This leads to a clean determination of the planet signal with no filtering. Timelines are then projected a second time onto individual maps per KID, and a Gaussian elliptical fit provides the final pointing parameters and FWHM estimates.

For illustration, Figures 6 and 7 show maps of the 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm detector images and the distribution of the determined beam widths for 2012 and 2013 observing campaigns. Several scans on calibration sources are performed across the campaign to improve on the reconstruction of the pointing. For the 2012 observing campaign, with typically six such scans, we observe a median variation of the detector pointing directions of 3.4 and 3.2 arcsec at 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm respectively. Although beams were observed a bit larger




Figure 7. Beam FWHM distribution at 1.25 (blue) and 2.14 (red) mm for the 2012 (left) and 2013 (right) observing campaigns. The distribution of FWHMs was of lower quality during 2013 observing campaign due to poor weather conditions.

and asymmetric during 2013 observing campaign because of the weather conditions¹, the number of valid pixels increased and the FOV was almost fully sampled. For 2012 observing campaign, the average FWHM were 12.3 ± 0.2 arcsec at 1.25 mm and 18.1 ± 0.3 arcsec at 2.14 mm. For 2013 observing campaign, they were 13.5 ± 0.9 at 1.25 mm and 18.4 ± 0.8 arcsec at 2.14 mm. Notice that the combined beam is larger than individual detector beams because of possible small offset in the reconstructed detector position in the FOV.

5. Data Reduction

As discussed in section 3.1, the NIKA data are acquired at 23.842 Hz and each pixel is fed a single tone. For each sample and for each KID, the in-phase (I), the quadrature (Q), and their derivatives (dI and dQ) of the transfer function of the feed line and the pixel are recovered. The variation of resonance frequency for each KID in the array is obtained by applying the optimisation procedure, $RFdIdQ$, discussed in Sect. 3.1. We developed a dedicated reduction pipeline to calibrate, filter and process data onto sky maps. The main steps of the processing are:

- **Read raw**: raw data and the main instrumental parameters including FOV reconstruction and atmospheric opacity for each observational scan. The data are ordered per kid and regularly sampled with time. This is called a TOI (Time ordered Information).
- **Flags**: Bad detectors are flagged based on the fact that the frequency range is not large enough to have a low cross-talk level. Noisy or badly systematic affected detectors are flagged depending on the statistical properties of their noise (such as gaussianity, stationarity, noise jumps). Saturated and off-tone KIDs are also flagged out.
- **Filtering**: Frequency lines produced by the vibration of the cryostat pulse tube are flagged and removed with dedicated Fourier space filtering.
- **Cosmic rays**: We detect glitches in the raw data. Since 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm KID arrays are different (in terms of size of the pixels and thickness of the substrate), the rate of observed glitches varies between them. We observe about 6 glitches/min in the 2.14 mm array and about 4 for the 1.25 mm array. The observed glitch rate is in good agreement with the expected cosmic ray flux at the IRAM telescope, which is

¹ Under turbulent sky, the anomalous refraction is larger and spreads the incoming light, hence enlarging the image.

essentially composed by muons with a rate of the order of 2 events/cm²/min Ramesh et al. (2012). The time response of the KIDs is of the order of hundreds of μ s which is negligible compared to the NIKA acquisition rate, therefore each cosmic ray hit affects only a single sample. Glitches are removed from the TOI by flagging peaks at 5 σ level. Then the TOI is linearly interpolated in order not to perturb the decorrelation method. Flagged samples are not projected onto the sky.

– **Calibration of the TOI**: The absolute calibration is applied to these TOIs and an atmospheric absorption correction is performed (see section 6.2).

– **Atmospheric and electronic noise decorrelation**: Depending on the scientific target, two basic decorrelation methods have been tested:

1) dual-band decorrelation: spectral decorrelation is performed in order to recover the diffuse thermal SZ effect (see Adam et al. (2013) for more detail) in clusters of galaxies.

We capitalize on the fact that the thermal SZ associated signal is significantly different between the two frequency channels. In particular at 240 GHz the thermal SZ emission is (to first order) negligible and we can use this channel to obtain a template of the atmospheric emission.

2) single-band decorrelation: a sky noise TOI template is produced by averaging all TOIs of a single array. This template is scaled for each detector and subtracted from individual TOIs. Variations on this method have been tested. They involve masking the point source if bright enough, or masking an extended source if detected in a previous iteration of the map-making process or filtering the sky noise template. In particular, we consider an iterative procedure. A first sky map is constructed as discussed above. From this map we construct a mask of the source in the TOI. We construct a new TOI sky noise template, avoiding samples within the mask. Only few iterations are needed to converge.

So far the electronic noise has been not dealt with. In general, the cross-talk can be due either to resonator coupling or to electronics cross-talk. The residual estimated cross-talk in the data is of the order of 2 %. Decorrelation process reduces or in some case completely removes the cross-talk. This can limit the sensitivity of the final sky maps. We are currently investigating how to correct for this relying on the use of measurements at frequencies that are off-resonance.

(as above)

Map making: We project and average the TOIs from all KIDs of a same frequency band on a pixelized map. We do not project flagged data and each detector sample is weighted by the inverse variance of the detector timeline. The latter is estimated far from the source. This weighting scheme does not average down any residual correlated noise coming from the sky for instance. The error bar on flux measurement that we quote is therefore not computed analytically from these weights but rather from the noise on the map directly.

