ECE C147/247 HW4 Q1: Optimization for Fully Connected Networks

In this notebook, we will implement different optimization rules for gradient descent. We have provided starter code; however, you will need to copy and paste your code from your implementation of the modular fully connected nets in HW #3 to build upon this.

utils has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils.

```
In [12]:
          ## Import and setups
          import time
          import numpy as np
          import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          from nndl.fc net import *
          from utils.data utils import get CIFAR10 data
          from utils.gradient check import eval numerical gradient, eval numerical gradien
          from utils.solver import Solver
          %matplotlib inline
          plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
          plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
          plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
          # for auto-reloading external modules
          # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
          %load ext autoreload
          %autoreload 2
          def rel error(x, y):
            """ returns relative error """
            return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

The autoreload extension is already loaded. To reload it, use: %reload ext autoreload

```
In [13]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
    for k in data.keys():
        print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_train: (49000,)
    X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_val: (1000,)
    X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y test: (1000,)
```

Building upon your HW #3 implementation

Copy and paste the following functions from your HW #3 implementation of a modular FC net:

- affine_forward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_backward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_forward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_backward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_relu_forward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- affine_relu_backward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- The FullyConnectedNet class in nndl/fc_net.py

Test all functions you copy and pasted

```
In [14]:
          from nndl.layer tests import *
          affine_forward_test(); print('\n')
          affine backward test(); print('\n')
          relu forward test(); print('\n')
          relu_backward_test(); print('\n')
          affine_relu_test(); print('\n')
          fc_net_test()
         If affine forward function is working, difference should be less than 1e-9:
         difference: 9.7698500479884e-10
         If affine backward is working, error should be less than 1e-9::
         dx error: 1.4410618364109473e-10
         dw error: 3.951660759570207e-10
         db error: 3.362687283391675e-11
         If relu forward function is working, difference should be around 1e-8:
         difference: 4.999999798022158e-08
         If relu forward function is working, error should be less than 1e-9:
         dx error: 3.275613190311093e-12
         If affine relu forward and affine relu backward are working, error should be les
         s than 1e-9::
         dx error: 1.8405965257237726e-10
         dw error: 4.40773854254893e-10
         db error: 1.1766284787147734e-11
         Running check with reg = 0
         Initial loss: 2.3037473786603213
         W1 relative error: 1.2961345771527462e-06
         W2 relative error: 9.044647617123185e-08
         W3 relative error: 6.33124156826923e-08
         b1 relative error: 1.8767968992178745e-07
         b2 relative error: 7.49050980157887e-08
```

```
b3 relative error: 7.93541082580688e-11
Running check with reg = 3.14
Initial loss: 6.981628882547437
W1 relative error: 2.9465490328496576e-08
W2 relative error: 1.1739334232080523e-07
W3 relative error: 1.1168093446519426e-07
b1 relative error: 2.364575846205932e-08
b2 relative error: 7.944102491296792e-09
b3 relative error: 2.0331639852930577e-10
```

Training a larger model

In general, proceeding with vanilla stochastic gradient descent to optimize models may be fraught with problems and limitations, as discussed in class. Thus, we implement optimizers that improve on SGD.

SGD + momentum

In the following section, implement SGD with momentum. Read the nndl/optim.py API, and be sure you understand it. After, implement sgd_momentum in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation of sgd_momentum by running the cell below.

```
In [4]:
         from nndl.optim import sgd_momentum
        N, D = 4, 5
         w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         config = {'learning rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
         next w, = sgd momentum(w, dw, config=config)
         expected next w = np.asarray([
          [ 0.1406,
                    0.20738947, 0.27417895, 0.34096842, 0.40775789],
          [0.47454737, 0.54133684, 0.60812632, 0.67491579, 0.74170526],
           [0.80849474, 0.87528421, 0.94207368, 1.00886316, 1.07565263],
          [ 1.14244211, 1.20923158, 1.27602105, 1.34281053, 1.4096
         expected velocity = np.asarray([
                        0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
          [ 0.5406,
           [ 0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
           [0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
           [ 0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096
         print('next w error: {}'.format(rel error(next w, expected next w)))
        print('velocity error: {}'.format(rel error(expected velocity, config['velocity
```

next_w error: 8.882347033505819e-09
velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09

SGD + Nesterov momentum

Implement sqd nesterov momentum in ndl/optim.py.

```
In [5]: from nndl.optim import sgd nesterov momentum
        N, D = 4, 5
         w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
         config = {'learning_rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
         next_w, _ = sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=config)
         expected_next_w = np.asarray([
          [0.08714, 0.15246105, 0.21778211, 0.28310316, 0.34842421],
          [0.41374526, 0.47906632, 0.54438737, 0.60970842, 0.67502947],
          [0.74035053, 0.80567158, 0.87099263, 0.93631368, 1.00163474],
          [1.06695579, 1.13227684, 1.19759789, 1.26291895, 1.32824
         expected_velocity = np.asarray([
          [0.5406, 0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
          [0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
          [0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
           [0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096]
         print('next_w error: {}'.format(rel_error(next_w, expected_next_w)))
         print('velocity error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_velocity, config['velocity'
```

next_w error: 1.0875186845081027e-08
velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09


Evaluating SGD, SGD+Momentum, and SGD+NesterovMomentum

Run the following cell to train a 6 layer FC net with SGD, SGD+momentum, and SGD+Nesterov momentum. You should see that SGD+momentum achieves a better loss than SGD, and that SGD+Nesterov momentum achieves a slightly better loss (and training accuracy) than SGD+momentum.

```
In [6]:
         num train = 4000
         small data = {
           'X train': data['X train'][:num train],
           'y train': data['y train'][:num train],
           'X_val': data['X_val'],
           'y val': data['y val'],
         solvers = {}
         for update_rule in ['sgd', 'sgd_momentum', 'sgd nesterov momentum']:
           print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update rule))
           model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight scale=5e-2)
           solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                           num epochs=5, batch size=100,
                           update rule=update rule,
                           optim config={
                              'learning rate': 1e-2,
                           verbose=False)
```

```
solvers[update rule] = solver
  solver.train()
  print
plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
plt.title('Training loss')
plt.xlabel('Iteration')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
plt.title('Training accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
plt.title('Validation accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
for update_rule, solver in solvers.items():
 plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
  plt.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label=update_rule)
  plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
  plt.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)
 plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
  plt.plot(solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
  plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
  plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```

Optimizing with sgd_momentum
Optimizing with sgd_mesterov momentum



RMSProp

Now we go to techniques that adapt the gradient. Implement <code>rmsprop</code> in <code>nndl/optim.py</code> . Test your implementation by running the cell below.

next_w error: 9.524687511038133e-08
cache error: 2.6477955807156126e-09

Adaptive moments

Now, implement adam in nndl/optim.py . Test your implementation by running the cell below.

```
In [8]:
        # Test Adam implementation; you should see errors around 1e-7 or less
        from nndl.optim import adam
        N, D = 4, 5
        w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
        dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
        v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
        a = np.linspace(0.7, 0.5, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
        config = {'learning rate': 1e-2, 'v': v, 'a': a, 't': 5}
        next w, = adam(w, dw, config=config)
        expected next w = np.asarray([
          [-0.40094747, -0.34836187, -0.29577703, -0.24319299, -0.19060977],
          [-0.1380274, -0.08544591, -0.03286534, 0.01971428, 0.0722929],
          [ 0.1248705,  0.17744702,  0.23002243,  0.28259667,  0.33516969],
          [ 0.38774145, 0.44031188, 0.49288093, 0.54544852, 0.59801459]])
        expected a = np.asarray([
          [0.69966, 0.68908382, 0.67851319, 0.66794809, 0.65738853,],
          [0.64683452, 0.63628604, 0.6257431, 0.61520571, 0.60467385,],
          [0.59414753, 0.58362676, 0.57311152, 0.56260183, 0.55209767,],
          [ 0.54159906, 0.53110598, 0.52061845, 0.51013645, 0.49966, ]])
        expected v = np.asarray([
          [ 0.48,
                   0.49947368, 0.51894737, 0.53842105, 0.55789474],
          [0.57736842, 0.59684211, 0.61631579, 0.63578947, 0.65526316],
          [0.67473684, 0.69421053, 0.71368421, 0.73315789, 0.75263158],
          [ 0.77210526, 0.79157895, 0.81105263, 0.83052632, 0.85
        print('next w error: {}'.format(rel error(expected next w, next w)))
        print('a error: {}'.format(rel error(expected a, config['a'])))
        print('v error: {}'.format(rel error(expected v, config['v'])))
```

next_w error: 1.1395691798535431e-07
a error: 4.208314038113071e-09
v error: 4.214963193114416e-09


Comparing SGD, SGD+NesterovMomentum, RMSProp,

and Adam

The following code will compare optimization with SGD, Momentum, Nesterov Momentum, RMSProp and Adam. In our code, we find that RMSProp, Adam, and SGD + Nesterov Momentum achieve approximately the same training error after a few training epochs.

```
In [9]:
         learning rates = {'rmsprop': 2e-4, 'adam': 1e-3}
         for update rule in ['adam', 'rmsprop']:
           print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update_rule))
           model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight_scale=5e-2)
           solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                           num_epochs=5, batch_size=100,
                           update rule=update rule,
                           optim_config={
                              'learning_rate': learning_rates[update_rule]
                           },
                           verbose=False)
           solvers[update_rule] = solver
           solver.train()
           print
         plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
         plt.title('Training loss')
         plt.xlabel('Iteration')
         plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
         plt.title('Training accuracy')
         plt.xlabel('Epoch')
         plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
         plt.title('Validation accuracy')
         plt.xlabel('Epoch')
         for update rule, solver in solvers.items():
           plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
           plt.plot(solver.loss history, 'o', label=update rule)
           plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
           plt.plot(solver.train acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
           plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
           plt.plot(solver.val acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
         for i in [1, 2, 3]:
           plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
           plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
         plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
         plt.show()
```

