

# Disease as an example of non-Aristotelian categories

György Surján

National Institute for Strategic Health Research Budapest, Hungary

# What is non-Aristotelian?

## *Aristotelian principles*

- All statements must be either true or false.
- No statements can be true AND false at the same time.
- There is nothing in between true and false.

# Fuzzy logic is often mentioned as non-Aristotelian

- In fuzzy logic there are truth values between the absolute true (1) and absolute false (0).  
E.g. "I am a talented man"
- But fuzzy statements can be converted into two valued logic using membership functions:  
"Is it true, that my membership function in 'talented man' is 0,2?" (Yes or No answer)

# Non-Aristotelian categories lack common exclusive properties

- Bio-medical categories often non-Aristotelian (there is nothing that is true for all and only instances of the given category)
- Disease is a typical example (some are painful, but not all painful conditions are diseases, etc.)

# What is 'Disease'

- Without having a common exclusive property, people can agree for the most part what is a disease and what isn't.
- This means that sometimes they disagree.
- The level of agreement depends on the similarity of the social/cultural background of people.

# Socio-cultural dependence

- Example of homo-sexuality: sin, disease, normal variation; corresponds to punishment, treatment, tolerance.
- Being something a disease depends on reaction of the society.
- Possible main reaction types to disease: separation, treatment (consider leprosy in ancient times)

# Proposed definition

*Anything is a disease that in a given society has the potential to provoke some specific (health) action against itself.*

- The action not necessarily happens in each case
- This is not an intrinsic property.

# Disease as hybrid class

- What 'conditions' can satisfy the definitions?
  - Continuants (Endurants)?
  - Occurrents (Perdurants)?
- Diseases can be seen as 'hybrid' categories.  
(Process and morphology dominated diseases)
- No single disease is thought to be an occurrent  
AND a continuant at the same time



# Alignment with top level ontologies

- BFO and DOLCE were tested
- Endurants and Perdurants are disjoint
- 'Quality' in DOLCE is neither endurant nor perdurant.
- Disease must be represented as a union of E-disease and P-disease

# Limitation of description logic

To represent social dependency requires first order logic:

*provokes (disease, action, society)*

# Conclusions

- There is no common exclusive property for disease.
- Conditions used to be regarded as disease are ontologically heterogeneous.
- If social dependency has to be represented, DL is insufficient, FOL is required.



*Thank you for attention !*

10th Intl. Protégé Conference  
- July 15-18, 2007 - Budapest, Hungary