Uncertainties on final maps are also computed.

6. Photometric Calibration

The variety of *NIKA* scientific targets going from thermal SZ observations to dust polarization properties requires an accurate calibration process able to define as well as possible the impact of systematic errors on the final sky maps. The overall calibration uncertainty for point sources on the final data at the map level is estimated to be 15 % for 1.25 mm channel and 10 % for 2.14 mm channel. In the following, a list of the principal error sources, which have a direct impact on the total calibration uncertainties, is presented. In Tab 2, we quantify these contributions.

- **Primary calibrator:** Uranus was selected as the primary calibrator for the *NIKA* absolute calibration. The absolute calibration factor is derived by fitting a gaussian of fixed angular size on the reconstructed maps of Uranus. The flux of Uranus is deduced from Moreno (2010) / Planck Collaboration et al. (2013a) using a frequency dependent model of the planet brightness temperature and integrating over the *NIKA* bandpasses. We obtained brightness temperatures at 113 K at 2.14 mm and 94 K at 1.25 mm. This model is accurate at the level of 5 %.
- **Elevation dependent gain:** The IRAM 30 m telescope has a gain changing with the elevation. This effect is due to the fact that the antenna was not designed in a complete homology way. According to the design and measurements (Greve et al. 1998; Kramer et al. 2013), the peak of the elevation gain curve is obtained for an elevation of 43 degrees. Elevation gain variations have been corrected for in the data analysis.
- **Spectral response:** The bandpasses as described in section 2 are obtained as an average of all pixels for each channel. This leads to a contribution to the total calibration error due to the dispersion of the measured bandpasses and to the slightly different response of the pixels.
- **FOV reconstruction:** In order to recover the pointing direction of each pixel, a focal plane reconstruction via planet scans is used. The accuracy of this technique has been described in Section 4.
- **Secondary beam fraction:** Planet observations were used to measure individual pixel primary beams as well as for the FOV reconstruction. The beam width is obtained by fitting a gaussian on the planet maps. We have observed that variations on the atmospheric conditions lead to changes on the beam width. As we calibrate assuming a fix beamwidth, these variations induce a systematic error in the final calibration.
- **Opacity correction:** The sky maps are corrected for the atmospheric contribution rescaling the observed signal by what that would be obtained in the absence of atmosphere. This is achieved via the elevation scan technique (skydip). The *NIKA* skydip procedure was successfully tested during the two last *NIKA* observing campaigns, and it produced a low level dispersion of the derived opacity at different elevations.

Data Reduction Filtering: The main steps of the data processing have been discussed in section 5. The estimated systematic error introduced by the data reduction filtering (in particular due to the common modes subtraction) is estimated at 5 % for both channels. This was computed from the dispersion between different processing modes.

In the following we discuss in more detail the *Secondary beam fraction contribution* and the atmospheric absorption correction.

6.1. Secondary beam fraction

The secondary beam fraction needs to be estimated and accounted for in the case of extended sources. This is known by comparing the *NIKA* beam pattern with the beam pattern of the 30 m telescope as measured by Kramer et al. (2013) (K13) on the Lunar edge using the EMIR receiver. For practical purpose we have divided the beam ~~on~~^{INTO} three regions: short angular scales corresponding to the main beam, intermediate angular scales corresponding to the first error beam, and large angular scales assimilated to far side lobes of the 30m telescope. To compute the main beam we have performed a Gaussian fit to the full observed NIKA beam pattern. The best Gaussian fit is shown in yellow. The *NIKA* main beam is consistent with the one of K13 ~~because~~ as we expect the main beam to be defined by the diameter of the 30 m telescope. In the same way far side lobes, which are expected to come mainly from the second and third error beams of the 30 m telescope caused by small adjustment errors of the panels and their frames, are also consistent between *NIKA* and K13. However, the first error beam observed in the *NIKA* beam pattern is larger ~~compared than~~ *since* ~~to~~ the one modelled by K13. This is not unexpected as the observations were carried out at a different time of the day under different weather conditions. In Fig 8, we show profiles and maps of the beam emphasising the contribution of the secondary beam for both *NIKA* channels. The results on the estimation of its contribution are presented in Table 2.

6.2. Atmospheric absorption correction using a *Skydip* calibration

In the previous observing campaigns the atmospheric absorption correction was made using the IRAM tau-meter that performs elevation scans continuously at a fixed azimuth at 225 GHz. To derive the opacity at the exact position of the scan and at the same frequencies as *NIKA*, we have implemented a procedure ~~in order to use~~ ^{that's} the *NIKA* instrument itself as a tau-meter. For the last 2012 and 2013 observing campaigns, the *NIKA* atmospheric calibration has consisted in measuring the variation of the resonance frequencies of the detectors versus the airmass via elevation scans (*skydip* Dicke et al. (1946)) from 65 to 20 degrees above the horizon. The procedure is based on the idea that the KIDs response (the change in resonant frequency for a given change in absorbed power) is a constant property of each detector. This has been demonstrated in laboratory under realistic conditions (with an optical load changing between about 50 K and 300 K, Monfardini et al. (2013))

During a *Skydip* the telescope performs ~~ten~~ elevation steps corresponding to variations of 0.18 in air mass ~~each~~. We perform a tuning of the readout electronics before acquiring ~~a~~ useful signal. For each step we have 22 seconds of useful signal at a given elevation. This is shown ~~in~~ on the top plot of Figure 9 where we present the observed elevation as a function of time during the *Skydip* procedure.