Optimizing with adam
Optimizing with rmsprop



Easier optimization

In the following cell, we'll train a 4 layer neural network having 500 units in each hidden layer with the different optimizers, and find that it is far easier to get up to 50+% performance on CIFAR-10. After we implement batchnorm and dropout, we'll ask you to get 55+% on CIFAR-10.

```
(Iteration 1 / 4900) loss: 2.331414
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.215000; val acc: 0.239000
(Iteration 51 / 4900) loss: 1.832386
(Iteration 101 / 4900) loss: 1.633535
(Iteration 151 / 4900) loss: 1.630721
(Iteration 201 / 4900) loss: 1.609595
(Iteration 251 / 4900) loss: 1.545343
(Iteration 301 / 4900) loss: 1.469391
(Iteration 351 / 4900) loss: 1.635097
(Iteration 401 / 4900) loss: 1.579331
(Iteration 451 / 4900) loss: 1.472473
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.458000; val_acc: 0.468000
(Iteration 501 / 4900) loss: 1.323847
(Iteration 551 / 4900) loss: 1.471835
(Iteration 601 / 4900) loss: 1.473157
(Iteration 651 / 4900) loss: 1.502870
(Iteration 701 / 4900) loss: 1.172256
(Iteration 751 / 4900) loss: 1.198308
(Iteration 801 / 4900) loss: 1.577010
(Iteration 851 / 4900) loss: 1.362602
(Iteration 901 / 4900) loss: 1.237352
(Iteration 951 / 4900) loss: 1.414191
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.529000; val acc: 0.542000
(Iteration 1001 / 4900) loss: 1.280004
(Iteration 1051 / 4900) loss: 1.243785
(Iteration 1101 / 4900) loss: 1.242174
(Iteration 1151 / 4900) loss: 1.094003
(Iteration 1201 / 4900) loss: 1.079250
(Iteration 1251 / 4900) loss: 1.083675
(Iteration 1301 / 4900) loss: 1.348682
(Iteration 1351 / 4900) loss: 1.249985
(Iteration 1401 / 4900) loss: 1.111149
(Iteration 1451 / 4900) loss: 1.109492
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.623000; val acc: 0.544000
(Iteration 1501 / 4900) loss: 1.123971
(Iteration 1551 / 4900) loss: 1.260396
(Iteration 1601 / 4900) loss: 1.111900
(Iteration 1651 / 4900) loss: 1.235966
(Iteration 1701 / 4900) loss: 1.060695
(Iteration 1751 / 4900) loss: 1.235995
(Iteration 1801 / 4900) loss: 1.390496
(Iteration 1851 / 4900) loss: 0.953995
(Iteration 1901 / 4900) loss: 1.042180
(Iteration 1951 / 4900) loss: 1.078345
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.647000; val acc: 0.538000
(Iteration 2001 / 4900) loss: 1.121671
(Iteration 2051 / 4900) loss: 0.970155
(Iteration 2101 / 4900) loss: 0.886458
(Iteration 2151 / 4900) loss: 1.045908
(Iteration 2201 / 4900) loss: 0.776675
```

```
(Iteration 2251 / 4900) loss: 1.012090
(Iteration 2301 / 4900) loss: 1.004688
(Iteration 2351 / 4900) loss: 0.926510
(Iteration 2401 / 4900) loss: 0.963581
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.679000; val_acc: 0.539000
(Iteration 2451 / 4900) loss: 0.884061
(Iteration 2501 / 4900) loss: 0.981853
(Iteration 2551 / 4900) loss: 1.066117
(Iteration 2601 / 4900) loss: 0.805515
(Iteration 2651 / 4900) loss: 0.881143
(Iteration 2701 / 4900) loss: 0.801517
(Iteration 2751 / 4900) loss: 0.848866
(Iteration 2801 / 4900) loss: 0.986711
(Iteration 2851 / 4900) loss: 0.818637
(Iteration 2901 / 4900) loss: 0.726601
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.708000; val acc: 0.542000
(Iteration 2951 / 4900) loss: 0.732408
(Iteration 3001 / 4900) loss: 0.747479
(Iteration 3051 / 4900) loss: 0.764868
(Iteration 3101 / 4900) loss: 0.868629
(Iteration 3151 / 4900) loss: 0.964095
(Iteration 3201 / 4900) loss: 0.749950
(Iteration 3251 / 4900) loss: 0.808751
(Iteration 3301 / 4900) loss: 0.852694
(Iteration 3351 / 4900) loss: 0.826356
(Iteration 3401 / 4900) loss: 0.931253
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.724000; val acc: 0.559000
(Iteration 3451 / 4900) loss: 0.806597
(Iteration 3501 / 4900) loss: 0.770087
(Iteration 3551 / 4900) loss: 0.849689
(Iteration 3601 / 4900) loss: 0.559779
(Iteration 3651 / 4900) loss: 0.885751
(Iteration 3701 / 4900) loss: 0.844419
(Iteration 3751 / 4900) loss: 0.873476
(Iteration 3801 / 4900) loss: 0.787402
(Iteration 3851 / 4900) loss: 0.676641
(Iteration 3901 / 4900) loss: 0.800420
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.743000; val acc: 0.555000
(Iteration 3951 / 4900) loss: 0.734142
(Iteration 4001 / 4900) loss: 0.779257
(Iteration 4051 / 4900) loss: 0.700565
(Iteration 4101 / 4900) loss: 0.543307
(Iteration 4151 / 4900) loss: 0.497376
(Iteration 4201 / 4900) loss: 0.707121
(Iteration 4251 / 4900) loss: 0.635649
(Iteration 4301 / 4900) loss: 0.624383
(Iteration 4351 / 4900) loss: 0.729163
(Iteration 4401 / 4900) loss: 0.601045
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.783000; val acc: 0.555000
(Iteration 4451 / 4900) loss: 0.718729
(Iteration 4501 / 4900) loss: 0.452410
(Iteration 4551 / 4900) loss: 0.475311
(Iteration 4601 / 4900) loss: 0.954056
(Iteration 4651 / 4900) loss: 0.581524
(Iteration 4701 / 4900) loss: 0.717834
(Iteration 4751 / 4900) loss: 0.529904
(Iteration 4801 / 4900) loss: 0.707208
(Iteration 4851 / 4900) loss: 0.432947
(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.808000; val acc: 0.565000
```

ECE C147/247 HW4 Q2: Batch Normalization

In this notebook, you will implement the batch normalization layers of a neural network to increase its performance. Please review the details of batch normalization from the lecture notes.

utils has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils.

```
In [2]:
          ## Import and setups
          import time
          import numpy as np
          import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          from nndl.fc_net import *
          from nndl.layers import *
          from utils.data utils import get CIFAR10 data
          from utils.gradient_check import eval_numerical_gradient, eval_numerical_gradien
          from utils.solver import Solver
          %matplotlib inline
          plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
          plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
          plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
          # for auto-reloading external modules
          # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
          %load ext autoreload
          %autoreload 2
          def rel error(x, y):
            """ returns relative error """
            return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
          The autoreload extension is already loaded. To reload it, use:
            %reload ext autoreload
 In [3]:
          # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.
          data = get CIFAR10 data()
          for k in data.keys():
            print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))
         X train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
          y train: (49000,)
          X val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
         y_val: (1000,)
          X test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
         y test: (1000,)
Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js
```

Batchnorm forward pass

Implement the training time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
In [4]:
         # Check the training-time forward pass by checking means and variances
         # of features both before and after batch normalization
         # Simulate the forward pass for a two-layer network
         N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
         X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
         W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
         W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)
         a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
         print('Before batch normalization:')
         print(' means: ', a.mean(axis=0))
         print(' stds: ', a.std(axis=0))
         # Means should be close to zero and stds close to one
         print('After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)')
         a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, np.ones(D3), np.zeros(D3), {'mode': 'train'})
         print('
                 mean: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
         print(' std: ', a_norm.std(axis=0))
         # Now means should be close to beta and stds close to gamma
         gamma = np.asarray([1.0, 2.0, 3.0])
         beta = np.asarray([11.0, 12.0, 13.0])
         a norm, = batchnorm forward(a, gamma, beta, {'mode': 'train'})
         print('After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)')
         print(' means: ', a norm.mean(axis=0))
         print(' stds: ', a norm.std(axis=0))
        Before batch normalization:
          means: [21.59645922 -7.85738696 1.75549451]
          stds: [35.57182325 31.33004535 23.69570083]
        After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)
          mean: [ 5.61634073e-16  2.96984659e-17 -1.11022302e-17]
                            0.99999999 0.999999991
          std: [1.
        After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)
          means: [11. 12. 13.]
                             1.99999999 2.99999997]
          stds: [1.
       Implement the testing time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm forward, in
```

Implement the testing time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py . After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
In [8]:

# Check the test-time forward pass by running the training-time
# forward pass many times to warm up the running averages, and then
# checking the means and variances of activations after a test-time
# forward pass.

N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js

gamma = np.ones(D3)
```

```
beta = np.zeros(D3)
for t in np.arange(50):
  X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
  a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
  batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, bn_param)
bn_param['mode'] = 'test'
X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, bn_param)
# Means should be close to zero and stds close to one, but will be
# noisier than training-time forward passes.
print('After batch normalization (test-time):')
print(' means: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
print(' stds: ', a norm.std(axis=0))
After batch normalization (test-time):
 means: [ 0.13138923 -0.01135984 0.06246144]
```

```
stds: [1.0146928 1.00022882 1.01004018]
```

