

Default Report

Vis Guise Pre-Pilot

January 27, 2024 5:44 PM MST

Q4 - Please enter your Prolific ID here:

Please enter your Prolific ID here:

608a3af1093571af0fb3bc58

60efa61197aebbe30deefff5

61118b5fd788969295997051

63bb98852cdc67dece8adb8e

63ed83c2779506216941e264

636198b2cfdd80506b1c97a4

6044dfc230e44b5793804ba6

5cad4750f4115800176d506e

5f8737c71a44ac11b9481ab8

611285de4477f8152efce6e8


640e2036e0ad54ebd72a767d

5eb070eb793af1113c01e244

62e2d5c238c450c3579dfa91

5f77b0c71436cf1d275d79d0

Q6 - What was the most visited theme park in 2011?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What was the most visited theme park in 2011?	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Everland (South Korea)	0.00% 0
2	Universal Studios Japan (Japan)	0.00% 0
3	Magic Kingdom at Walt Disney Work (US)	100.00% 11
4	Hong Kong Disneyland (Hong Kong)	0.00% 0

11


Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5

Top 20 theme parks worldwide
By attendance, 2011, m



Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Q7 - Which theme park saw the greatest change in attendance in 2010?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which theme park saw the greatest change in attendance in 2010?	2.00	4.00	2.18	0.57	0.33	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Hong Kong Disneyland (Hong Kong)	0.00% 0
2	Nagashima Spa Land (Japan)	90.91% 10
3	Epcot at Walt Disney World (US)	0.00% 0
4	Tokyo Disney Sea (Japan)	9.09% 1

11

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5



Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Q10 - Why do you think someone made this graph?

Why do you think someone made this graph?

To show how many people attend different parks and the change over time.

To show growth or lack of attendance among theme parks.

To show changes in attendance of theme parks.

capitalism

I don't know.

To show attendance of theme parks

To show the top 20 theme parks in the world


To demonstrate the popularity of Walt Disney World theme parks or it could have been to show investors how well the parks are performing.

To compare theme park attendance in 2011 vs. 2010

Information on theme parks


No idea

Top 20 theme parks worldwide
By attendance, 2011, m




Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Q11 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	1.82	1.40	1.97	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Seeking to inform	54.55% 6
2	2	36.36% 4
3	3	0.00% 0
4	4	0.00% 0
5	Seeking to persuade	0.00% 0
6	Don't know/Can't Say	9.09% 1




Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report


Q12 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	4.00	6.00	4.91	0.51	0.26	11




Q13 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	3.00	6.00	4.64	0.77	0.60	11




Q14 - Do you think this chart is...



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	2.00	6.00	4.73	1.14	1.29	11




Q20 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	2.00	5.00	3.82	0.94	0.88	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	9.09% 1
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	27.27% 3
4	Somewhat confident	36.36% 4
5	Extremely confident	27.27% 3



Q16 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

The data seems fairly straightforward and not really manipulative in any real way.

The information is very straightforward and referenced.

The chart is somewhat ambiguous without more context, I answered "don't know/ can't say" as to whether I think the chart is politically motivated or not because there is no indication that it is. On the other hand, it could be. I answered "don't know/ can't say" as to whether I think the chart is biased because I don't have any way of determining that.

It doesn't have any indication of being politically motivated

Because I don't know the purpose behind the graph, I can't answer the majority of questions. However, the topic doesn't seem politically motivated, unless its someone trying to convince DeSantis how bad it is for his career to keep attacking disney.

It might be biased towards Disney, wanting to show that they're the most popular


This chart is definitely seeking to inform.

The chart appears straightforward and professional. There are a number of business reasons why the chart could have been made. It also could be featured in a travel article and supply information from a travel perspective. It could be used for a consumer report as well.

Theme park attendance is a fairly neutral topic. i have a hard time believing this was politically motivated.

n/a

I don't know the context for this chart



Q18 - Who do you think made this chart?

Who do you think made this chart?

TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Someone at one of the theme parks on this list.

Not sure, I think it might have been made by someone who works for one of these companies. Maybe for a comparison of competition.

there is no way to tell

I don't know.

Maybe Disney

TEA/AECOM


It could have been prepared by the company for a shareholder report or it could have been prepared for a consumer report. It also could have been prepared for an article on travel. It is hard to say without context.