We expect the acquired useful signal per detector to respond to the airmass as follows:

$$F_{\text{skydip}}^{\text{Ground}} = F_0 + CT_{\text{atm}}[1 - e^{-x\tau_{\text{skydip}}}] \quad (4)$$

Systematics	1.25 mm Channel Error	2.14 mm Channel Error
Secondary beams fraction (cuts at 30°, 60°, 90°)	25%, 41%, 43%	9%, 30%, 33%
Primary Calibrator	5%	5%
Elevation Dependant Gain Correction*	20%	10%
Spectral response	2%	1%
FOV reconstruction	3.4 arcsec	3.2 arcsec
Opacity Correction	5%	6.5%
Data Reduction Filtering (on point sources)	5%	5%
Overall Calibration	15%	10%

Table 2. Different contributions to the total calibration error of the *NIKA* data. The secondary beams fraction is estimated by measuring the main beam volume ($2\pi\sigma^2$) over the integral of the beam volume up to a considered angular radius. * Typically for 1.25 mm the gain correction is about 8 % at an elevation of 30 deg (3 % at 2.14 mm).

Here, F_{skydip}^{Ground} is the acquired signal corresponding to the absolute value of the shift in the frequency tone for each pixel, F_0 is the instrumental offset corresponding to the frequency tone excitation for the considered pixel for zero opacity, C is the calibration conversion factor in Hz/K, T_{atm} (in Kelvin) is the equivalent temperature of the atmosphere, τ_{skydip} is the sky opacity during the skydip (at the wavelength of the fit, either 1.25 or 2.14 mm), x is the airmass, with $x = \sec(\delta)$ where δ is the average elevation of the telescope during the scan. By performing a fit of the three parameters F_0 , C , and τ for all valid detectors at the same wavelengths, we obtain a common sky opacity at zenith during the skydip. We can rerun the fit on each detector, assuming the common τ value, and get the coefficients F_0 and C per detector. In the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 9 we present the main results of the data analysis of one *Skydip* performed during the 2013 campaign.

The F_0 , C coefficients depend only on the response of the detectors, since the non-linearities of the KID frequency signal are negligible in the considered range of backgrounds, the coefficients can be applied to all the observing campaign to recover the opacity of the considered scan. This is obtained by inverting Eq 4 as

$$\tau_{scan_i} = \frac{1}{x_{scan}} \ln \left(1 - \frac{F_{scan_i}^{Ground} - F_{0i}}{C_i T_{atm}} \right), \quad (5)$$

where τ_{scan_i} is the opacity of the considered scan as measured by a given detector, x_{scan} corresponds to the air mass at the elevation of the considered scan, $F_{scan_i}^{Ground}$ is the measured absolute value of the detector resonance frequency during the scan, F_{0i} and C_i are the coefficients derived from the *Skydip* technique. The opacity τ_{scan} is deduced by averaging τ_{scan_i} for all valid detectors at a given wavelength. The brightness of the observed scan map S^{Ground} can be rescaled onto the scale that would be obtained using detectors outside the atmosphere (S^{Star}) with

$$S^{Star} = S^{Ground} \cdot e^{x\tau_{scan}}. \quad (6)$$

An initial

A first advantage of this method is that we do not need to perform the *Skydip* at the exact time of the source observations to correct properly the atmospheric contribution in the considered scan. A second advantage is that we can estimate the atmospheric opacity at the same (Azimuth-Elevation) position of the source, instead of the average sky opacity. Finally, with this method to correct for the atmospheric absorption, the *NIKA* bandpasses are directly taken into account. This method is limited only by the validity of the air-mass scaling law with elevation (secant model) and by the degeneracy of the atmospheric temperature with the opacity in our model. In order to avoid an excessive impact of this degeneracy we performed a few *Skydip* procedures per day of observation.

In Fig 10 we present the measured opacities for all the mapping scans of the *NIKA* observing 2012 (top) and 2013 (bottom) campaigns. During the 2012 campaign on 22nd and 23rd of November the opacity was less than 0.05 for the 1 mm channel. During the June 2013 campaign, the weather was worse, permitting to properly observe with the 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm channels only for about a few hours at the end when the opacity was about 0.1 for the 1.25 mm channel.

6.2.1. Consistency with models

The consistency of the *Skydip* technique can be validated by using the ATM model (Pardo et al. (2002)). We derived the expected opacities integrated into the actual *NIKA* bandpasses over a range between 0.04 and 20 mm of precipitable water vapor. In Fig 11 we present the comparison between the opacities derived for 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm (blue point) channels and the ATM model (purple line). The plots in the top present the results for the 2012 observing campaign and the bottom plots for 2013 observing campaign. The agreement between the measured opacities and the model is good for both campaigns.

The figure captions should contain all the information needed to understand the data presented, and this information should not be repeated in the text.

7. Noise equivalent flux density (NEFD)

The flux of a point source is measured via a 2D Gaussian fit in the final average map with a fixed width and a fixed position. The fit is linear and involves a constant background, evaluated in a square area with a size of ten times the FWHM of the Gaussian distribution, in our case 13 arcsec (resp. 18 arcsec) at 1.25 mm (resp. 2.14 mm). A photometric correction (of the order of 5 %) is applied to the flux measurement to account for the filtering effect induced by the TOI processing.

