but Minority in the Capital City. [vica versa for french speaking].

Ethnic Composition of Belgium and Sxi Lanka Sri Lankan Sinhala - Speakers = 74 Percent Tamils Tamil - Speakers = 18 Percent 59%. [flemish Region] [13% Sni lankan tamils, 5% Indian Tamils] Most of the Sinhala speaking people are Buddhists. 40% Wallonia Regions (french) · Tamil speaking people are both Hindus and Muslims. Capital City .7% population is of Chaistians, -> Indian Brussels lamils they speaks Tamil and Sinhala. Speaking · 80% Speaks French Population ·20% Speaks Dutch + Dutch Speaking Constituted Majority in the Country

Majoritanianism in Sxilanka

- · Si lanka got independence in 1948 -> Majority [Sinhala Community] -> Sought domination
- through "Majoritarianism". what (2)?? How (2)??
- Steps taken to establish sinhala Supremacy are +
- · In 1956, Act was passed to recognise sinhala as The only official language, thus disregarding Tamils.
- · Sinhalas were given favours in university and government jobs.
- · Buddhism was favoured and given special protection Constitutionally.
- · This all increased the feeling of olienation among Tamils. They were denied political rights and were Discriminated.

- 於 Due to all this, relation between Tamils and Sinhalas got Strained.
- → Tamils launched Struggles against Sinhalas, demanding independent tamil Eelam (State).
- → Distrust truned into Civil work"
- > Thousands of People of both the Communities were killed.

Accommodation in Belgium

- > Leaders in Belgium took different path. → Recogonised diversity → Amended Consitution four times to accomodate diversity (1970 to 1993).
- · Some elements of the Belgian Model of accomodation are :
- i. Constitution prescribes that the number of french and Dutch-Speaking ministers should be equal in Central government. Thus, no Single Community Can make decision unilaterally in Powers were given to the State government. They were not subordinate to Central government
- III Brussels has a Seprate government in which both the Communities have equal representation.
- M. Third kind of government "Community government" was formed. This government has power regarding, Cultural, educational and language-related issues.

(Brussels) was chosen as headquarter for European union

Why power sharing is desirable

- (i). Prudential Reason
- → It Reduces the chances of Conflicts between various Social groups.
- → Conflicts → Voilence and Political Instability
 - ... Power sharing is good way to ensure political stability.
- Power sharing will bring out better outcomes.

(ii). Moral Reason

- → Power sharing is the very Spirit of democracy.
- → People have a right to be Consulted on How they have to be governed.
- → Moral reasons of power sharing emphasise the very act of power sharing as valuable

torms of Power Sharing

> Changing notions negationing power sharing over a period of time [Emergance of Democracy]

: Some of Common armangments of Power sharing: Reserved Constituencies in State assemblies i. Power Sharing among different organs of gout.

- · Legislature × Executive × Judiciary
- · Honizontal distribution of Powers.
- · System of checks and balances is developed.

· Vertical distribution of power

- · Central → State → local government
- · fedral division of Power [fedralism]

iii. Power sharing among different Social groups.

- · Sharing power between various religious and linguistic groups.
- and parliament of our Country.
- · "Community government" is a good example of this.

iv. Power Shaning among different political parties

Pressure groups and movements.

- · Represent different ideologies and Social groups.
- · Coalition government is an example of it.
- · Intrest groups influences decision Making.