Batchnorm backward pass

Implement the backward pass for the batchnorm layer, batchnorm_backward in nndl/layers.py . Check your implementation by running the following cell.

```
In [9]:
         # Gradient check batchnorm backward pass
         N, D = 4, 5
         x = 5 * np.random.randn(N, D) + 12
         gamma = np.random.randn(D)
         beta = np.random.randn(D)
         dout = np.random.randn(N, D)
         bn param = {'mode': 'train'}
         fx = lambda x: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
         fg = lambda a: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
         fb = lambda b: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
         dx num = eval numerical gradient array(fx, x, dout)
         da_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(fg, gamma, dout)
         db num = eval numerical gradient array(fb, beta, dout)
         _, cache = batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param)
         dx, dgamma, dbeta = batchnorm backward(dout, cache)
         print('dx error: ', rel error(dx num, dx))
         print('dgamma error: ', rel error(da num, dgamma))
         print('dbeta error: ', rel error(db num, dbeta))
```

```
dx error: 4.96605342489864e-10
dgamma error: 6.772727165471053e-12
dbeta error: 3.712018438356478e-12
```

Implement a fully connected neural network with batchnorm layers

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate batchnorm layers. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) The gammas and betas need to be initialized to 1's and 0's respectively in __init__.
- (2) The batchnorm_forward layer needs to be inserted between each affine and relu layer (except in the output layer) in a forward pass computation in loss. You may find it helpful to write an affine_batchnorm_relu() layer in nndl/layer_utils.py although this is not necessary.
- (3) The batchnorm_backward layer has to be appropriately inserted when calculating gradients.

After you have done the appropriate modifications, check your implementation by running the following cell.

Note, while the relative error for W3 should be small, as we backprop gradients more, you may find the relative error increases. Our relative error for W1 is on the order of 1e-4.

```
In [11]:
          N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
          X = np.random.randn(N, D)
          y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))
          for reg in [0, 3.14]:
            print('Running check with reg = ', reg)
            model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input dim=D, num classes=C,
                                      reg=reg, weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64,
                                      use batchnorm=True)
            loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
            print('Initial loss: ', loss)
            for name in sorted(grads):
              f = lambda : model.loss(X, y)[0]
              grad num = eval numerical gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False, h=1
              print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel error(grad num, grads[name]))
            if reg == 0: print('\n')
```

```
Running check with reg = 0
Initial loss: 2.2534633024160162
W1 relative error: 0.0021552034130467596
W2 relative error: 1.8214660575739807e-06
W3 relative error: 3.8726703015641546e-10
b1 relative error: 1.0547118733938987e-07
b2 relative error: 7.105427357601002e-07
b3 relative error: 1.8207386463495405e-10
beta1 relative error: 4.6297547965231505e-07
beta2 relative error: 4.593991458633294e-09
gamma1 relative error: 1.5480911995944e-07
gamma2 relative error: 4.509673001390954e-09
```

Running check with reg = 3.14

```
Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js

W1 relative error: 2.038489530/94459e-06
```

```
W2 relative error: 1.4440525611301911e-06
W3 relative error: 1.725075238993244e-08
b1 relative error: 6.938893903907228e-10
b2 relative error: 6.661338147750939e-08
b3 relative error: 4.0009954684008957e-10
beta1 relative error: 7.032971978190267e-08
beta2 relative error: 1.784773977403144e-08
gamma1 relative error: 7.043813641602626e-08
gamma2 relative error: 2.57806436089796e-08
```

Training a deep fully connected network with batch normalization.


To see if batchnorm helps, let's train a deep neural network with and without batch normalization.

```
In [12]:
          # Try training a very deep net with batchnorm
          hidden_dims = [100, 100, 100, 100, 100]
          num train = 1000
          small data = {
            'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
            'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
            'X_val': data['X_val'],
            'y_val': data['y_val'],
          weight scale = 2e-2
          bn model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchno
          model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchnorm=
          bn solver = Solver(bn model, small data,
                          num_epochs=10, batch size=50,
                          update rule='adam',
                          optim config={
                             'learning rate': 1e-3,
                          verbose=True, print every=200)
          bn solver.train()
          solver = Solver(model, small data,
                          num epochs=10, batch size=50,
                          update rule='adam',
                          optim config={
                             'learning rate': 1e-3,
                          },
                          verbose=True, print every=200)
          solver.train()
         (Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.289570
         (Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.158000; val acc: 0.129000
         (Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.310000; val acc: 0.248000
         (Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.449000; val acc: 0.323000
         (Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.518000; val acc: 0.313000
         (Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.578000; val acc: 0.314000
```

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js al_acc: 0.341000

(Epoch o / IU) train acc: v.ooouuu; val acc: 0.341000

```
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.690000; val acc: 0.328000
         (Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.726000; val acc: 0.331000
         (Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.787000; val_acc: 0.340000
         (Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.817000; val acc: 0.313000
         (Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.302426
         (Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.152000; val acc: 0.163000
         (Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.261000; val acc: 0.243000
         (Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.304000; val_acc: 0.265000
         (Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.364000; val_acc: 0.286000
         (Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.420000; val_acc: 0.296000
         (Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.445000; val acc: 0.319000
         (Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.455000; val acc: 0.286000
         (Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.525000; val_acc: 0.314000
         (Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.593000; val_acc: 0.321000
         (Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.628000; val acc: 0.321000
         (Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.661000; val_acc: 0.326000
In [13]:
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
          plt.title('Training loss')
          plt.xlabel('Iteration')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
          plt.title('Training accuracy')
          plt.xlabel('Epoch')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
          plt.title('Validation accuracy')
          plt.xlabel('Epoch')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
          plt.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label='baseline')
          plt.plot(bn solver.loss history, 'o', label='batchnorm')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
          plt.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label='baseline')
          plt.plot(bn solver.train acc history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
          plt.plot(solver.val acc history, '-o', label='baseline')
          plt.plot(bn solver.val acc history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
          for i in [1, 2, 3]:
            plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
            plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
          plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
          plt.show()
```



Batchnorm and initialization

The following cells run an experiment where for a deep network, the initialization is varied. We do training for when batchnorm layers are and are not included.


```
In [14]: # Try training a very deep net with batchnorm
    hidden_dims = [50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50]

num_train = 1000
    small_data = {
        'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
        'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
        'X_val': data['X_val'],
        'y_val': data['y_val'],
    }

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js
```

```
solvers = {}
          weight scales = np.logspace(-4, 0, num=20)
          for i, weight_scale in enumerate(weight_scales):
            print('Running weight scale {} / {}'.format(i + 1, len(weight_scales)))
            bn_model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale, use_batch
            model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchnor
            bn_solver = Solver(bn_model, small_data,
                             num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                             update_rule='adam',
                             optim config={
                               'learning rate': 1e-3,
                             verbose=False, print_every=200)
            bn solver.train()
            bn_solvers[weight_scale] = bn_solver
            solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                             num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                             update rule='adam',
                             optim_config={
                               'learning rate': 1e-3,
                             verbose=False, print_every=200)
            solver.train()
            solvers[weight_scale] = solver
         Running weight scale 1 / 20
         Running weight scale 2 / 20
         Running weight scale 3 / 20
         Running weight scale 4 / 20
         Running weight scale 5 / 20
         Running weight scale 6 / 20
         Running weight scale 7 / 20
         Running weight scale 8 / 20
         Running weight scale 9 / 20
         Running weight scale 10 / 20
         Running weight scale 11 / 20
         Running weight scale 12 / 20
         Running weight scale 13 / 20
         Running weight scale 14 / 20
         Running weight scale 15 / 20
         Running weight scale 16 / 20
          /Users/madhavsankar/Downloads/hw4-code/nndl/layers.py:427: RuntimeWarning: divid
          e by zero encountered in log
            loss = -np.sum(np.log(probs[np.arange(N), y])) / N
         Running weight scale 17 / 20
         Running weight scale 18 / 20
         Running weight scale 19 / 20
         Running weight scale 20 / 20
In [15]:
          # Plot results of weight scale experiment
          best train accs, bn best train accs = [], []
          best_val_accs, bn_best_val_accs = [], []
          final train loss, bn final train loss = [], []
          for ws in weight scales:
Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js [ws].train_acc_history))
            on_pest_train_accs.appena(max(on_solvers[ws].train_acc_history))
```

```
best_val_accs.append(max(solvers[ws].val_acc_history))
 bn_best_val_accs.append(max(bn_solvers[ws].val_acc_history))
 final_train_loss.append(np.mean(solvers[ws].loss_history[-100:]))
 bn final train loss.append(np.mean(bn solvers[ws].loss history[-100:]))
plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
plt.title('Best val accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Best val accuracy')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, best_val_accs, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_best_val_accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
plt.title('Best train accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Best training accuracy')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, best train accs, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_best_train_accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend()
plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
plt.title('Final training loss vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Final training loss')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, final_train_loss, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, bn final train loss, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend()
plt.gcf().set_size_inches(10, 15)
plt.show()
```



Question:

 $Loading \ [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js$

In the cell below, summarize the findings of this experiment, and WHY these results make sense.

Answer:

- 1. We notice that batchnorm is less sensitive to weight initialization than baseline.
- 2. For lower weight initialization batchnorm almost always has better training and validation accuracies than baseline. For larger weight initializations, the opposite is observed. Therefore, though batchnorm is less sensitive to weight initialization, there is a particular range of weight initialization where it performs better.

We notice that the range of accuracies in case of batchnorm is lesser than that of baseline. That is, batchnorm is more resistant to change in weight initializations. Batchnorm can be used as a regularizer and hence this does make sense. Moreover as we are normalizing the activations, it makes sense that it is less sensitive to weight initialization.