Maybe an analyst

Attendance Reporters


The economist

Top 20 theme parks worldwide
By attendance, 2011, m



Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Q19 - Do you think the author of this chart is an...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is an...	1.00	5.00	2.00	1.54	2.36	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Expert	63.64% 7
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Amateur	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	18.18% 2




Source: TEA/AECOM Global Attractions Attendance Report

Q21 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.00	1.86	3.45	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Responsible	36.36% 4
2	2	18.18% 2
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Irresponsible	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	45.45% 5




Q22 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.91	1.93	3.72	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Honest	45.45% 5
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Dishonest	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	45.45% 5




Q23 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.82	1.59	2.51	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Down to earth	9.09% 1
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Out of touch	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	63.64% 7




Q24 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.91	1.50	2.26	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Like you	9.09%
2	2	18.18%
3	3	9.09%
4	Unlike you	0.00%
5	Don't know/Can't say	63.64%




Q25 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	3.91	0.79	0.63	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	36.36% 4
4	Somewhat confident	36.36% 4
5	Extremely confident	27.27% 3



Q26 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

I know nothing about them really so I have no idea what they are like, I would have to assume that the org is not misrepresenting their numbers and they sound like an expert group at least.

It's a simple cut and dry chart using hard data. I don't know the values of the individual that prepared the chart.

I think the author is an probably expert who works for one of these companies. It's hard for me to imagine that anyone else would want to represent this type of data. As far as questions about the author's character go, I don't see anything here that I think would indicate anything that would help me answer those questions.

I can't make judgments on someone's motives when I am given zero information about them besides an informational chart they created

I can't tell the purpose behind the chart, so it gives me even less to figure out any of the other answers.

I feel like the chart is accurate


It was clearly made by an expert.

Without any context it is hard to say what kind of person prepared this chart. If I saw this chart in a travel article or a shareholder's report then I would trust the source.


Absolutely impossible to know any of those characteristics with certainty.

n/a

I recognize the style of the chart




Q29 - In 2013, which country spent the greatest proportion of their GDP on military spending?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	In 2013, which country spent the greatest proportion of their GDP on military spending?	3.00	4.00	3.54	0.50	0.25	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Russia	0.00% 0
2	France	0.00% 0
3	U.S.	46.15% 6
4	Saudi Arabia	53.85% 7




Q30 - How many dollars did South Korea spend on the military in 2013?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How many dollars did South Korea spend on the military in 2013?	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	\$61.2 billion	0.00% 0
2	\$33.9 billion	100.00% 13
3	\$188 billion	0.00% 0
4	\$48.6 billion	0.00% 0

13



Q33 - Why do you think someone made this graph?

Why do you think someone made this graph?

To highlight the amount of money that the United States spends on military compared to other countries that spend a lot of money on their militaries, including China and Russia.

To compare the amount of spending on military between nations

To show how much the top 10 countries spend on their military.

To show visually comparable spending among the nations.

To show what percent of GDP each of these counties spends on Military.

to show military spending

To show a comparison of military spending in different countries.

To show the military budget of different countries


To show how much different countries spend on their militaries.

It was made by the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute so likely they were trying to demonstrate how much money was spent on defense.


To visualize military spending across countries

To provide information

To show how much the US spends on the military




Q34 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.77	1.53	2.33	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Seeking to inform	30.77% 4
2	2	23.08% 3
3	3	0.00% 0
4	4	30.77% 4
5	Seeking to persuade	15.38% 2
6	Don't know/Can't Say	0.00% 0




Q35 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	2.54	1.69	2.86	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Politically motivated	38.46% 5
2	2	23.08% 3
3	3	15.38% 2
4	4	0.00% 0
5	Not politically motivated	15.38% 2
6	Don't know/Can't Say	7.69% 1




Q36 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	2.00	6.00	4.08	1.49	2.22	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Manipulative	0.00% 0
2	2	23.08% 3
3	3	15.38% 2
4	4	15.38% 2
5	Straightforward	23.08% 3
6	Don't know/Can't Say	23.08% 3




Q37 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	3.31	1.49	2.21	13

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Biased	7.69% 1
2	2	30.77% 4
3	3	23.08% 3
4	4	7.69% 1
5	Unbiased	23.08% 3
6	Don't know/Can't Say	7.69% 1




Q38 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	4.00	0.76	0.57	14

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	28.57% 4
4	Somewhat confident	42.86% 6
5	Extremely confident	28.57% 4



Q39 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

I think that this chart is politically motivated because it highlights the raw dollars spent rather than the percentage of the GDP. For example, by looking at this chart (raw dollars), the United States looks like they spend disproportionately to all other countries. However, the rank order and the size of the missiles would look differently if the chart was based on GDP rather than raw dollars. I think that it is designed to show that the US spends a lot of money on the military.

