

Assessment Criteria

Fail

Pass

Credit

Distinction

High Distinction

1

Categorise based on grade first!
Use self assessment, with sanity check.
Should be obvious, based on work included.

2

Assume is "average" initially
Work up/down based on evidence

3

Review 98's with panel
to check for 100s

Weak

Strong

Criteria	Did not pass tests, Fails to demonstrate coverage of all ILOs Missing a number of core pieces			
	50 P Very Weak. Has passed tests. Missing some features in core pieces.	55 P (think D-) Some weak/missing aspects with poor attention to detail. Weak justifications/ reflections. etc.	58 P (think D) Average "pass"	62 P (think D+) Strong "pass". Extra effort/pieces, good code quality, reflections, justifications, etc.
	65 C Some issue with submission, but meets most requirements for C	68 C (think C-) Good glossary descriptions but poor code formatting, minimal extensions. etc.	70 C (think C) Average "credit"	72 C (think C+) Good code quality, good range of extensions etc.
	75 D Some issue with submission, but meets most requirements for D	78 D (think B-) Weak P, or C work Own program demonstrates concepts but is weak	80 D (think B) Average "distinction"	82 D (think B+) Solid own program, meets good P and C criteria. Solid interview responses.
	85 HD Some issue with submission, but meets most requirements for HD	88 HD (think A-) Weak P, C... work Minimal analysis in research work.	92 HD (think A) Average "high distinction"	98 HD (think A+) Research well communicated. Evidence of good analysis.