The noise equivalent flux density (NEFD) is computed as the array-averaged sensitivity to point sources *i.e.* the flux rms obtained in one second of integration. The average is done via the reciprocal of the square of the NEFD. It takes into account the time effectively spent by the array on the source.

Figure 12 presents the NEFD for each valid KID of the array at 1.25 mm (top) and 2.14 mm (bottom) obtained during the observation of MM18423+5938. In this case, the average sensitivities on the sky are ~ 48 and ~ 23 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 1.25 and 2.14 mm, respectively. We note that the sensitivity distribution variation across the array is quite large with the majority of detectors concentrated at the better sensitivity end. If we consider only the best 20 % detectors of each array, then we get an average NEFD of 39 and 15 mJy.s $^{1/2}$.

Figure 13 presents the array-averaged NEFD of the *NIKA* camera at 1.25 and 2.14 mm as a function of the line-of-sight opacity $\tau/\sin(\delta)$, where τ is the zenith opacity and δ the elevation. The NEFD measurements are obtained during the observations described in Table 3. At null zenith opacity, the array-averaged NEFD are respectively ~ 40 and ~ 23 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 1.25 and 2.14 mm, which give an indication of the performance of the 2012 *NIKA* camera in optimal weather conditions. As expected, the sensitivities degrade with increasing opacity, corresponding to worse weather conditions. At 2.14 mm, we observe as expected a degradation due to the opacity effect, following $\exp(\tau/\sin(\delta))$, while at 1.25 mm the degradation seems to be enhanced. Nevertheless, we therefore confirm that the increased power of the *kids* somehow degrades their performance.

Note that the sensitivity at 1.25 mm was limited by a saturation effect on the readout electronics which has been corrected since. By using only 8 detectors, we have been able to detect the faint source MM18423. In that case, the measured averaged 1.25 mm NEFD is 27 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ to be compared with 48 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ for the full-array observation (fig. 12).

SXDF1100.001 has been observed twice during this observation campaign, with two different integration time (resp. 68 and 258 min), and we use it to have a first estimate of the evolution of NEFD and noise with integration time. We note that the noise integrates down as the NEFD is stable and the noise is divided by a factor 2 when increasing the integration time by a factor 4.

The 2013 observation campaign allowed us to test new arrays. Although an additional filter has limited the 1.25 mm band efficiency, we have been able to measure the array-averaged NEFD for two sources (HFLS3 and MM18423) in weather conditions far from optimal (τ up to 0.6). As shown on figure 13, the achieved sensitivities are much better (about 1/3 lower) and do follow an expected exponential trend. We extrapolate a sensitivity at null zenith opacity of ~ 40 (and ~ 14 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 1.25 and 2.14 mm respectively). This improvement is related to technological parameters optimisation as explained in section 2.1.

In conclusion, the *NIKA* instrument has shown a array-averaged NEFD on the sky for point sources of 40 and 14 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 1.25 and 2.14 mm in optimal weather conditions. If we consider typical *NIKA* observing conditions (zenith opacity = 0.1, air mass = 1.5) the array averaged NEFD results 46 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 1.25 mm channel and 15 mJy.s $^{1/2}$ at 2.14 mm channel. However, we expect the 1.25 mm channel sensitivity to be improved in the next observation campaigns.

8. NIKA Observations

The *NIKA* camera has been used during the November 2012 and June 2013 campaigns to observe point-like sources, in order to assess the *NIKA* photometry, and extended sources were used to demonstrate the possibility to reconstruct angular scales up to few arcminute.

Source name	RA	Dec	$F_\nu(1.25 \text{ mm})$	$F_\nu(2.14 \text{ mm})$	$T_{int}(\text{min})$	τ_1	τ_2
	2000	2000	mJy	mJy	min		
HLS091828	09:18:28.600	+51:42:23.300	36.7 ± 4.6	8.3 ± 0.7	83	0.27	0.22
MM18423	18:42:22.500	+59:38:30.000	33.6 ± 3	6.3 ± 0.8	37	0.02	0.03
SXDF	02:18:30.600	-05:31:30.000	28 ± 1.5	4.1 ± 0.4	258	0.03	0.03
HFLS3 ^{r6}	17:06:47.800	+58:46:23.000	16 ± 2	4.0 ± 0.6	16	0.14	0.07
Arp220	15:34:57.100	+23:30:11.000	243 ± 3	52.8 ± 0.8	47	0.11	0.09
HAT084933	08:49:33.400	+02:14:43.000	13 ± 3	1.3 ± 0.8	59	0.08	0.07
HAT133008	13:30:08.560	+24:58:58.300	16 ± 3	4.5 ± 0.8	55	0.14	0.10
PSS2322+1944	23:22:07.200	+19:44:23.000	< 4.6	< 1.7	57	0.06	0.05
GRB121123A	20:29:16.290	-11:51:35.900	< 15	< 1.7	69	0.22	0.18
4C05.19	04:14:37.800	+05:34:42.000	< 6.2	26.3 ± 0.8	15	0.13	0.11
ZZTauIRS	04:30:51.714	+24:41:47.510	77 ± 2	16.2 ± 0.8	40	0.01	0.00
CXTau	04:14:47.865	+26:28:11.010	< 4.6	< 1.7	40	0.02	0.01

Table 3. NIKA Flux and sensitivity of a collection of point sources. The integration time is given in minutes. The average zenith opacities are given in the last two columns for the two NIKA wavelengths. Upper limits are given as 2σ . Most of the data were taken from the 19th to the 24th of November 2012.