The source informed how I interpreted the motivation of the chart

At first I thought it was saying look how much more the USA spends compared to the other countries, but seeing that it comes from a peace research institute I think the idea is more that the world is spending far too much money on the military as a whole.

The preparer of the slide used different sized bullets to represent the amount of billions spent with the country's flags wrapped around. I find that to be provocative. They could have easily chosen a simple bar graph to represent the same information.

I think this chart is probably politically motivated as it relates to topics that are usually political. In my experience, most things political are biased and usually done as an attempt to persuade. I don't know can't say if it is manipulative or not. It may just be an attempt to convey certain information.

just looks like a chart of facts

The topic is very political. Because the source was a research institute, it seems to me like it is trying to inform More than persuade. Without knowing more actual facts, I can't say if the chart is manipulative or straightforward. And it APPEARS unbiased, but again, I don't know all the facts.

The chart could be politically motivated. They could be trying to make the US seem superior


I think the institute created this graphic for a specific reason related to their agenda.

I feel like the data is likely very accurate and they are trying to persuade people that if the nations were to coexist in peace then there would be more money to apply to other projects that would benefit mankind.

Just taking the chart at face value.

Pretty self explanatory

It's trying to highlight the US



Q41 - Who do you think made this chart?

Who do you think made this chart?

An analyst named Han Chang-duk

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute

Someone with an anti war/military spending bias.

The Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.

stockholm international peace research institute

Han chang-duk.

I'm not sure


Han Chang-duk of the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute


Maybe a think tank.

A research institute

A magazine employee




Q42 - Do you think the author of this chart is an...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is an...	1.00	5.00	2.25	1.36	1.85	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Expert	33.33% 4
2	2	41.67% 5
3	3	8.33% 1
4	Amateur	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	16.67% 2
		12




Q43 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.83	1.62	2.64	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Responsible	25.00% 3
2	2	33.33% 4
3	3	8.33% 1
4	Irresponsible	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	33.33% 4

12




Q44 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.67	1.49	2.22	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Honest	25.00% 3
2	2	33.33% 4
3	3	16.67% 2
4	Dishonest	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	25.00% 3




Q45 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	2.00	5.00	3.58	1.44	2.08	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Down to earth	0.00% 0
2	2	41.67% 5
3	3	8.33% 1
4	Out of touch	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	50.00% 6
		12




Q46 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.17	1.46	2.14	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Like you	8.33% 1
2	2	41.67% 5
3	3	8.33% 1
4	Unlike you	8.33% 1
5	Don't know/Can't say	33.33% 4
		12




Q47 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	3.58	0.64	0.41	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	50.00% 6
4	Somewhat confident	41.67% 5
5	Extremely confident	8.33% 1



Q48 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

The chart would take me (also an analyst) a decent amount of time to create the graphic. Therefore, I thought that this person was someone skilled at making graphics and also made conscious choices about what parts to highlight (e.g. raw dollars spent by country rather than percentage of GDP spent by country).

Well I've never heard of this institute before so I can't really say if any of this information is correct or unbiased. I will say that I agree that the world spends far too much on military and those resources would be better spent on aid.

All countries overspend on military might. We claim it's to defend us and protect others around the globe. But, at some point, there seems to be no end in sight.

The chart looks professionally made and has the source at the bottom so I think it was probably made by an expert. I don't know anything about the author or the personal motivations behind making this chart so I cannot answer those other questions.

I would trust a peace research institute

Since the chart comes from a research institute, it makes me think they are professional, but that isn't a guarantee. I don't know the chart author and all the facts surrounding the chart, so I can't say whether they are responsible or not. The chart seems down to earth, but the bullets seem cartoony. Can't say whether they are like me based on the chart.

I don't have enough information about the author


I haven't heard of this organization but they clearly have an agenda regarding military spending.

The fact that it came from a reputable international agency.


