

Lecture 7.2

Theorem: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let T be such that the time-averaged external regret of every player is at most ε . Define $\sigma_t = \prod_{i \in N} p_i^t$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t$. Then σ is an ε -CCE.

Proof:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t$$
$$\underset{s \sim \sigma}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s)] = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \underset{s \sim \sigma_t}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s)]$$

For every $i \in N$, every $s'_i \in S_i$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \underset{(s_i, s_{-i}) \sim \sigma_t}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})] \right] - \sum_{t=1}^T \underset{s \sim \sigma}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s)] \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\underset{(s_i, s_{-i}) \sim \sigma}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})] - \underset{s \sim \sigma}{\mathbb{E}} [u_i(s)] \leq \varepsilon$$

Hence, σ is an ε -CEE.

◻

Q. Does there exist similar natural learning dynamics
which enables player to converge to a CE?

Swap-Regret:

"Modification rule" takes the history of the play as input and outputs a sequence of actions for t iterations.
 $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t, p_1, \dots, p_t, a_1, \dots, a_t)$ history.

External regret tries to perform as good as any fixed actions; i.e. the modification rules $\{F_a = (\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_t) \mid a \in A\}$

Swap regret tries to perform as good as any modification rule $\{F_{a,b} : a, b \in A, a \neq b\}$

↑
whenever the player played a , it outputs b and vice versa.

No Swap-Regret: A learning algorithm is said to have no swap regret if the time-averaged swap regret

$$\frac{1}{T} \left(\max_{\delta \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{swap}}} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{a \in A} p_t(a) \cdot \pi_t(\delta(a)) - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{a \in A} p_t(a) \pi_t(a) \right)$$

goes to 0 as T goes to ∞ .

- The connection of no swap-regret algorithm and CE:

Theorem: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Each player runs a no-swap-regret algorithm.

$$A_i = S_i, \quad \pi_i(s_i) = u_i(s_i, p_{-i}) = \sum_{(s_j), j \neq i \in S_i} u_i(s_i, s_j) \cdot p_j(s_j)$$

Let T be such that the time-averaged swap-regret of every player is at most ε . Define $\sigma_t = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T p_t$ and

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t. \quad \text{Then } \sigma \text{ is an } \varepsilon - CE \text{ of } T.$$

Proof: By definition of π ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma} [u(\lambda)] = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma_t} [u(\lambda)]$$

For any $i \in N$ and any $\delta: S_i \rightarrow S_i$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{a \in A} p_i^t(a) \pi_t(\delta(a)) - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{a \in A} p_i^t(a) \pi_t(a) \right] \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma_t} [u(\delta(\lambda_i), \lambda_{-i})] - \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma_t} [u(\lambda)] \right] \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma} [u(\delta(\lambda_i), \lambda_{-i})] - \mathbb{E}_{\lambda \sim \sigma} [u(\lambda)] \leq \varepsilon$$

□