In []:		

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js

ECE C147/247 HW4 Q3: Dropout

In this notebook, you will implement dropout. Then we will ask you to train a network with batchnorm and dropout, and acheive over 55% accuracy on CIFAR-10.

utils has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils.

```
In [16]:
          ## Import and setups
          import time
          import numpy as np
          import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          from nndl.fc_net import *
          from nndl.layers import *
          from utils.data_utils import get_CIFAR10_data
          from utils.gradient check import eval numerical gradient, eval numerical gradien
          from utils.solver import Solver
          %matplotlib inline
          plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
          plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
          plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
          # for auto-reloading external modules
          # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
          %load_ext autoreload
          %autoreload 2
          def rel_error(x, y):
            """ returns relative error """
            return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

The autoreload extension is already loaded. To reload it, use: %reload ext autoreload

```
In [17]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
    for k in data.keys():
        print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_train: (49000,)
    X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_val: (1000,)
    X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y test: (1000,)
```

Dropout forward pass

Implement the training and test time dropout forward pass, dropout_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
In [18]:
         x = np.random.randn(500, 500) + 10
          for p in [0.3, 0.6, 0.75]:
            out, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'train', 'p': p})
            out_test, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'test', 'p': p})
            print('Running tests with p = ', p)
            print('Mean of input: ', x.mean())
            print('Mean of train-time output: ', out.mean())
            print('Mean of test-time output: ', out_test.mean())
            print('Fraction of train-time output set to zero: ', (out == 0).mean())
            print('Fraction of test-time output set to zero: ', (out_test == 0).mean())
         Running tests with p = 0.3
         Mean of input: 9.997119168960138
         Mean of train-time output: 9.999915379544701
         Mean of test-time output: 9.997119168960138
         Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.29978
         Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
         Running tests with p = 0.6
         Mean of input: 9.997119168960138
         Mean of train-time output: 10.001167686327308
         Mean of test-time output: 9.997119168960138
         Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.599944
         Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
         Running tests with p = 0.75
         Mean of input: 9.997119168960138
         Mean of train-time output: 9.987620435615135
         Mean of test-time output: 9.997119168960138
```

Dropout backward pass

Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.75028 Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0

Implement the backward pass, dropout_backward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your gradients by running the following cell:

```
In [19]:
    x = np.random.randn(10, 10) + 10
    dout = np.random.randn(*x.shape)

    dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': 0.8, 'seed': 123}
    out, cache = dropout_forward(x, dropout_param)
    dx = dropout_backward(dout, cache)
    dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda xx: dropout_forward(xx, dropout_pa
    print('dx relative error: ', rel_error(dx, dx_num))
```

dx relative error: 1.8929048258973234e-11

Implement a fully connected neural network with dropout layers

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate dropout. A dropout layer should be incorporated after every ReLU layer. Concretely, there shouldn't be a dropout at the output layer since there is no ReLU at the output layer. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) In the forward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout layer after every relu layer.
- (2) In the backward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout backward pass layer.

Check your implementation by running the following code. Our W1 gradient relative error is on the order of 1e-6 (the largest of all the relative errors).

```
In [20]:
          N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
          X = np.random.randn(N, D)
          y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))
          for dropout in [0, 0.25, 0.5]:
            print('Running check with dropout = ', dropout)
            model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input dim=D, num classes=C,
                                      weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64,
                                      dropout=dropout, seed=123)
            loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
            print('Initial loss: ', loss)
            for name in sorted(grads):
              f = lambda : model.loss(X, y)[0]
              grad num = eval numerical gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False, h=1
              print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel error(grad num, grads[name]))
            print('\n')
         Running check with dropout = 0
         Initial loss: 2.3051948273987857
         W1 relative error: 2.5272575382129597e-07
         W2 relative error: 1.5034484922161239e-05
         W3 relative error: 2.7534468139587135e-07
         b1 relative error: 2.936957506391204e-06
         b2 relative error: 5.0513393785120424e-08
         b3 relative error: 1.1740467838205477e-10
         Running check with dropout = 0.25
         Initial loss: 2.29898614757146
         W1 relative error: 9.737727012934426e-07
         W2 relative error: 2.4340451744192665e-08
         W3 relative error: 3.0424565925584195e-08
         b1 relative error: 2.0056189194151762e-08
         b2 relative error: 1.897778283870511e-09
         b3 relative error: 1.302003889798156e-10
         Running check with dropout = 0.5
         Initial loss: 2.302437587710995
         W1 relative error: 4.553387977754106e-08
         W2 relative error: 2.9742180754280448e-08
```

W3 relative error: 4.3413246357224405e-07

```
b1 relative error: 1.8724629873465624e-08
b2 relative error: 5.045591023705428e-09
b3 relative error: 7.487013797161614e-11
```

Dropout as a regularizer

In class, we claimed that dropout acts as a regularizer by effectively bagging. To check this, we will train two small networks, one with dropout and one without dropout.

```
In [25]:
          # Train two identical nets, one with dropout and one without
          num_train = 500
          small data = {
            'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
            'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
            'X_val': data['X_val'],
            'y_val': data['y_val'],
          solvers = {}
          dropout choices = [0, 0.6]
          for dropout in dropout_choices:
            model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100], dropout=dropout)
            solver = Solver(model, small data,
                             num epochs=25, batch size=100,
                             update rule='adam',
                             optim config={
                               'learning rate': 5e-4,
                             verbose=True, print every=100)
            solver.train()
            solvers[dropout] = solver
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.303158
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.176000; val acc: 0.139000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.184000; val acc: 0.135000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.264000; val_acc: 0.214000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.322000; val acc: 0.261000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.362000; val acc: 0.297000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.368000; val acc: 0.284000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.444000; val acc: 0.303000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.460000; val acc: 0.321000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.534000; val acc: 0.334000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.568000; val acc: 0.309000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.650000; val acc: 0.322000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.680000; val acc: 0.316000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.672000; val acc: 0.299000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.712000; val acc: 0.330000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.790000; val acc: 0.297000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.818000; val acc: 0.309000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.866000; val acc: 0.305000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.882000; val acc: 0.309000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.902000; val acc: 0.304000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.922000; val acc: 0.311000
```


(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 0.272054

(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.930000; val acc: 0.302000

```
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.954000; val_acc: 0.313000
         (Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.974000; val acc: 0.310000
         (Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.986000; val_acc: 0.302000
         (Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.974000; val acc: 0.299000
         (Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.982000; val acc: 0.302000
         (Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.308764
         (Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.104000; val_acc: 0.104000
         (Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.114000; val_acc: 0.096000
         (Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.206000; val acc: 0.152000
         (Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.212000; val acc: 0.172000
         (Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.210000; val_acc: 0.185000
         (Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.234000; val_acc: 0.195000
         (Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.250000; val acc: 0.230000
         (Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.282000; val_acc: 0.235000
         (Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.264000; val acc: 0.239000
         (Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.264000; val_acc: 0.228000
         (Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.258000; val acc: 0.242000
         (Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.300000; val acc: 0.257000
         (Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.270000; val_acc: 0.204000
         (Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.316000; val_acc: 0.261000
         (Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.294000; val_acc: 0.267000
         (Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.308000; val acc: 0.269000
         (Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.280000; val acc: 0.243000
         (Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.316000; val_acc: 0.270000
         (Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.314000; val acc: 0.265000
         (Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.334000; val_acc: 0.284000
         (Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.338000; val acc: 0.279000
         (Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 2.195173
         (Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.334000; val acc: 0.274000
         (Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.332000; val_acc: 0.253000
         (Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.350000; val acc: 0.280000
         (Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.350000; val acc: 0.271000
         (Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.310000; val acc: 0.259000
In [26]:
          # Plot train and validation accuracies of the two models
          train accs = []
          val accs = []
          for dropout in dropout_choices:
            solver = solvers[dropout]
            train accs.append(solver.train acc history[-1])
            val accs.append(solver.val acc history[-1])
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
          for dropout in dropout choices:
            plt.plot(solvers[dropout].train acc history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout' % dropo
          plt.title('Train accuracy')
          plt.xlabel('Epoch')
          plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
          plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
          plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
          for dropout in dropout choices:
            plt.plot(solvers[dropout].val acc history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout' % dropout
          plt.title('Val accuracy')
          plt.xlabel('Epoch')
          plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
```

```
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')

plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```



Question

Based off the results of this experiment, is dropout performing regularization? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Yes. We observe that the training accuracy without dropout is much larger than that with dropout, but the validation accuracy for both are fairly similar. This is because the model overfits without dropout. Therefore dropout prevents the model from overfitting and hence acts as a regularizer.

Final part of the assignment

Get over 55% validation accuracy on CIFAR-10 by using the layers you have implemented. You will be graded according to the following equation:

```
min(floor((X - 32\%)) / 28\%, 1)
```

where if you get 60% or higher validation accuracy, you get full points.