Cant know those things based ob the chart alone

Not too much really

The chart looks professionally made




Q50 - Which company contributed approximately \$500,000?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which company contributed approximately \$500,000?	1.00	2.00	1.73	0.45	0.20	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Walmart	27.27% 3
2	Amazon	72.73% 8
3	Home Depot	0.00% 0
4	AT&T	0.00% 0




11

Q51 - Which company contributed the most to homophobic politicians in 2020?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which company contributed the most to homophobic politicians in 2020?	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Walmart	0.00% 0
2	Amazon	0.00% 0
3	Home Depot	0.00% 0
4	AT&T	100.00% 11



11

Q53 - Why do you think someone made this graph?

Why do you think someone made this graph?

To show that companies often talk out of both sides of their mouths, rainbow washing capitalism.

They are trying to make a point about hypocrisy.

I think this chart was made to try and get people to not do business with certain companies that donate money to politicians that have opposing political or ideological views.

to show the hypocrisy of our corporate overlords

To show the hypocrisy and lack of honesty of companies that claim to be supportive of lgbtq+ rights.

To show the hypocrisy of the businesses


To show that large companies try to cater to the public but also pay politicians for influence.

To inform the public of companies that profess to uphold diversity while supporting politicians who do not support diversity.


To call out hypocritical organizations

To get people's views on how they saw the LGBTQ+ community

To push people away from certain companies




Q54 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	5.00	4.09	1.38	1.90	11

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	Seeking to inform	9.09%	1
2	2	9.09%	1
3	3	9.09%	1
4	4	9.09%	1
5	Seeking to persuade	63.64%	7
6	Don't know/Can't Say	0.00%	0




Q55 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	5.00	1.82	1.11	1.24	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Politically motivated	45.45% 5
2	2	45.45% 5
3	3	0.00% 0
4	4	0.00% 0
5	Not politically motivated	9.09% 1
6	Don't know/Can't Say	0.00% 0



11

Q56 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Seeking to inform	1.00	6.00	3.33	1.70	2.89	6


#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
2	2	1.00	5.00	3.00	1.58	2.50	4
3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
5	Seeking to persuade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
6	Don't know/Can't Say	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1

#	Field	Seeking to inform	2	3	4	Seeking to persuade	Don't know/Can't Say	Total				
1	Manipulative	50.00%	1	50.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
2	2	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	3
3	3	100.00%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
4	4	0.00%	0	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
5	Straightforward	50.00%	1	50.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
6	Don't know/Can't Say	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6



Q57 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Seeking to inform	1.00	6.00	3.33	1.70	2.89	6


#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
2	2	1.00	4.00	2.25	1.09	1.19	4
3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
5	Seeking to persuade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
6	Don't know/Can't Say	6.00	6.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	1

#	Field	Seeking to inform	2	3	4	Seeking to persuade	Don't know/Can't Say	Total				
1	Biased	50.00%	1	50.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
2	2	33.33%	1	66.67%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	3
3	3	100.00%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
4	4	0.00%	0	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
5	Unbiased	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	1
6	Don't know/Can't Say	50.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	1	2

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6



Q58 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Seeking to inform	3.00	5.00	3.83	0.69	0.47	6
2	2	3.00	4.00	3.50	0.50	0.25	4
3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
5	Seeking to persuade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
6	Don't know/Can't Say	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	1

#	Field	Seeking to inform	2	3	4	Seeking to persuade	Don't know/Can't Say	Total
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	40.00%	2	40.00%	2	0.00%	0	20.00%
4	Somewhat confident	60.00%	3	40.00%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%
5	Extremely confident	100.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5



Q59 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

I know that in general companies will talk big about all the good they do for LGBTQ+ people but then turn around and stab them in the back.

Everything from the rainbows to the expressions of the bears has bias written all over it. The interesting thing, though, is that the chart does provide some interesting information.

This chart is definitely politically motivated and biased toward a particular Ideology. It is manipulative because it utilizes the concept of homophobia, which is used to demonize people who have beliefs about homosexuality. It is obviously seeking to persuade

these are all terrible companies who pay lip service to pride but actually donate to horrible right wing neo fascists

Because of the type of information shown, the chart makes me think it is seeking to persuade with information. The topic makes me feel the chart is politically motivated; the imagery makes me feel like it's a joke. The imagery feels manipulative. I don't know all the actual facts, so I can't tell if it is biased or not

I feel like it's biased and trying to discredit these companies without full context


This chart was created to inform but I also feel it is meant to convince supporters to avoid these companies and their products.

The phrase "homophobic politicians" in the heading was the characteristic of the chart that most affected my responses to the questions.

