Notes - 06 Mar

Theorem 3.2.1 - If  $i \neq j$  then  $i \to j$  iff  $f_{ij} > 0$ . Proof: exercise. Note,  $i \leftrightarrow i$  since  $P_{ii}^0 = 1$ . If we fix i, we could search for all states j that intercommunicate with it.

Def 3.2.2 - All the states that intercommunicate with a given state form a communication class.  $i \leftrightarrow j, j \leftrightarrow k$ , so  $i \leftrightarrow k$ .

Definition 3.2.3 - An equivalence relation  $\sim$  on a set S is an operation on pairs of elements satisfying (1)  $a \sim a, a \in S$ , (2)  $a \sim b \Rightarrow b \sim a, a, b \in S$ , (3)  $a \sim b, b \sim c \Rightarrow a \sim c$ .

Theorem  $3.2.2 - \leftrightarrow$  is an equivalence relation.

Example 3.2.2 - In the roulette wheel, ex 3.2.1, there are two communication classes  $\{0\}, \{1, 2, \dots, 38\}$ .

Example 3.2.3 - In the genotype example, ex 2.1.7, each state forms its own class,  $\{AA\}, \{aa\}, \{Aa\}$ .

Example 3.2.4 - In the ON/OFF system, ex 2.2.3, with  $0 , there is one class: <math>\{ON, OFF\}$ .

Theorem 3.2.3 - If  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , (1) i is transient iff j is transient, (2) i and j have the same period, (3) i is null recurrent iff j is null recurrent.

Proof - (1) if  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , there are  $m,n \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha = P_{ij}^m P_{ji}^n > 0$ . By the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations (2.2.1)  $P_{ii}^{m+r+n} \geq P_{ij}^m P_{jj}^r P_{ji}^n$  for any inteteg r > 0. Summing over  $r, \; \Sigma_{r=0}^\infty P_{ii}^r < \infty \Rightarrow \Sigma_{r=0}^\infty P_{jj}^r < \infty$ . The argument holds with j and i reversed. By thm 3.1.2, (1) holds. (2) excercise. (3) will be proved below.

Definition 3.2.4 - A set C of states in the state space S is closed if  $P_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ . A closed set with one element is called absorbing. Once a Markov chain takes a value in a closed set, it never leaves.

Example 3.2.5 - Consider the chain with  $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$ .  $\{0, 2\}$  forms a closed set.

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4\\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

Definition 3.2.5 - A set C of states in the state space S is irreducible if  $i \leftrightarrow j$  for all  $i, j \in C$ . The communication classes of a Markov chain are irreducible.

Because of theorem 3.2.3, Definition 3.2.6 - An irreducible set C is periodic, transient, or null recurrent if all or any of the states in C have these properties.

Definition 3.2.7 - If the entire state space is irreducible, we say the Markov chain is irreducible.

Theorem 3.2.4 - In an irreducible Markov chain, either all states are transient or all states are recurrent.

Theorem 3.2.5 - Decomposition Theorem - The state space S can be partitioned uniquely as  $S = T \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \ldots, T = \{\text{transient states}\}, \{C_i\} = \text{irreducible, closed sets of recurrent states.}$  Lots of words in notes.

Proof: Let  $\{C_j\}$  be the recurrent equivalence classes of intercommunication  $(\leftrightarrow)$ . We only need to show that each  $C_r$  is closed. Suppose on the contrary that  $i \in C_r, j \notin C_r$ , and  $P_{ij} > 0, j \not\leftrightarrow i$ , so  $P(X_n \text{ never returns to } i) \geq P(X_n \text{ reaches } j), P(X_n \neq i \text{ for } n \geq 1 | X_0 = i) \geq P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) > 0$ . This contradicts the assumption that i is recurrent.

Markov chains with finite state spaces are special. For example, it is impossible to stay in transient states for all time.

Theorem 3.2.6 - If the state space is finite, then at least one state is recurrent and all recurrent states are positive.

Proof - assume all states are transient.  $1 = \sum_{j \in S} P_{ij}^n$  (finite sum). So,  $1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j \in S} P_{ij}^n = \sum_{j \in S} \sim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^n = 0$  (by thm 3.1.2(3)). (in general  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(n) \neq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} a_i(n)$ ). That is a contradiction. The same argument works for the closed set of all null recurrent states (exercise).

Theorem 3.2.7 - Suppose the state space is finite. i is transient  $\iff$  there is a state j with  $i \to j$  but  $j \not\to i$ .

Example 3.2.6 - Consider a Markov chain with  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/8 & 3/8 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $C_1 = \{0, 1\}, C_2 = \{2, 3, 4\}$  are both closed. They are both irreducible. They must contain positive recurrent states.  $S = C_1 \cup C_2$ .

Example 3.2.7 - Consider random walk with  $(1\ 0\ 0\ \dots\ \&\ q\ 0\ p\ 0\ \dots\ \&\ 0\ q\ 0\ p\ 0\ \dots\ \&\ 0\ 0\ q\ 0\ p\ 0\ \dots\ \&\ \dots$  & ... & ... & ... & 0 q 0 p & 0 ... & 0 0 1), states 0, ..., N.

Three classes  $C_1 = \{0\}, T = \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}, C_2 = \{N\}, \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{0\}, \text{ but } \{0\} \not\rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}, \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{N\}, \text{ but } \{N\} \not\rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}, \{0\} \text{ and } \{N\} \text{ are absorbing.}$