```
In [11]:
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
         Implement a FC-net that achieves at least 55% validation accuracy
       # ============ #
       optimizer = 'adam'
       layer dims = [400, 400, 400]
       weight_scale = 0.02
       learning rate = 3e-3
       lr decay = 0.9
       dropout = 0.2
       solvers = {}
       model = FullyConnectedNet(layer_dims, weight_scale = weight_scale, dropout = dro
                          use batchnorm=True)
       solver = Solver(model, data,
                   num epochs = 30, batch size = 500,
                   update_rule = optimizer,
                   optim config = {
                    'learning_rate': learning_rate,
                   lr decay = lr decay,
                   verbose=True, print every = 50)
       solver.train()
       # ----- #
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       # ----- #
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 2940) loss: 2.352194
(Epoch 0 / 30) train acc: 0.257000; val acc: 0.245000
(Iteration 51 / 2940) loss: 1.544310
(Epoch 1 / 30) train acc: 0.503000; val acc: 0.470000
(Iteration 101 / 2940) loss: 1.503822
(Iteration 151 / 2940) loss: 1.465822
(Epoch 2 / 30) train acc: 0.521000; val acc: 0.501000
(Iteration 201 / 2940) loss: 1.409179
(Iteration 251 / 2940) loss: 1.291030
(Epoch 3 / 30) train acc: 0.582000; val acc: 0.533000
(Iteration 301 / 2940) loss: 1.373029
(Iteration 351 / 2940) loss: 1.262220
(Epoch 4 / 30) train acc: 0.572000; val acc: 0.524000
(Iteration 401 / 2940) loss: 1.238249
(Iteration 451 / 2940) loss: 1.209554
(Epoch 5 / 30) train acc: 0.561000; val acc: 0.549000
(Iteration 501 / 2940) loss: 1.065278
(Iteration 551 / 2940) loss: 1.089134
(Epoch 6 / 30) train acc: 0.629000; val acc: 0.556000
(Iteration 601 / 2940) loss: 1.142162
(Iteration 651 / 2940) loss: 1.104182
(Epoch 7 / 30) train acc: 0.630000; val acc: 0.548000
(Iteration 701 / 2940) loss: 1.069721
(Iteration 751 / 2940) loss: 1.125334
(Epoch 8 / 30) train acc: 0.654000; val acc: 0.555000
(Iteration 801 / 2940) loss: 1.101951
(Iteration 851 / 2940) loss: 0.991798
(Epoch 9 / 30) train acc: 0.673000; val acc: 0.577000
```

```
(Iteration 901 / 2940) loss: 1.097611
(Iteration 951 / 2940) loss: 1.021232
(Epoch 10 / 30) train acc: 0.688000; val_acc: 0.582000
(Iteration 1001 / 2940) loss: 1.044207
(Iteration 1051 / 2940) loss: 0.954646
(Epoch 11 / 30) train acc: 0.695000; val acc: 0.570000
(Iteration 1101 / 2940) loss: 0.865619
(Iteration 1151 / 2940) loss: 0.952007
(Epoch 12 / 30) train acc: 0.711000; val acc: 0.568000
(Iteration 1201 / 2940) loss: 0.962327
(Iteration 1251 / 2940) loss: 0.912475
(Epoch 13 / 30) train acc: 0.731000; val acc: 0.568000
(Iteration 1301 / 2940) loss: 0.914874
(Iteration 1351 / 2940) loss: 0.907390
(Epoch 14 / 30) train acc: 0.747000; val acc: 0.566000
(Iteration 1401 / 2940) loss: 0.889861
(Iteration 1451 / 2940) loss: 0.920119
(Epoch 15 / 30) train acc: 0.726000; val_acc: 0.563000
(Iteration 1501 / 2940) loss: 0.801993
(Iteration 1551 / 2940) loss: 0.854334
(Epoch 16 / 30) train acc: 0.744000; val acc: 0.591000
(Iteration 1601 / 2940) loss: 0.793825
(Iteration 1651 / 2940) loss: 0.802935
(Epoch 17 / 30) train acc: 0.765000; val acc: 0.586000
(Iteration 1701 / 2940) loss: 0.912112
(Iteration 1751 / 2940) loss: 0.879096
(Epoch 18 / 30) train acc: 0.747000; val acc: 0.589000
(Iteration 1801 / 2940) loss: 0.848966
(Iteration 1851 / 2940) loss: 0.798752
(Epoch 19 / 30) train acc: 0.771000; val acc: 0.588000
(Iteration 1901 / 2940) loss: 0.772186
(Iteration 1951 / 2940) loss: 0.788171
(Epoch 20 / 30) train acc: 0.771000; val acc: 0.588000
(Iteration 2001 / 2940) loss: 0.803484
(Iteration 2051 / 2940) loss: 0.750648
(Epoch 21 / 30) train acc: 0.808000; val acc: 0.586000
(Iteration 2101 / 2940) loss: 0.754113
(Iteration 2151 / 2940) loss: 0.761788
(Epoch 22 / 30) train acc: 0.792000; val acc: 0.595000
(Iteration 2201 / 2940) loss: 0.827418
(Iteration 2251 / 2940) loss: 0.780715
(Epoch 23 / 30) train acc: 0.801000; val acc: 0.592000
(Iteration 2301 / 2940) loss: 0.647937
(Iteration 2351 / 2940) loss: 0.796750
(Epoch 24 / 30) train acc: 0.805000; val acc: 0.596000
(Iteration 2401 / 2940) loss: 0.710159
(Epoch 25 / 30) train acc: 0.795000; val acc: 0.603000
(Iteration 2451 / 2940) loss: 0.752654
(Iteration 2501 / 2940) loss: 0.726662
(Epoch 26 / 30) train acc: 0.830000; val acc: 0.593000
(Iteration 2551 / 2940) loss: 0.774132
(Iteration 2601 / 2940) loss: 0.739739
(Epoch 27 / 30) train acc: 0.843000; val acc: 0.590000
(Iteration 2651 / 2940) loss: 0.721071
(Iteration 2701 / 2940) loss: 0.679462
(Epoch 28 / 30) train acc: 0.826000; val acc: 0.593000
(Iteration 2751 / 2940) loss: 0.746960
(Iteration 2801 / 2940) loss: 0.667752
(Epoch 29 / 30) train acc: 0.837000; val acc: 0.591000
(Iteration 2851 / 2940) loss: 0.752717
```

(Iteration 2901 / 2940) loss: 0.716019
(Epoch 30 / 30) train acc: 0.821000; val_acc: 0.600000

In []:

```
import numpy as np
from .layers import *
from .layer_utils import *
class TwoLayerNet(object):
   A two-layer fully-connected neural network with ReLU nonlinearity and
   softmax loss that uses a modular layer design. We assume an input dimension
   of D, a hidden dimension of H, and perform classification over C classes.
   The architecure should be affine - relu - affine - softmax.
   Note that this class does not implement gradient descent; instead, it
   will interact with a separate Solver object that is responsible for running
   optimization.
   The learnable parameters of the model are stored in the dictionary
   self.params that maps parameter names to numpy arrays.
   def __init__(self, input_dim=3*32*32, hidden_dims=100, num_classes=10,
              dropout=0, weight_scale=1e-3, reg=0.0):
       Initialize a new network.
       Inputs:
       - input dim: An integer giving the size of the input
       - hidden_dims: An integer giving the size of the hidden layer
       - num_classes: An integer giving the number of classes to classify
       - dropout: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving dropout strength.
       - weight_scale: Scalar giving the standard deviation for random
       initialization of the weights.
       - reg: Scalar giving L2 regularization strength.
       self.params = {}
       self.reg = reg
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
           Initialize W1, W2, b1, and b2. Store these as self.params['W1'],
self.params['W2'], self.params['b1'] and self.params['b2']. The
           biases are initialized to zero and the weights are initialized
           so that each parameter has mean 0 and standard deviation weight_scale.
           The dimensions of W1 should be (input_dim, hidden_dim) and the
           dimensions of W2 should be (hidden dims, num classes)
       size_W1 = (input_dim, hidden_dims)
       size W2 = (hidden dims, num classes)
       self.params['W1'] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0,scale=weight_scale,size = size_W1)
       self.params['b1'] = np.zeros(hidden dims)
       self.params['W2'] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0,scale=weight_scale,size = size_W2)
       self.params['b2'] = np.zeros(num_classes)
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   def loss(self, X, y=None):
       Compute loss and gradient for a minibatch of data.
       Inputs:
       - X: Array of input data of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
       - y: Array of labels, of shape (N,). y[i] gives the label for X[i].
       Returns:
       If y is None, then run a test-time forward pass of the model and return:
       - scores: Array of shape (N, C) giving classification scores, where
         scores[i, c] is the classification score for X[i] and class c.
       If y is not None, then run a training-time forward and backward pass and
       return a tuple of:
       - loss: Scalar value giving the loss
       - grads: Dictionary with the same keys as self.params, mapping parameter
```

```
names to gradients of the loss with respect to those parameters.
      scores = None
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
         Implement the forward pass of the two-layer neural network. Store
         the class scores as the variable 'scores'. Be sure to use the layers
        you prior implemented.
      W1 = self.params['W1']
b1 = self.params['b1']
      W2 = self.params['W2']
      b2 = self.params['b2']
      H, cache h = affine relu forward(X, W1, b1)
      Z, cache z = affine forward(H, W2, b2)
      scores = Z
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      # If y is None then we are in test mode so just return scores
      if y is None:
          return scores
      loss, grads = 0, \{\}
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
         Implement the backward pass of the two-layer neural net. Store
         the loss as the variable 'loss' and store the gradients in the
         'grads' dictionary. For the grads dictionary, grads['W1'] holds
         the gradient for W1, grads['b1'] holds the gradient for b1, etc.
         i.e., grads[k] holds the gradient for self.params[k].
         Add L2 regularization, where there is an added cost 0.5*self.reg*W^2
         for each W. Be sure to include the 0.5 multiplying factor to
         match our implementation.
         And be sure to use the layers you prior implemented.
      loss, dz = softmax_loss(scores, y)
      loss += 0.5 * self.reg * (np.sum(W1 * W1) + np.sum(W2 * W2))
      dh, dw2, db2 = affine backward(dz, cache z)
      dx, dw1, db1 = affine_relu_backward(dh, cache_h)
      grads['W1'] = dw1 + self.reg * W1
      grads['b1'] = db1
      qrads['W2'] = dw2 + self.reg * W2
      grads['b2'] = db2
      # _____ # ____ #
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      return loss, grads
class FullyConnectedNet(object):
   A fully-connected neural network with an arbitrary number of hidden layers,
   ReLU nonlinearities, and a softmax loss function. This will also implement
   dropout and batch normalization as options. For a network with L layers,
   the architecture will be
   \{affine - [batch norm] - relu - [dropout]\} \times (L - 1) - affine - softmax
   where batch normalization and dropout are optional, and the {...} block is
   repeated L - 1 times.
```

Similar to the TwoLayerNet above, learnable parameters are stored in the self.params dictionary and will be learned using the Solver class.