The chart seems politically motivated. But can't be sure of the data.

Ideally just the brand names

They had to make a judgment call about homophobic politicians



Q61 - Who do you think made this chart?

Who do you think made this chart?

An LGBTQ+ advocacy group

Someone in the LBGHTQA community.

Somebody who is very concerned with promoting a certain Ideology and canceling those who do not agree,

don't know

An lgbtq+ advocate.

An LGBTQ activist group


Students

Someone from the LBGTQ community


LGBTQ organization

Someone who's in support of the LGBTQ+

A child




Q62 - Do you think the author of this chart is an...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is an...	2.00	5.00	3.73	0.96	0.93	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Expert	0.00% 0
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	36.36% 4
4	Amateur	27.27% 3
5	Don't know/Can't say	27.27% 3




Q63 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.82	1.40	1.97	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Responsible	9.09% 1
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	27.27% 3
4	Irresponsible	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	54.55% 6




Q64 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.64	1.55	2.41	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Honest	9.09% 1
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Dishonest	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	54.55% 6
		11




Q65 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	2.00	5.00	3.55	1.37	1.88	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Down to earth	0.00% 0
2	2	36.36% 4
3	3	18.18% 2
4	Out of touch	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	45.45% 5
		11




Q66 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.18	1.19	1.42	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Like you	9.09% 1
2	2	18.18% 2
3	3	36.36% 4
4	Unlike you	18.18% 2
5	Don't know/Can't say	18.18% 2
		11




Q67 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	3.45	0.66	0.43	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	63.64% 7
4	Somewhat confident	27.27% 3
5	Extremely confident	9.09% 1
		11



Q68 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

As I said companies like to talk big but will give money to anti-LGBTQ+ politicians who will use that to get elected and pass legislation that will harm this minority group.

They chose the term "homophobic" which is a provocative term.

The chart is obviously an attempt at a political smear.

i like the art

The information could be expert, but I don't think an expert would use that sort of imagery. The rest of the answers I can't answer confidently without more info, tho info of the chart makes me feel like the person could be down to earth, but the imagery makes me feel like they are really out of touch.

I feel like it's a little biased


This seems to be an informative chart but without sources cited at the bottom it can be seen as inflammatory and potentially fake data.

The chart is very amateurish - particularly the graphics and the heading. Not only is the heading in a cursive font but it is not well written either.


can't tell characteristics of chart creator.

Not too sure.

It's not a well made chart




Q70 - Which party saw the greatest number of deaths across 2004–2009?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which party saw the greatest number of deaths across 2004–2009?	2.00	4.00	3.83	0.55	0.31	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Coalition forces	0.00% 0
2	Iraqi forces	8.33% 1
3	Insurgents	0.00% 0
4	Civilians	91.67% 11



12

Q71 - When did the greatest number of deaths occur?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	When did the greatest number of deaths occur?	1.00	3.00	1.17	0.55	0.31	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Winter 2006	91.67% 11
2	Summer 2005	0.00% 0
3	Spring 2009	8.33% 1
4	Fall 2007	0.00% 0

12

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5



Q73 - Why do you think someone made this graph?

Why do you think someone made this graph?

To compare each year

To provide data on the total deaths in the Iraq War

To report on total casualties of the war.

Guardian, Wikileaks.

to chart Iraq war deaths

To show the civilian toll taken during the Iraq war.

To inform people about the death toll in the Iraq war


To show the number of deaths during the Iraq war and what groups they belonged to

An anti-war activist


To chart a comparison of types of deaths and when they occurred during the Iraqi War.

Research institute

To show death toll




Q74 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	2.17	1.52	2.31	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Seeking to inform	50.00% 6
2	2	16.67% 2
3	3	16.67% 2
4	4	8.33% 1
5	Seeking to persuade	0.00% 0
6	Don't know/Can't Say	8.33% 1




Q75 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	3.75	1.74	3.02	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Politically motivated	8.33% 1
2	2	33.33% 4
3	3	0.00% 0
4	4	8.33% 1
5	Not politically motivated	33.33% 4
6	Don't know/Can't Say	16.67% 2




Q76 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	3.00	6.00	4.50	0.96	0.92	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Manipulative	0.00% 0
2	2	0.00% 0
3	3	25.00% 3
4	4	8.33% 1
5	Straightforward	58.33% 7
6	Don't know/Can't Say	8.33% 1




Q77 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	2.00	6.00	4.67	1.31	1.72	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Biased	0.00% 0
2	2	8.33% 1
3	3	16.67% 2
4	4	8.33% 1
5	Unbiased	33.33% 4
6	Don't know/Can't Say	33.33% 4




Q78 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	3.75	0.72	0.52	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	41.67% 5
4	Somewhat confident	41.67% 5
5	Extremely confident	16.67% 2



Q79 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

It's not giving much insight

The data given the source I would say is fairly accurate

The chart appears to be straight forward. It would have various shades of red if it wanted to be more manipulative.