Source	RA	DEC	Integration Time	Noise rms 1.25 mm	Noise rms 2.14 mm	τ_1	τ_2
	[deg]	[deg]	[hours]	[mJy/beam]	[mJy/beam]		
Horsehead	85.25	-2.45	1.57	14.5	2.0	0.027	0.022
DR 21 OH	309.75	16.27	0.54	181.0	12.6	0.27	0.22

Table 4. Main properties of the maps of extended sources: center of the map, integration time, rms noise and opacity at the two observation frequencies.

8.1. Millimetre Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) of selected point sources

Point-like sources have been observed during the good weather conditions in the November 2012 and June 2013 campaigns. They have been selected to be faint but detectable, in order to assess the NIKA photometry with fluxes of the order of few tens of mJy.

Tab. 3 describes the measured point-source fluxes at 1.25 and 2.14 mm. Flux errors are statistical only. The opacity corresponds to a value averaged over the scans. Figure 14 presents the spectral energy distribution (SED) of a selection of four sources observed in 2012 and 2013 campaigns and compares it with previous measurements. We note that NIKA observations are in good agreement with previous observations. We have chosen to observe the following point-source high redshift submillimeter galaxies (SMG): MM18423+5938, HLSJ091828.6+514223, SXDF1100.001 and HFLS3. For the two campaigns, the weakest source detected was HFLS3 with a flux of 16 ± 2 mJy at 1.25 mm and 4.0 ± 0.6 mJy at 2.14 mm.

MM18423+5938

us

MM18423+5938 is a submillimeter galaxy at $z = 3.93$ discovered serendipitously (Lestrade et al. (2009)) in a search for cold debris disks around M-dwarfs with MAMBO-2 at the IRAM 30-m millimeter telescope. Flux densities at 1.2 mm, 2 mm and 3 mm have been well measured (Lestrade et al. (2010)). The relatively high flux (about 30 mJy at 1 mm) is explained by the fact that this SMG is gravitationally lensed, as can be assessed by the observation of the CO emission which is consistent with a complete Einstein ring with a major axis diameter of $1.4''$ (Lestrade et al. (2011)). Figure 14 (upper left) presents the SED of MM18423+5938 with NIKA measurements and previous ones (Lestrade et al. 2010). J. P. McKean *et al.* have fitted the SED with a single temperature modified blackbody spectrum, with $\beta = 1.5 \pm 0.5$ and $T = 24^{+7}_{-5}$ K, although the lack of measurements at high frequencies allows for a larger range of temperatures (McKean et al. (2011)). As NIKA data are not included in the fit, we use it to assess the NIKA photometry. It is presented as a solid line in figure 14 (upper left).

HLSJ091828.6+514223

You have not used these until now, except as precise measurement units, so continue the same way, or else change all the others, which is fine, too.

HLSJ091828.6+514223 is an exceptionnally bright source at mm and submm wavelength, discovered behind the $z=0.22$ cluster Abell 773 (Combes et al. (2012)). It appears to be a strongly lensed submillimeter galaxy (SMG) at a redshift of $z=5.24$, the lens being an optical source lying in the neighborhood. It has been measured at 2 mm (IRAM-30 m EMIR), 1.3 mm (SMA), and 0.88 mm (SMA) see (Combes et al. (2012)) and references therein. Figure 14 (upper right) presents the SED of HLSJ091828.6+514223 with NIKA measurements and previous ones (Combes et al. 2012)). F. Combes *et al.* have fitted the SED with a single temperature modified blackbody spectrum, with $\beta = 2$ and $T = 52$ K (Combes et al. 2012). As NIKA data are not included in the fit, we use it to assess the NIKA photometry. The SED is presented as a solid line in figure 14 (upper right).

in

Avoid citing the same source this often in such close proximity.



SXDF1100.001

SXDF1100.001 (also known as Orochi) is an extremely bright (50 mJy at 1 mm) submillimeter galaxy, discovered in 1.1 mm observations of the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep Field using AzTEC on ASTE (Ikarashi et al. (2011)). It is believed to be a lensed, optically dark SMG lying at $z=3.4$ behind a foreground, optically visible (but red) galaxy at $z=1.4$. Continuum flux densities at millimeter wavelengths have been measured by SMA, Carma, Z-SPEC/CSO, and AzTEC/ASTE see (Ikarashi et al. (2011)) and references therein. Figure 14 (lower left) presents the SED of SXDF1100.001 with NIKA measurements. To our knowledge, this is the first measurement at 2 mm. S. Ikarashi *et al.* have fitted the SED with a single temperature modified blackbody spectrum, with $\beta = 1.9$ and $T = 20$ K (Ikarashi et al. 2011). As NIKA data are not included in the fit, we use it to assess the NIKA photometry. It is presented as a solid line in figure 14 (lower right).

