

NNDL Exercise set 6 – Mathematical exercises

1.

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ and $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

a)

For step size μ the Langevin iteration is defined as

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \mu \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_t) + \sqrt{2\mu} \mathbf{n}_t, \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_t) = -\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ is the score function. Thus we can write the Langevin iteration as

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_t + \alpha \mathbf{n}_t, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mu \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{2\mu}$.

b)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 &= \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{n}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 &= \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{M}^2 \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{M} \mathbf{n}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_3 &= \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_2 + \alpha \mathbf{n}_2 = \mathbf{M}^3 \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{M}^2 \mathbf{n}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{M} \mathbf{n}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{n}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

c)

$$\mathbf{x}_T = \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{M}^{T-1-i} \mathbf{n}_i \quad (4)$$

d)

We have $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I} \implies \mathbf{M} = (1 - \mu) \mathbf{I}$ and thus $\mathbf{M}^T = (1 - \mu)^T \mathbf{I}$. Assuming $0 < \mu < 1$, the expression $(1 - \mu)^T \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $\mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{x}_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, so the influence of the initial point reduces to zero.

2.

We have

$$x = az + n, \quad (5)$$

where $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

a)

Now

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right). \quad (6)$$

For $p(x|z)$ we can use the affine transformation rule for Gaussians, since z is now fixed (a constant), i.e. if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $aX + b \sim \mathcal{N}(a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2)$. Plugging in $X = n$, $a = 1$ and $b = az$, we obtain

$$p(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(az, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - az)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

The joint pdf $p(x, z)$ is then

$$p(x, z) = p(x|z)p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - az)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{z^2}{2}\right). \quad (8)$$

b)

For $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ we have the general result

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sigma_i^2\right) \quad (9)$$

for some constant a_i and assuming X_i to be independent $\forall i$. Since $x = az + n$, where z and n are independent, we obtain

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(0, a^2 + \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(a^2 + \sigma^2)}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(a^2 + \sigma^2)}\right). \quad (10)$$

c)

Given a sample $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ the log likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(a) &= \log p(X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi(a^2 + \sigma^2)) - \frac{x_i^2}{2(a^2 + \sigma^2)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi(a^2 + \sigma^2)) - \frac{1}{2(a^2 + \sigma^2)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proceed by setting the derivative w.r.t. a to zero and solving for a .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a} &= -\frac{Na}{(a^2 + \sigma^2)} + \frac{a}{(a^2 + \sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 = 0 \\
\implies N(a^2 + \sigma^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \\
\implies a^2 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \sigma^2 \\
\implies \hat{a} &= \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

3.

Starting from the right side and using the general properties $\min -f(x) = -\max f(x)$ and $\max -f(x) = -\min f(x)$ for some function $f(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\max_a \min_b -J(a, b) &= -\max_a -\max_b J(a, b) \\
&= \min_a \max_b J(a, b).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

4.

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ and a matrix \mathbf{U} for which $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$. To find the distribution of $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}$, we first note that a linear transformation of a Gaussian is also a Gaussian, thus $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, for some $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. To find $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, we compute the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{U} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]}_{=\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{14}$$

So \mathbf{y} has a mean of $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$. The covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of \mathbf{y} is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) \\
&= \text{cov}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \mathbf{U} \underbrace{\text{cov}(\mathbf{x})}_{=\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{U}^T \\
&= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T \\
&= \mathbf{I}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ and hence is also white.