The chart seems like it is seeking to inform about deaths in Iraq, it seems fairly straightforward. I don't think it is politically motivated as it comes from WikiLeaks which I believe is about informing citizens about governments. I have no way to determine if it is biased or not.

I'm just given a chart of what appears to be facts and statistics. I don't know who created it

Because the chart is showing the catastrophic toll taken on civilians, I believe this chart is seeking to persuade while also informing. I believe this chart is politically motivated because of the topic being political. I cannot tell if the chart is biased or unbiased without knowing the intent of the chart creator and more information on the subject.

I don't see how that could be biased


This data comes from WikiLeaks so it's clearly trying to show something that the public may not be aware of.

It appears to be made by an activist who is demonstrating how the war in Iraq is killing innocent bystanders.

If the information is factual, then it is difficult to know if there was a hidden agenda present.

It's a graph

It could be politically motivated but I don't know



Q81 - Who do you think made this chart?

Who do you think made this chart?

Guardian, Wikileaks

A civilian.

Guardian, Wikileaks

a human

An antiwar advocate

Maybe an antiwar group


The Guardian

An anti-war activist.


a reporter

Research institute

The economist




Q82 - Do you think the author of this chart is an...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is an...	1.00	5.00	2.27	1.21	1.47	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Expert	27.27% 3
2	2	45.45% 5
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Amateur	9.09% 1
5	Don't know/Can't say	9.09% 1
		11




Q83 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.55	1.56	2.43	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Responsible	27.27% 3
2	2	45.45% 5
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Irresponsible	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	27.27% 3
		11




Q84 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.73	1.76	3.11	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Honest	36.36% 4
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Dishonest	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	36.36% 4
		11




Q85 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.91	1.68	2.81	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Down to earth	27.27% 3
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Out of touch	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	36.36% 4
		11




Q86 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.27	1.60	2.56	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Like you	9.09% 1
2	2	45.45% 5
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Unlike you	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	45.45% 5
		11




Q87 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	3.00	5.00	3.64	0.64	0.41	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	0.00% 0
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	45.45% 5
4	Somewhat confident	45.45% 5
5	Extremely confident	9.09% 1



Q88 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

I assume the chart was released to show what the actual causalities of the war were at the time, and they were using it to persuade the public demand an end to the war. I'm against war in general but I don't know where the Guardian/Wikileaks stand on those issues.

This chart appears to be simple and to the point. It doesn't appear to be for military use.

The author of this chart is probably an expert it looks well made. The chart was made by WikiLeaks which I believe is about informing citizens about their governments so I think the author is probably honest and down to earth.

again I don't know who created this so I can't evaluate their traits

Doing a comparison between the previous chart (pastel leaf filled bar chart), I see that this chart is more "professional", thus leading me to my answers. However, I cannot determine whether the chart creator is honest or not because I don't know if the information is accurate or not.

I feel like the chart and person is accurate


The fact that the data comes from WikiLeaks

This chart was made by someone seeking to provide information demonstrating the cost of the war in human lives. I don't know who made it so I don't know if they are like me, or whether they are honest or dishonest.


There is no way to know just based on the chart itself what the personal characteristics are of the creator.

n/a

I recognize the style of the chart



Q90 - Approximately how many trees are there in the highest income neighborhoods?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Approximately how many trees are there in the highest income neighborhoods?	1.00	3.00	1.83	0.90	0.81	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	750	50.00% 6
2	800	16.67% 2
3	700	33.33% 4
4	650	0.00% 0



Q91 - What is the least number of trees any given neighborhood has?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What is the least number of trees any given neighborhood has?	1.00	3.00	1.42	0.76	0.58	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	210	75.00% 9
2	250	8.33% 1
3	275	16.67% 2
4	300	0.00% 0



Q93 - Why do you think someone made this graph?

Why do you think someone made this graph?

To show that the density of trees generally goes up with income.