As above



Array	1.25 mm	2.14 mm
Valid Pixels	80 (run5) 190 (run6)	100 (run5) 125 (run6)
Field Of View (arcmin)	2.2	2.2
Band-Pass (GHz)	125-175	200-280 (run5) 200-270 (run6)
FWHM (arcsec)	12.3	18.1
Sensitivity (mJy·s ^{1/2})	40	14
Mapping Speed (arcmin ² /mJy ² /hour)	8	57

Table 5. Summary of the NIKA characteristics and performance. Observation campaign 2012 is referred to as run5. Observation campaign 2013 is referred to as run6

HFLS3

HFLS3 has been reported as a massive starburst galaxy at redshift 6.34 (Riechers et al. (2013)). Continuum emission has been measured over a large wavelength range, in particular at millimeter wavelengths (Z-Spec, PdBI/IRAM, CARMA/Caltech, Gismo/IRAM-30m), see (Riechers et al. (2013)) and references therein. Figure 14 (lower right) presents the SED of HFLS3 with NIKA measurements, that are in good agreement.

Other observations

These four comparisons of NIKA observations at 1 and 2 mm with previous observations allow us to assess the NIKA photometry. Other observations (shown in the lower part of Table 3) allow to probe the diversity of sources and fluxes and measure the camera performance in various background conditions. In particular, Arp220 (aka IC 4553) is the closest ($z = 0.018$) ultraluminous infrared galaxy, known to be a merger system composed of a double nucleus. Continuum flux densities at millimeter wavelengths has been measured (Sakamoto et al. 1999; Scoville et al. 1997; Woody et al. 1989) but particular attention must be paid to line contamination due to the the prolific molecular line emission. S. Martin *et al.* have shown that the contamination of molecular emission to the flux constitutes 28 % of the overall flux between 203 and 241 GHz (Martín et al. 2011). HAT084933, HAT133008, PSS2322+1944, and 4C05.19 are high-redshift point sources. GRB121123A is a gamma-ray burst that happened during the 2012 campaign. ZZTauIRS and CXTau are stars detected by Herschel.

8.2. Mapping of extended sources

During the 2012 and 2013 observation campaigns we have observed simultaneously at 1 and 2 mm several well-known extended sources to test the capabilities of the NIKA camera to recover large angular scales up to few arcmin. We performed both elevation and azimuth raster scans to ensure an homogeneous coverage of the mapped area. The size of the scans and integration time have been adapted to each source. We present two examples of extended sources, namely the Horsehead Nebula and DR21 OH regions that have been observed during the 2012 campaign. The center pointing position, the size of the scans and

the integration time for each sources are given in Table 4. We also present the median rms of the map and atmospheric opacity for the 1.25 and 2.14 mm maps.

The Horsehead nebula

The Horsehead nebula is a dark protrusion that emerges from the L1630 cloud in the Orion B molecular complex at about 400 pc. This condensation is illuminated by the 09.5 V star σ Ori which is at a distance of 0.5° from the cloud. It presents a Photon-Dominated Region (PDR) on its western side which is seen edge-on (Abergel et al. 2003).

We concentrate here in the outer neck (Hily-Blant et al. 2005), which consists of the PDR, the nose, the mane, and the jaw. In the top row of Figure 15, we show the 1.25 (left) and 2.14 (middle) mm NIKA maps. The typical 1-sigma error in the map with the pixel size of 3 arcsec is of 14.5 and 2 mJy/beam at 1.25 and 2.14 mm, respectively. The NIKA 1.25 mm is consistent with the 1.2 mm continuum map (Hily-Blant et al. 2005) obtained with MAMBO2, the MPIfR 117-channel bolometer array from 30 m IRAM telescope (Kreysa 1992). We clearly observe in the NIKA maps the PDR region whose morphology changes significantly from one frequency to another. This is also obvious in the spectral index map presented in the right figure that ranges between 2 and 5. The northern part of the PDR presents a significantly flatter spectral index (about 2) than the southern part and the mane (about 3.5). Two possible explanations are CO 2-1 contamination at 1 mm and/or high dust emissivity spectral index (Schneider et al. 2013). There is also additional evidence of 2 mm emission only that might be due to free-free emission.

$lc \times 3$

hand (US)
UK = -hand

DR21 complex

DR21 is a giant star-forming complex and is located in the constellation Cygnus at ~ 3 kpc from Earth (Campbell et al. 1982; Schneider et al. 2011; Hennemann et al. 2012). H₂O masers (Genzel & Downes 1977) and a map of the 1.3-mm continuum emission (Motte et al. 2005) show that DR21 belongs to a north-south oriented chain of massive star forming complexes. DR21 is composed from north to south of three main regions DR21, DR21 OH and DR21 Main (DR21M). DR21M has a mass of $\sim 20000 M_\odot$ and contains one of the most energetic star forming outflows detected to date (Garden et al. 1991a,b; Garden & Carlstrom 1992).

US = oriented



hand

We present in the bottom row of Figure 15 the NIKA maps of the DR21 complex at 1.25 (left) and 2.14 (right) mm. We observe in these the three main regions in the complex, the most intense being DR21OH and DR21M. As shown in the right plot of the row, the spectral characteristics of these two regions are significantly different. DR21M has a flatter spectrum in the frequency range of NIKA. We suspect the presence of strong free-free emission.

9. Conclusion and Perspectives

In this paper we have shown that NIKA is a competitive instrument for millimeter wave astronomy using KID detector technology.

No R

We have presented several instrumental and data analysis improvements including:

- a reliable optimization of the detectors working point that increased significantly the number of valid detectors and their responsivity;
- an automatic self atmospheric absorption correction along the line-of-sight;
- a data analysis pipeline adapted to KID specifics.

