

**EE 514**, Fall 2005  
**Exam 4: Due 10am, December 9, 2005**

**Solutions** (version: December 6, 2005, 16:0)

Total 50 pts.

**1.** (20 pts.) Let  $Y$  be a Bernoulli random variable with  $P\{Y = 0\} = P\{Y = 1\} = 1/2$ . Consider the discrete-time random process given by

$$X_n = (-1)^{n+Y}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

- a. Is  $\{X_n\}$  wide-sense stationary?
- b. Is  $\{X_n\}$  2nd order strictly stationary?
- c. Is  $\{X_n\}$  strictly stationary?
- d. Is  $\{X_n\}$  an i.i.d. sequence?

In each case, justify your answer fully.

**Ans.:** Before we begin, first consider  $X_n$  for some fixed  $n$ . Notice that  $X_n$  is a discrete random variable on  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Moreover,  $X_n = 1$  if and only if  $n + Y$  is even, which holds with probability  $1/2$ . Hence, the pmf of  $X_n$  is given by  $P\{X_n = 1\} = P\{X_n = -1\} = 1/2$  (which implies that the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is identically distributed).

a. For each  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $E[X_n] = 0$ , which does not depend on  $n$ . Moreover, for each  $n, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$E[X_n X_{n+m}] = E[(-1)^{n+Y} (-1)^{n+m+Y}] = (-1)^{2n} (-1)^m E[(-1)^{2Y}] = (-1)^m,$$

which does not depend on  $n$ . Hence,  $\{X_n\}$  is WSS.

b. For each  $n, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $B = (b_1, b_2)$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$P\{(X_n, X_{n+m}) = B\} = P\{X_n = b_1, X_{n+m} = b_2\} = P\{(-1)^{n+Y} = b_1, (-1)^{n+m+Y} = b_2\}.$$

If  $b_1 = b_2$ , then the above is equal to 0 if  $m$  is odd, and  $1/2$  if  $m$  is even. Similarly, if  $b_1 \neq b_2$ , then the above is equal to  $1/2$  if  $m$  is odd, and 0 if  $m$  is even. In either case, the probability does not depend on  $n$ . Hence,  $\{X_n\}$  is 2nd order strictly stationary.

c. We can do the analysis here in a way similar to part b, but this requires examining stationarity at arbitrary orders, which is tedious. Instead, we will argue as follows. For any fixed  $m \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ , consider the process  $\{X_{n+m}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Notice that  $X_{n+m} = (-1)^{n+m+Y} = (-1)^{n+Z_m}$ , where  $Z_m = m + Y$ . But  $Z_m$  has the same distribution as  $Y$  (which does not depend on  $m$ ). Moreover, the law of  $\{X_{n+m}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  depends only on the distribution of  $Z_m$ . Hence, the law of  $\{X_{n+m}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  does not depend on  $m$ . This means that  $\{X_n\}$  is strictly stationary.

d. We have already seen that  $\{X_n\}$  is identically distributed. But  $P\{X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1\} = 0$ , which is not equal to  $P\{X_1 = 1\}P\{X_2 = 1\} = 1/4$ . Hence,  $\{X_n\}$  is not i.i.d.

**2.** (6 pts.) Consider the real-valued function  $s$  given by  $s(t) = 1$  if  $|t| \leq 1$  and  $s(t) = 0$  if  $|t| > 1$ .

- a. Is the function given by  $R(\tau) = s(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  the correlation function of some wide-sense stationary random process? Explain fully.
- b. Is the function given by  $S(f) = s(f)$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{R}$  the power spectral density of some wide-sense stationary random process? Explain fully.

**Ans.:** a. The Fourier transform of  $R$  is  $S(f) = 2\text{sinc}(2f)$ , which is negative for some intervals of  $f$  (e.g.,  $(0.5, 1)$ ). Hence,  $S$  is not a valid power spectral density, which implies that  $R$  is not the correlation function of some wide-sense stationary random process.

b. In this case,  $S$  is real, even, and nonnegative everywhere. Hence,  $S$  is the power spectral density of some wide-sense stationary random process.

**3.** (6 pts.) Consider the matched filter solution discussed in the book:

$$H(f) = \alpha \frac{V(f)^* e^{-j2\pi f t_0}}{S_X(f)}.$$

But suppose that for all  $f \in [1, 2]$ , we have  $S_X(f) = 0$ . In this case, the above solution is undefined. What should we do to design the filter?

