There are 5 join strategies in Spark

- 1. Broadcast Join
- 2. Shuffle Join
- 3. Sort Merge Join
- 4. Cartesian Join
- 5. Broadcast Nested Loop Join

Broadcast join will copy dataset B, which is the smaller dataset, to do a local join. This can be used when there is a smaller table involved and can be kept in memory. However, in this scenario, I am assuming dataset B is not small and must be constantly updated. In this case, I will not choose a broadcast join as there could be a case where dataset B can no longer be kept in memory.

Shuffle join would work best if the data is uniform. This means that there must be an equal distribution of 'geographical_location_oid' in dataset A that match to dataset B. However, this is an assumption on the data and I would require more information on this before making this join.

Sort merge join can be used because both datasets can be sorted by the join key, 'geographical_location_oid'. The keys will be matched in order of the sort which makes it scalable for larger datasets as well. And I do not need to worry about data shape as this is not affected by skew data.

Cartesian joins works by finding every combination of join per row between 2 tables. This was not considered due to scalability with a large dataset such as dataset A as this will result in high compute.

Broadcast nested loop join will loops through all rows in dataset B which will be broadcasted for every row found in dataset A. However, this is not scalable as it will take a lot of compute time and cost when dataset A becomes too large which is expected due to the data source and data context.