These lead to a significant improvement of the performance in terms of measured NFED and to an accurate photometry on point sources.

Table 5 summarises the main NIKA characteristics and performance as measured on the sky. We have obtained a sensitivity (averaged over all valid detectors) of 40 and 14 mJy.s^{1/2} for best weather conditions for the 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm arrays respectively estimated on point-like sources. Additionally, the camera performance can be quantified with its mapping speed: the area that can be observed per unit time at a given sensitivity.

The future NIKA2 will be made of about 1000 detectors at 2.14 mm and 2 × 2000 at 1.25 mm with a circular field of view of ∼ 6.5 arcmin diameter. NIKA2 will be commissioned at the end of 2015. In addition the NIKA2 instrument will have linear polarization capabilities at 1.25 mm. The performance in polarization will be tested in the NIKA camera during the 2014 observation campaigns.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the IRAM staff for their support during the campaign. This work has been partially funded by the Foundation Nanoscience Grenoble, the ANR under the contracts "MKIDS" and "NIKA". This work has been partially supported by the LabEx FOCUS ANR-11-LABX-0013. This work has benefited from the support of the European Research Council Advanced Grant ORISTARS under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (Grant Agreement no. 291294). The NIKA dilution cryostat has been designed and built at the Institut Néel; in particular, we acknowledge the crucial contribution of the Cryogenics Group, and in particular Gregory Garde, Henri Rodenas, Jean Paul Leggeri. R. A. would like to thank the ENIGMASS French LabEx for funding this work. B. C. acknowledges support from the CNES post-doctoral fellowship program.

References

- Abergel, A. et al. 2003, A&A, 410, 577
 Adam, R., Comis, B., Macias-Perez, J., Adane, A., Ade, P., et al. 2013, 1310.6237
 Bintley, D. et al. 2010, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 7741, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series
 Bourrion, O., Vescovi, C., Bouly, J. L., Benoit, A., Calvo, M., Gallin-Martel, L., Macias-Perez, J. F., & Monfardini, A. 2012a, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 8452, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series
 Bourrion, O., Vescovi, C., Bouly, J. L., Benoit, A., Calvo, M., Gallin-Martel, L., Macias-Perez, J. F., & Monfardini, A. 2012b, Journal of Instrumentation, 7, 7014, 1204.1415
 Calvo, M. et al. 2013, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 551, L12
 Campbell, M. F., Niles, D., Nawfel, R., Hawrylycz, M., Hoffmann, W. F., & Thronson, Jr., H. A. 1982, ApJ, 261, 550
 Combes, F. et al. 2012, A&A, 538, L4, 1201.2908
 Day, P. K., LeDuc, H. G., Mazin, B. A., Vayonakis, A., & Zmuidzinas, J. 2003, Nature, 425, 817
 Dicke, R. H., Beringer, R., Kuhl, R. L., & Vane, A. B. 1946, Phys. Rev., 70, 340
 Doyle, S., Naylon, J., Mauskopf, P., Porch, A., & Dunscombe, C. 2007, in Eighteenth International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology, ed. A. Karpov, 170

- Garden, R. P., & Carlstrom, J. E. 1992, ApJ, 392, 602
- Garden, R. P., Geballe, T. R., Gatley, I., & Nadeau, D. 1991a, ApJ, 366, 474
- Garden, R. P., Hayashi, M., Hasegawa, T., Gatley, I., & Kaifu, N. 1991b, ApJ, 374, 540
- Genzel, R., & Downes, D. 1977, A&AS, 30, 145
- Greve, A., Kramer, C., & Wild, W. 1998, A&AS, 133, 271
- Güsten, R. et al. 2008, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 7020, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series
- Hennemann, M. et al. 2012, A&A, 543, L3, 1206.1243
- Hily-Blant, P., Teyssier, D., Philipp, S., & Güsten, R. 2005, A&A, 440, 909
- Ikarashi, S. et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 3081, 1009.1455
- Kramer, C., Penalver, J., & Greve, A. 2013, Internal IRAM Document
- Kreysa, E. 1992, in ESA Special Publication, Vol. 356, Photon Detectors for Space Instrumentation, ed. T. D. Guyenne & J. Hunt, 207–210
- Lestrade, J.-F., Carilli, C. L., Thanjavur, K., Kneib, J.-P., Riechers, D. A., Bertoldi, F., Walter, F., & Omont, A. 2011, ApJ, 739, L30, 1106.1432
- Lestrade, J.-F., Combes, F., Salomé, P., Omont, A., Bertoldi, F., André, P., & Schneider, N. 2010, A&A, 522, L4, 1009.0449
- Lestrade, J.-F., Wyatt, M. C., Bertoldi, F., Menten, K. M., & Labaigt, G. 2009, A&A, 506, 1455, 0907.4782
- Martín, S. et al. 2011, A&A, 527, A36, 1012.3753
- McKean, J., Alba, A. B., Volino, F., Tudose, V., Garrett, M., et al. 2011, 1103.0583
- Monfardini, A. et al. 2013, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 1310.1230
- . 2011, ApJS, 194, 24, 1102.0870
- . 2010, A&A, 521, A29, 1004.2209
- Moreno, R. 2010, Neptune and Uranus planetary brightness temperature tabulation. Tech. rep., ESA Herschel Science Center, available from <ftp://ftp.sciops.esa.int/pub/hsc-calibration/PlanetaryModels/ESA2>
- Motte, F., Bontemps, S., Schilke, P., Lis, D. C., Schneider, N., & Menten, K. M. 2005, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 227, Massive Star Birth: A Crossroads of Astrophysics, ed. R. Cesaroni, M. Felli, E. Churchwell, & M. Walmsley, 151–156
- Niemack, M. D. et al. 2008, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 151, 690
- Pardo, J. R., Cernicharo, J., & Serabyn, E. 2002, IEEE, 49, 1683
- Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a, ArXiv e-prints, 1303.5069
- . 2013b, A&A, 550, A128, 1204.1318
- Ramesh, N., Hawron, M., Martin, C., & Bachri, A. 2012, ArXiv e-prints, 1203.0101
- Riechers, D. A. et al. 2013, Nature, 496, 329, 1304.4256
- Roesch, M. et al. 2012, ArXiv e-prints, 1212.4585
- Sakamoto, K., Scoville, N. Z., Yun, M. S., Crosas, M., Genzel, R., & Tacconi, L. J. 1999, ApJ, 514, 68, astro-ph/9810325
- Schneider, N. et al. 2013, ApJ, 766, L17, 1304.0327
- . 2011, A&A, 529, A1, 1001.2453
- Scoville, N. Z., Yun, M. S., & Bryant, P. M. 1997, ApJ, 484, 702
- Staguhn, J. et al. 2008, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 151, 709
- Swenson, L. J., Cruciani, A., Benoit, A., Roesch, M., Yung, C. S., Bideaud, A., & Monfardini, A. 2010, Applied Physics Letters, 96, 263511, 1004.5066
- Tauber, J. A., & Planck Collaboration. 2012, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 83, 72
- Woody, D. P., Scott, S. L., Scoville, N. Z., Mundy, L. G., Sargent, A. I., Padin, S., Tinney, C. G., & Wilson, C. D. 1989, ApJ, 337, L41




