

Name: **Ronald Macmaster**

EID: **rpm953**

## Software Testing (EE 360T) HW 2

1. [7 points] Exercises - Section 3.3 Question 3 - answer this question with respect to CACC instead of GACC (Pages 130-131)

<pre>fragment P:   if (A    B    C)   {     m();   }   return;</pre>	<pre>fragment Q:   if (A)   {     m();     return;   }   if (B)   {     m();     return;   }   if (C)   {     m();   }</pre>
--	--

a. Give a CACC test set for fragment P. (Note that GACC, CACC, and RACC yield identical test sets for this example.)

$P = \{(A \parallel B \parallel C)\}$  // only one predicate.       $C_p = \{A, B, C\}$        $p = (A \parallel B \parallel C)$

Truth Table:

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>A    B    C</u>
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F

For  $c = A$ : Choose  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $P(A = \text{true}) \neq P(A = \text{false})$ .

Set  $B$  and  $C$  false.  $A$  determines  $p$ , and the condition holds.

For  $c = B$  and  $c = C$ , set the other two clauses false, and the condition holds.

### **CACC Tests:**

**$T = \{(F, F, F), (T, F, F), (F, T, F), (F, F, T)\}$ . //  $t = (A, B, C)$ .**

The predicate is in Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF), so this makes sense.

***b. Does the CACC test for fragment  $P$  satisfy edge coverage on fragment  $Q$ ?***

Yes, the CACC test for fragment  $P$  satisfies edge coverage on fragment  $Q$ .

***c. Write down an edge coverage test set for fragment  $Q$ . Make your test set include as few tests from the CACC test set as possible.***

The CACC test set already is a minimal test suite for edge coverage.

**$T = \{(F, F, F), (T, F, F), (F, T, F), (F, F, T)\}$ . //  $t = (A, B, C)$ .**

**2. [6 points] Exercises - Section 4.1 Question 2 (Page 159) - answer this question with respect to only the method Push using exactly two characteristics where each characteristic has two blocks in its partition**

2. Derive input space partitioning tests for the **GenericStack** class with the following method signatures:

- `public GenericStack ();`
- `public void Push (Object X);`
- `public Object Pop ();`
- `public boolean IsEmt ();`

Assume the usual semantics for the stack. Try to keep your partitioning simple, choose a small number of partitions and blocks.

- (a) Define characteristics of inputs
- (b) Partition the characteristics into blocks
- (c) Define values for the blocks

**a. *Define characteristics of inputs.***

Characteristic 1: Input X is null.

Characteristic 2: Class of input X is Object.

**b. *Partition the characteristics into blocks.***

Characteristic 1: Input X is null.

{Input X is null, Input X is NOT null};

Characteristic 2: Class of input X is Object.

{Class of input X is Object, Class of input X is NOT Object};

**c. *Define values for the blocks.***

Characteristic 1: Input X is null.

{(null), (new Object())};

Characteristic 2: Class of input X is Object.

{(new Object()), (new Integer())};

### 3. [7 points] Exercises - Section 4.2 Question 4 (Pages 163-164)

```
public Set intersection (Set s1, Set s2)
// Effects:  If s1 or s2 are null throw NullPointerException
//  else return a (non null) Set equal to the intersection
//  of Sets s1 and s2
//  A null argument is treated as an empty set.
```

Characteristic: Type of s1

- s1 = null
- s1 = {}
- s1 has at least one element

Characteristic: Relation between s1 and s2

- s1 and s2 represent the same set
- s1 is a subset of s2
- s2 is a subset of s1
- s1 and s2 do not have any elements in common

- (a) Does the partition “Type of s1” satisfy the completeness property? If not, give a value for s1 that does not fit in any block.
- (b) Does the partition “Type of s1” satisfy the disjointness property? If not, give a value for s1 that fits in more than one block.
- (c) Does the partition “Relation between s1 and s2” satisfy the completeness property? If not, give a pair of values for s1 and s2 that does not fit in any block.
- (d) Does the partition “Relation between s1 and s2” satisfy the disjointness property? If not, give a pair of values for s1 and s2 that fits in more than one block.
- (e) If the “base choice” criterion were applied to the two partitions (exactly as written), how many test requirements would result?

- a. **Yes, it does satisfy the completeness property. No set s1 can be formed that does not fit in any of those three blocks.**
- b. **Yes, it does satisfy the disjointness property. No set s1 can be formed that satisfies more than one of the block properties.**
- c. **No, it does NOT satisfy the completeness property.**

**Consider the set pair  $(s1, s2) = (\{3, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 6\})$ . The two sets have**

some elements in common, but they are not the same set. Also, neither set is a subset of the other!

- d. No, it does NOT satisfy the disjointness property. Consider the pair where  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are the same set,  $s_1$  is a subset of  $s_2$  and  $s_2$  is also a subset of  $s_1$ ! Ex.:  $(s_1, s_2) = (\{6\}, \{6\})$ .  $\{6\}$  and  $\{6\}$  are the same set. Also,  $\{6\}$  is a subset of  $\{6\}$ .
- e. The number of tests that result from using the “base choice” criterion can be expressed as:

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^Q (B_i - 1)$$

For this example, the total would be  $(1 + (3 - 1) + (4 - 1)) = 6$  tests.