**Ans.:** We can set  $H$  to be a bandpass filter in the frequency band  $[1, 2]$ . If we do, then  $P_Y = 0$  (i.e., the filtered noise is zero a.s.). So provided  $v_o(t_0) \neq 0$ , the SNR is infinite.

**4.** (18 pts.) Let  $X$  be a real-valued random variable with zero mean and  $E[X^2] = \sigma_X^2$ . We cannot directly observe  $X$ . Instead, all we can observe is  $Y_t = X + W_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where  $W_t$  is a zero mean WSS process with correlation function  $R_W$ , and is independent of  $X$ . To estimate  $X$  based on  $Y_t$ , we use the linear estimator

$$\hat{X}_T = \int_0^T h(\theta) Y_{T-\theta} d\theta.$$

In other words, we filter  $Y_t$  through a causal LTI filter with impulse response  $h$ , and sample the output at time  $T$ . The goal is to design  $h$  such that the mean-squared error of the estimator is minimized.

- a. Write down the orthogonality principle for this design problem.
- b. Use part a to derive a condition involving the optimal  $h$  that looks something like: for all  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$a = \int_0^T h(\theta)(b + c(\tau - \theta)) d\theta,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants and  $c$  is some function.

- c. Suppose  $W_t$  is white noise with power spectral density  $\sigma_W^2$ . Find the optimal  $h$  in this case, and write down an expression for  $\hat{X}_T$  in terms of  $Y_t$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Ans.:** a. If

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (X - \hat{X}_T) \int_0^T \tilde{h}(\theta) Y_{T-\theta} d\theta \right] = 0$$

for every  $\tilde{h}$ , then  $h$  is optimal (i.e., minimizes  $\mathbb{E}[(X - \hat{X}_T)^2]$ ).

b. Based the equation in part a, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \tilde{h}(\theta) \mathbb{E}[(X - \hat{X}_T) Y_{T-\theta}] d\theta \\ &= \int_0^T \tilde{h}(\theta) (\mathbb{E}[XY_{T-\theta}] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_T Y_{T-\theta}]) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[XY_{T-\theta}] &= \mathbb{E}[X(X + W_{T-\theta})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[XW_{T-\theta}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[W_{T-\theta}] \quad \text{by independence} \\ &= \sigma_X^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_T Y_{T-\theta}] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T h(\eta) (X + W_{T-\eta}) d\eta (X + W_{T-\theta}) \right] \\ &= \int_0^T h(\eta) (\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[XW_{T-\theta}] + \mathbb{E}[W_{T-\eta}X] + \mathbb{E}[W_{T-\eta}W_{T-\theta}]) d\eta \\ &= \int_0^T h(\eta) (\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[W_{T-\theta}] + \mathbb{E}[W_{T-\eta}]\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[W_{T-\eta}W_{T-\theta}]) d\eta \quad \text{by independence} \\ &= \int_0^T h(\eta) (\sigma_X^2 + R_W(\theta - \eta)) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $\tilde{h}$ ,

$$\int_0^T \tilde{h}(\theta) \left( \sigma_X^2 - \int_0^T h(\eta) (\sigma_X^2 + R_W(\theta - \eta)) d\eta \right) d\theta = 0.$$

Setting  $\tilde{h}(\theta) = \sigma_X^2 - \int_0^T h(\eta) (\sigma_X^2 + R_W(\theta - \eta)) d\eta$ , we get

$$\int_0^T \left( \sigma_X^2 - \int_0^T h(\eta) (\sigma_X^2 + R_W(\theta - \eta)) d\eta \right)^2 d\theta = 0.$$

This implies that for all  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\sigma_X^2 = \int_0^T h(\eta) (\sigma_X^2 + R_W(\tau - \eta)) d\eta.$$

c. By assumption,  $R_W(\tau) = \sigma_W^2 \delta(\tau)$ . Then, the condition in part b reduces to: for all  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_X^2 &= \int_0^T h(\eta)(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2 \delta(\tau - \eta)) d\eta \\ &= \sigma_X^2 \int_0^T h(\eta) d\eta + \sigma_W^2 h(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $h(\tau)$  is constant over  $[0, T]$ . Call this constant  $c$ . To find  $c$ , we substitute  $c$  into the equation above:

$$\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_X^2 T c + \sigma_W^2 c,$$

which implies that

$$c = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 T + \sigma_W^2}.$$

So

$$\hat{X}_T = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 T + \sigma_W^2} \int_0^T Y_\theta d\theta.$$