

Reading List

Amy Rae Fox
2014-2015

Time Perception

1. Block, R. A. (1990). *Cognitive Models of Psychological Time. Cognitive models of psychological time.* New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
2. Hancock, P. A., & Block, R. A. (2012). 125th Anniversary Articles The Psychology of Time : A View Backward and Forward. *American Journal of Psychology*, 125(3), 267–274.
3. Pöppel, E. (1997). A hierarchical model of temporal perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1(2), 56–61. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(97)01008-5

Metaphor

1. Boroditsky, L. (2000). Metaphoric structuring: understanding time through spatial metaphors. *Cognition*, 75(1), 1–28.
1. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. Oxford University Press. doi:978-0226468013
2. Jr, R. W. G. (1996). Why many concepts are metaphorical. *Cognition*, 61, 309–319.
3. Allbritton, D. (1995). When metaphors function as schemas: Some cognitive effects of conceptual metaphors. *Metaphor and Symbol*, 10(1), 33–46.
4. Thibodeau, P. H., & Boroditsky, L. (2011). Metaphors we think with: The role of metaphor in reasoning. *PLoS ONE*, 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016782
5. Valenzuela, J., & Soriano, C. (2005). Cognitive Metaphor and Empirical Methods. *Barcelona Language and Literature Studies*, 1–19.

Spatial Construals of Time

1. Núñez, R., & Cooperrider, K. (2013). The tangle of space and time in human cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(5), 220–9. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.008
2. Walker, E. J., Bergen, B. K., Núñez, R., Science, C., Bates, E., & Cohen, H. (2013). Investigating Spatial Axis Recruitment in Temporal Reckoning Through Acoustic Stimuli and Non-Spatial Responses of phonological processing. *Center for Research in Language Technical Report, University of California, San Diego*, 25(1), 1–10.
3. Torralbo, A., Santiago, J., & Lupiáñez, J. (2006). Flexible conceptual projection of time onto spatial frames of reference. *Cognitive Science*, 30(4), 745–57. doi:10.1207/s15516709cog0000_67
4. Fuhrman, O., & Boroditsky, L. (2010). Cross-cultural differences in mental representations of time: evidence from an implicit nonlinguistic task. *Cognitive Science*, 34(8), 1430–51. doi:10.1111/j.1551-6709.2010.01105.x
5. Casasanto, D. (2010). Space for thinking. In V. Evans & P. Chilton (Eds.), *Language, cognition and space: The state of the art and new directions* (pp. 453–478). Equinox.
6. Casasanto, D., & Boroditsky, L. (2008). Time in the mind: using space to think about time. *Cognition*, 106(2), 579–93. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2007.03.004
7. Coulson, S., & Cánovas, C. (2009). Understanding Timelines: Conceptual Metaphor and Conceptual Integration. *Cognitive Semiotics*, 5(1-2), 198–219.
8. Flumini, A., & Santiago, J. (2013). Time (also) flies from left to right if it is needed. In: *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ...*, 2315–2320.

Visualization in the Courtroom

1. Galves, F. (2000). Where the Not So Wild Things Are: Computers in the Courtroom, The Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Need for Institutional Reform and More Judicial Acceptance. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*, 13(2).
2. Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1999). Causation, Mental Models, and the Law. *Brooklyn Law Review*, (c), 1–31.
3. Feigenson, N., & Sherwin, R. (2007). Thinking beyond the shown : implicit inferences in evidence and argument. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 6, 295–310. doi:10.1093/lpr/mgm016
4. Feigenson, N. (2010). Visual evidence. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 17(2), 149–154. doi:10.3758/PBR.17.2.149
5. Park, J., & Feigenson, N. (2013). Effects of Visual Technology on Mock Jurors. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 27(December 2012), 235–246.
6. < Dunn, M. A., Salovey, P., & Feigenson, N. (2006). The jury persuaded (and not): Computer animation in the courtroom. *Law & Policy*, 28(2), 228-248>
7. < Selbak, J. (1994). Digital litigation: the prejudicial effects of computer-generated animation in the courtroom. *High Tech. LJ*, 9, 337. Shallice,>

