Issue-based Congressional Network Regression Analysis

Lynette Dang

2022-06-01

```
library(readr)
df <- read_csv("issue_analysis.csv")</pre>
## New names:
## Rows: 481 Columns: 11
## -- Column specification
## ------ Delimiter: "," chr
## (5): Legislator name, Gender Estimates, Predicted Gender, Ethnicity Esti... dbl
## (6): ...1, Legislative Effectiveness Score, bc, ec, cc, dc
## i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data. i
## Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
## * '' -> '...1'
df[is.na(df)] = 0
df$factor <- as.factor(sample(1:2, nrow(df), replace = TRUE))</pre>
head(df)
## # A tibble: 6 x 12
    ...1 'Legislator name' 'Legislative Effer' 'Gender Estimar' 'Predicted Genr'
   <dbl> <chr>
##
                                         <dbl> <chr>
## 1 0 Jack Reed
                                         0.346 {'male': 0.1144~ male
       1 Kirsten Gillibrand
                                        0.339 {'male': 0.0001~ female
## 2
## 3
       2 Tammy Duckworth
                                        1.53 {'male': 0.0004~ male
## 4
     3 Gary Peters
                                        5.02 {'male': 0.0014~ male
## 5
      4 Elizabeth Warren
                                         0.725 {'male': 8.0722~ female
       5 Martin Heinrich
                                         0.781 {'male': 0.8787~ male
## # ... with 7 more variables: 'Ethnicity Estimates' <chr>,
     'Predicted Ethnicity' <chr>, bc <dbl>, ec <dbl>, cc <dbl>, dc <dbl>,
    factor <fct>
library(glmnet)
## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loaded glmnet 4.1-3
library(xtable)
# the original data frame and formula
set.seed(23)
```

```
f <- as.formula(df$`Legislative Effectiveness Score` ~ (df$bc+ df$cc+ df$cc+ df$cc+ df$cc+ df$redicted Gend
# transform dataframe to matrices as required by qlmnet
x <- model.matrix(f, df)</pre>
y <- as.matrix(df$`Legislative Effectiveness Score`, ncol=1)
# fit ridge regression model with a wide range of penalty parameter
lambdas <-10^seq(3, -2, by = -.1)
cv_fit <- cv.glmnet(x,y, lambda=lambdas, alpha = 0)</pre>
fit <- cv_fit$glmnet.fit</pre>
summary(fit)
##
            Length Class
                             Mode
## a0
             51 -none-
                             numeric
## beta
            1734 dgCMatrix S4
## df
             51
                   -none-
                             numeric
## dim
              2 -none-
                             numeric
## lambda
             51 -none- numeric
## dev.ratio 51 -none-
                             numeric
## nulldev 1 -none- numeric
## npasses
              1 -none- numeric
## jerr
              1 -none- numeric
              1 -none-
## offset
                             logical
## call
              5 -none-
                            call
## nobs
              1 -none-
                             numeric
# get BIC value
tLL <- fit$nulldev - deviance(fit)</pre>
k <- fit$df
n <- fit$nobs
BIC <- log(n)*k - tLL
BIC
## [1] 203.7616 203.7509 203.7373 203.7203 203.6990 203.6723 203.6390 203.5974
## [9] 203.5457 203.4814 203.4018 203.3038 203.1836 203.0372 202.8641 202.6532
## [17] 202.4026 202.1080 201.7656 201.3721 200.9253 200.4236 199.8659 199.2522
## [25] 198.5828 197.8586 197.0818 196.2561 195.3874 194.4868 193.5567 192.6122
## [33] 191.6583 190.7047 189.7591 188.8233 187.8986 186.9835 186.0519 185.1488
## [41] 184.1809 183.2578 182.2134 181.2460 180.1115 179.1123 177.9033 176.9283
## [49] 175.8363 174.6532 173.8858
opt_lambda = lambdas[which(BIC == min(BIC))]
# Choose best model
best_model <- glmnet(x,y, lambda=opt_lambda, alpha = 0)</pre>
df <- data.frame(coef.name = dimnames(coef(best_model)))[[1]], coef.value = matrix(coef(best_model)))</pre>
xtable(df)
## % latex table generated in R 4.1.2 by xtable 1.8-4 package
## % Mon May 30 21:51:07 2022
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rlr}
```

```
##
     \hline
   & coef.name & coef.value \\
     \hline
## 1 & (Intercept) & 1.16 \\
##
     2 & (Intercept) & 0.00 \\
##
     3 & df\$bc & -145.13 \\
##
    4 & df\$ec & 5.06 \\
##
     5 & df\$cc & 1.15 \\
     6 & df\$dc & -0.16 \\
##
    7 & df\$'Predicted Gender'male & 0.21 \\
     8 & df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & -0.66 \\
     9 & df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & -0.03 \\
##
     10 & df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & -0.48 \\
     11 & df\$bc:df\$ec & 1859.87 \\
##
##
     12 & df\$bc:df\$cc & 172.04 \\
     13 & df\$bc:df\$dc & 148.41 \\
##
##
     14 & df\$bc:df\$'Predicted Gender'male & 21.59 \\
     15 & df\$bc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & 411.98 \\
##
     16 & df\$bc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & 147.50 \\
     17 & df\$bc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & 42.75 \\
##
##
     18 & df\$ec:df\$cc & 7.00 \\
##
     19 & df\$ec:df\$dc & 3.88 \\
     20 & df\$ec:df\$'Predicted Gender'male & 2.03 \\
##
     21 & df\$ec:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & -1558.70 \\
##
     22 & df\$ec:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & -557.74 \\
     23 & df\$ec:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & -19.37 \\
##
     24 & df\$cc:df\$dc & -1.59 \\
     25 & df\$cc:df\$'Predicted Gender'male & 0.12 \\
     26 & df\$cc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & -3.62 \\
##
     27 & df\$cc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & 0.04 \\
     28 & df\$cc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & -0.08 \\
##
##
     29 & df\$dc:df\$'Predicted Gender'male & -0.61 \\
##
     30 & df\$dc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & 1.95 \\
##
     31 & df\$dc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & 0.06 \\
     32 & df\$dc:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & 0.28 \\
##
     33 & df\$'Predicted Gender'male:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'black & -0.05 \\
##
     34 & df\$'Predicted Gender'male:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'hispanic & -0.15 \\
##
     35 & df\$'Predicted Gender'male:df\$'Predicted Ethnicity'white & 0.14 \\
##
      \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
```