```
def __init__(self, hidden_dims, input_dim=3*32*32, num_classes=10,
                 dropout=0, use_batchnorm=False, reg=0.0,
                 weight_scale=1e-2, dtype=np.float32, seed=None):
      .....
      Initialize a new FullyConnectedNet.
     Inputs:
      - hidden_dims: A list of integers giving the size of each hidden layer.
      - input_dim: An integer giving the size of the input.
      - num classes: An integer giving the number of classes to classify.
      - dropout: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving dropout strength. If dropout=0 then
         the network should not use dropout at all.
      - use_batchnorm: Whether or not the network should use batch normalization.

    reg: Scalar giving L2 regularization strength.

      - weight scale: Scalar giving the standard deviation for random
         initialization of the weights.
      - dtype: A numpy datatype object; all computations will be performed using
         this datatype. float32 is faster but less accurate, so you should use
         float64 for numeric gradient checking.
      - seed: If not None, then pass this random seed to the dropout layers. This
         will make the dropout layers deteriminstic so we can gradient check the
         model.
      .....
      self.use_batchnorm = use_batchnorm
      self.use dropout = dropout > 0
      self.reg = reg
      self.num layers = 1 + len(hidden dims)
      self.dtype = dtype
      self.params = {}
     # YOUR CODE HERE:
            Initialize all parameters of the network in the self.params dictionary.
            The weights and biases of layer 1 are W1 and b1; and in general the
            weights and biases of layer i are Wi and bi. The
            biases are initialized to zero and the weights are initialized
            so that each parameter has mean 0 and standard deviation weight scale.
            BATCHNORM: Initialize the gammas of each layer to 1 and the beta
            parameters to zero. The gamma and beta parameters for layer 1 should
            be self.params['qamma1'] and self.params['beta1']. For layer 2, they
            should be gamma2 and beta2, etc. Only use batchnorm if self.use_batchnorm
            is true and DO NOT do batch normalize the output scores.
      # ______ # _____ #
      for i in np.arange(1, self.num_layers + 1):
            name W = 'W' + str(i)
            name b = 'b' + str(i)
            name_gamma = 'gamma' + str(i)
name_beta = 'beta' + str(i)
            if i == 1:
                  self.params[name_W] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0, scale=weight_scale, size = (input_dim, hidden_dims[i-1
                  self.params[name b] = np.zeros(hidden dims[i-1])
                   if self.use batchnorm:
                         self.params[name_gamma] = np.ones(hidden_dims[i-1])
                         self.params[name_beta] = np.zeros(hidden_dims[i-1])
            elif i == self.num layers:
                  self.params[name_W] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0,scale=weight_scale,size = (hidden_dims[i-2],num_clasself.params[name_w] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0,scale=weight_scale,size = (hidden_dims[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clasself.params[i-2],num_clas
                  self.params[name b] = np.zeros(num classes)
                  self.params[name_W] = np.random.normal(loc=0.0,scale=weight_scale,size = (hidden_dims[i-2],hidden_dims[i-2])
                  self.params[name b] = np.zeros(hidden dims[i-1])
                   if self.use batchnorm:
                         self.params[name_gamma] = np.ones(hidden_dims[i-1])
                         self.params[name beta] = np.zeros(hidden dims[i-1])
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      # When using dropout we need to pass a dropout_param dictionary to each
      # dropout layer so that the layer knows the dropout probability and the mode
```

```
# (train / test). You can pass the same dropout_param to each dropout layer.
   self.dropout param = {}
    if self.use_dropout:
       self.dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': dropout}
        if seed is not None:
           self.dropout_param['seed'] = seed
   # With batch normalization we need to keep track of running means and
   # variances, so we need to pass a special bn param object to each batch
   # normalization layer. You should pass self.bn_params[0] to the forward pass
   # of the first batch normalization layer, self.bn_params[1] to the forward
   # pass of the second batch normalization layer, etc.
   self.bn_params = []
   if self.use batchnorm:
        self.bn_params = [{'mode': 'train'} for i in np.arange(self.num_layers - 1)]
   # Cast all parameters to the correct datatype
   for k, v in self.params.items():
       self.params[k] = v.astype(dtype)
def loss(self, X, y=None):
    Compute loss and gradient for the fully-connected net.
   Input / output: Same as TwoLayerNet above.
   X = X.astype(self.dtype)
   mode = 'test' if y is None else 'train'
   # Set train/test mode for batchnorm params and dropout param since they
   # behave differently during training and testing.
   if self.dropout param is not None:
       self.dropout_param['mode'] = mode
    if self.use_batchnorm:
       for bn_param in self.bn_params:
           bn_param[mode] = mode
   scores = None
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the forward pass of the FC net and store the output
       scores as the variable "scores".
   #
       BATCHNORM: If self.use_batchnorm is true, insert a bathnorm layer
       between the affine_forward and relu_forward layers. You may
       also write an affine_batchnorm_relu() function in layer_utils.py.
   #
   #
       DROPOUT: If dropout is non-zero, insert a dropout layer after
   #
       every ReLU layer.
   H = []
   cache h = []
   cache_dropout = []
    for i in np.arange(1,self.num layers + 1):
       name W = 'W' + str(i)
       name_b = 'b' + str(i)
       name_gamma = 'gamma' + str(i)
name_beta = 'beta' + str(i)
        if i == 1:
           if self.use_batchnorm == False:
               H.append(affine_relu_forward(X, self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b])[0])
               cache_h.append(affine_relu_forward(X, self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b])[1])
               H.append(affine_batchnorm_relu_forward(X, self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b], self.params
               cache_h.append(affine_batchnorm_relu_forward(X, self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b], self.
            if self.use dropout > 0:
               H[0] = dropout forward(H[0], self.dropout param)[0]
               cache_dropout.append(dropout_forward(H[0], self.dropout_param)[1])
       elif i == self.num layers:
           scores = affine forward(H[i-2], self.params[name W], self.params[name b])[0]
```

```
cache_h.append(affine_forward(H[i-2], self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b])[1])
   else:
       if self.use batchnorm == False:
           H.append(affine_relu_forward(H[i-2], self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b])[0])
           cache_h.append(affine_relu_forward(H[i-2], self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b])[1])
       else:
           H.append(affine_batchnorm_relu_forward(H[i-2], self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b], self.p
           cache_h.append(affine_batchnorm_relu_forward(H[i-2], self.params[name_W], self.params[name_b],
       if self.use dropout > 0:
          H[i-1] = dropout forward(H[i-1], self.dropout param)[0]
           cache_dropout.append(dropout_forward(H[i-1], self.dropout_param)[1])
           ______#
# END YOUR CODE HERE
# If test mode return early
if mode == 'test':
   return scores
loss, grads = 0.0, \{\}
# YOUR CODE HERE:
   Implement the backwards pass of the FC net and store the gradients
   in the grads dict, so that grads[k] is the gradient of self.params[k]
   Be sure your L2 regularization includes a 0.5 factor.
#
   BATCHNORM: Incorporate the backward pass of the batchnorm.
#
#
   DROPOUT: Incorporate the backward pass of dropout.
loss, dz = softmax_loss(scores, y)
dh = []
for i in np.arange(self.num_layers,0,-1):
   name_W = 'W' + str(i)
name b = 'b' + str(i)
   name gamma = 'gamma' + str(i)
   name\_beta = 'beta' + str(i)
   loss += (0.5 * self.reg * np.sum(self.params[name W]*self.params[name W]))
   if i == self.num layers:
       dh1, grads[name_W], grads[name_b] = affine_backward(dz, cache_h[self.num_layers-1])
       dh.append(dh1)
   else:
       if self.use batchnorm == False:
           if self.use dropout > 0:
              dh[self.num \ layers-i-1] = dropout \ backward(dh[self.num \ layers-i-1], \ cache \ dropout[i-1])
           dr1, grads[name_W], grads[name_b] = affine_relu_backward(dh[self.num_layers-i-1], cache_h[i-1])
           dh.append(dr1)
       else:
           if self.use dropout > 0:
              dh[self.num\_layers-i-1] = dropout\_backward(dh[self.num\_layers-i-1], cache\_dropout[i-1])
           dr2, grads[name_W], grads[name_b], grads[name_gamma], grads[name_beta] =
           dh.append(dr2)
   grads[name W] += self.reg * self.params[name W]
# END YOUR CODE HERE
# ----- #
return loss, grads
```