Conceptual Flexibility

1. Santiago, J., Ouellet, M., Román, A., & Valenzuela, J. (2012). Attentional factors in conceptual congruency. *Cognitive Science*, 36(6), 1051–77. doi:10.1111/j.1551-6709.2012.01240.x
2. Santiago, J., Román, A., & Ouellet, M. (2011). Flexible foundations of abstract thought: A review and a theory. In T. W. Schubert & A. Maass (Eds.), *Applications of Cognitive Linguistics: Spatial dimensions of social thought*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

Juror Decision Making

1. Solan, Lawrence, M., & Darley, J. M. (2014). Causation, Contribution, and Legal Liability: An Empirical Study. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 64(4), 265–298.
2. <find reference for Pennington, N., & Hastie, R, story model of juror decision making >
3. <Kassin, S. M., & Dunn, M. A. (1997). Computer-animated displays and the jury: Facilitative and prejudicial effects. *Law and Human Behavior*, 21(3), 269.>

Visualization

1. Tversky, B. (2011). Visualizing Thought. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 3(3), 499–535. doi:10.1111/j.1756-8765.2010.01113.x
1. Kiyonaga, A., & Egner, T. (2014). The Working Memory Stroop Effect: When Internal Representations Clash With External Stimuli. *Psychological Science*, 25(8), 1619–1629. doi:10.1177/0956797614536739
2. Trafton, J., Trickett, S., & Mintz, F. (2005). Connecting internal and external representations: Spatial transformations of scientific visualizations. *Foundations of Science*.
3. Tversky, B., Kugelmass, S., & Winter, A. (1991). Cross-cultural and developmental trends in graphic productions. *Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 515–557. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(91)90005-9
4. < Hochpöchler, U., Schnotz, W., Rasch, T., Ullrich, M., Horz, H., McElvany, N., & Baumert, J. (2013). Dynamics of mental model construction from text and graphics. *European journal of psychology of education*, 28(4), 1105-1126.>
5. < Balluerka, N. (1995). The influence of instructions, outlines, and illustrations on the comprehension and recall of scientific texts. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 20(3), 369-375.>
6. < Hegarty, M.. (2011). The cognitive science of visual-spatial displays: implications for design. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 3, 446-474.>

Timelines

1. De Smedt, J., & De Cruz, H. (2011). The role of material culture in human time representation: Calendrical systems as extensions of mental time travel. *Adaptive Behavior*, 19(1), 63–76. doi:10.1177/1059712310396382
2. Nawrot, I., & Doucet, A. (n.d.). Timeline Localization. *Cs.helsinki.fi*.
3. Rolke, B., Fernández, S. R., Schmid, M., Walker, M., Lachmair, M., López, J. J. R., ... Vázquez, C. (2013). Priming the mental time-line: effects of modality and processing mode. *Cognitive Processing*, 14(3), 231–44. doi:10.1007/s10339-013-0537-5
4. Román, A., Fathi, A. El, & Santiago, J. (2013). Spatial biases in understanding descriptions of static scenes: The role of reading and writing direction. *Memory & Cognition*, 1–34.
5. Casasanto, D., & Bottini, R. (2014). Mirror reading can reverse the flow of time. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. General*, 143(2), 473–9. doi:10.1037/a0033297
6. Ouellet, M., Román, A., & Santiago, J. (2012). A multisensory interaction effect in the conceptual realm of time. *Experimental Psychology*, (Umr 6024), 1–20
7. Santiago, J., Román, A., Ouellet, M., Rodríguez, N., & Pérez-Azor, P. (2010). In hindsight, life flows from left to right. *Psychological Research*, 74(1), 59–70. doi:10.1007/s00426-008-0220-0
8. Walker, E., Bergen, B., & Núñez, R. (2013). Later events lie behind her, but not behind you: Compatibility effects for temporal sequences along the sagittal axis depend on perspective. *Mindmodeling.org*, 3729–3734.
9. De la Fuente, J., Santiago, J., Román, A., Dumitrache, C., & Casasanto, D. (2014). When You Think About It, Your Past Is in Front of You: How Culture Shapes Spatial Conceptions of Time. *Psychological Science*, (July). doi:10.1177/0956797614534695