To indicate that higher income areas have more trees.

To show the average number of trees by neighborhood income.

to study tree distribution in neighborhoods of various incomes

To show how poorer neighborhoods are disconnected from nature.

To show the number of trees in different neighborhoods

To show that lower income neighborhoods don't have as many trees.

They were trying to prove a correlation between income and the number of trees in a neighborhood.


to show how income disparity affects neighborhood surroundings

To show information

To show that poorer neighborhoods have less trees




Q94 - Do you think this chart is...



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	5.00	2.27	1.48	2.20	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Seeking to inform	45.45% 5
2	2	18.18% 2
3	3	18.18% 2
4	4	0.00% 0
5	Seeking to persuade	18.18% 2
6	Don't know/Can't Say	0.00% 0
<p style="text-align: center;">Average number of trees by neighborhood income</p>		
11		

Q95 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	1.00	6.00	3.55	1.67	2.79	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Politically motivated	18.18% 2
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	27.27% 3
4	4	0.00% 0
5	Not politically motivated	36.36% 4
6	Don't know/Can't Say	9.09% 1



Q96 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	2.00	6.00	4.36	1.23	1.50	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Manipulative	0.00% 0
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	18.18% 2
4	4	18.18% 2
5	Straightforward	36.36% 4
6	Don't know/Can't Say	18.18% 2
		11

Average number of trees by neighborhood income

The chart illustrates that neighborhoods with higher incomes have significantly more trees on average compared to those with lower incomes. The bars are composed of various green leafy plants and trees, showing a clear positive correlation between income level and tree density.

Q97 - Do you think this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think this chart is...	3.00	6.00	5.00	1.13	1.27	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Biased	0.00% 0
2	2	0.00% 0
3	3	18.18% 2
4	4	9.09% 1
5	Unbiased	27.27% 3
6	Don't know/Can't Say	45.45% 5

Average number of trees by neighborhood income

The chart shows a clear positive correlation between neighborhood income and the average number of trees. The highest income group has the highest average number of trees, while the lowest income group has the lowest.

Q98 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	2.00	5.00	3.64	0.98	0.96	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	18.18% 2
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	18.18% 2
4	Somewhat confident	45.45% 5
5	Extremely confident	18.18% 2
		11



Q99 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your

responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why

did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

There is no source on this so I've no idea if the data is real or just something someone made up.

There is no indication where the author obtained their information.

The chart seems like it is seeking to inform as it is pretty much straightforward. I don't think it is politically motivated as I cannot think of a political reason for making this chart. I don't have any way to determine if the chart is biased.

chart is believable

The chart shows comparisons between various neighborhoods; specifically comparing income levels in conjunction with nature. While I don't know the specific reason for the chart, past experience has been that a chart like this is used to prove a discrepancy between nature amounts in neighborhoods of different economic levels and how this discrepancy is detrimental to the well being of the neighborhood and citizens within. Because this chart has a purpose, I feel it is both straightforward and manipulative. I feel that I need more information to be able to determine if the chart is biased or not.

I don't know how it could be political or biased

It's a creative way of showing the average number of trees in neighborhoods based on income.

There is no context to this chart so I'm not sure exactly what the creator is trying to prove, but it seems to attempt to draw a correlation between income levels and the number of trees in a neighborhood.

Motivations for the chart are difficult to know for sure.

n/a

I just can't tell what the creator's motivations were



Q101 - Who do you think made this chart?

Who do you think made this chart?

I've no idea, there is no source given.

There is no way to know. It could be a school project as simple as it is.

I have no idea there is no source on the chart.

no idea

An advocate for providing more nature in low income areas.

Maybe an environmentalist group

A student

I think a researcher made this chart. Someone who was attempting to prove a correlation between those with high income and the number of trees that they have in their neighborhood.


Maybe a diversity organization

Researchers

A graphic designer



Q102 - Do you think the author of this chart is an...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is an...	1.00	5.00	3.55	1.50	2.25	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Expert	18.18% 2
2	2	9.09% 1
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Amateur	27.27% 3
5	Don't know/Can't say	36.36% 4



Q103 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.64	1.55	2.41	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Responsible	9.09% 1
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	9.09% 1
4	Irresponsible	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	54.55% 6



Q104 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.55	1.62	2.61	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Honest	9.09% 1
2	2	36.36% 4
3	3	0.00% 0
4	Dishonest	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	54.55% 6



Q105 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.45	1.50	2.25	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Down to earth	9.09% 1
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	18.18% 2
4	Out of touch	0.00% 0
5	Don't know/Can't say	45.45% 5



Q106 - Do you think the author of this chart is...