```
from nndl.layers import *
from utils.gradient_check import eval_numerical_gradient, eval_numerical_gradient_array
from nndl.layer utils import affine relu forward, affine relu backward
from nndl.fc net import FullyConnectedNet
def rel_error(x, y):
  """ returns relative error """
  return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
def affine forward test():
   # Test the affine forward function
   num inputs = 2
    input_shape = (4, 5, 6)
    output_dim = 3
    input_size = num_inputs * np.prod(input_shape)
   weight size = output dim * np.prod(input shape)
   x = np.linspace(-0.1, 0.5, num=input_size).reshape(num_inputs, *input_shape)
    w = np.linspace(-0.2, 0.3, num=weight_size).reshape(np.prod(input_shape), output_dim)
   b = np.linspace(-0.3, 0.1, num=output_dim)
    out, _ = affine_forward(x, w, b)
    correct_out = np.array([[ 1.49834967,  1.70660132,  1.91485297]]
                            [ 3.25553199, 3.5141327,
                                                        3.77273342]])
    # Compare your output with ours. The error should be around 1e-9.
   print('If affine_forward function is working, difference should be less than 1e-9:')
   print('difference: {}'.format(rel_error(out, correct_out)))
def affine_backward_test():
    # Test the affine_backward function
   x = np.random.randn(10, 2, 3)
   w = np.random.randn(6, 5)
    b = np.random.randn(5)
    dout = np.random.randn(10, 5)
   dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda x: affine_forward(x, w, b)[0], x, dout)
    dw_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda w: affine_forward(x, w, b)[0], w, dout)
    db num = eval numerical gradient array(lambda b: affine forward(x, w, b) [0], b, dout)
    _, cache = affine_forward(x, w, b)
    dx, dw, db = affine_backward(dout, cache)
    # The error should be around 1e-10
   print('If affine backward is working, error should be less than 1e-9::')
   print('dx error: {}'.format(rel error(dx num, dx)))
   print('dw error: {}'.format(rel error(dw num, dw)))
   print('db error: {}'.format(rel_error(db_num, db)))
def relu_forward_test():
   # Test the relu_forward function
   x = np.linspace(-0.5, 0.5, num=12).reshape(3, 4)
   out, _ = relu_forward(x)
    correct_out = np.array([[ 0.,
                                           0.,
                                                        0.,
                                                                      0.,
                                           0.,
                                                         0.04545455,
                                                                      0.13636364,],
                              0.,
                            [ 0.22727273, 0.31818182,
                                                        0.40909091,
    # Compare your output with ours. The error should be around 1e-8
   print('If relu_forward function is working, difference should be around 1e-8:')
   print('difference: {}'.format(rel_error(out, correct_out)))
def relu_backward_test():
   x = np.random.randn(10, 10)
    dout = np.random.randn(*x.shape)
```

```
dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda x: relu_forward(x)[0], x, dout)
    _, cache = relu_forward(x)
    dx = relu_backward(dout, cache)
    # The error should be around 1e-12
    print('If relu_forward function is working, error should be less than 1e-9:')
    print('dx error: {}'.format(rel_error(dx_num, dx)))
def affine_relu_test():
    x = np.random.randn(2, 3, 4)
    w = np.random.randn(12, 10)
    b = np.random.randn(10)
    dout = np.random.randn(2, 10)
    out, cache = affine_relu_forward(x, w, b)
    dx, dw, db = affine_relu_backward(dout, cache)
    dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda x: affine_relu_forward(x, w, b)[0], x, dout)
    dw_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda w: affine_relu_forward(x, w, b)[0], w, dout)
    db_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda b: affine_relu_forward(x, w, b)[0], b, dout)
    print('If affine_relu_forward and affine_relu_backward are working, error should be less than 1e-9::')
    print('dx error: {}'.format(rel_error(dx_num, dx)))
print('dw error: {}'.format(rel_error(dw_num, dw)))
print('db error: {}'.format(rel_error(db_num, db)))
def fc net test():
    N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
    X = np.random.randn(N, D)
    y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))
    for reg in [0, 3.14]:
      print('Running check with reg = {}'.format(reg))
      model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input dim=D, num classes=C,
                                  reg=reg, weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64)
      loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
      print('Initial loss: {}'.format(loss))
      for name in sorted(grads):
        f = lambda _: model.loss(X, y)[0]
        grad_num = eval_numerical_gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False, h=1e-5)
        print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel error(grad num, grads[name])))
```

```
from .layers import *
def affine_relu_forward(x, w, b):
    Convenience layer that performs an affine transform followed by a ReLU
    Inputs:
    - x: Input to the affine layer
    - w, b: Weights for the affine layer
    Returns a tuple of:
    - out: Output from the ReLU
    - cache: Object to give to the backward pass
    a, fc_cache = affine_forward(x, w, b)
    out, relu_cache = relu_forward(a)
    cache = (fc_cache, relu_cache)
    return out, cache
def affine_relu_backward(dout, cache):
    Backward pass for the affine-relu convenience layer
    fc cache, relu cache = cache
    da = relu backward(dout, relu cache)
    dx, dw, db = affine_backward(da, fc cache)
    return dx, dw, db
def affine_batchnorm_relu_forward(x, w, b, gamma, beta, bn_param):
    aff_out, aff_cache = affine_forward(x, w, b)
    batch out, batch cache = batchnorm forward(aff out, gamma, beta, bn param)
    out, relu cache = relu forward(batch out)
    cache = (aff_cache, relu_cache, batch_cache)
    return out, cache
def affine batchnorm relu backward(dout, cache):
    aff cache, relu cache, batch cache = cache
    dbatch = relu backward(dout, relu cache)
    daffine, dgamma, dbeta = batchnorm backward(dbatch, batch cache)
    dx, dw, db = affine backward(daffine, aff cache)
    return dx, dw, db, dgamma, dbeta
```

```
import numpy as np
def affine_forward(x, w, b):
       Computes the forward pass for an affine (fully-connected) layer.
       The input x has shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k) and contains a minibatch of N
       examples, where each example x[i] has shape (d_1, ..., d_k). We will
       reshape each input into a vector of dimension D = d_1 * ... * d_k, and
       then transform it to an output vector of dimension M.
       Inputs:
       - x: A numpy array containing input data, of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
       - w: A numpy array of weights, of shape (D, M)
       - b: A numpy array of biases, of shape (M,)
       Returns a tuple of:
       - out: output, of shape (N, M)
        - cache: (x, w, b)
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
              Calculate the output of the forward pass. Notice the dimensions
              of w are D x M, which is the transpose of what we did in earlier
              assignments.
       x \text{ transformed} = x.reshape(x.shape[0], -1)
       out = x_transformed @ w + b
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       cache = (x, w, b)
       return out, cache
def affine backward(dout, cache):
       Computes the backward pass for an affine layer.

    dout: Upstream derivative, of shape (N, M)

       - cache: Tuple of:
       - x: A numpy array containing input data, of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
       - w: A numpy array of weights, of shape (D, M)
       - b: A numpy array of biases, of shape (M,)
       Returns a tuple of:
       - dx: Gradient with respect to x, of shape (N, d1, ..., d_k)

    dw: Gradient with respect to w, of shape (D, M)

    db: Gradient with respect to b, of shape (M,)

       x, w, b = cache
       dx, dw, db = None, None, None
                                             _____ #
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
             Calculate the gradients for the backward pass.
       # Notice:
              dx should be N x d1 x \dots x dk; it relates to dout through multiplication with w, which is D x M
              dw should be D \times M; it relates to dout through multiplication with \times, which is N \times D after reshaping
              db should be M; it is just the sum over dout examples
       x_{transformed} = x_{transfo
       dx = dout @ w.T
       dx = dx.reshape(x.shape)
       dw = x transformed.T @ dout
```

```
db = np.sum(dout,axis=0)
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       return dx, dw, db
def relu_forward(x):
       Computes the forward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
       Input:
       - x: Inputs, of any shape
      Returns a tuple of:
       - out: Output, of the same shape as x
       - cache: x
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
           Implement the ReLU forward pass.
       # ============= #
       relu = lambda x: x * (x > 0)
       out = relu(x)
       # ============================ #
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       cache = x
       return out, cache
def relu_backward(dout, cache):
       Computes the backward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
       Input:
       - dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape
       - cache: Input x, of same shape as dout
      Returns:

    dx: Gradient with respect to x

       x = cache
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
             Implement the ReLU backward pass
       x_{transformed} = x_{transfo
      dx = dout * (x transformed >= 0)
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       return dx
def batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param):
       Forward pass for batch normalization.
       During training the sample mean and (uncorrected) sample variance are
       computed from minibatch statistics and used to normalize the incoming data.
       During training we also keep an exponentially decaying running mean of the mean
       and variance of each feature, and these averages are used to normalize data
       at test-time.
```

At each timestep we update the running averages for mean and variance using

an exponential decay based on the momentum parameter: running_mean = momentum * running_mean + (1 - momentum) * sample_mean running var = momentum * running var + (1 - momentum) * sample var Note that the batch normalization paper suggests a different test-time behavior: they compute sample mean and variance for each feature using a large number of training images rather than using a running average. For this implementation we have chosen to use running averages instead since they do not require an additional estimation step; the torch7 implementation of batch normalization also uses running averages. Input: - x: Data of shape (N, D) - gamma: Scale parameter of shape (D,) - beta: Shift paremeter of shape (D,) - bn param: Dictionary with the following keys: - mode: 'train' or 'test'; required - eps: Constant for numeric stability - momentum: Constant for running mean / variance. - running_mean: Array of shape (D,) giving running mean of features - running var Array of shape (D,) giving running variance of features Returns a tuple of: - out: of shape (N, D) cache: A tuple of values needed in the backward pass mode = bn_param['mode'] eps = bn_param.get('eps', 1e-5) momentum = bn_param.get('momentum', 0.9) N, D = x.shape running_mean = bn_param.get('running_mean', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype)) running_var = bn_param.get('running_var', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype)) out, cache = None, None if mode == 'train': # YOUR CODE HERE: A few steps here: (1) Calculate the running mean and variance of the minibatch. (2) Normalize the activations with the running mean and variance. # (3) Scale and shift the normalized activations. Store this as the variable 'out' # (4) Store any variables you may need for the backward pass in # the 'cache' variable. Mean minibatch = x.mean(axis=0)Variance_minibatch = np.var(x,axis=0) running_mean = momentum * running_mean + (1.0 - momentum) * Mean_minibatch running_var = momentum * running_var + (1.0 - momentum) * Variance_minibatch Mean_minibatch = np.expand_dims(Mean_minibatch, axis=0) Variance_minibatch = np.expand_dims(Variance_minibatch, axis=0) x_normal = (x - Mean_minibatch)/(np.sqrt(Variance_minibatch + eps) out = np.expand dims(gamma, axis=0) * x normal + np.expand dims(beta, axis=0) cache = (Mean_minibatch, Variance_minibatch, x_normal, gamma, beta, x, eps) # END YOUR CODE HERE elif mode == 'test': # YOUR CODE HERE: Calculate the testing time normalized activation. Normalize using the running mean and variance, and then scale and shift appropriately. Store the output as 'out'.

