Unsupervised Machine Learning

Zomato Restaurant Clustering and Sentiment Analysis

By Madhavi Mali



https://colab.research.google.com/drive/madhavi mali/ZomatoRCASA



Contents

- 1. Problem statement
- 2. Data summary
- 3.EDA
- 4. Feature engineering
- 5. NLP operations
- **6. Sentiment Analysis**
- 7. Machine learning models
- 8. Clustering
- 9. Model validation
- 10.Conclusion

Problem Statement

The Project focuses on analyzing the Zomato restaurant data. You have to analyze the sentiments of the reviews given by the customer in the data and made some useful conclusion in the form of Visualizations. Also, cluster the Zomato restaurants into different segments.

The Analysis also solves some of the business cases that can directly help the customers finding the Best restaurant in their locality and for the company to grow up and work on the fields they are currently lagging in.

This could help in clustering the restaurants into segments. Also the data has valuable information around cuisine and costing which can be used in cost vs. benefit analysis Data could be used for sentiment analysis. Also the metadata of reviewers can be used for identifying the critics in the industry.


Data summary

- Zomato Restaurant names and Metadata
- 1.Name: Name of Restaurants
- **2. Links**: URL Links of Restaurants
- **3. Cost**: Per person estimated Cost of
- dining
- **4. Collection**: Tagging of Restaurants w.r.t.
 - Zomato categories
- **5. Cuisines**: Cuisines served by Restaurants
- **6. Timings** : Restaurant Timings


Zomato Restaurant Reviews


- **1.Restaurant**: Name of the Restaurant
- 2. Reviewer: Name of the Reviewer
- **3. Review**: Review Text
- **4. Rating**: Rating Provided by Reviewer
- **5.MetaData**: Reviewer Metadata No.
- of Reviews and followers
- **6.Time**: Date and Time of Review
- **7.Pictures**: No.of pictures posted with review

Exploratory Data Analysis



• Finding out the most expensive and most affordable restaurants can help a lot according to different pocket sizes







Word cloud for expensive restaurants

Word cloud for affordable restaurants


Most cuisines served




Most cuisines served word cloud



• North-Indian being the most served cuisines followed by the Indian Chinese.






- Ratings with 5 have more in count
- Ankita has reviewed the most when compared to the others

TOP average rating by the reviewers

Top 15 reviewers with average rating of review Kran Prachita Jayaswal Vedart Killa Sravani Prasun Gokhani Ankita Khushboo Pandey Jay Mehta Manojkumar D Nambisan Siva Kumar Nillu Age Of Foodies (Suraj Karambe) Parijat Ray Priyanka


Reviews per hour



- Kiran is the most satisfied customer it seems as he has nearly 5 star rating average
- Reviews are high at the time of 22.00 hrs

Sentiment Analysis


 After completing the necessary text processing part, which contained removing punctuation, Removing stop words & Lemmatization, we move towards Sentiment Analysis



• The subjectivity column that showcases the sentiment is visualized above, where lite purple being *Positive*, red being *Negative* and green being *neautral*

Models performed

- Multinomial Naive Bayes
- Random Forest Classifier
- XGB Classifier
- Support Vector Classifier



Models performance

Multinomial Naive Bayes

0.53

0.99

macro avg weighted avg

The classifica	.s :	rain data i	on the t	ation report	The classific
	support	f1-score	recall	precision	
0	2461	0.90	0.82	1.00	0
1	28	0.11	1.00	0.06	1
accuracy	2489	0.82			accuracy

0.51

0.89

2489

2489

Train accuracy is: 0.8365706630944407

0.82

Test accuracy is: 0.823222177581358

Random Forest Classifier

The classi	fic	ation report	on the t	rain data	is:
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	0	1.00	0.81	0.90	2487
	1	0.00	1.00	0.01	2
accura	су			0.81	2489
macro a	avg	0.50	0.91	0.45	2489
weighted a	avg	1.00	0.81	0.90	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.8171466845277964

Test accuracy is: 0.8127762153475291

Models performance

XGB Classifier

The class	sific	ation report	on the t	rain data :	is :
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	0	0.97	0.95	0.96	2071
	1	0.76	0.86	0.81	418
accur	racy			0.93	2489
macro	avg	0.87	0.90	0.88	2489
weighted	avg	0.94	0.93	0.93	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.9880776959142665 Test accuracy is: 0.9369224588188028


Support Vector Classifier


The classi	fication r	eport on	the train	data is :	
	precis	ion re	call f1-s	score su	pport
	0 0	.99	0.93	0.96	2145
	1 0	.69	0.93	0.79	344
accura	ісу			0.93	2489
macro a	ivg 0	.84	0.93	0.87	2489
weighted a	ivg 0	.95	0.93	0.94	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.9961152042866711 Test accuracy is: 0.9188429087987143

Clustering

K-Means Clustering







• According to the elbow curve we should have 5 clusters for the best results

• 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost

Clustering(contd)

PCA - Principal Component Analysis





 According to the elbow curve we should have 5 clusters for the best results using PCA. • 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost using PCA

Top 3 Cuisines in 5 clusters K-Means

```
Top cuisines in cluster 3
Top cuisines in cluster 0
northindian
                16
                                            asian
chinese
                                           italian
fastfood
                                           continental
dtype: int64
                                           dtype: int64
Top cuisines in cluster 1
northindian
                                           Top cuisines in cluster 4
                11
continental
                                            northindian
                                                          14
asian
                                           chinese
dtype: int64
                                           italian
                                           dtype: int64
Top cuisines in cluster 2
northindian
                18
chinese
               18
biryani
               11
dtype: int64
```

Model Validation

- As it is clear form the validation table that both XGB and SVM (Classifier) are working exceptionally well than other models.
- So we can choose between any one of them for the production

	Model_Name	Training_accuracy	Test_accuracy
0	MultinomialNB	0.8371	0.8232
1	Random Forest	0.8140	0.8107
2	XGB	0.9880	0.9369
3	Support Vector Machine	0.9961	0.9188

Conclusion

- The most popular cuisines are the cuisines which most of the restaurants are willing to provide. The most popular cuisines in Hyderabad are North Indian, Chinese, Continental, and Hyderabadi.
- The cheapest is the food joint called Mohammedia Shawarma and the costliest restaurant is Collage –Hyatt Hyderabad Gachibowli.
- Sentiment Analysis was done on the reviews and a model was trained in order to identify negative and positive sentiments.
- SVM and XGB both performed well and we can choose any one them.
- SVM and XGB are having 0.9188and 0.9369of testing accuracy respectively.
- We got best cluster as 5 in K-Means and Principal Component Analysis(PCA).

References

- 1. Machine Learning Mastery
- 2.GeeksforGeeks
- 3. Analytics Vidhya Blogs
- 4. Towards Data Science Blogs
- 5. Built in Data Science Blogs
- 6.Scikit-Learn Org
- 7.Jovian.ai
- 8. Youtube

Thank you!