

where $q+p=1$, $0 \leq q, p \leq 1$. There are three classes $\{0\}$, $\{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$, $\{N\}$. We have

$$\{1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{0\}$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{N\}$$

$$\text{But, } \{0\} \not\rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$$

$$\{N\} \not\rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$$

$\{0\}$ and $\{N\}$ are absorbing. Here,

$$T = \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}, C_1 = \{0\}, C_2 = \{N\}.$$

#14 3/11

Example 3.2.8

Consider a Markov chain on $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 3/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\{0, 1\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$ are irreducible and closed, therefore contain recurrent positive states.

States 2 and 3 are transient because $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5$ but return to 2 or 3 from 5 is impossible. We have

$$T = \{2, 3\}, C_1 = \{0, 1\}, C_2 = \{4, 5\}.$$

All states have period 1 since $p_{ii} > 0$ for all i . Hence, 0, 1, 4, 5 are ergodic.

We can compute

$$f_{00}(1) = p_{00} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f_{00}(n) = p_{01} (p_{11})^{n-2} p_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{4}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and

$$\mu_0 = \sum_n f_{00}(n) \cdot n = 3$$

Example 3.2.9 (Success Runs)

Consider a Markov chain on $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & p_0 & 0 & \dots \\ q_1 & 0 & p_1 & 0 & \dots \\ q_2 & 0 & 0 & p_2 & 0 & \dots \\ q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_3 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

with $g_i, p_i \geq 0$, $g_i + p_i = 1$, all i . This is called a success run chain.

Consider the case that $p_i = p$ for all i and think of a situation where we attempt independent Bernoulli trials with probability p and we are counting the number of successful trials in a row. If we have had n successes in a row, we can extend the run to $n+1$ if we have success on the next trial or start over with a run of 0 if we fail on the next trial. This gives the row

$$g_0 \quad \dots \quad g_n \quad p \quad g_{n+1} \quad \dots$$

We assume $0 < p_i < 1$ for all i , so the chain is irreducible. This means state $i > 0$ is recurrent if and only if 0 is recurrent.

We have

$$f_{00}(1) = g_0$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{00}(n) &= P(X_1=1, X_2=2, \dots, X_{n-1}=n-1, X_n=0 | X_0=0) \\ &= p_0 p_1 \dots p_{n-2} \cdot g_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

[review
detn
pg 118]

We set

$$U_n = \prod_{i=0}^n p_i, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Since $q_{n-1} = 1 - p_{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{00}(n) &= U_{n-2} - U_{n-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} p_i - \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} p_i (1 - p_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} f_{00}(n) &= q_0 + (u_0 - u_1) + (u_1 - u_2) + \dots + (u_{N-1} - u_N) \\ &= q_0 + u_0 - u_N = 1 - u_N \end{aligned}$$

Hence, 0 is recurrent if and only if

$$U_N = \prod_{i=0}^N p_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

We can use L'Hopital's rule to show that if $0 < p_i < 1$ for $i \geq 0$, then

$$U_N = \prod_{i=0}^N p_i \rightarrow 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - p_i) = \infty$$

and

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i > 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p_i) < \infty.$$

This means 0 is recurrent if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p_i) = \infty$,

or in other words, the p_i 's cannot be too close to 1.

You can read this material in Ch. IV, §3.

§3.3 Stationary distributions and the limit theorem

We now consider the behavior of a Markov chain after a long time has elapsed. The sequence $\{X_n\}$ cannot converge to some particular state in general of course. But, we might hope that the distribution of X_n might converge to something. This will happen under certain restrictions.

Example 3.3.1

Consider the ON/OFF system Ex. 2.2.3 with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ 0 & 1-p \end{pmatrix},$$

we showed that

$$P^n = \frac{1}{p+q} \begin{pmatrix} q & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix} + \frac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \begin{pmatrix} p & -p \\ -q & q \end{pmatrix}$$

When $0 < p < 1, 0 < q < 1,$

$$P^n \rightarrow \frac{1}{p+q} \begin{pmatrix} q & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose we choose the initial state X_0 according to the probabilities

$$P(X_0=0) = \gamma_0$$

$$P(X_0=1) = \gamma_1 = 1 - \gamma_0$$

(We can think of X_0 as being the result of some previous computations with the Markov chain.)

Definition 3.3.1

An initial distribution is a probability distribution for the initial state of a Markov chain.

The probability distribution of X_1 conditioned on X_0 is

$$P(X_1=j | X_0) = P_{0j}\gamma_0 + P_{1j}\gamma_1, \quad j=0,1.$$

In matrix notation,

$$(P(X_1=0 | X_0), P(X_1=1 | X_0)) = \gamma P$$

Suppose we take

$$V_0 = \frac{q}{q+p}, \quad V_1 = \frac{p}{q+p}$$

We find that

$$P(X_1=0) = (1-p) \frac{q}{q+p} + q \frac{p}{p+q} = \frac{q}{p+q} = V_0$$

and likewise

$$P(X_1=1) = V_1$$

In matrix notation,

$$V = VP$$

This says that this distribution does not change over time.

Definition 3.3.2

Let S be the state space. The vector π is a stationary distribution for the chain if $\pi = (\pi_j)_{j \in S}$ satisfies

$$(1) \quad \pi_j \geq 0 \text{ all } j, \quad \sum_{j \in S} \pi_j = 1 \quad (\pi \text{ is a p.m.f.})$$

$$(2) \quad \pi = \pi P \quad (\pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i P_{ij} \text{ for all } j \in S), \text{ where}$$

P is the probability transition matrix.

Stationary distributions are also called invariant distributions or equilibrium distributions. Motivation for the names is provided by

Theorem 3.3.1

If π is a stationary distribution of a Markov chain with probability transition matrix P then

$$(3.3.1) \quad \pi P^n = \pi \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0$$

If X_0 has distribution π , then X_n also has distribution π .

Proof

exercise.

Given the discussion following the decomposition theorem, Thm 3.2.5, we now assume the chain is irreducible and explore the existence of stationary distributions.

The intuition behind a stationary distribution is that π_j describes the proportion of time that is spent in time j in the long run. Note this is an interesting connection between the distribution of the values at a given time and what is observed over a long time.

Example 3.3.2

Consider the ON/OFF Example again (Ex. 3.3.1)

The equation $\pi = \pi P$ reads

$$(\pi_0 \ \pi_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ \delta & 1-\delta \end{pmatrix} = (\pi_0 \ \pi_1)$$

(The eigenvector equation for rows with eigenvalue 1).

This yields

$$(1-p)\pi_0 + \delta\pi_1 = \pi_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1 = p/\delta \pi_0$$

The second equation is

$$p\pi_0 + (1-\delta)\pi_1 = \pi_1$$

which also gives

$$\pi_1 = p/\delta \pi_0.$$

We also have $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$,

$$\pi_0(1 + \frac{p}{\delta}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi = \left(\frac{\delta}{p+\delta}, \frac{p}{p+\delta} \right)$$

This agrees with what we discovered with a lucky guess in E.3.3.1. This proves there is only one stationary distribution in this case.