```
x \text{ norm} = (x - running mean)/(np.sqrt(running var.T))
      out = np.expand dims(gamma, axis=0) * x norm + np.expand dims(beta, axis=0)
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      else:
      raise ValueError('Invalid forward batchnorm mode "%s"' % mode)
   # Store the updated running means back into bn param
   bn_param['running_mean'] = running_mean
   bn_param['running_var'] = running_var
   return out, cache
def batchnorm_backward(dout, cache):
   Backward pass for batch normalization.
   For this implementation, you should write out a computation graph for
   batch normalization on paper and propagate gradients backward through
   intermediate nodes.
   - dout: Upstream derivatives, of shape (N, D)
   - cache: Variable of intermediates from batchnorm_forward.
   Returns a tuple of:
   - dx: Gradient with respect to inputs x, of shape (N, D)
   - dgamma: Gradient with respect to scale parameter gamma, of shape (D,)
   - dbeta: Gradient with respect to shift parameter beta, of shape (D,)
   dx, dgamma, dbeta = None, None, None
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
      Implement the batchnorm backward pass, calculating dx, dgamma, and dbeta.
   Mean minibatch = cache[0]
   Variance_minibatch = cache[1]
   x_normal = cache[2]
   gamma = cache[3]
   beta = cache[4]
   x = cache[5]
   eps = cache[6]
   M = x_normal.shape[0]
   std = np.sqrt(Variance_minibatch + eps)
   dbeta = dout.sum(axis=0)
   dgamma = np.sum(x normal * dout ,axis = 0)
   dx_hat = gamma * dout
   da = (1.0 / std) * dx_hat
   dMu = np.sum(-da , axis = 0)
   dVar = np.sum((-1.0 / (2*np.power(std,3))) * dx_hat * (x - Mean_minibatch) , axis = 0)
   dx = (1.0 / std) * dx hat + (1.0 / M) * dMu + (2.0 / M) * dVar * (x - Mean minibatch)
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   return dx, dgamma, dbeta
def dropout_forward(x, dropout_param):
   Performs the forward pass for (inverted) dropout.
   Inputs:
   - x: Input data, of any shape
```

2/12/22, 7:51 PM tmpr9wnj5lx.html

```
- dropout_param: A dictionary with the following keys:
  - p: Dropout parameter. We drop each neuron output with probability p.
  mode: 'test' or 'train'. If the mode is train, then perform dropout;
    if the mode is test, then just return the input.
  - seed: Seed for the random number generator. Passing seed makes this
    function deterministic, which is needed for gradient checking but not in
    real networks.
  Outputs:
  - out: Array of the same shape as x.
  - cache: A tuple (dropout_param, mask). In training mode, mask is the dropout
  mask that was used to multiply the input; in test mode, mask is None.
  p, mode = dropout_param['p'], dropout_param['mode']
  if 'seed' in dropout_param:
     np.random.seed(dropout param['seed'])
  mask = None
  out = None
   if mode == 'train':
     # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during training time.
       Store the masked and scaled activations in out, and store the
     # dropout mask as the variable mask.
     mask = (np.random.rand(*x.shape) < (1 - p)) / (1 - p)
     out = mask * x
     # END YOUR CODE HERE
     elif mode == 'test':
     # YOUR CODE HERE:
     # Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during test time.
     out = x
     # END YOUR CODE HERE
     cache = (dropout_param, mask)
  out = out.astype(x.dtype, copy=False)
  return out, cache
def dropout_backward(dout, cache):
  Perform the backward pass for (inverted) dropout.
  Inputs:

    dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape

  - cache: (dropout param, mask) from dropout forward.
  dropout_param, mask = cache
  mode = dropout_param['mode']
  dx = None
  if mode == 'train':
     # YOUR CODE HERE:
     # Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during training time.
     dx = mask * dout
```

```
# END YOUR CODE HERE
      elif mode == 'test':
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
        Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during test time.
      dx = dout
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
      return dx
def svm_loss(x, y):
   Computes the loss and gradient using for multiclass SVM classification.
   Inputs:
   – x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[\mathsf{i},\;\mathsf{j}] is the score for the jth class
   for the ith input.
   – y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and
   0 \le y[i] < C
   Returns a tuple of:
   loss: Scalar giving the loss
   - dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x
   111111
   N = x.shape[0]
   correct_class_scores = x[np.arange(N), y]
   margins = np.maximum(0, x - correct_class_scores[:, np.newaxis] + 1.0)
   margins[np.arange(N), y] = 0
   loss = np.sum(margins) / N
   num pos = np.sum(margins > 0, axis=1)
   dx = np.zeros like(x)
   dx[margins > 0] = 1
   dx[np.arange(N), y] = num_pos
   dx /= N
   return loss, dx
def softmax_loss(x, y):
   Computes the loss and gradient for softmax classification.
   Inputs:
   - x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[i, j] is the score for the jth class
   for the ith input.
   - y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and
   0 \le y[i] < C
   Returns a tuple of:
   - loss: Scalar giving the loss
   - dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x
   probs = np.exp(x - np.max(x, axis=1, keepdims=True))
   probs /= np.sum(probs, axis=1, keepdims=True)
   N = x.shape[0]
   loss = -np.sum(np.log(probs[np.arange(N), y])) / N
   dx = probs.copy()
   dx[np.arange(N), y] = 1
   dx /= N
   return loss, dx
```

```
import numpy as np
```

0.000

This file implements various first-order update rules that are commonly used for training neural networks. Each update rule accepts current weights and the gradient of the loss with respect to those weights and produces the next set of weights. Each update rule has the same interface:

def update(w, dw, config=None):

Inputs:

- w: A numpy array giving the current weights.
- dw: A numpy array of the same shape as w giving the gradient of the loss with respect to w.
- config: A dictionary containing hyperparameter values such as learning rate, momentum, etc. If the update rule requires caching values over many iterations, then config will also hold these cached values.

Returns:

- next_w: The next point after the update.
- config: The config dictionary to be passed to the next iteration of the update rule.

NOTE: For most update rules, the default learning rate will probably not perform well; however the default values of the other hyperparameters should work well for a variety of different problems.

For efficiency, update rules may perform in-place updates, mutating w and setting next_w equal to w.

```
def sgd(w, dw, config=None):
    Performs vanilla stochastic gradient descent.
    config format:
        - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
        if config is None: config = {}
        config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
        w -= config['learning_rate'] * dw
        return w, config

def sgd_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
    """
```

Performs stochastic gradient descent with momentum.

```
config format:
```

- learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
- momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.

Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sqd.

 velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving average of the gradients.

```
if config is None: config = {}
config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
```

2/12/22, 7:51 PM tmphdhfbxw9.html

```
v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros like(w))
                                      # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
    Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
     as next_w, and the updated velocity as v.
  v = config['momentum']*v - config['learning rate']*dw
  next w = w + v
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
   config['velocity'] = v
   return next_w, config
def sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
   Performs stochastic gradient descent with Nesterov momentum.
  config format:
  learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
   - momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.
   Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sgd.

    velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving

   average of the gradients.
   if config is None: config = {}
   config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
   config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
   v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros_like(w)) # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
  # as next_w, and the updated velocity as v.
  v old = v
  v = config['momentum']*v_old - config['learning_rate']*dw
   next_w = w + v + config['momentum']*(v - v_old)
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  config['velocity'] = v
   return next_w, config
def rmsprop(w, dw, config=None):
  Uses the RMSProp update rule, which uses a moving average of squared gradient
  values to set adaptive per-parameter learning rates.
  config format:

    learning rate: Scalar learning rate.

   - decay rate: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the decay rate for the squared
  gradient cache.
```

```
- epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.

    beta: Moving average of second moments of gradients.

   if config is None: config = {}
   config.setdefault('learning rate', 1e-2)
   config.setdefault('decay_rate', 0.99)
   config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
   config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
   next w = None
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
      Implement RMSProp. Store the next value of w as next w. You need
      to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
      moment gradients, so they can be used for future gradients. Concretely,
   #
      config['a'] corresponds to "a" in the lecture notes.
   config['a'] = config['decay_rate'] * config['a'] + (1 - config['decay_rate']) * dw * dw
   coeff = np.ones_like(w) / ( np.sqrt(config['a']) + config['epsilon'] * np.ones like(w) )
   next_w = w - config['learning_rate'] * (dw * coeff)
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   return next w, config
def adam(w, dw, config=None):
   Uses the Adam update rule, which incorporates moving averages of both the
   gradient and its square and a bias correction term.
   config format:
   learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
   - beta1: Decay rate for moving average of first moment of gradient.
   - beta2: Decay rate for moving average of second moment of gradient.
   - epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.
   - m: Moving average of gradient.
   - v: Moving average of squared gradient.
   t: Iteration number.
   if config is None: config = {}
   config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-3)
   config.setdefault('beta1', 0.9)
   config.setdefault('beta2', 0.999)
   config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
   config.setdefault('v', np.zeros_like(w))
   config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
   config.setdefault('t', 0)
   next w = None
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
      Implement Adam. Store the next value of w as next_w. You need
     to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
      moment gradients, and in config['v'] the moving average of the
      first moments. Finally, store in config['t'] the increasing time.
```

2/12/22, 7:51 PM tmphdhfbxw9.html