# LLM Fine-tuning

Om Sai Krishna Madhav Lella

April 23, 2025

### Overview

- 1. Introduction to LLMs
- 2. Types of LLMs by Architecture
- 3. Fine-tuning Encoder-based Models
- 4. Fine-tuning Decoder-based Models
- 5. LoRA Fine-tuning (for Decoder Models)
- 6. RoBERTa vs Mistral-7B (Encoder vs Decoder)

### Introduction to LLMs

- → Large Language Models (LLMs) are deep neural networks trained on massive text corpora.
- $\rightarrow$  Built using the Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017).
- ightarrow Capable of generalizing across many NLP tasks with minimal task-specific data.
- → Common tasks include:
  - Text classification, summarization
  - Question answering, translation
  - Code generation, chat interfaces
- → Notable LLMs: GPT-4, BERT, T5, LLaMA, Claude

### Types of LLMs by Architecture

- → Encoder-only (e.g., BERT):
  - Bidirectional context
  - Suited for understanding tasks (e.g., classification, NER)
- → Decoder-only (e.g., GPT):
  - Autoregressive generation (left-to-right)
  - Suited for text generation tasks
- → Encoder-Decoder (e.g., T5, BART):
  - Encoder encodes input; decoder generates output
  - Best for sequence-to-sequence tasks (e.g., translation, summarization)

### Fine-tuning Encoder-based Models

- $\rightarrow$  Start with a pre-trained encoder (e.g., BERT).
- → Add a task-specific output layer (e.g., classification head).
- → Use supervised learning on labeled examples.
- $\rightarrow$  Loss function: typically cross-entropy for classification tasks.
- $\rightarrow$  Advantages:
  - Efficient to fine-tune on small datasets
  - Useful embeddings for downstream tasks

Use Cases: Sentiment analysis, NER, document classification

### Fine-tuning Decoder-based Models

- ightarrow Fine-tune a decoder-only model (e.g., GPT) using domain-specific prompts and completions.
- ightarrow Training objective: minimize loss in predicting the next token (causal language modeling).
- ightarrow Requires more data and compute than encoder models.
- $\rightarrow$  Fine-tuning methods:
  - **Instruction tuning**: train on a variety of task-format prompts.
  - Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF): align outputs with human preferences.
- → **Use Cases:** Dialogue systems, code assistants, creative writing

### LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) Fine-tuning (for Decoder Models)

#### What is LoRA?

- → Parameter-efficient fine-tuning technique.
- ightarrow Instead of updating all model weights, LoRA inserts trainable low-rank matrices into each layer.
- ightarrow Original weights are **frozen**; only the new matrices are trained.

### **Key Benefits:**

- $\rightarrow$  Drastically reduces number of trainable parameters.
- → Lower memory and compute requirements.
- → Works well even with large models like Mistral or LLaMA.

#### When to Use:

- → You want to fine-tune **very large LLMs** on domain-specific data.
- → Limited compute or storage budget.
- → Multi-task fine-tuning with shared base model.

# RoBERTa vs Mistral-7B (Encoder vs Decoder)

Aspect	RoBERTa	Mistral-7B
Inference Time	Fast (sub-second)	Slower (few seconds per input)
Model Size	125M–355M parameters	7B parameters
Hardware Needs	Runs on CPU / low-end GPU	Needs high-end GPU (16GB VRAM)
Fine-tuning Time	Few minutes on small sets	Could be hours to days

# RoBERTa vs Mistral-7B (Encoder vs Decoder)

Aspect	RoBERTa	Mistral-7B
Output Type	Class probabilities (softmax)	Free-text response (to-kens)
Task Alignment	Optimized for classification, regression	Versatile: QA, classification, text generation
Instruction Following	Not inherently designed for it	Strong instruction adherence
Recommendation	Use when speed $+$ structure matter	Use when flexibility + context matter

# Thank You!