Figure 8. Top panel: Radial profile of the *NIKA* beam pattern (red dots) including the secondary beam contribution for the 1.25 mm channel (left) and 2.14 mm channel (right) in the case of Uranus observations. We are able to measure the beam pattern profile up to scales of about 100 arcsec. At larger distances we do not have enough signal-to-noise. For illustration we also show the *NIKA* measured primary beam. Bottom panel: Map of the far side lobes for 1.25 mm channel (left) and for 2.14 mm channel (right). The maps are derived using ~~saturn~~ observation. These maps are compatible with the ~~the 2-dimensional~~ structure of the beam pattern of the IRAM 30 m telescope described in (Greve et al. 1998; Kramer et al. 2013)). The diffraction ring seen at 1.25 mm at about 2 arcmin radius, corresponds to the diffraction ring due to panel buckling. The spider supporting the secondary mirror of the telescope is visible to a level of about -30 dB.

Lj Safier




Figure 9. Top: telescope positions during an elevation scan procedure: 10 steps in elevation have been performed without changing the azimuthal position. Data for absolute calibration are taken in the region between the blue and the red lines. Middle plot: signal in Hz of each valid KID (blue for 1.25 mm detectors, red for 2.14 mm) as a function of air mass, together with the fitted model (black dotted lines). Bottom plot: Histogram of the deduced integrated in-band opacities for 1.25 mm and 2.14 mm channel




Figure 10. Atmospheric opacity evolution for the NIKA 2012 and 2013 observing campaigns calculated from the *Skydip* analysis. The error bars were estimated by analysing different *Skydip* observations. During the 2013 observing campaign, the agreement with 225 GHz IRAM taumeter is good.

VK




Figure 11. Comparison between the atmospheric opacities measured with the *Skydip* technique (blue points) and the ATM model (purple lines). The top plot is for the 2012 campaign, the bottom plot is for 2013 campaign.




Figure 12. NEFD distribution for the two arrays (1.25 mm top, 2.14 mm bottom) during the 2012 observation campaign, for the MM18423 scans. The detector sensitivity is presented as a function of the KID number, sorted according to the resonance frequency. The average NEFD of the two arrays are 48 and 23 mJy.s^{1/2} at 1.25 and 2.14 mm respectively for these observations. If we consider only the best 20% detectors of each array, then we get an average NEFD of 39 and 15 mJy.s^{1/2}.




Figure 13. Array-averaged NEFD as a function of the line-of-sight opacity $\tau/\sin(\delta)$. Black dots (resp. red squares) refer to the 1.25 mm array (resp. 2.14 mm) for the 2012 observation campaign, during which the trend at 2.14 mm is compatible with a $\exp(\tau/\sin(\delta))$ behavior (as shown with the red curve) while a departure from this behaviour is observed at 1.25 mm. The latter can be attributed to the degradation of the KID resonance quality factor with increasing power load. Open circles (resp. open squares) refer to the 1.25 mm array (resp. 2.14 mm) for the 2013 observation campaign. Very few points have been measured, but the achieved sensitivities are much better and do follow an expected exponential trend.




Figure 14. SED of selected pointlike sources observed with NIKA 2012 and 2013 obser-




Figure 15. Examples of 1.25 (left) and 2.14 mm (middle) NIKA maps of well-known extended sources. From top to bottom we present the Horsehead nebula and the DR21 OH complex. Spectral index maps are presented on the right column.