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Do you think the author of this chart is...	1.00	5.00	3.36	1.43	2.05	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Like you	9.09% 1
2	2	27.27% 3
3	3	18.18% 2
4	Unlike you	9.09% 1
5	Don't know/Can't say	36.36% 4



Q107 - How confident are you in your answers to these questions?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How confident are you in your answers to these questions?	2.00	5.00	3.55	0.89	0.79	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely unconfident	0.00% 0
2	Somewhat unconfident	9.09% 1
3	Neither confident nor unconfident	45.45% 5
4	Somewhat confident	27.27% 3
5	Extremely confident	18.18% 2
		11



Q108 - Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected your responses to the questions? For any questions you marked "Don't Know/Can't Say", why did you feel you were unable to rate the chart on the given scale?

Please explain your answers. What characteristics of the chart affected you...

I have no real information to go on here as there is no author listed and the data could be false for all I know.

Since there is no authentication or reference to data.

I think the author of this chart is probably mature as it is not very attractive and I've never seen a professional chart made like this. I don't know anything about the author of this chart so I cannot provide an opinion about their character.

chart doesn't say who made it

Based on the chart alone, I can't determine whether a person is expert, responsible, etc, but I would create a chart similar to the one shown above, so it seems like they could be someone like me.

I feel like they're honest and accurate

This chart seems like it was made by a student. It is informative and tries to make a point but it's lacking sources.

It seems like a simple chart ad the graphics make it appear a little amateurish.


Again, the chart as stand alone item doesn't indicate anything regarding its creator or their motivations

n/a

I don't know the creator personally



Q112 - How old are you?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How old are you?	2.00	7.00	4.18	1.47	2.15	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Under 18	0.00% 0
2	18-24 years old	18.18% 2
3	25-34 years old	9.09% 1
4	35-44 years old	36.36% 4
5	45-54 years old	18.18% 2
6	55-64 years old	9.09% 1
7	65+ years old	9.09% 1
		11

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q113 - How do you describe yourself?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How do you describe yourself? - Selected Choice	1.00	3.00	1.73	0.62	0.38	11


#	Field	Choice Count
1	Male	36.36% 4
2	Female	54.55% 6
3	Non-binary / third gender	9.09% 1
4	Prefer to self-describe	0.00% 0
5	Prefer not to say	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q113_4_TEXT - Prefer to self-describe

Prefer to self-describe


Q109 - Choose one or more races that you consider yourself to be



#	Field	Choice Count
1	White or Caucasian	90.91% 10
2	Black or African American	0.00% 0
3	American Indian/Native American or Alaska Native	0.00% 0
4	Asian	0.00% 0
5	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.00% 0
6	Other	9.09% 1
7	Prefer not to say	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8


Q110 - What is the highest level of education you have completed?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What is the highest level of education you have completed?	1.00	6.00	4.09	1.73	2.99	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Some high school or less	9.09% 1
2	High school diploma or GED	18.18% 2
3	Some college, but no degree	9.09% 1
4	Associates or technical degree	9.09% 1
5	Bachelor's degree	27.27% 3
6	Graduate or professional degree (MA, MS, MBA, PhD, JD, MD, DDS etc.)	27.27% 3
7	Prefer not to say	0.00% 0

Q114 - What best describes your employment status over the last three months?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What best describes your employment status over the last three months?	1.00	7.00	3.09	2.27	5.17	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Working full-time	27.27% 3
2	Working part-time	36.36% 4
3	Unemployed and looking for work	9.09% 1
4	A homemaker or stay-at-home parent	0.00% 0
5	Student	0.00% 0
6	Retired	9.09% 1
7	Other	18.18% 2

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q111 - What was your total household income before taxes during the past 12 months?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What was your total household income before taxes during the past 12 months?	1.00	7.00	3.73	2.30	5.29	11

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Less than \$25,000	27.27% 3
2	\$25,000-\$49,999	9.09% 1
3	\$50,000-\$74,999	18.18% 2
4	\$75,000-\$99,999	9.09% 1
5	\$100,000-\$149,999	0.00% 0
6	\$150,000 or more	18.18% 2
7	Prefer not to say	18.18% 2

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8