For the initial investigation, we assume the Markov chain is irreducible and aperiodic. The main result is

Theorem 3.4.1

For an irreducible, aperiodic Markov Chain,

(3.4.1) Pij - 1 as n > o for alli, i (Mj is the mean recurence time).

Definition 3.41

If there exists a probability distribution 8 on the state space 5 such that

Pi' = 8; for all i.i. = 5

then 8 is called the limit distribution

of the chain.

The intuition behind a limit distribution is that 8; describes the probability that the chain is in state; at some late time, and by that time, the chain has "forgotten" where it started. More concretely,

P(In=i) = \(\frac{1}{n} \) \(\frac{1}{n \to \infty} \) \(\frac{1}{n \to

Theorem 3.4.2

(a) If the chain is transient or null recurrent then Pij > 0 for all i, i.

(b) If the chain is positive recorrent, then Pij > Tij = µij, where TT is the unique stationary distribution.

Therem 3.4.3

If In is an irreducible chain with period d, then In with In = Ind, 1120, is an aperiodic chain and so

 $P_{ij}^{nd} = P(Y_n = i | Y_o = i) \longrightarrow \frac{d}{\mu_i} as n \rightarrow \infty$.

We can also prove

Proof of Theorem 3.1.6

Let C(i) be the irreducible closed set of states that contains the recurrent state i. IF C(i) is a periodic, the result follows immediately. The periodic case is treated as in Thm 3.4.3.

Before proving Thm 3.4.1, we discuss

stationary and limiting distributions, which are not the some idea. Consider a Markou chain at a late time n. The stationary distribution gives the long time proportions of time spent in the different states up to time n. The limit distribution gives the proportions of time spent in the states at time n, so we think of considering many realizations of the chain at time n.

Example 3.4.2

Consider Ex. 3.4.1 again. The stationary

distribution (\(\frac{1}{2} \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Says that Equal amounts

of time have been spent in both states

up to some large time, say n = (000, regardless)

of the initial state.

If we look precisely at time n = 1000, however, the chain must be in the same state itstarted in. If we ran the chain again from the same initial state, it ends up in the same state again, and multiple realizations produce to proportion of time at n = 1000 in the other state.

We summarize the most favorable case

Theorem 3.4.4

Anorgodic Markov chain has the property that it has both stationary and limiting distributions and these are equal.

In words, an ergodic Markov chain has the property that the proportion of times spent in its states up to a long time n is equal to the proportion of times spent in different states at time n for many realizations.

Proof of Theorem 3.4.1

We treat different cases.

The simplest case is a transient chain. In this case, Thm 3.1.2 (3) implies Pij = 0 as n = 00 for all i.i.

The recurrent cases are treated with an important technique called coupling.

Definition 3.4.2

Let In, In be independent Markov chains

with common state space S and transition probability matrix P. The coupled chain

 $Z_n = (X_n, Y_n)$ takes values in $S \times S_n$

Theorem 3.4.5

Zn is a Markov chain with probabilities
Pin Pse
Pin Pse

If In, In are irreducible and aperiodic then Zn is irreducible.

Proof

 $P_{ij,kl} = P(Z_{n+i} = (k,l) | Z_n = (i,j))$ $= P(X_{n+i} = k|X_n = i) P(X_{n+i} = l|X_n = j)$

If X,I are irreducible and aperiodic for any states i, i, k, l, there exists N=N(i,i,k,l) such that $Pi\hat{k}$ $Pi\hat{k} > 0$ for all $n \ge N$, which implies Z_n is irreducible (Exercise).

This is the only place that we use the assumption that I is aperiodic.

We now assume that In is positive recoverent, which implies that it has awaye stationary distribution TT.

: Consider I = I in constructing 2. As an exercise, it follows that the appled chain 2 has stationary distribution $V = (v_{ij} : i, i \in S), v_{ij} = T_i T_j, and hence$ Z is also positive recurrent.

Choose Xo=i, Yo=j, so Zo=(iii). Choose any state ses and let

denote the time of the first passage of . In to (5,5). The recurrence of In implies that P(T200) = 1 (Exercise). (#19 4/3)

Now suppose Min and Im = Im. Then In and In are identically distributed since the distributions of In and In depend only on P and the common value at M. Thus, conditional on of TEng, In and In have The same distribution.

We use this observation and the finite ress of T to show the ultimate distributions of In and In are independent of their starting points.